Georgia Power Company 40 Inverriess Center Parkway Post Office Box 1295 Birmingham, Alabama 35201 Telephone 205 877-7122

C. It. McCoy Vice President Nuclear Vog le Project



June 23, 1992

ELV-03860

Docket No. 50-424

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555

Gentlemen:

VOGTLE ELECTRIC GENERATING PLANT LICENSEE EVENT REPORT REACTOR SHUTDOWN DUE TO EXCESSIVE UNIDENTIFIED LEAKAGE

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.73, Georgia Power Company (GPC) hereby submits the enclosed revised report related to an event which occurred on May 14, 1992. This revision reflects additional information obtained since the original report was submitted.

Sincerely,

C. K. McCoy

CKM/NJS

Enclosure: LER 50-424/1992-004, Revision 1

xc: Georgia Power Company
Mr. W. B. Shipman
Mr. M. Sheibani
NORMS

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
 Mr. S. D. Ebneter, Regional Administrator
 Mr. D. S. Hood, Licensing Project Manager, NRR
 Mr. B. R. Bonser, Senior Resident Inspector, Vogtle

200001

IE211

9206290257 920623 PDR ADDCK 05000424

VRC Form 36			LIC	CENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)							APPROVED ONG NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 4/30/92						
FACILITY	NAM	E (1)		VOG	TLE ELEC	TRIC C	ENE	RATIN	IG PLA	NT - UN	IT 1		DOCKET NUMBE O 5 O O O 4	R (1	4	PAGE C	<u></u>
REACTO		HUTDO	IN D	UE T	O EXCESS	IVE UN	TIDE	NTIFI	ED LE	AKAGE						11071	Marie Scott
EVENT C	DATE	(5)	_	U	ER NUMBER	(6)		REPO	ORT DA	E (7)		OTHE	R FACILITIES	INV	DLVED (8	)	
MONTH DAY YEAR		YEA	R	SEQ NUM	RE	EV	HTHOM	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAMES			DOCKET NUMBER(S)				
0 5 1	4	9 2	9 2		004	0	1	06	23	92				0	05000		
OPERAT	TING	7	THI	SREP	ORT IS SU	BMITTE	D PL	RSUAN	1 10 1	HE LOL	REMENT	S OF 10 C	FR (11)				and constant
MODE (9) 1		(av.402(b)					20.405(c)				50.73(a)(2)(iv)			73.71(b)			
POWER	T			20.405(a)(i)(i)				50.36(c)(1)				50.73(a)(2)(v)			73.71(c)		
LEVEL 1 0		0.0		20.405(a)(1)(ii)			50.36(c)(2)			50.73(a)(2)(vii)			OTHER (Specify in				
			20.405(a)(1)(iii) X				50.73(a)(2)(i)				50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)			Abstract below)			
			20.405(a)(1)(iv)				50.73(a)(2)(ii)				50.73(a)(2)(viii)(8)						
				20.4	05(a)(1)(				3(0)(2			50.73(a)	(5)(x)				
						LIC	ENSE	E CON	TACT F	OR THIS	LER (1)	2)		-			
NAME															HONE NU	MBER	
													AREA CODE				
MEHDI S	SHEI	BANI,	NU		R SAFETY								706	83	26-3209		
				C	OMPLETE O			OR EAG	H FAI	LURE DES	CRIBED	IN THIS R	EPORT (13)			-	
CAUSE SY	STER	COMP	ONEN		TURER	REPO TO I	RT			CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONEN	T MANUFAC- TURER		EPORT O NPROS		
															lugur		F 7 1
	-	-	-		SUPPLEMENT	AL RE	PORT	EXPE	CIED (	14)		-	EXPECTED		MONT	H DAY Y	EAR
TYESO	(If y	ves, c	dmo	ete i	EXPECTED S	SUBMIS	SION	DATE	, -	ONE			DATE (15)				

On May 13, 1992 at 2248 EDT and at 2335 EDT, control room personnel received alarms indicating gradually increasing containment atmospheric radiation levels and initiated action to determine the cause. On May 14, 1992 at 0330 EDT, a team performing a reactor coolant system (RCS) leak inspection inside containment reported that RCS leakage was located inside the biological shield wall, but the precise source of the leak could not be determined while the reactor was at power. A calculation confirmed that the unidentified RCS leakage was above the Technical Specification allowable limit, and at 0337 EDT, a Notification of Unusual Event (NUE) was declared and a power reduction was initiated in preparation for a unit shutdown. At 0930 EDT, the reactor entered Mode 3 (hot standby). An inspection team entered the containment building and found water and steam leaking from a cracked weld on a 1-inch diameter weldolet which connected a double isolation drain valve assembly to 6-inch diameter safety injection piping, with connects directly to loop 1 of the RCS. At 1934 EDT, the unit entered Mode 5 (cold shutdown), and at 1945 EDT, the NUE was terminated.

ABSTRACT (16)

Based on a preliminary observation by a metallurgist, the cause of this even appears to be fatigue failure. However, a preliminary design review did not reveal a design inadequacy related to vibration. A metallurgical analysis is underway to confirm the failure mechanism. The valve assembly was removed, and the weldolet was plugged.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION						APPROVED OMB NO 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 4/30/92								
DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LEI	PAGE (3)												
	YEAR	SEQ NUM	REV											
T1 05000424	9 2	004	0 1	2	OF	3								
-	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)  LE YEAR	DOCKET NUMBER (2)  LER NUMBER (5  YEAR   SEQ NUM	DOCKET NUMBER (2)  LER NUMBER (5)  YEAR   SEQ NUM   REV	DOCKET NUMBER (2)  LER NUMBER (5)  YEAR   SEO NUM   REV	DOCKET NUMBER (2)  LER NUMBER (5)  YEAR   SEQ NUM   REV								

## A. REQUIREMENT FOR REPORT

This report is required per 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(i) because a unit shutdown was completed as required by the Technical Specifications (TS).

### B. UNIT STATUS AT TIME OF EVENT

At the time of this event, Unit 1 was operating in Mode 1 (power operation) at 100 percent of rated thermal power. Other than that described herein, there was no inoperable equipment which contributed to the occurrence of this event.

#### C. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

On May 13, 1992 at 2248 EDT and at 2335 EDT, control room personnel received alarms from radiation monitor 1RE-2562A indicating gradually increasing containment atmospheric radiation levels and initiated action to determine the cause. Also, the containment air cooler leak detection system indicated a flow rate increase. On May 14, 1992 at 0008 EDT, personnel initiated action per Procedure 18004-C, "Reactor Coolant System Leakage," to identify and control the leak. At 0250 EDT, a preliminary reactor coolant system (RCS) leak rate calculation indicated unidentified leakage of 2.6 gpm. A team was sent into the containment building to perform a RCS leak inspection. At 0330 EDT, the team reported that RCS leakage was located inside the biological shield wall, but the precise source of the leak could not be determined while the reactor was at power. At 0337 EDT, a RCS leak rate determination confirmed the unidentified leakage rate calculated earlier, and a Notification of Unusual Event (NUE) was declared since the unidentified leakage was greater than the 1 gpm allowed by the Technical Specifications (TS). Personnel initiated a power reduction in preparation for a unit shutdown, and at 0930 EDT, the reactor entered Mode 3 (hot standby). After the RCS was partially cooled down and depressurized in Mode 4 (hot shutdown), an inspection to mm entered the containment building and found water and steam leaking from a cracked weld on a 1-inch diameter weldolet which connected a double isolation drain valve assembly to 6-inch diameter safety injection piping. Since the safety injection piping is connected directly to loop 1 of the RCS, this condition represented pressure boundary leakage, which is not allowed by the TS. At 1934 EDT the unit entered Mode 5 (cold shutdown), and at 1945 EDT, the NUE was terminated because the TS requirements for RCS leakage were no longer applicable.

# D. CAUSE OF EVENT

Based on a preliminary observation by a metallurgist, the cause of this event appears to be fatigue failure. A preliminary design review did not reveal a design inadequacy related to vibration. However, as a precaution, two similar valve assemblies were modified by adding supports to limit vibration. Subsequent metallurgical examination is being undertaken to confirm the failure mechanism. If the results indicate a design inadequacy, the design will be reviewed and appropriate action will be taken.

NRC Form 366A (6-89)	LICENSE	APPROVED CMB NO 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 4/30/92								
FACILITY NAME (1			DOCKET NUMBER (2)	L	ER NUMBER	PAGE (3)				
				YEAR	SEQ NUM	REV				
VOGTLE ELECTRI	C GENERATING	PLANT - UNIT 1	05000424	9 2	004	0 1	3	OF	3	

#### E. ANALYSIS OF EVENT

The radiation monitor and leakage detection systems provided early indication of the leakage at low levels, and the leakage for this event was well within the capability of normal RCS makeup. Water from this minor RCS leak was collected in the containment sump and pumped to the waste processing system. Furthermore, there was no leakage of fuel materials into the RCS, and the containment building prevented the release of RCS water into the outside atmosphere. Based on these considerations, there was no adverse effect on plant safety or to the health and safety of the public as a result of this event.

# F. CORRECTIVE ACTION

- 1. The valve assembly was removed, and the weldolet was plugged.
- 2. As a precaution to prevent recurrence of this event, two similar valve assemblies were modified by adding pipe supports which limit vibration. The double isolation drain valve assembly involved in this event will be reinstalled and supports added during the Fall 1993 refueling outage.
- 3. Eight similar double isolation drain valve assemblies were selected, and nondestructive examination was performed to ensure that no similar cracked weld conditions existed. Following a failure analysis of the weldolet (expected to be complete by June 30, 1992), additional supports may be added to these, or other, assemblies as necessary to prevent future weld failures.

### G. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

1. Failed Components:

None

2. Previous Similar Events:

LER 50-424/1989-004, dated February 17, 1989. Corrective accions to provide supports to a drain line manifold were not applicable to the prevention of the May 14, 1992, event.

3. Energy Industry Identification System Code:

Reactor Coolant System - AB
Safety Injection System - BQ
Radiation Monitoring System - IL
Containment Air Cooler Leak Detection System - IK
Containment Building - NH