

**APPENDIX A  
NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS**

PECO Energy Company  
Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Unit 2 and 3

Docket Nos. 50-277/50-278  
License Nos. DPR-44/DPR-56

During an NRC inspection conducted between January 14 through March 9, 1996, two violations of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions (NUREG-1600)", the violations are listed below:

- A. 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XI, Test Controls requires, in part, that components be tested in accordance with written test procedures that incorporate acceptable limits contained in the applicable design documents.

Contrary to the above, since 1989, PECO did not implement adequate functional or calibration testing, in that acceptance criteria in the written test procedures did not verify that the 98% and 89% degraded bus undervoltage relays would function within the Technical Specification allowable limits.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

- B. 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, Corrective Actions requires, in part, that measures be established to assure prompt identification of conditions adverse to quality.

1. Contrary to the above, since 1989, PECO had calibration data that indicated that the 98% and 89% degraded bus undervoltage relay setpoints were found to be outside the Technical Specification allowable values and did not take appropriate actions to correct the issue.
2. Contrary to the above, PECO did not properly identify or implement corrective actions to identify and correct an adverse circuit breaker position that caused portions of the Unit 2 Remote Shutdown Panel to not receive alternate control power for over a year. This failure led to several functions of the remote shutdown panel being inoperable from October 1994 through January 1996.

Both examples constitute a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, PECO Energy Company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region 1, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the facility that is the subject of this Notice, within

9604010422 960325  
PDR ADOCK 05000277  
Q PDR

30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at King of Prussia, Pennsylvania  
this 25th day of March, 1996