

operator for locally performed steps. The inspectors had no other concerns in the areas of procedure control or the V&V process.

The inspectors determined that a QA audit of the ANO-2 EOPs had been completed on January 17, 1992. A final report had not been issued, but no major problems had been identified. The licensee had identified through feedback from operations and training personnel that the deviation documentation was lacking substance. This finding prompted the licensee to initiate a complete review of the entire EOP program. An outside party was contracted to perform this review on January 29, 1992. The inspectors reviewed the enhanced deviation documentation for two EOPs which had been completed and was ready for approval. It appeared that the licensee was taking appropriate action to correct the weaknesses in the EOP deviation documentation. The inspectors concluded that the licensee was performing effective self-assessment on the Unit 2 EOP program.

#### 4. EXIT MEETING

The inspectors conducted an exit meeting with the personnel listed in paragraph 1 on February 28, 1992. The inspectors discussed the inspection scope and related findings. The licensee did not identify as proprietary any of the material provided to the inspectors during the inspection.

During this exit meeting licensee management personnel committed to evaluate EOP local action steps for environmental hazards to operators prior to the next revision of the EOPs. Additionally, the licensee committed to address the generic implications of this finding by changing the EOP V&V process to require that local action steps be evaluated considering potential local environmental hazards to the operator. This will be reviewed during a future inspection and will be tracked as Inspection Followup Item 368/9201-01.

9204210075 920413  
PDR ADOCK 05000313  
G PDR