

ENCLOSURE 1

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Entergy Operations, Inc.
Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3

Docket: 50-382
License: NPF-38

During an NRC inspection conducted on December 31, 1995, through February 3, 1996, four violations of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions" (60 FR 34381; June 30, 1995), the violations are listed below:

- A. Technical Specification 6.8.1.a requires, in part, that written procedures be established, implemented, and maintained covering the activities referenced in Appendix A to Regulatory Guide 1.33, Revision 2, 1978. Appendix A, Sections 1 and 9, require that the licensee have Administrative and Maintenance Procedures.

Procedure UNT-006-011, "Condition Reports," Section 5.6.3, requires, in part, that corrective action personnel review the corrective actions taken and verify the adequacy and effectiveness of the corrective actions and applicable associated documentation. Procedure UNT-006-011, Section 4.1, in part, requires that individuals identifying an adverse condition initiate a condition report.

1. Contrary to the above:

- a. Corrective action personnel failed to verify the adequacy and effectiveness of corrective actions taken in that the actions documented on Condition Report 95-1242 were not verified. Consequently, the functionality of the ultimate heat sink was affected because the wet cooling tower crossconnect valves were assumed to be functional without verification of the operability of the valves.
- b. On October 17, 1995, licensee personnel failed to initiate a condition report after identifying an adverse condition in that the flow through Cold Leg Safety Injection Loop 1A was identified as abnormally low during surveillance testing and a condition report was not generated.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I) (382/9522-01).

2. Contrary to the above, as of December 12, 1995, the licensee did not have maintenance procedures to ensure all mechanical retests were identified, scheduled, and completed.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I) (382/9522-03).

- B. Technical Specification 6.8.4.a requires, in part, that the licensee perform an integrated leak test for each system containing primary coolant sources outside of containment at refueling cycle intervals or less.

Contrary to the above, the licensee failed to perform an integrated leak test for each system containing primary coolant sources at refueling cycle intervals or less (i.e., 18 months). Specifically, the interval between integrated leak tests for Refueling Outage 6 (April 23, 1994) and Refueling Outage 7 (January 23, 1996) was 21 months.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I) (382/9522-04).

- C. License Condition 2.E of the Waterford 3 Facility Operating License, requires, in part, that the licensee fully implement and maintain in effect all provisions of the Commission-approved physical security plan, including amendments made pursuant to provisions of the Miscellaneous Amendments and Search Requirements, revisions to 10 CFR 73.55, and revisions to the authority of 10 CFR 50.90 and 10 CFR 50.54(p).

Section 6.3 of the Waterford 3 Physical Security Plan requires, in part, that illumination in the protected area be at least 0.2 footcandles.

Contrary to the above, on January 24, 1996, the licensee failed to ensure that the temporary enclosure for the vacuum degasifier pumps and a trench between the ionics trailer and the polisher building, areas within the protected area, were illuminated to at least 0.2 footcandles.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement III) (382/9522-05).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Entergy Operations, Inc. is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region IV, 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400, Arlington, Texas 76011, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the facility that is the subject of this Notice, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Your response may reference or include previous docketed correspondence, if the correspondence adequately addresses the required response. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order or a Demand for Information may be issued as to why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Because the response will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room (PDR), to the extent possible, it should not include any personal privacy, proprietary, or safeguards information so that it can be placed in the PDR without redaction. However, if it is necessary to include such information, it should clearly indicate the specific information that should not be placed in the PDR, and provide the legal basis to support the request for withholding the information from the public.

Dated at Arlington, Texas
this 26th day of March 1996