DMR-016

## JAN 2 5 1985

Docket No. 50-346

Mr. Richard P. Crouse Vice President, Nuclear Toledo Edison Company Edison Plaza - Stop 712 300 Madison Avenue Toledo, Ohio 43652 DISTRIBUTION Docket File NRC PDR L PDR ORB#4 Rdg DEisenhut OELD EJordan PMcKee JPartlow

ACRS-10 RIngram ADeAgazio Gray File EBlackwood HOrnstein

Dear Mr. Crouse:

SUBJECT: Questionnaire on Licensee's Perception of Licensing Action Reviews Performed by NRC Regional Staff

Since mid-1982, the NRC Regional Offices have been conducting selected technical reviews for the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. "Decentralization of Operating Reactor Licensing Reviews", NUREG-1075, was published July 1984 to better define the decision process for selecting items to be review by the Regions. This NUREG states that a formal audit of the program will be conducted in two years.

As part of this audit effort, we have selected five licensees who would be requested to respond, on a voluntary basis, to a questionnaire. These licensees, one of which is Toledo Edison Company, have been selected on the basis that a large number of their licensing actions have been reviewed by the Region. Enclosure 1 is the questionnaire. We request that you provide your response no later than February 28, 1985. Enclosure 2 is a list of all the Davis-Besse licensing actions that have been or are being performed by Region 3.

Sincerely,

JULI E. STULL

John F. Stolz, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #4 Division of Licensing

Enclosure: As stated

8502070035 850 PDR ADOCK 0500

cc w/enclosure: See next page

ORB#4:DL ORB# ADe Agazio;cr JSto 1/25785 1/25



## Toledo Edison Company

cc w/enclosure(s):

Mr. Donald H. Hauser, Esq. The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company P. O. Box 5000 Cleveland, Ohio 44101

Gerald Charnoff, Esq. Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge 1800 M Street, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20036

Paul M. Smart, Esq. Fuller & Henry 300 Madison Avenue P. O. Box 2088 Toledo, Ohio 43603

Mr. Robert B. Borsum Babcock & Wilcox Nuclear Power Generation Division 7910 Woodmont Avenue, Suite 220 Bethesda, Maryland 20814

President, Board of County Commissioners of Ottawa County Port Clinton, Ohio 43452

Attorney General Department of Attorney General 30 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215

Harold Kohn, Staff Scientist Power Siting Commission 361 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43216

Mr. James G. Keppler, Regional Administrator U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region III 799 Roosevelt Road 61en Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Robert F. Peters
Manager, Nuclear Licensing
Toledo Edison Company
Edison Plaza
Madison Avenue
Toledo, Ohio 43652

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Resident Inspector's Office 5503 N. State Route 2 Oak Harbor, Ohio 43449

Regional Radiation Representative EPA Region V 230 South Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60604

Ohio Department of Health ATTN: Radiological Health Program Director P. O. Box 118 Columbus, Ohio 43216

James W. Harris, Director (Addressee Only) Division of Power Generation Ohio Department of Industrial Relations 2323 West 5th Avenue P. O. Box 825 Columbus, Ohio 43216

Enclosure 1

## Survey for Licensees Regarding Regional Review of Licensing Actions

NRR is in the process of evaluating our program to have selected review activities carried out by personnel from within the Regional Offices. To that extent, we would appreciate your response to the below questionnaire.

Has the overall safe operation of your facility been enhanced by having selected licensing action technical reviews conducted in the Region? If so, how?

Have you been generally satisfied with the time response of licensing actions when Regional reviewers are involved?

Did improved communications between your staff and the NRC result from the Regional review process?

Has the Regional review process resulted in fewer technical disagreements between your staff and the NRC over licensing actions?

Have you been generally satisfied with the types of licensing activities being reviewed in the Regions?

Can you suggest licensing actions that are not being reviewed in the Regions, that in your opinion, should be?

Can you identify any licensing actions that were reviewed in the Regions that, in your opinion, should have been reviewed by Headquarters?

Has the Regional review process brought pressures to bear that would not ordinarily be experienced from headquarters reviews; e.g., enforcement concepts, etc.?

Would you say that the overall quality of the licensing review process has improved, stayed the same, or degenerated as a result of Regional involvement?

## Licensing Actions Performed By Region 3 Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station

- Review of Inservice Testing Relief Resouests issued with NRC letter May 18, 1984.
- 2. Amendment 71 issued July 19, 1984.

. .. ..

- 3. Amendment 76 issued November 5, 1984.
- 4. Safety Evaluation for Item IA.2.1.4, NUREG 0737, issued May 13, 1983.
- 5. Safety Evaluation for Item II.B.4.1., NUREG 0737, issued May 13, 1983.
- 6. Amendment 79 issued December 11, 1984.
- 7. Amendment 50 issued November 30, 1982.
- 8. Amendment 54 issued February 17, 1983.
- 9. Safety Evaluation for Item II.B.2.2, NUREG 0737 issued August 8, 1983.
- 10. Amendment 53 issued February 17, 1983.
- 11. Amendment 50 issued November 30, 1982.
- 12. Amendment 73 issued July 27, 1984.
- 13. Amendment 65 issued January 12, 1984.
- 14. Amendment 67 issued May 22, 1984.
- 15. Amendment 72 issued July 20, 1984.
- 16. Amendment 70 issued July 6, 1984.
- 17. Denial of request for grace period for missed surveillance tests (Proposed TS section 4.0.1.1) NRC letter September 12, 1984.
- Remainder of proposed fire protection technical specifications not issued with amendment 65.
- Deletion of SFAS signal to containment air sampling system, Toledo Edison Company application February 7, 1984.
- 20. Exemption related to annual emergency exercise issued July 27, 1984.
- IST Relief Request No. 30, Toledo Edison Company application August 15, 1984.
- 22. HPI check valve IST program, NRC letter December 13, 1984.