Entergy Operations, Inc.

W. T. Cottle

July 17, 1992

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Station P1-137 Washington, D.C. 20555

Attention:

Document Control Desk

SUBJECT: Grand Gulf Nuclear Station

Unit 1

Docket No. 50-416 License No. NPF-29

Reactor Scram Due to Turbine Control Fluid Filter

Maintenance LER 92-013-00

GNRO-92/00091

Gentlemen:

Attached is Licensee Event Report (LER) 92-013 which is a final report.

Yours truly,

LO8 CALL

WTC/RR/ attachment

cc:

Mr. D. C. Hintz (w/a) Mr. J. L. Mathis (w/a) Mr. R. B. McGehee (w/a) Mr. N. S. Reynolds (w/a) Mr. H. L. Thomas (w/o)

Mr. Stewart D. Ebneter (w/a) Regional Administrator U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II 101 Marietta St., N.W., Suite 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. P. W. O'Connor Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop 13H3

Washington, D.C. 20555

LER92013.DOC

9207240040 920717 PDR ADOCK 05000416

NRC Form 366 19-8-11	LICENSEE EVEN	T REPORT (LER)		CLEAR REQULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED OME NO 3150 0104 EXPIRES 8-31 88
FACILITY NAME (1)			DOCKET NUMBER	(2) PAGE (3)
Grand Gulf Nuclear Sta	tion		0   5   0   0	0 14 11 16 1 OF 17
TITLE (4)	hallande St. Administration of the second		1	
Reactor Scram Due To T	urbine Contro	1 Fluid Filter	Mainten	3000
EVENT DATE (6) LER NUMBER (6)	REPORT DATE	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	FACILITIES INVOL	
MONTH DAY YEAR YEAR SEGURATIAL NUMBER	MEVISION MONTH DAY Y	CAR FACILITY NO	AMES I	DOCKET NUMBER'S
NOMBER .	NUMBER			0 15 10 10 10 1 1 1
0 6 1 8 9 2 9 2 0 1 3	0 0 0 7 1 7 9	1 2		0   5   0   0   0   1
OPERATING THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED !	URSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENT	TS OF 10 DER 5: (Chark une al impre	or the following! (11	
MODE (9) 70 402(b)	20.406(a)	X 80.73/a((2)/iv)		73.71(6)
PO: (ER 20.406(x)(11(i)	90.36(c)(1)	50.73(a)(2)(v)		73.71(e)
110) 11010 20 409 (4)17 (4)1	50 36(4)(2)	80.70(x)(2)(vii)		OTHER (Spacify in Abstract
20.408Wj(1)(iii)	50.73(a)(2)(i)	50.73(a)(2)(s)(i)	(A)	below and in Text NRC Falm 266A.
20.408(a)(1)(i/)	86.73ia)(2)(n)	50.73(#/(2)1416)	(8)	
20.408(a)(1)(V)	50.73(a)(2)((ii))	50.73(a)(2)(x)		
	LICENSEE CONTACT F	OR THIS LER (12)		
NASIE				TELEPHONE NUMBER
			AREA CODE	
Riley Ruffin / Licens	ing Specialis		61 01 1	4 3 7-12111617
COMPLETE ON	E LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT P	AILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPO	RT (13)	
	FORTABLE TO NEROS	CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT	MANUFAD TURER	REFORTABLE TO WRADS
			Lin	
SUPPLEMENT	AL REPORT EXPECTED (14)		EXPECTS	MONTH DAY YEAR
YES IT yes complete SXRECTED SUBMISSION DATE:	X) 80		SUBMISSIO DATE IS	

On June 18, 1992 during maintenance on a main turbine control fluid filter, a reactor scram occurred as a result of a high neutron flux condition due to partial closure of the main turbine stop and control valves. The filter which was to be changed had a leaking isolation valve which allowed the filter to remain at system pressure. The filter was not verified depressurized in accordance with procedures prior to an attempt to remove the filter cover. During the removal of the cover, the filter O-ring blew out which resulted in a control fluid spill and subsequent closure of the main turbine stop and control valves. Following the scram, vessel level decreased to approximately - 28 inches. Level was restored by feedwater and Reactor Core Isolation Cooling systems. This event did not compromise the safety of the public.

Ē,	M. P.C.	25	acm.	364	LPA .
Ē.	19-6.	97			
E					
Ŧ.					

### LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED DMB NO 3150-0104

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)
		TEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER	
Grand Gulf Nuclear Station	0  5  0  0  0   4   1	6 9 2 - 01113 - 010 0	12 OF 0 b

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

# A. Reportable Occurrence

On June 18, 1992 , a valid Reactor Protection System (RPS) [JC] actuation occurred which resulted in a reactor scram. The actuation signal was generated due to a high neutron flux condition as a result of partial closure of the main turbine stop and control valves. The occurrence is being reported pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv).

### B. Initial Conditions

The plant was in Operating Condition 1, with reactor power at 100 percent. Mechanical Maintenance was in the process of preparing an Electro-Hydraulic Fluid (EHC) filter for cleaning.

# C. Description of Occurrence

On June 17, 1992 a maintenance work order (WO) was generated to change and clean EHC filter N32D009. The task was not attempted until the 2330 hour mechanical shift reported to work.

In preparation for the task, the mechanics went to the filter assembly to familiarize themselves with the task. In their observation, they noticed that the vent plug for the filter was damaged and the corners of the hex head were severely rounded off. The conditior is assumed to have been caused by the use of improper wrenches on the hex head. The mechanics returned to the maintenance shop and obtained the required tools for the filter change-out.

The non-licensed Turbine Building operator was requested to remove the north filter from service and place the south filter in service (this is accomplished by one manual actuator which operates two three-way valves simultaneously). The operator operated the valves; then the mechanics verified the appropriate filter had been isolated by placing their hands on the two filters and comparing the temperature difference. The south filter was relatively hot and the north was warm, but not at ambient temperature.

NR			
500 4			

#### LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED DMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 8/31-88

FACILITY NAME (1) DUCKET NUMBER (2)				LER NUMBER (6)									PAGE (3)									
									Y	AR.		5E.O	UEN	IA L		REV	SION BER					
Grand Gulf Nuclear Station	0	5	0	0	0	14	11	16	9	2	_		1	3	30.604			0 !	3	OF	01	7

TEXT IN more apace is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's | [17]

The mechanics proceeded to vent the filter in order to relieve any residual pressure. During the venting process, EHC fluid continuously drained out of the filter prior to securing the vent plug. It was concluded that the filter was still pressurized and additional efforts to isolate the filter would be necessary to perform the task. The operator initiated a condition identification (CI) to document the three-way valve leaking by its seat. However, the operator did not inform control room personnel.

On June 18, 1992, the mechanics informed the incoming maintenance specialist of the status of task and difficulties experienced by operations in isolating the filter. The mechanics also informed the oncoming mechanical supervisor of difficulties in isolating the filter. The uncompleted work package was turned over to the oncoming 0730 hour mechanical shift. A discussion of the filter cleaning was parformed in the work control group morning meeting; however, the relationship between the WO for the filter and the CI for the leaking valve was not fully communicated.

The maintenance specialist who accepted the turnover from the 2330 hour mechanics informed the oncoming mechanical shift supervisor of the urgency of the task performance and difficulties experienced during the first attempt to perform the task.

The mechanics were informed of the difficulties and requested to identify possible alternative methods of isolating the north filter. Following a review of the system diagrams, it was determined that there was no other way to isolate the filter other than the three-way valve.

The mechanics proceeded to the filter assembly and met a different non-licensed operator in the filter area. The mechanics inquired about difficulties with isolating the filter on the previous shift. However, this operator did not know the details of the difficulties encountered during the first attempt. Mechanics also inquired about the need to change the filter; the control room was called and conveyed that the filter needed to be changed. No further inquiries were made to identify details surrounding the first filter change attempt. The operator verified the filter was isolated by local indications and informed the mechanics that the filter was isolated.

NRC Form 366A

#### LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED ONE NO 3/80-0104

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (8)	PAGE (3)
		YE'R SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER	
The second secon	0.15.10.10.10.14.11.16	9 2- 0 1 3- 0 0	01 7 05 0 17
Grand Gulf Nuclear Station TEXT IN more apose is required, use edifficient NRC Form 306A t 1777	In In In In In It. It. In	11719137199	0 401 017

Therefore, mechanics felt confident that the filter was isolated and only residual pressure would exist in the filter housing. Mechanics attempted to remove the vent plug, but were ursuccessful in loosening the plug. The procedure governing the activity required the vent to be loosened prior to the removal of the filter cover. Without consulting their

This was a violation of procedure. Upon loosening the cover,

relieve any residual pressure in the filter housing.

the filter O-ring blew out as a result of the internal pressure due to the leaking three-way valve.

supervisor, the mechanics decided to loosen the cover nuts to

Large quantities of EHC fluid were lost due to the displacement of the O-ring. The loss of fluid caused a low reservoir level and subsequent control fluid pressure decrease. Following the control fluid pressure decrease, the main turbine stop and control valve partially closed causing vessel voids to collapse and neutron flux to increase which resulted in a high flux condition and subsequent signal to RPS.

During the transient, vessel pressure increased to approximately 1120 psig. A total of eleven main steam safety relief valves automatically operated to relieve vessel pressure.

Following the scram, vessel level decreased to approximately - 28 inches. Vessel level was restored by feedwater [SJ] and Reactor Core Isolation Cooling [BN] systems. Plant condition were stabilized and recovery was performed in accordance with plant procedures.

Investigation of the event revealed previous difficulties with isolating and cleaning EHC filters. During filter cleanings, the vent plug was used to verify positive isolation and the absence of pressure and fluid. In 1989, it was concluded that an easier method to verify positive filter isolation would be to install vent valves and lines on the top of the filters. An Engineering Evaluation Request was generated to implement this modification, but was considered an enhancement and had low priority for implementation.

NRC Form 366A (9-63)  LICENSEE EVENT REPOR					(LER) TEXT CONTINUATION											A	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISS APPROVED ONE NO 3150-0104 EXPIRES 8/31/88						
FACILITY NAME (1)		00	DOCKET NUMBER (2)							LER NUMBER (6)						PAGE (3)							
										1	YEAR		8 E (2),	ENTU	AL C	PREV	SION						
Grand Gulf	Nuclear	Statio	n	0	15	0	0	0	41	11	6	9  2	contra	01	11	3 -	- 0	0	01	5	OF	0	
TEXT (If more space is required, us	Mark of a company of the commence and property of the com-	Foreign the section of the contract of the con-		and the same		- th-re-resi	diction		- in make		-		- Branco	A	essen (Herse)		- shower	-	kina - anh		-	irrinoe-al	ĺ

# D. Apparent Cause

Following an investigation the following causal factors were identified.

Lack of/Poor communication on the status of the uncompleted task.

The non-licensed operator generated CIs on problems identified during the attempt to isolate and change the filter. However, control rocm personnel and operation shift management were not made aware of the identified problems. Therefore, control room had no knowledge of the actual conditions.

The operator logged the attempt and results of the attempt in the building log book. Also the operator discussed the details of the condition with the oncoming Turbine Building operator. However, a different operator was assigned to assist the mechanics and did not have detailed knowledge of the problems encountered during the previous shift.

The mechanics involved during the previous shift performed a turnover to the oncoming maintenance specialist and mechanical supervisor, however, the details of problems with the filter were not discussed.

Neither the three-way valves or their actuator were in a preventative maintenance program.

Maintenance personnel failed to perform maintenance in accordance with the procedure.

Mechanics involved with the second attempt to change the filter did not have the procedure available at the filter assembly. The procedure required the vent plug to be loosened and removed prior to loosening the filter cover. An attempt was made to remove the vent plug, however, it was unsuccessful due to the hex head corners being rounded.

This step would have verified that the filter was not properly isolated. This step was not performed before proceeding to the next procedural step. This deviation from procedure was not authorized by maintenance management.

NRC Ferm 368A

#### LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED DMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 8/31/88

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)
		YEAR SECUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER	
Grand Gulf Nuclear Station	0  5  0  0  0  4  1   6	9 2 - 0 1 3 - 0 0	016 OF 0 17

TEXT (If more space is required, use edilfolose) NRC Form 386.4's) (17)

### E. Corrective Actions

Vent plugs were removed from the filters and vent valves installed as requested by the earlier EER. This resulted in an easier method to verify that the filter is positively isolated.

The mechanics involved were counseled (written reprimand) for their failure to adhere to the procedural requirements. A series of discussions were performed with all mechanical personnel stressing the importance of procedural adherence by the mechanical maintenance superintendent. Additionally, discussions concerning procedural compliance were held with all maintenance department personnel by the discipline superintendents and the manager of the plant maintenance section.

Plant management now requires direct supervisory attention to work being performed on trip critical systems.

The operations plant supervisor is now required to review the building operators' log books each shift.

A review of outstanding documents (i.e., nonconformance documents, WOs, EERs, etc.,...) for other potential problems which may be related to trip critical systems was performed. The items identified during the review have been reviewed with appropriate management to ensure top priority is given to resolving these issues.

The mechanical section turn-overs have been enhanced by requiring the 2330 bour mechanical shift to come in thirty minutes earlier to receive a more detailed turn-over from the mechanical supervisor. They also will attend the operations shift briefing. Additionally, they will be required to remain thirty minutes after their shift to ensure a thorough turn-over to the oncoming mechanical supervisor.

# F. Supplemental Corrective Action

A preventative maintenance program will be established for the three-way valves and their actuators.

Attachment to GNRO-92/00091

NRC Form '366A (9-83)	LICENSEE EVENT REPO	ORT (LER) TEXT	CONTINU	JATIO	N		API		DMB NO	ORY COM 0. 0150 - 01	
SACILITY NAME (1)		DOCKET NUMBER	21		LEI	NUMBER	(6)	MARINE MARINE AN		1)	
			NEAR		SEQUENT) NUMBER		REVISION NUMBER				
Grand Gulf No	uclear Station	0  5  0  0	0  4  1  6	9 12		0  1	3 -	0 10	0.1	OF	0   7
TEXT (If more supre is required the edge	y Assessment										

The occurrence did not compromise the safety of the public at anytime. The actuation of RPS did not inhibit the function of any safety systems or components. Following the scram, vessel level decreased to a minimum of - 28 inches as indicated by the General Electric Transient Analysis Recorder System. This

level was approximately 138 inches above the top of active fuel.

### H. Additional Information

Energy Industry Identification System (EIIS) codes are identified in the text within brackets [ ].