SCHLISSEL ENGINEERING ASSOCIATES
45 HORACE ROAD
BELMONT, MA 02178
(617) 489-2527

April 19, 1990

Donnie H. Grimsley
Director
Division of Freedom of Information
and Publication Services
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Room P-378
7920 Norfolk Avenue
Bethesda, Md. 20814

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION
ACT REQUEST
FOIA-90-184
Rec'd 4-23-90

Mr. Grimsley:

This is a Freedom of Information Act request for the following information:

1. A description of the Regulatory Information Tracking System, including the manpower information included in the system and the manpower data available from the system;

2. The manhours expended annually by the NRC on inspections at each of the following plants for each of the years 1974 through 1988:

   * Comanche Peak
   * South Texas Project
   * Waterford 3
   * Wolf Creek
   * River Bend
   * Catawba
   * Palo Verde
   * Callaway
   * Harris
   * Byron
   * Braidwood
   * Beaver Valley 2
   * Vogtle
   * Millstone 3
   * Seabrook
   * Grand Gulf
   * Limerick
   * Hope Creek

9603140110 960226
PDR FOIA
KIMBAROV96-82 PDR

B/1

3. The manhours expended annually by the NRC on the licensing of each of the following plants for each of the years 1974 through 1988:

* Comanche Peak
* South Texas Project
* Waterford 3
* Wolf Creek
* River Bend
* Catawba
* Palo Verde
* Callaway
* Harris
* Byron
* Braidwood
* Beaver Valley 2
* Vogtle
* Millstone 3
* Seabrook
* Grand Gulf
* Limerick
* Hope Creek

Thank you in advance for your prompt response to this request.

If you need additional information concerning this request, please call me at (617) 489-2527.

Sincerely,

David A. Schlissel

David A. Schlissel

7

STATE OF NEW YORK
EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT
STATE CONSUMER PROTECTION BOARD
99 WASHINGTON AVENUE ALBANY NEW YORK 12210

KAREN S. BURSTEIN
CHAIR AND EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

November 1, 1982

UTILITY INTERVENOR UNIT

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT REQUEST
FOIA-82-534
Rec'd 11-4-82

Mr. Joseph Felton
Director, Division of Rules
and Records
Freedom of Information Act Office
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H. Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Re: FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT REQUEST

Mr. Felton:

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C.A.§552, the New York State Consumer Protection Board, Ms. Karen S. Burstein, and David A. Schlissel hereby request that the records listed below be made available for immediate public inspection and copying.

As the documents will be used by the Consumer Protection Board in Public Service Commission Case 28166 on behalf of the interests of the ratepayers of the Rochester Gas & Electric Corporation, we request that all fees be waived pursuant to 5 U.S.C.A§552(4)(A) and 1o C.F.R. §9.14(a). Public Service Commission Case 28166 concerns the accident at the R.E. Ginna Nuclear Facility last January and will decide who should pay for excess fuel and purchased power costs, ratepayers or stockholders of RG&E. The documents sought in this request will be used as part of cross-examination and direct testimony filed by the staff of the Consumer Protection Board on behalf of RG&E ratepayers.

We request a substantive reply to this Freedom of Information Act Request within 10 working days. 5 U.S.C.A.§552(6)(A) and 10 C.F.R. 9.8(c).

This Freedom of Information Act Request seeks access to the following documents for inspection and copying:

8311290255

A/1

1. Copies of all reports, memoranda, correspondence, or other documents, records, or work products, identifying, listing, discussing, analyzing, suggesting, recommending, or reporting the procedures used for the downcomer flow resistance plate removal modifications at the following facilities:

   Turkey Point Unit 4 in May, 1975;

   Robinson Unit 2 in November, 1975;

   Turkey Point Unit 3 in November-December, 1975;

   Indian Point 3 and Point Beach Units 1 and 2 in 1976.

   This request includes, but is not limited to all reports, memoranda, correspondence, or other documents, records or work products, identifying, listing, discussing, recommending or reporting the quality assurance procedures and/or the procedures for material accountability used during those removal modifications.

2. A copy of the Gray Book (WASH 1283) and the Orange Book (WASH 1284) in effect in April, 1975.

3. Copies of any technical information letters, advisories, alerts, reports, studies, analyses, or other correspondence, documents, records, or work products, distributed to the Rochester Gas & Electric Corporation and/or any other owner or operator of a pressurized water reactor facility, by the NRC or NRC personnel (as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. §9.3a) concerning any of the following events:

   * The October, 1979 steam generator tube rupture at the Prairie Island 1 facility;

   * The September, 1976 tube rupture at the Surry 2 facility;

   * The 1979 discovery of loose parts in a steam generator at the North Anna 1 facility;

   * The February, 1980 discovery of loose parts in a steam generator at the North Anna 1 facility;

   * The March, 1978 discovery of loose parts at the Crystal River 3 facility;

   * The July, 1977 discovery of loose parts at the Davis-Besse 1 facility.

4. Provide copies of any technical information letters, advisories, alerts, reports, studies, analyses, or other correspondence, documents, records, or other work products distributed to the Rochester Gas & Electric Corporation since January 1, 1975 from the NRC or NRC personnel advising, recommending, suggesting, or discussing the need for inspection for the presence of foreign objects or loose parts inside steam generators.

5. With regard to page 5-47 of the Ginna Restart Safety Evaluation Report (NUREG 0916), provide copies of any reports, studies, analyses, or other correspondence, documents, records, or work products, prepared by the NRC, NRC personnel, the Rochester Gas & Electric Corporation, or the Westinghouse Corporation, their agents or employees, which were developed or used in arriving at, and/or are in support of, the conclusion that "Material accountability controls in use during the 1975 downcomer resistance plate removal modification were ineffective in detecting the presence of foreign material located in the secondary side of the steam generators." Provide copies of any such correspondence, documents, records, or work products for each of the four specific deficiencies identified on page 5-47 immediately following the statement quoted above.

6. With regard to the statement of page 5-22 of NUREG 0916 that "Many of these features are suggestive of a mechanical or peening-like action on the surface of the tube such as might be cause by a foreign object", provide copies of any correspondence, documents, records, or other work products which were developed or used in arriving at, and/or are in support of, this statement. Please also provide copies of any studies, reports, analyses, correspondence, documents, records, or other work products prepared by the NRC consultant identified in that same paragraph on page 5-22 of NUREG 0916.

7. With regard to the statement on page 5-12 of NUREG 0916 that "This peripheral pattern of tube degradation is not typical of the corrosion problems which have been experienced by the industry" and the statement on page 5-9 of NUREG 0916 that "With the exception of tube degradation at the periphery of the hot-leg in B-steam generator..." provide copies of any studies, reports, analyses, correspondence, documents, records or other work products prepared since April 1, 1975 by the NRC, NRC personnel, RG&E, or Westinghouse Corporation, their agents or employees, which identify, indicate or discuss in what manner the tube degradation was not typical of that experienced by the industry and/or which identify, indicate, analyze, study or discuss possible causes for this atypical degradation.

On behalf of the State Consumer Protection Board, Ms. Burstein and myself, I would like to thank you in advance for your prompt attention to this request.

Sincerely,

David A. Schlissel

David A. Schlissel,
Of Counsel

STATE OF NEW YORK
EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT
STATE CONSUMER PROTECTION BOARD
99 WASHINGTON AVENUE, ALBANY, NEW YORK 12210

1984 MAR 14 PM 4:00

FOIA 03148402

March 10, 1984

Richard Kessel
CHAIR AND EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

UTILITY INTERVENOR UNIT

Mr. Joseph Harris
Chief of FOIA and Privacy
Act Branch
U.S. Department of Energy
1000 Independence Avenue, S.W.,
Washington, D.C. 20585

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION
ACT REQUEST
FOIA-84-201
Rec'd 3-26-84
(Ref fm DOE
#9

Freedom of Information Act Request

Mr. Harris:

The New York State Consumer Protection Board and David Schlissel request that copies of the documents listed below be provided to them pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552.

Please note that when the term documents is used in this request it is intended to include, but not to be limited to, all reports, analyses, studies, books, articles, test results, correspondence, memoranda, computer records, alerts, advisories, and/or other writings or work products located in the files of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

Please provide the following documents:

1. "Corrosion of Various Materials in High Temperature Water," U.S. A.E.C. Report BW-5250, by L.H. Vaughan and K. Mildred Ferguson, dated June 25, 1957.

2. "Boiling Water Reactor Technology Status of the Art Report, Vol. II, Water Chemistry and Corrosion", US A.E.C. Report ANL-6562, dated February, 1963.

3. All US A.E.C. Research & Development Reports prepared by or in conjunction with E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Co., at the Savannah River Laboratories on the subject of the stress corrosion cracking of austenitic stainless steels.

4. "Investigation of the Initiation and Extent of Ductile Pipe Rupture", by R.J. Eiber, W.A. Maxey, A.R. Duffy & T.J. Atterbury, Batelle Memorial Institute Reports BMI-1866 and 1908, dated July, 1969 and June, 1971 respectively.

~~8411290303~~

A12

5. Argonne Report ANL-5314 by S.C. Datsk , dated 1954.

6. US A.E.C. Report TID-7006 by D.J. DePaul, dated 1957.

7. "Some Facts About the Stress Corrosion of Austenitic Stainless Steels in Reactor Systems," W.E. Berry, dated Spring, 1964.

8. A list of all US A.E.C. sponsored and/or funded research projects, studies, and/or other reports on the following subjects:

   a. Stress corrosion of stainless steels

   b. Stress corrosion cracking of stainless steels

   c. Intergranular stress corrosion cracking of stainless steels

   d. Corrosion of stainless steels in the high temperature, high purity environments found in boiling water reactors.

9. All documents that were used by, reviewed by, relied upon, or developed by the A.E.C. staff in the drafting of Regulatory Guide 1.44, which was issued in May of 1973. Specifically, this request is not to be limited to drafts of Regulatory Guide 1.44. It is to also include, but not to be limited to, all instructions from the Commission to the Staff, and correspondence between the Staff and industry sources.

10. "Corrosion and Compatibility", W.E. Berry, Battelle Memorial Institute, dated June, 1971.

11. All documents prepared by the General Electric Company which discuss any of the following subjects:

   a. Stress corrosion of stainless steels

   b. Stress corrosion cracking of stainless steels

   c. Intergranular stress corrosion cracking of stainless steels

d. Corrosion of stainless steels in the high temperature, high purity environments in boiling water reactors.

The New York State Consumer Protection Board and David Schlissel request that in the event these documents are not located in the A.E.C. files in your possession, you forward the request to the appropriate laboratories.

The New York State Consumer Protection Board and David Schlissel further request that you waive all copying and search fees pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (a)(4)(A) "because furnishing the information can be considered as primarily benefitting the general public." The following facts support this request:

1. The New York State Consumer Protection Board is an agency of the Executive Department of State of New York, created under the authority of Section 550, et. seq. of the Executive Law of the State of New York.

2. The New York State Consumer Protection Board is authorized to intervene on behalf of the consumers of New York State in proceedings before the New York State Public Service Commission.

3. These documents will assist the New York State Consumer Protection Board in its intervention in a number of proceedings now pending before the New York State Public Service Commission.

4. The size of the population potentially benefitted by these documents represents the millions of ratepayers of the six private electric utility companies in New York State which own nuclear power facilities in operation or under construction.

Thank you in advance for your prompt assistance.

Sincerely,

David A. Schlissel

David A. Schlissel,
on behalf of himself and the
New York State Consumer
Protection Board