Entergy Operations, Inc.

on dok rolo on Gibson, MS 39160 ei 601.437.6408

W. T. Cottle Vex Pressor Consisters Consisters

July 10, 1992

INTERGY

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Station P1-177 Washington, D.C. 20555

Attention: Document Control Desk

SUBJECT: Grand Gulf Nuclear Station Unit 1 Docket No. 50-416 License No. NPF~29 Entry Into TS 3.0.3. Statement Due to Standby Gas Inoperability LER 92-012-00

GNR0-92/00088

Gantlemen:

Attached is Licensee Event Report (LER) 92-012 which is an interim report.

Yours truly,

as & colle

WTC/GAZ/ attachment cc: Mr. D. C. Hintz (w/a) Mr. J L. Mathis (w/a) Mr. R. B. McGehee (w/a) Mr. N. S. Reynolds (w/a) Mr. H. L. Thomas (w/o) Mr. Stewart D. Ebneter (w/a) Regional Administrator U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II 101 Marietta St. N.W. Suite 2000

101 Marietta St., N.W., Suite 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. P. W. O'Connor Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop 13H3 Washington, D.C. 20555

LER92012.DOC

9207140175 920710 PDR ADDCK 05000416 S PDR

RC Ford 9-83/	366				ANY A VIEW			No. of Concession, Name						and to be not the							L.I						COMM	
· 62											LIC	ENSE	EEVE	NT R	EP	ORT	LER)							(31/86			
		1																										
ACILITY	NAM				******			CONSTRAINTS.									******		DO	DCKE	T NUN	ABER	(7)			L	PAG	(3)
		6	r a	a ti	đ	Gul	f N	luc	1e	ar S	ita	tio	n						0	15	10	10	10	41	11	6 1	OF	0 14
ITLE 14								and a state of the														deserved						
	En	tr	у	1	n t.	o T	S 3	1.0	. 3	Sta	ιtε	men	t Du	e to	5	Stan	dby	Ga	ss	1	no	p e	ral	bil	it	y.		
EVI	ENT D	ATE	(5)		1			NUMB		Sugar sugar		RE	PORT DA	TE (2)	1				_		ITIES I	INVO		-				
MUNTH	DA	Y	YE	AR	YS	AR	SEC	NUME	ER	REVINON	相目用	MONTH	DAY	YEAF	1		FAI	CILITY N	CAN	55					UMBE			
						11									1								0	5	0] 1	10	1	1
0 16	11	0	9	12	9	2	- (1	2	-0	0	017	1 1	9	2								0	5	0 10	10		
OPS	RATI	NG			7.14	IS REPO	DRT IS	SUBM	ITTER	5 PURSU	ANT	TO THE P	AEDUIREN	HENTS OF	10.	CFR - 10	Chaick D	15# .D. / 1950	re 01	the	tailawa	10: 11	\$)	karina dhe	eren i kons		adaraan t	
M	001 100	#1				20.4	0.2.161					20.405	((e)				60.71	36s)(2)(iv	et					73.7	1(8)			
POWE		0	3	.,	-	20.4	05(a)(1)					80.36	41(1)				80.71	3(e)(2)(v)						73.	P1 (d).			
(10)			2	11	-		06(a)(1)				-	60.381				-		314:1 ¹⁴) (ui					-				IN ADI	
					-	-	06(a)(1)				N.	4	#)(Z1(1)			-		3(#1(2)(v)						366	A)			
					-		05(a)(1)					-	(2)(i)			-		3(a)(2)(v)										
			errie		4	1 20.0	06(#)(1)	141		-			E CONTAC	2 800 8			80.7	3(e)(2)(x	-				1					
NAME				-								CIURINGER	LUNATAL	I FUR I	110	LER ITZI	-					-	TEL	EPHON	VE NU	MBER		
G.	Α.	1	11	nk	e /	Mar	age	er.	N	reli	e 11.1	r Sa	Fers	8	As	sess	mér			A	REA C	300	T					teritorie stati
																00000	111 60 5			-	6,0	11	4	131	71	-12	14	5 19
								OMP	LETE	ONE LIN	E FOI	R EACH (OMPONE	NT FAIL	JRE	DESCRIBE	ED IN 1	HIS RE	POR	1 (13	1			Access of				
CAUSE	5Y5	TEM		20M	PONE	NT	NAN TL	A CON		REPORT				CA	380	SYSTEM	00	MPONEN	a .		ANUP	AC.	5	EPOR TO N	ABLE			
					the second s												+								/			
				1	11	13	1		÷.							1.1					1	۰.						
			1	-		-		100 - 2200 					******		increased in		time.		*****	1	de-secole	de						
		1		1	1	I.		1	1	10.01							1.1	1	1		1.1	1	- 1					
								SUPP	LEME	NTAL RI	EPOR	T EXPEC	TED (14)									FECT	red.		MON	H	DAY	YEAR
17																					151.78	ATE I	HON		-			
- market mark			_	-			SUBMIS			and the second second	-	pewritten	NO							1			-		0	8	10	9 12
Marginia							pproxim	are y	(crames	single spe	C# 101	permitten	inter (10)															
																ermi												
	i	de	nt	:1	fi	ed	def	ic	ie	ncy	CC	once	rnin	g po	ot	enti	al	sec	or	nda	ary	C	on	tai	nm	ent	t	
																ity												
1																of												
	r	ep	01	ct	ed	in	LE	R	92	-011	[-(0 00	n 7/	0/9:	2	as a	CC	ndi	ti	101	1 0	ut	Sl	de	th	e		
	d	OS	10	11.	E	asi	SC	IC	th	e pl	lar	15.	A mi	nor	m	odif	108	1110	m	pa	ack	ag	e	(M(-P			

92/1605) was prepared and issued to repair the condition. The work packages were signed off complete. A post-modification smoke

during a walkdown by plant personnel, it was discovered that the repairs had not been completed. Both SGTS trains were declared inoperable which required a plant shutdown. The deficiencies were promptly corrected, and SGTS was declared operable. This is an

test was performed and signed off as acceptable. On 6/10/92

interim report. An update will be provided by 8/10/92.

LER92012.DOC

LICENSEE EVENT	REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINU	ΟΙΤΑ	N			U.S.	APP		0 0	MB		1150-4		IION
FACILITY NAME [1]	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	T	LER NUMBER (6)							PAGE (3)				
		YEAN	T	SEC	UENT	DAL R		NUME	HOTE SER			T		
Grand Gulf Nuclear Station	0 15 10 10 10 14 11 16	9 2	-	0	11	2	-	0 1	0	0	12	OF	0	14

Attachment to CNPA-02/00000

A. Reportable Occurrence

A minor modification package was issued for work during Refueling Outage 5 (RFO5) to correct discrepancies on the Standby Gas Treatment System (SGTS) [BH] identified in Material Nonconformance Report (MNCR) 0148-91. On 6/10/92 after restart from RFO5 a walkdown revealed that not all the required work had been performed. SGTS A and B subsystems were therefore declared inoperable. The actions of Technical Specification 3.0.3 were entered since the action statement for the Limiting Condition for Operation: (LCO) of Technical Specification 3.6.6.3 could not be met. This condition is reportable pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B).

B. Initial Conditions

The plant was operating in Operational Condition 1 at approximately 37 percent power.

C. Description of Occurrence

On 5/2/92 during Refueling Outage 5 it was determined that a previously identified deficiency concerning potential secondary containment bypass lealage affected the operability of the Standby Gas Treatment System for Operational Conditions 1, 2, and 3. The details of this deficiency were reported in LER 92-011-00 on 7/6/92 as a condition outside the design basis of the plant.

A minor modification package (MCP 92/1065) was prepared and issued on 5/22/92 in order to repair potential secondary containment bypass leakage paths in the Standby Gas Treatment System.

The scope of the work packages required installation of fan shaft seals, application of sealant to all seams and joints in the pocket or shroud around the flow control vane assembly control arm, and application of sealant around the outside circumference of the seam between the fan inlet flange and the fan housing.

LER92012.DOC

NRC Form 306A (0-83)	and an an an analysis of government Appropriate Reducer an overlaw Article and the	AND AND A THE REPORT OF A DESCRIPTION OF A		No. of Concession, Name	-		U.S.	NUCL	EAR RE	GULA	TOR	r co	MMISSION				
(9-63)	LICENSEE EVENT REF	PORT (LER) TEXT C	ER) TEXT CONTINUATION							APPROVED DM8 NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 8/31/88							
FACILITY NAME (1)	North and a series of the seri	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	and the second second second		LE	RNUM	SER (6)	and the other division of		1	24	NGE	(3)				
				YEAR	1	REQUE	NTIAL	-	REVISION NUMBER	2							
0	alaar Station		6 1 6	0 2		0 1	0		0.0	10			0 1				

The work packages were signed off complete on 6/3/92 by the contractor supervisor. A post-modification smoke test was performed on 6/3/92 and signed off as acceptable by a plant system engineer. The acceptance criteria for the smoke test

On 6/10/92 during a walkdown of the Standby Gas Treatment System by plant personnel, it was discovered that no sealant

had been applied around the outside circumference of the scam inlet flange and the fan housing, and that no sealant "ad been applied to the shroud around the flow control vane sembly control arm. However, the shaft seals had been

0 5 0 0 0 - -

LER92012.DOC

Corrective Actions Ε.

A thorough investigation is being conducted. The pertinent

subsystems were declared operable, and Technical Specification 3.0.3 was exited 2 hours 4 minutes after its entry.

S A and B were declared inoperable following this covery. Since Technical Specification 3.6.6.3 actions do allow more than one subsystem to be inoperable during erational Condition 1, the actions of Technical specification 3.0.3 were entered. Upon commencement of the required shutdown (Emergency Plan Emergency Action Level), an Unusual Event was declared.

The deficiencies were promptly corrected, SGTS A and B

was minimal or no visible leakage.

GGNS procedure assigns responsibility for the in-process control of work to the contractor supervisor. The contractor supervisor signed the work package based on verbal communication indicating that the work was done and had been acceptably retested. Although many aspects of this investigation have been completed (e.g. personnel interviews, work document reviews, walkdowns of other work), the root causes have not been conclusively determined. No evidence of willful falsification of records has been identified.

The SGTS leakage paths were repaired on 6/10/92.

D. Apparent Cause

alled.

TEXT IX more spece to required, use edditional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

		AND TAXABLE PROPERTY AND A REPORT OF TAXABLE PROPERTY AND A REPORT OF TAXABLE PARTY.	THE ECCUTINE CILL	LO UNRO-94	.700000
NRC Form 366A (8-83)				U.S. NUCLEAR REQU	LATORY COMMISSION
(0-0.1)	LICENSEE EVENT REP	ORT (LER) TEXT CON	TINUATION	APPROVED OM EXPIRES 8/31/8	8 NO 3150-0104 8
FACILITY NAME (1)	in a second second statement of the second	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUME	ER (6)	PAGE (3)
A DESCRIPTION OF MANY OF A			The second secon	TIAL DATE TRANSPORT	and the second second second second second

tachment to CNPA-02/AAA00

0,4

										-				1	NUMBE			1
Grand Gulf Nuclear Station	0	5	10	0	10	4	1	6	9	2 _	-	0 1	1 2	-	0	0	0	4
TEST of some source is somewhat our additional A/D' from 055 6's 1 (17)	-	-			- Australia	karani	h-course h		(menerik)				-	Annese and	at a range of the second	and the second		are a second

The involved workers were removed from all direct plant work pending the root cause investigation.

All PF05 design change work packages performed by the contractor were reviewed to identify work items signed by the contractor supervisor involved in the event. It was verified that no additional sign-offs had been performed by the subject contract supervisor which had not also been verified by a second person.

Additionally a sample of work packages performed by other supervisors of the contractor were selected to be walked down in order to determine whether the situation was an isolated case. Results of these walkdowns indicated that no additional work was signed off as complete by the job supervisors without actually being performed.

Additional corrective actions will be dependent upon completion of the root cause determination.

F. Safety Assessment

An assessment of the potential dose consequences for secondary containment bypass leakage paths was performed and discussed in LER 92-11-00. Although the calculated doses from the design basis assessment of a postulated accident were outside 10 CFR limits, the doses from the "realistic" assessment were well within the 10 CFR limits. The partially repaired condition described in this LER involved even less potential for bypass leakage than the condition reported in LER 92-11-00. The successful smoke tests confirmed that the potential bypass leakage was much less than the 250 CFM maximum condition evaluated in the "realistic" assessment. Therefore the health and sarety of the public were not compromised due to this event.

G. Additional Information

Energy Industry Identification System (EIIS) codes are identified in the text within brackets [].

This is an interim report. An update will be provided by 8/10/92.

LER92012.DOC