

APPENDIX A
NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Duke Power Company
McGuire 1 and 2

Docket Nos. 50-369 and 50-370
License Nos. NPF-9 and NPF-17

As a result of the inspection conducted on September 1-23, 1983, and in accordance with the NRC Enforcement Policy, 47 FR 9987 (March 9, 1982), the following violations were identified.

- A. Technical Specification 6.8.1.a requires that written approved procedures be established, implemented and maintained covering facility operation and surveillance testing.

Contrary to the above, approved procedures were not followed, properly maintained, and implemented in that the following conditions were noted:

1. On June 30, at 2:25 p.m., licensee electronics technicians failed to follow Step 12.9.1 of procedure PT-1-A-4601 during the performance of the protective system channel 1 functional test resulting in a unit trip from full power. The feedwater valve controller was not placed in proper channel and its proper position was not verified as afforded by instructions of Step 12.9.1.
2. As of September 1, 1983, the annunciator response procedure OP-1-A-6100-10G which delineates actual setpoints for pressurizer safety discharge high temperature alarms did not incorporate new setpoints when they were changed on July 22, 1983, by work request numbers 114790 and 114791.
3. The operations daily surveillance procedures performed for the days of September 13 and 14, 1983, have been completed with indication that the Unit 1 loose parts monitor was fully operable when in fact channel 4 had been inoperable since September 7, 1983; the procedure requires that discrepancies be noted and reported.
4. On September 21, 1983, electronics technicians worked inside the Unit 1 solid state protection cabinets without a procedure while preparing to perform a neutron noise surveillance test. The applicable work request specified use of procedure TP-1-A-2100-01.

This is a Severity Level IV Violation (Supplement I).

- B. Technical Specification 6.9.1.10.b requires that operation of the unit or affected systems when any parameter or operation is less conservative than the least conservative aspect of the Limiting Condition for Operation established in the Technical Specifications be reported within 24 hours by telephone and confirmed by telegraph, mailgram, or facsimile transmission to the Regional Administrator of the Regional Office of the NRC or his

designee no later than the first working day following the event, with a written followup report within 14 days.

Contrary to the above, on August 9, 1983, Unit 2 was made critical with a control rod configuration less conservative than the least conservative aspect of Technical Specification 3.1.1.3 and the event was reported as a thirty day report rather than a prompt report requiring a written followup within 14 days.

This is a Severity Level V Violation (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, you are hereby required to submit to this office within thirty days of the date of this Notice, a written statement or explanation in reply, including: (1) admission or denial of the alleged violations; (2) the reasons for the violations if admitted; (3) the corrective steps which have been taken and the results achieved; (4) corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further violations; and (5) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Consideration may be given to extending your response time for good cause shown.

Date: NOV 01 1983