

APPLICATION FOR AMENDMENT
TO
FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-3
FOR
DAVIS-BESSE NUCLEAR POWER STATION
UNIT NO. 1

Enclosed are forty-three (43) copies of the requested changes to the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station Unit No. 1 Facility Operating License No. NPF-3, together with the Safety Evaluation for the requested change.

The proposed change includes Section 6.4.1.

By /s/ R. P. Crouse
Vice President, Nuclear

Sworn and subscribed before me this 16th day of December, 1984.

/s/ Laurie A. Hinkle, nee (Brudzinski)
Notary Public State of Ohio
My Commission Expires May 16, 1986

S E A L

8412270350 841216
PDR ADOCK 05000346
P PDR

Docket No. 50-346
License No. NPF-3
Serial No. 1109
December 16, 1984

Attachment

- I. Changes to Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station Unit 1, Appendix A Technical Specifications 6.4.1.
 - A. Time required to Implement. This change is to be effective upon NRC approval.
 - B. Reason for Change (Facility Change Request 84-051).
To add the title "Nuclear Training Manager" to Section 6.4 Training which only contains "Position Title".
 - C. Safety Evaluation
(See Attached)
 - D. Significant Hazard Consideration
(See Attached)

SIGNIFICANT HAZARD CONSIDERATION

The attached amendment request to add the title of "Nuclear Training Manager" for direction of the training program at Davis-Besse does not represent a significant hazard consideration.

The proposed change is to correct an oversight when the standard technical specification was adopted. The title "Nuclear Training Manager" was omitted. The Nuclear Training Manager is charged with the Nuclear training programs at Toledo Edison. The amendment request reflects the organizational responsibilities for Nuclear Training. Therefore, the Nuclear Training Manager title is added to Section 6.4.1.

The Commission has provided guidance concerning the application of the standards in 10 CFR 50.92 by providing certain examples (48 FR 14870). One of the examples of actions involving no significant hazards considerations related to a purely administrative change to technical specifications: for example, a change to achieve consistency throughout the technical specifications, correction of an error, or a change in nonmenclature (Example i).

The amendment request is an administrative change by adding the Position Title to Section 6.4.1 that was previously omitted. The addition of the Nuclear Training Manager title to the Technical Specification does not change any duties or responsibilities but defines the responsibility for the Nuclear training programs.

Based on the above information, this amendment request would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

Therefore, based on the above, the requested license amendment does not present a Significant Hazard.

ks b/1

SAFETY EVALUATION

This amendment request to change wording in the technical specifications, Section 6.4.1, to indicate that the Davis-Besse Unit 1 training program is under the direction of the Nuclear Training Manager.

The safety function of Section 6.4.1 is to ensure safe operation of the Davis-Besse Unit 1 facility by requiring that an adequate training program is maintained.

The proposed change is to correct an oversight when the standard technical specification was adopted. The title "Nuclear Training Manager" was omitted. The safety function of the technical specification is not being degraded by this change.

It is concluded that the change as proposed does not constitute an unreviewed safety question.