

19

DISTRIBUTION:
NMSS r/f
SGPR r/f
Case file ✓
PStarcher
RDiggs
DKers

SGPR:DAK
50-255

APR 27 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR: Cecil O. Thomas, Chief
Standardization and Special Projects Branch
Division of Licensing, NRR

FROM: George W. McCorkle, Chief
Power Reactor SG Licensing Branch
Division of Safeguards, NMSS

SUBJECT: CONSUMERS POWER COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO THE REGULATORY
EFFECTIVENESS REVIEW REPORT

By letter dated February 18, 1983, the licensee, Consumers Power Company, responded to the recommendations contained in the Regulatory Effectiveness Review (RER) Report dated December 9, 1982. Region III, as part of their most recent safeguards inspection, has verified that the licensee has taken appropriate actions to resolve the identified safeguards concerns with the exception of two items. A proposed letter to the licensee requesting additional information on this matter is enclosed.

IS/DKasun for

George W. McCorkle, Chief
Power Reactor SG Licensing Branch
Division of Safeguards, NMSS

Enclosure:
As stated

cc: J. R. Miller, Region III
T. Wamback, ORB #5, NRR

8412170211 840430
PDR FOIA
SHOLLYB3-531 PDR

CONTACT:
D. A. Kers, NMSS
42-74383

05000255070X 21/25

No Allegations

| | | | | | | |
|---------|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|--|
| OFFICE | SGPR <i>DK</i> | SGPR <i>g</i> | SGPR <i>DK</i> | SGPR <i>DK</i> | SGPR <i>PAS</i> | |
| SURNAME | DKers:jl | EQuinn | DKasun | GMcCorkle | PStarcher | |
| DATE | 4/24/83 | 4/25/83 | 4/27/83 | 4/27/83 | 4/27/83 | |

PROPOSED LETTER

Mr. David J. Vandewalle
Nuclear Licensing Administrator
Consumers Power Company
212 West Michigan Avenue
Jackson, Michigan 49201

Dear Mr. Vandewalle:

This is to acknowledge receipt of your letter dated February 18, 1983, which provided Consumers Power Company's responses to the Regulatory Effectiveness Review Report dated December 9, 1982. As the result of Region III's safeguards inspection conducted on March 28 to April 1, 1983 by J. Belanger and G. Christoffer, the following determinations have been made:

- 1) An implementation schedule for the resolution of Item #1, Perimeter Detection System needs to be submitted. The schedule should include (i) the dates when testing of detection systems will be initiated and terminated, (ii) the timeframe for deciding on what system to use, and (iii) the period necessary for installation, operational testing and final system acceptance.
- 2) Item #2, Protected Area Entry Search will be reviewed, by Region III inspectors after the new administration and warehouse buildings are completed in July 1983. At this time, we will verify whether or not additional controls are needed to preclude the possibility of contraband being introduced into the protected area.

Sincerely,