

ENCLOSURE 1

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Omaha Public Power District
Fort Calhoun Station

Docket: 50-285
License: DPR-40

During an NRC inspection conducted on January 28 through March 9, 1996, two violations of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions" (60 FR 34831; June 30, 1995), the violations are listed below:

A. Technical Specification 5.8.1. requires, in part, that written procedures be established, implemented, and maintained covering the applicable procedures recommended in Appendix A of Regulatory Guide 1.33, Revision 2, February 1978. Regulatory Guide 1.33, Appendix A, recommends, in part, that procedures should be written covering administrative procedures for safe operation.

1. Standing Order SO-R-2, Revision 0, "Condition Reporting and Corrective Action," requires in Step 2.2.13 that a condition report be initiated whenever entry into a Technical Specification Limiting Condition for Operation is made.

Contrary to the above, the licensee did not properly implement the governing procedure applicable to the activity such that on February 13, 1996, the licensee did not initiate a condition report as required when the Technical Specification limiting condition for operation for a control room toxic gas monitor was entered.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (285/9601-01) (Supplement I).

B. Technical Specification 5.8.1 requires, in part, that written procedures be established, implemented, and maintained covering the applicable procedures recommended in Appendix A of Regulatory Guide 1.33, Revision 2, February 1978. Regulatory Guide 1.33, Appendix A, recommends, in part, that procedures should be written covering administrative procedures for surveillance tests.

Contrary to the above, the licensee did not properly implement the governing procedure applicable to the activity, as evidenced by the following examples:

1. Standing Order SO-G-23, Revision 44, "Surveillance Test Program," requires in Step 5.2.2 that routine performance of surveillance tests be performed using verbatim compliance.

Procedure IC-ST-IA-3003, Revision 7, "Raw Water Instrument Air Accumulator Check Valve Operability Test," Step 7.2.10, instructs personnel to open Instrument Air Vent Valve IA-HCV-2851-T.

On February 8, 1996, the inspector observed an instrument and control technician manipulate Valve IA-HCV-2852-T during performance of Step 7.2.10 of Procedure IC-ST-IA-3003, instead of Valve IA-HCV-2851-T.

2. Standing Order SO-G-23, Revision 44, "Surveillance Test Program," requires in Step 5.2.2 that routine performance of surveillance tests be performed using verbatim compliance.

Procedure EM-ST-EE-0003, Revision 9, "Quarterly Surveillance Test for Station Battery #1," requires in part that all steps in the procedure be conducted in the sequence written unless otherwise noted.

On March 6, 1996, the inspectors identified that electrical maintenance failed to perform the surveillance as written by performing the surveillance for Station Battery 2, using the surveillance procedure for Station Battery 1.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (285/9601-02) (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Omaha Public Power District is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region IV, 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400, Arlington, Texas 76011, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the facility that is the subject of this Notice, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Your response may reference or include previous docketed correspondence, if the correspondence adequately addresses the required response. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order or a Demand for Information may be issued as to why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Arlington, Texas
this 8th day of April 1996