

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION I

## KING OF PRUSSIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19406

Memorandum For:

Marilyn Moore, OAC, Region I

From:

Paul W. Harris, RE, Projects Section 4B, DRP

Subject: TELEPHONE CONVERSATION WITH ALLEGER REGARDING ALLEGATION

RI-91-A-0078

- 1. On May 13, 1991, I telephoned the alleger regarding his harassment and intimidation claim against Catalytic, a GPU Nuclear contractor at Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station. The alleger stated that he filed a complaint with the Department of Labor (DOL) within the required 30 days of the incident. He had no additional concerns regarding this incident and did not express any safety concerns.
- I informed the alleger that the NRC tracks harassment and intimidation claims to
  ensure that regulatory and safety related questions and concerns are resolved. I also
  informed him that his file will be dispositioned depending on the DOL finding.

This memorandum shall be placed in allegation file RI-91-A-0078.

Paul W. Harris Reactor Engineer

Division of Reactor Projects

cc:

W. Ruland, Chief, Projects Section 4B, DRP

R. Fuhrmeister, Senior Allegation Coordinator, ORA

G/123

### RECORD OF ALLEGATION PANEL DECISIONS

SITE: Oyster Creek	PANEL ATTENDEES:
ALLEGATION NO .: RT-91-A-0081	Chairman - J. T. Wygins
DATE: 8MAY91 (Mtg. 1)2 3 4 5)	Branch Chief - E.C. Wenzinger
PRIORITY: High Medius Low	Section Chief (ADC) - W.H. Ruland
SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE: Yes No Unknown	Others - C.w. white G.C. Smith
CONCURRENCE TO CLOSEOUT: DD BC	P.W. Harris D.J. Holody
CONFIDENTIALITY GRANTED: Yes (See Allegation Receipt Report)	R.L. Nimitz R.C. Brady
IS THEIR A DOL FINDING: Yes No	R. L. Fu lomeis to
IS CHILLING EFFECT LETTER WARRANTED: Ye	s No
HAS CHILLING EFFECT LETTER BEEN SENT: Y	es No
HAS LICENSEE RESPONDED TO CHILLING EFFECT	LETTER: Yes No
ACTION:  1) Recontact alleger (coordinately to get more details or  2) reconvene panel if 1)	concern
3)	
4)	
5)	
NOTES:	6/124

### RECORD OF ALLEGATION PANEL DECISIONS

SILE: John Gran	PANEL ATTENDESS
ALLEGATION NO .: KI 71 - A- 2077	PANEL ATTENDEES:
DATE: 3 (Meg. D 2 3 4 5)	Chairman - Wayara
PRIORITY: High Medium Low	Branch Chief - Words -gar
SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE, Value	Section Chief (AOC) - Palant
SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE: Yes (No) Unknown	Others - Promotion 60%
CONCURRENCE TO CLOSEOUT: DO BC SC	1-10-101
CONFIDENTIALITY GRANTED: Yes No (See Allegation Receipt Report)	Charles What
IS THEIR A DOL FINDING: Yes No	
IS CHILLING EFFECT LETTER WARRANTED: Yes	
HAS CHILLING EFFECT LETTER BEEN SENT: YE	s No
HAS LICENSEE RESPONDED TO CHILLIANS YE	15 No)
HAS LICENSEE RESPONDED TO CHILLING EFFECT	LETTER: Yes No
[[[[[[[]	
Comment of the second	e of the rights
76/ 2 15	
15/07/	Const. D. A.
) - de atur Dol 1 dies	
)	
	9/125
TES:	

### RECORD OF ALLEGATION PANEL DECISIONS

SITE: Oyster Creek	PANEL ATTENDEES:
ALLEGATION NO .: RI-91-A-0078	Chairman - J. T. Wiggins
DATE: BMAY91 (Mtg. 1 2 3 4 5)	Branch Chief - E.C. Wenzinger
PRIORITY: High Medium Low	Section Chief (AOC) - W.H. Richad I
SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE: Yes No Unknown	Others - C. W. White D. J. Holody
CONCURRENCE TO CLOSEOUT: DD BD SC	P.W. Harris R.C. Brady
CONFIDENTIALITY GRANTED: Yes (See Allegation Receipt Report)	R.L. Vimitz R.L. Fuhrmeist
IS THEIR A DOL FINDING: Yes No	
IS CHILLING EFFECT LETTER WARRANTED:	Yes No
HAS CHILLING EFFECT LETTER BEEN SENT:	Yes No
HAS LICENSEE RESPONDED TO CHILLING EFFEC	CT LETTER: Yes No
ACTION:	
1) Letter to alleger referri	ng to DOL (parallel that
with a phone call)	
2)	
3)	
4)	G1126
CHIEF CANADA SHIP CANADA SANDY CANADA CA	
5)	
NOTES:	

#### ALLEGATION RECEIPT REPORT

Date/Time Received: 1 mAY91 /11:302m	Allegation No. RI-91-A-0081 (leave blank)
Name:	Address:
Phone:	C1ty/State/Z1p:
Confidentiality:	V., W.
Was it requested? Was it initially granted?	Yes No Yes No No Yes No
Was it finally granted by the alleg	ation panel Yes No
Does a confidentiality agreement ne	ed to be sent Yes No
to alleger? Has a confidentiality agreement bee	rn signed? Yes No No 1 is attached? Yes No No
Memo documenting why it was granted	1 is attached? Yes No
Alleger's	
Employer: Unknown	Position/Title: Unknown
Management of the party will be a series of the desired and the series of the series o	
Facility: Oyster Creek	Docket No.: 50-219
ractitity. Oyster creek	and the second section of the second section is a second s
Control of the Contro	
(Allegation Summary (brief description	on of concern(s): Vaque statemen
of 'lax security'at facility	0
of 13x Security of tacility	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY
Number of Concerns:	
	t two initials and last name)
Type of Regulated Activity (a) X Rec	actor (d) Safeguards
	ndor (e) Other:
(c) _ Ma	actor (d) Safeguards ndor (e) Other:(Specify)
(c) _ Mai	):
Materials License No. (if applicable	):
Materials License No. (if applicable	):
Materials License No. (if applicable	):
	):
Materials License No. (if applicable Functional Area(s):(a) Operation(b) Construct(c) Safeguard(d) Transport	):
Materials License No. (if applicable Functional Area(s):(a) Operation(b) Construct(c) Safeguard(d) Transport [NRC Region I Form 207]	):
Materials License No. (if applicable Functional Area(s):(a) Operation(b) Construct(c) Safeguard(d) Transport	):

Page 2 of 2
Detailed Description of Allegation: Letter from private citizen to
Senater Bradley (NJ) expressing wagne concern of "lax Security" at the Oyster Creek plant. Letter forwarded through OCA as Green Ticket 6430 (assigned to NRR)
Security "at the oyster Creek plant Letter forwarded
through OCA as Green Ticket 6430 (assigned to NRR)

NRC Region I Form 207 (Revised 10/89)

Page 1 of 2
Detailed Description of Allegation:
The allegen stated that he was informed
last week (4/24 a 4/25), by William Danner
- Costalytic Supervisor - Civil I that he would
Le broke back to his tools meaning demoted
from foreman to worker. On 4/29 he was notified of the dem
The reason given according to the alleger look or objectivity.
This does not make since to the alleger since
as he believes, his night supervisor and GE
- the outage contractor in the drywell are along
with his work. In addition he presented a
letter from another Catalytic Supervisor stating
good work (Attachment II). He also has >10
years experience.
Therefore the alleger attributed this demotion
to a 1988 RAR that he generated against
William Danner and Jim Thompson Canother
Catalytic Supervisor - Civil. (Attachment I) This
documented scoffolding exection prior to shelding
installation causing uneccessary exposure during
the previous refuling outage.
C-1128

Page 2 of Z
Detailed Description of Allegation:
when asked as to how he connected the
1987 RAR to the current action, he stated,
in 1988 he was broken back but did not
puss the issue because it was too much
of a hossle. Therefore, this recent action
was caused by the 1988 RAP To what
he stored William Danner wants to
he storted William Danner wants to  protect himself against when unspecified mistakes.
mistakes"
The alleger stated that he plans to file
a grievance with the union and seemed favorable to filing a complaint with DOL
favorable to filing a complaint with DOI
The NRC inspector delivered DOL rights
verbally emphasizing the need to file within
30 days. Also a coase of New Form 3
unes given to the alleger.