

Public Service Electric and Gas Company P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038-0236

Nuclear Business Unit

FEB 2 7 1996

LR-N96058

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sir:

HOPE CREEK GENERATING STATION DOCKET NO. 50-354 UNIT NO. 1 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 96-005-00

This Licensee Event Report entitled "Inadequate Surveillance Testing for RHR Suppression Pool and Spray Modes Due to Unaccounted RHR Heat Exchanger Bypass Valve Leakage" is being submitted pursuant to the requirements of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i).

Sincerely,

Jut sfell

M. E. Reddemann General Manager -Hope Creek Operations

JWK/tcp

Attachment SORC Meeting 96-023 c Distribution

9603050334 960227 PDR ADOCK 05000354 PDR 040:53

The power is in your hands.

1	57		U.S. NUCLE	AR REGU	LATORY	COMM	ISSION		APP	ROVED BY OF	MB NO. 3	150-01	04
ACILITY NAME (1	LICEN (Se	SEE EV e .everse f igits/charac	ENT REI or required sters for ea	PORT (number ch block)	(LER)			ESTIMA MANDA REPOR LICENS COMM INFORM U.S. N 20555-	ATED BUF ATORY IN TED LESS ING PROC EN R AAHON A UCLEAR I 0001, AN	DEN PER RES FORMATION CO ONS LEARNED ESG AND FED EGARDING B ND RECORDS M REGULATORY CO D TO THE PAPE	PONSE TO OLLECTION ARE INCI BACK TO JURDEN MANAGEMI COMMISSIC RWORK RI	COMPI REQUE ORPORA INDUST ESTIMA ENT BRA ON, WAS EDUCTIO	Y WITH TH ST: 50.0 HR TED INTO TI RY. FORWAR TE TO T NCH (T-6 F3: SHINGTON, T N PROJECT
lope Cre	ek Gen	erating S	Station						050	00354		1	OF 5
nadequat	te Surv	eillance	Testing	for RHF	R Supp	ressi	ion Po	ool Co	cling	and Spray	/ Mode	es Du	e to
EVENT DA	TE (E)	In neal t	ACTION	er bypa	dss va	IVE L	еака	je.				-	These endered services in service
EVENTUA	16 (5)	LEP	NUMBER I		REPO	RIDAI	TE (7)	FACILITY		HER FACILITI	ES INVOL	VED (8	
NONTH DAY	YEAR	YEAR	NUMBER	NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	EACULIT	NAME .			0	5000
01 31	96	96	005	00	02	27	96	FACILITY	T NAME		D	OCKET NU	IMBER 5000
OPERATING	5	THIS REPO	RT IS SUBN	ITTED PU	RSUANT	то тн	E REQU	REMEN	TS OF 1	CFR S: (Che	ick one or	more)	(11)
MODE (9)	-	20.220	1(b)		2203	3(a)(2)(V)	X	50.73(a)(2)(i)		50.7	3(a)(2)(viii)
POWER	0	20.220	3(a)(1)		203	s(a)(3)(i)		50.73(a)(2)(ii)		50.7	3(a)(2)(x)
ELVEL (10)	antanasaman	20.220	3(a)(2)(i)		20.2203	3(a)(3)((()		50.73(a)(2)(iii)		73.7	1
		20.220	3(a)(2)(iii)		50 3610)(1)			50.730	a)(2)(iv)	6	Decity in	Abstract hel
		20.220	3(a)(2)(iv)		50.360)(2)			50.730	a)(2)(vii)		r in NRC	Form 366A
		line in the second second		LICENIE	EE CONT	ACT F	OD THUE	150 13				-	
					ONPHUS								TO NPRDS
	s			EXPECTE	D (14)								un unterprotectioner
YES (If yes, col	mplete EXF	PECTED SUB	MISSION D	TE	0 (14)				10 h / 10	E COTETE:	LADATTA	0.41	/ VEAD
DOIMALI L		00 rpaces, i	.e. approxir	nately 15	single-sn	x N	O	n lines)	SUBI DA	MISSION TE (15)	MONTH	AU	YEAJ

NRC FORM 366A				U.S. NUCLEAR	REGULAT	ORY C	OMMIS	SIO	
(4-95)	LICENSEE E	VENT REPORT (L	ER)						
	TEXT	CONTINUATION	-				And and a subscription of the subscription of		
Hope Creek Generating Station		DOCKET	LER NUMBER (6)				PAGE (3)		
		05000354	96	05	- 00	2	OF	5	
TEXT (If more space is re	quired, use additional copies of NRC Forn	n 366A) (17)	11		an san ann an	L			
PLANT AND SYS	TEM IDENTIFICATION:								
General Electric - Residual Heat Re	Boiling Water Reactor (BW moval (RHR)-EIIS Identifier:	R-4) {BO}							
Discovery Date: Report Date:	January 30, 1996 February 28, 1996								
CONDITIONS PR	IOR TO OCCURRENCE:								
The plant was in	Operational Condition 5, Re	efueling, at the tir	ne of	discovery	<i>.</i>				
The B loop of RH structures, or co contributed to th	IR Shutdown Cooling was ir mponents that were known e event.	n service at the tir to be inoperable	me. at the	There wer e start of t	e no sy: the ever	stem ht th	s, at		
DESCRIPTION O	F EVENT:								
On January 30, Specifications (T 4.6.2.2.b states, OPERABLE: By v gpm on recircula sparger when tes "The suppression verifying that each recirculation flow pursuant to Spec	1996, it was determined that S) 4.6.2.2.b and 4.6.2.3.b "The suppression pool spraterifying that each of the rection flow through the RHR has ted pursuant to Specification pool cooling mode of the F ch of the required RHR pump of through the RHR heat exclusion dification 4.0.5".	at the surveillance have not been me ay mode of the RH quired RHR pumps heat exchanger an on 4.0.5". The su RHR system shall ups develops a flow hanger and the su	e requert in t HR sy developed the urveil be de w of uppre	uirements the past. stem shal elops a flo e suppress lance for 4 emonstrate at least 10 ssion pool	for Tech The sur I be den w of at ion poo 4.6.2.3. ed OPEF 0,000 g when t	nnica veilla nons leas l spr b sta RABL pm c ester	trate trate t 500 ay ates, E: B on d	for d D	
The RHR system without a seat in	design includes the applica	ition of an 18" Fis lines. The desig	her 1 ned l	Type 7620 eakage for	A Butte	rfly valve	valve es pe	e	

without a seat in the heat exchanger bypass lines. The designed leakage for these valves per vendor specifications is 100 gpm minimum. The bypass valves automatically open on a Low Pressure Coolant Injection (LPCI) signal to provide a direct injection path to the vessel, control plant cool down rates through manual operation, and provide maximum heat exchanger cooling when closed.

NRC FORM 366A (4-95)	LICENSEE T	EVENT REPORT (L T CONTINUATION	ER)	U.S. NUCLEAR	REGULATO	RY C	OMMIS	SION
FACILITY NAME (1)		DOCKET		LER NUMBER	(6)	F	AGE (3)
Hope Creek Generating Station		05000054	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	-		
		05000354	06	05	00	3	OF	5

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

Previous surveillance testing performed to comply with the above specifications did not account for the minimum design leakage nor the actual leakage through the bypass valves. Actual typass valve leakage was determined to be 203 (+/- 24) gpm and 230 (+/- 32) gpm for the A and B RHR loops respectively. Additionally, flow tests performed on the heat exchangers indicated an actual flow of 9968 (+/-199.6) gpm and 9648 (+/-194.8) through the A and B RHR heat exchangers respectively.

The surveillance test procedure for 4.6.2.3.b only requires RHR pump flow to be greater than or equal to 10,000 gpm which has resulted in instances which the recorded flow readings for the suppression pool cooling test were at or only slightly above the required 10,000 gpm. Given the actual bypass valve leakage rates and the heat exchanger flow tests' results, the actual flow through the RHR heat exchangers was less than the required 10,000 gpm for those tests and as such represents inadequate surveillance testing and a condition prohibited by Technical Specifications.

The surveillance test procedure for TS 4.6.2.2.b, suppression pool spray mode operability, has the operator first establish an overall system flow of greater than 10,000 gpm. Flow through the suppression pool spray line is then throttled open to a value greater than or equal to 500 gpm as indicated by instrumentation on the spray flow line. Typical recorded flow rates from previous tests were 500-700 gpm, however it cannot be assured that the recorded flow rates were indicative of flow that had actually passed through the RHR heat exchanger. This also represents inadequate surveillance testing and a condition prohibited by Technical Specifications.

ANALYSIS OF OCCURRENCE:

The flow rates recorded for the surveillance tests in question have been measured by flow instrumentation that is located in the common piping downstream of the bypass valve and heat exchanger. Therefore, the indicated flow rates included flow from both the heat exchanger outlet and the bypass valve leakage.

The design basis heat transfer requirement for the RHR heat exchangers has been calculated and is equivalent to a heat exchanger flow rate of greater than or equal to 8,985 gpm for the suppression pool cooling mode of operation. This was derived assuming post-LOCA conditions with a suppression pool temperature of 212 degrees F., which is the most limiting scenario applicable to this event. The worst case actual heat exchanger flow based on the flow test data is above the minimum design basis value. Consequently, it has been concluded that the safety function associated with the suppression pool cooling mode of RHR was preserved. By maintaining the suppression pool design temperature limits, the requirements for the containment spray modes are bounded.

NRC FORM 366A (4-95)			U.S. NUCLEAR	REGULATO	DRY C	OMMIS	SION
LIC	ENSEE EVENT REPORT (L TEXT CONTINUATION	ER)					
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET		LER NUMBER	(6)	1	PAGE (3	1)
None Creek Concreting Stati	05000254	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
hope creek Generating Stati	on 05000354	96	05	00	4	OF	5

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

The completion of the safety function for the containment spray modes of RHR operation is dependent upon operator action in the event of an accident. Although the previous surveillance tests were inadequate to meet the technical specification requirement, the RHR system's ability to have provided adequate containment cooling and spray flow was maintained.

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE:

Since the RHR system was capable of completing its safety functions of decay heat removal, suppression pool cooling and containment sprays, the significance of this event was minimal.

APPARENT CAUSE OF OCCURRENCE:

The root cause of this event was the lack of rigorous application of engineering principles and design review when developing the Technical Specifications.

A contributing factor was a missed opportunity within the Operating Experience (OE) Feedback process. The Limerick Generating station reported an identical concern in 1992 and OE 5512 was issued by the utility as a result. The screening of OE 5512 at Hope Creek identified this issue for informational interest to the Operations department rather than directly assigning action. At that time this screening was considered appropriate because of the hierarchy of the OE document received. No formal response was documented nor was one required by the OE Coordinators. As a result, this issue was reviewed but no actions were taken.

PREVIOUS OCCURRENCES:

A review of LERs over the last two years has shown that there have been no previous similar events at the Hope Creek Generating Station.

The valve design application was evaluated for potential generic concerns within other safety related systems. There were no generic concerns discovered as a result of this review.

NRC FORM 366A (4-95)	VENT REPORT (I	U.S. NUCLEAR	REGULAT	DRY CO	OMMIS	SION
TEXT	CONTINUATION					
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET	LER NUMBER	PAGE (3)			
Hope Creek Generating Station	05000354	YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER 96 05	- 00	5	OF	5
TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC For	m 366A) (17)	1	San and a start of the	L		
CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:						
 A change to the Technical Specifications to be taken for the heat exchanger bypas 4.6.2.2.b and 4.6.2.3.b. This change is Generating Station implemented in response 	s has been submitt ss valve leakage f s similar to the ap onse to their event	ed. This chan or the surveilla proved change	ge will a nce requ that the	allow uirem e Lim	crec nents nerick	dit of
 The flow through the bypass valves was found leak rates were 203 (+/-24) and 2 respectively. 	measured using u 230 (+/-32) gpm	ultrasonic equip for the A and E	ment. 3 RHR Ic	The a	as	
 RHR heat exchanger flow tests were per (+/- 199.6) and 9643 (+/-194.8) gpm for 	formed. The as for the A and B RH	ound flow rates R heat exchang	s were s gers res	968 pecti	vely.	
4. The OEF group Supervisor has discussed performed for OE 5512 with personnel a the need for maintaining sensitivity to the process has since been changed to be is OE document received. Plant Status rep from utilities are assigned action tasks if applicable to the Hope Creek Conerating	I the details regard assigned those dut the issue during the sue driven rather ports and Operating the item has a por Station.	ling the screen les and used it ir reviews. The than driven by g Experience So tential for sign	ing that as an e e OE sci hierarch ummarie ificance	was xam reeni ny of es (O and	ple o ng the ES) is	f
 A follow up review for the generic implic within TS required systems will be incorr Surveillance Improvement Program (TSSI 1996. 	ations of heat exc porated into the e IP). This review v	changer or com xisting Technic vill be complete	ponent al Speci ed by De	bypa ficat ecem	iss fli ion iber (ow 31,