


**- SAXTON NUCLEAR EXPERIMENTAL CORPORATION
GENERAL PUBLIC UTILITIES SYSTEM**



Jersey Central Power & Light
General Public Utilities Nuclear Corporation
Metropolitan Edison Company
Pennsylvania Electric Company

MAILING ADDRESS:
One Upper Pond Road
Parsippany, NJ 07054

March 13, 1996

C301-96-2017

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attn: Document Control Desk
Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen,

Subject: Saxton Nuclear Experimental Corporation
Operating License No. DPR-4
Docket No. 50-146
Additional Information in Support of License
Amendment Request

Based on a conversation with the Project Manager for the Saxton Nuclear Experimental Corporation (SNEC) facility, Mr. A. Adams Jr., a number of items were identified in the text of Attachments F and G to our License Amendment Request of November 21, 1995 that require additional explanation.

In Attachment F, sections of the proposed Amended Facility License the following changes were made:

- The title of the license was revised to identify GPU Nuclear as a co-licensee.
- GPU Nuclear was added or substituted for SNEC when the section involves management-related responsibilities that would be transferred to GPU Nuclear upon approval of the License Amendment Request. The sections involved are 1.C, 2.B and 2.C.1 through .3. This was done to clarify the responsibilities of GPU Nuclear and SNEC as they pertain to the License.
- The parenthetical phrases contained in Section 2.A of the existing License refer to the terms "reactor" and "Saxton". These have been changed to "Saxton facility" and "SNEC" respectively. These changes are considered to be editorial only.

For items identified in Appendix A: Technical Specifications, as addressed by Attachment G, the following explanations are provided:

- Section A.1 was revised to read "The Saxton facility is on a 1.148 acre tract..." from "The Saxton site is a 1.148 acre tract...". The purpose of this revised wording is to consistently address the facility in the same manner and eliminate the previously interchangeable terms "SNEC site", "Saxton site", "SNEC facility" and "Saxton facility". The change is considered to be editorial only. Where any inconsistency may remain, it should be considered that the terminology is synonymous.

9603190027 960313
PDR ADOCK 05000146
P PDR

A020
1/0

- Section A.2.d should read as in the Attachment F, Technical Specification, section text with "Pennsylvania Electric Company" spelled out in lieu of the wording provided in the revision explanation which continued to refer to "Penelec".
- Section A.3 which read "...possession of the Saxton Reactor Facility..." was revised to read "...possession of the Saxton facility...". This situation is similar to that described for Section A.1 and should be considered synonymous.
- Revision to Section B.1.a.4 was not addressed by Attachment G. The proposed change would add the phrase, "and will consult with the SNEC Facility Site Supervisor for production activity direction." The purpose of this proposed change is to assure that the GRCS consults with the SNEC Facility Site Supervisor to stay abreast of production activities. This "consulting" is not intended to restrict the GRCS in any way in his dealing with radiological safety matters.
- Section B.1.d.2 was not specifically addressed in Attachment G. For consistency, "SNEC site" was replaced with "Saxton facility's site". These terms are synonymous and this proposed change is considered to be editorial only.

Sincerely,

Perry H. Carmel for G.A. Kuehn

G. A. Kuehn Jr.
Vice President SNEC

WGH

Attachment

cc: Administrator, NRC Region I
NRC Project Manager NRR
NRC Project Scientist, Region I