7503

Official Transcript of Proceedings DOCKETED **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

'96 MAR -1 P2:41

Georgia Institute of Technology BRANCH

Renewal of License No. R-97

Pre-Hearing Conference

Docket Number:

50-1 REN

ASLBP No.: 95-710-01-Ren.

Location:

Title:

(Telephone Conference Call)

Date:

Thursday, February 29, 1996

Work Order No.:

NRC-556

Pages 663-833

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	+ + + + +
4	ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD
5	PREHEARING CONFERENCE
6	X
7	IN THE MATTER OF: : Docket No.
8	GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY : 50-160-Ren.
9	Atlanta, Georgia :
10	
11	GEORGIA TECH RESEARCH REACTOR : ASLBP No.
12	: 95-710-01-Ren.
13	Renewal of License No. R-97 :
14	X
15	Thursday, February 29, 1996
16	
17	Telephone Conference Call
16	The above-entitled matter came on for
19	prehearing conference, pursuant to notice, at 2:00 p.m.
20	BEFORE:
21	CHARLES BECHHOEFER, Esq. Chairman
22	DR. JERRY R. KLINE Administrative Judge
23	DR. PETER S. LAM Administrative Judge
24	
25	
	NEAL B. GROSS

1	APPEARANCES:
2	On behalf of Georgians Against Nuclear Energy:
3	GLENN CARROLL, ESQ.
4	139 Kings Highway
5	Decatur, Georgia 30030
6	(404) 378-9542
7	(404) 378-4263 (GANE)
8	
9	On behalf of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission
10	Staff:
11	SHERWIN E. TURK, ESQ.
12	COLLEEN WOODHEAD, ESQ.
13	Office of General Counsel
14	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
15	Rockville, Maryland
16	(301) 415-1575
17	On behalf of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
18	Panel:
19	LEE S. DEWEY, ESQ.
20	Chief Counsel
21	Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel
22	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
23	Rockville, Maryland
24	(301) 415-7390
25	
	NEAL B. GROSS

NEAL R. GROSS

1	APPEARANCES	(Continued):
2	On beh	alf of Georgia Institute of Technology:
3		ALFRED EVANS, JR., ESQ.
4		Senior Assistant Attorney General
5		State of Georgia
6		232 State Judicial Building
7		Capitol Square
8		Atlanta, Georgia 30334
9		(404) 656-3389
10		
11	ALSO PRESENT	
12		Marvin Mendonca
13		Technical Representative
14		U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(2:02 p.m.)

3 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: I believe perhaps
4 setting a more definite schedule than we've set before for
5 things like filing testimony and actual hearing dates
6 having saved the GANE submission, which we've had for a

I had understood when I talked to Mr. Evans that Georgia Tech had not received its copy of GANE.

couple of days now, we -- first let me inquire.

MR. EVANS: I got it yesterday.

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Okay. Good.

MR. EVANS: Frankly, I've speed read it. I haven't had a chance to really -- you know, it's fairly thick. I haven't had a chance to really read it in detail. I have thumbed my way through it.

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Right. My next inquiry is whether GANE, Mr. Carroll, have you received the staff's response to your motion to compel.

MS. CARROLL: I believe so. I have a Federal Express package that arrived yesterday. And, unfortunately, my world is in turmoil, and I haven't been able to look at it.

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: I see. Some of our questions have to do with really asking you whether you're satisfied or whether you see potential documents which

NEAL R. GROSS

1	were not produced and beforehand a . le of inquiries
2	about one or two that were not pro eq. But, in any
3	event, we'll try to generally refer to those later on.
4	I guess the first matter that we should talk
5	about is GANE. Glenn, you referred to certain times when
6	Georgia Tech students were going to be taking exams,
7	preparing for exams. You didn't provide any dates.
8	MS. CARROLL: Those dates I've got those
9	handy if you want to know. I just worked backwards from
10	that.
11	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Well, we had talked
12	about that one date from the 20th to the 24th.
13	MS. CARROLL: Of May?
14	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Of May. We had
15	mentioned that. But how that reconciled with your desired
16	hearing dates, we had no idea about what dates you thought
17	it could not have been.
18	MS. CARROLL: I think that you probably have
19	this handier than me. Did I say the week of May something
20	was the last good week?
21	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: You had said that we had
22	identified it as the last week prior to the Olympics or,
23	actually
24	MS. CARROLL: No, no, no. Well, if I said
25	that, that's not what I meant. I meant we identified this
	NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

1	one week that I identified as the last good week. The
2	week following that would be the week students would
3	prepare for exams. And then the week following that is
4	the actual exams.
5	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: I see.
6	MS. CARROLL: And those would be terrible
7	times for students.
8	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: I see. Well, that first
9	week, that May 20th through 24th
10	MS. CARROLL: That would be the last week.
11	Any week prior to that would be fine.
12	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: I don't think people can
13	get ready prior to that.
14	MS. CARROLL: Then what we're suggesting is if
15	people can't get ready prior to that, that it will have to
16	be postponed because I think after exams the students
17	break for the summer and the Olympics take over the
18	campus.
19	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Right.
20	MS. CARROLL: We'd say the hearing would have
21	to be next fall when school resumes.
22	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: We would much prefer to
23	be able to finish up before the Olympics. It might be
24	that the second week is necessary. I don't know how many
25	days it will require, but it might be the second week

1	would have to be during that exam period. But we would
2	like to schedule a hearing so that it would be finished by
3	the time the Olympics crowd starts coming to Atlanta.
4	MS. CARROLL: Well, GANE will be firm on our
5	stand that a public hearing when the affected public is
6	unable to attend would be inappropriate. We're firm on
7	that.
8	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Yes. The affected
9	public is really not only the students, but
10	MS. CARROLL: Of course not, but they are the
11	closest in proximity to the situation.
12	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Anyway, the week of the
13	20th through the 24th would be an appropriate week to
14	start the hearing anyway. And to the extent we had to
15	finish, we might have to go a few days more later on. I
16	don't know how much time will be taken.
17	MS. CARROLL: I'm sure I don't.
18	MR. EVANS: Between the 20th and the 24th of
19	May?
20	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: May. But I'm using that
21	as a
22	MR. EVANS: I thought we were talking about
23	early June or sometime in early June.
24	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Well, we had also talked
25	about early June, but the 20th to 24th of May was a date I
- 1	NEAL R. GROSS

1	mentioned that would allow sufficient time for those who
2	would be filing prepared testimony, which is done usually
3	two weeks before the hearing starts. Then the parties
4	would have a chance to receive it and look at it first.
5	THE REPORTER: Excuse me. Mr. Bechhoefer,
6	this is the court reporter. To whom were you just
7	speaking?
8	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: I was speaking to
9	everybody.
10	THE REPORTER: I know. Most particularly,
11	whose voice was the one just before yours.
12	MR. EVANS: Is it mine?
13	THE REPORTER: I suppose so.
14	MR. EVANS: Okay. Al Evans.
15	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: I guess people before
16	they
17	MR. EVANS: I'm sorry. I'll do
18	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: speak should identify
19	themselves just for the court reporter's benefit.
20	MR. EVANS: Right.
21	THE REPORTER: Okay. Thank you very much.
22	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Anyway, we had suggested
23	that that would be likely the first week because April 1
24	was the end of discovery. And that would be that
25	testimony would have to be filed around May 1 to be able
	NEAL R. GROSS

to beat the 20th, the date of the 20th. You'd need about two weeks. Fifteen days I think it says or something like 2 that for prepared testimony for those who are going to 3 file it. 4 5 Now, we may waive the requirement for some witnesses. If you want to start the hearing earlier, I 6 suppose we could say nobody uses prepared testimony. But 8 that's up to the parties. 9 MS. CARROLL: Of course, I have no idea -this is Glenn Carroll from GANE -- how many witnesses the 10 NRC plans to call and Georgia Tech plans to call. Of 11 12 course, that will have an impact. We have such a short 13 list of witnesses. And we won't be having to file 14 testimony, but the other parties I think are planning --15 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: We are going to talk about it. We would be willing to waive the requirement 16 for GANE witnesses. But we think in one respect it's 17 desirable for GANE to file as prepared testimony a 18 19 statement of qualifications --20 MS. CARROLL: Right. 21 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: -- of each of the

witnesses, which would be maybe just putting a resumé in or whatever they wish to put in the record concerning the background and qualifications.

Then when the witnesses get on the stand, they

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

22

23

24

will be asked a simple question, "Is this yours?" might want to go ahead and ask them, "Is this an appropriate statement of your background? Is there anything you wish to add or change or modify?" If not, it's bound in the record. And that will save a little time for that night of testimony. MR. TURK: Your Honor, this is Sherwin Turk. CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Yes? waive the requirement for prefiling testimony for GANE.

MR. TURK: I don't understand why we would

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: You wouldn't. We would.

MR. TURK: Yes. Well, then let me phrase it differently. I would argue that, particularly because GANE is represented by a nonlawyer, where GANE's representatives are not skilled at asking proper questions or limiting responses to the scope of particular questions, I think in this case more than in the case where there's a lawyer asking the questions, we would want to see prefiled testimony.

Because what happens if GANE's representative simple says to the witness, "Tell us what you think about the management of the reactor" and we all sit back and wait two hours for the answer to come in? I think that's going to lead to objections throughout the course of the response to the questions.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I think it is important to have questions written out in advance with witnesses answering in advance, particularly because GANE is not represented by an attorney in the case.

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Well, I think

particularly because they're not represented and we don't

have an attorney to sit down and write the testimony for

the witnesses or to even suggest it and because many, if

not all, of their witnesses are going to be required to

come by subpoena, I think that the requirement for

prefiled testimony might well be inappropriate. And, in

any event, much of the testimony will be factual in

nature.

Well, we're going to get a little later on to the permissible scope in reference to at least one, maybe more, of the witnesses you call or you've identified I should say. But I think it would be appropriate unless according to GANE these people can't prepare their testimony in advance and provide it along with that of other parties.

We would be willing to waive the requirement for all essentially factual witnesses except perhaps for statements of qualification, which would take a little time.

We would waive it for staff as well and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

Georgia Tech if they wish. We would require formal identification by the applicant and staff of who their witnesses would be, but I think we would be willing to waive the requirement across the board. Hold the line for a minute. (Whereupon, the foregoing matter went off the record at 2:14 p.m. and went back on the record at 2:15 p.m.) CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Back on the record. When I refer to the fact of waiving it, I was assuming that, as GANE had said at one of the prehearing conferences or conference call, -- I can't remember -- it would be difficult to have witnesses prepare their testimony in advance. If this is incorrect, it would certainly be helpful to have prepared testimony. But, as I say, I think unless GANE feels that they wish their witnesses to prepare their testimony, we would be willing to waive it for the witnesses that have been identified. And, as I say, we could treat the applicant and staff equally. We would do the same thing. But we're not forcing anybody not to file prepared testimony. MR. EVANS: This is Al Evans. I don't much care which way we go except that

NEAL R. GROSS

I think it certainly needs to be uniform because obviously

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

675 I wouldn't want to have my witnesses putting in prepared testimony to give GANE or anyone else two weeks to dissect it and have a withering cross-exam, which is always a lot rougher if you hear it for the first time in court. So long as it's uniform, it's fine by me either way. CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Let me ask. Glenn, do you know whether you could provide prepared testimony in advance or not? I might say if nobody uses prepared testimony, we could push up the hearing date conceivably,

MS. CARROLL: This is Glenn Carroll.

I would say except for Dr. Carter, whom I won't be able to talk to until he gets back from Africa, that we won't be able to file prefiled testimony, that our witnesses are concerned about the issues and are hostile witnesses of GANE's. They don't wish to GANE's -- share GANE's agenda maybe. My word is not theirs. They definitely will require a subpoena. And I don't see how we can do a prefiled testimony around that.

But I would like to make one observation if all of GANE's witnesses are going to be subpoenaed. I mean, we're going to be deposed. And what comes out of that will be dissected, if you will.

MR. EVANS: That's correct. Maybe --

MS. CARROLL: And we don't have a clue what's

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

make it sooner.

going on with the NRC and Georgia Tech. We'll provide a list of witnesses. CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: You can take 3 depositions. 4 MS. CARROLL: We'll provide a list of 5 6 witnesses even if you don't provide --7 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: If parties elect not to file prepared testimony if we waive the requirement for 8 everybody, we would like all parties to identify to us who 9 their witnesses are going to be, to us and to the other 10 parties. I think that could be done easily, and it 11 wouldn't have to be done too much ahead of time. 12 13 MS. CARROLL: Well, if GANE were --CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: I think statements of 14 15 qualifications could be filed. And I don't think we would have to file a two-week delay eventually if we only were 16 17 looking at statements of qualification. I think as part of the identification of witnesses, the qualification 18 could be provided at the same time. 19 20 GANE has identified their witnesses already and staff. The applicant and staff have not. And those 21 22 parties if they elect not to file prepared testimony, they 23 could at least provide their statements of qualifications of the witnesses plus an identification of the witnesses. 24 25 MS. CARROLL: This is Glenn.

The timing of that needs to be such that although GANE has the broad assumption we cannot muster or 2 3 afford depositions, I think we at least need the opportunity to know who these people are ahead of time. 4 Maybe it's even likely that we would do a deposition or 5 two. And I believe that cutoff date to be April 1. So it 6 seems that those names need to be forthcoming. 7 8 MR. EVANS: I think that's for discovery. I don't think that was for -- I think it would be about mid 10 April before we made final decisions on witnesses. 11 MS. CARROLL: Well, GANE knows --12 MR. EVANS: I mean, I could tell you I know 13 some of them now, but I think to some extent it depends 14 what happens on discovery, what we feel we're going to 15 have to respond to, which may or may not cause us to have 16 to call particular people as witnesses. 17 MR. TURK: Your Honor, this is Sherwin Turk 18 again. 19 I think we're trying to achieve an objective, 20 and we're doing shortcuts or shortcuts are being proposed 21 that may not be necessary. As I see the next steps in the 22 litigation process here, the staff and licensee will be 23 conducting some depositions, hopefully to conclude around 24 the end of March, possibly reaching into the following 25 week depending on witness availability.

1	And then we'll need a period of time to
2	prepare testimony, prepare for hearing.
3	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: You won't need a time to
4	prepare for testimony if we say you don't need to and you
5	elect not to.
6	MR. TURK: Well, it's still a
7	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: It's not going to
8	preclude you from
9	MR. TURK: No, but whether it's written or
10	oral
11	MR. EVANS: You have a lot of preparation
12	time. This is Al Evans.
13	MR. TURK: That's correct. That's correct.
14	MR. EVANS: I mean, you rehearse your
15	witnesses, what we call working your witnesses, rehearsing
16	them and going over the questions you're going to ask them
17	on direct, which is time-consuming. That's all I'm
18	pointing out.
19	MR. TURK: Your Honor, I would make the same
20	point, but, more importantly, I think if we're looking at
21	a four-week period to do that, that would not be enough
22	time. We probably at this point would need six weeks
23	after the close of discovery to prepare witnesses, to form
24	the issues properly, and to get ready to go into hearing.
25	It may be that we cannot go to hearing until the end of

in mind. But in terms of whether there should be 3 prefiled testimony by GANE, I want to come back to that 4 5 for a minute. At this point GANE has identified nine 6 witnesses as the people who will appear for it. Three of 7 them are members of GANE. And there's no reason to believe that those three people -- these are Rob Johnson and Joan King and one other individual, whose name slips 10 me at the moment. 11 MS. CARROLL: John Galloway. MR. TURK: And John Galloway. Those three 12 13 people are members of GANE. There's no reason why they 14 could not prepare prefiled testimony. 15 MS. CARROLL: Their names are included, Mr. 16 Turk, purely because their notes are included. They 17 actually --18 MR. TURK: Well, you're --19 MS. CARROLL: I wanted to cover that base. 20 And you had --21 MR. TURK: They are named. If GANE does 22 propose to put them forward as witnesses, then they should 23 be able to prepare prefiled testimony saying whatever it is that they want to say on the stand so we can review 24 25 that.

May or beginning of June. That's one thing I want to keep

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: She said they would only be referred to be witnesses if they were called upon to justify some of the statements that were taken from their notes that are in GANE's responses.

MS. CARROLL: Right.

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: That does not appear to require prepared testimony. If a question is raised as to the authenticity of some of the statements, they may be called upon to describe where they got those statements. I don't think they are proposed to be witnesses as such.

MR. TURK: The point you're making is a good one. If they were going to appear as witnesses, perhaps their prefiled testimony consists of a single page that would say, "What is the purpose of your testimony?" And they would say, "I'm here to recite what I read in the licensee's Nuclear Safeguards Committee minutes, which are attached. My notes to those are attached hereto" or whatever.

That's a very easy job for them to prepare.

There's no reason to waive a prefiling requirement for those three.

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Well, for those three, I don't think they'll ever be witnesses. They'll only be witnesses if a question is raised by other parties as to the authenticity of some of the statements. And they're

NEAL R. GROSS

almost like rebuttal witnesses, so to speak.

Whoever is presenting the case for GANE, if there are statements, inconsistent statements, in like the recitation of various events on which GANE states it is relying, only if a question were raised would those people be called, almost like law clerks do some research and make a mistake.

MR. TURK: What you're assuming, then, is that GANE would be cross-examining licensee personnel about documents and then only if they disagree about a document or the contents are they then called as a rebuttal witness.

I don't understand that that would even be necessary. If GANE wants to question about a document, they can put the document in. They can get a copy of the document and introduce it.

So it may be that these people don't appear anyway because they're not necessary. But as long as GANE has listed them as witnesses --

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: I read that as they're being called only if necessary to justify some other point, not as testimony.

MR. TURK: You're really reaching for the question of how GANE is going to try its case, which we don't know yet. All we know so far is that GANE has named

NEAL R. GROSS

these people as witnesses.

MS. CARROLL: May I please shed some light on this? I put this document in under oath. I did that very seriously. I did not with my own eyes read all of these documents. I relied on the notes of GANE volunteers.

I identified the volunteers who read the notes. Their notes were in there. I can identify them for you further. I can resubmit them with the names of who spoke for whom.

But they are purely there because I cannot say

I know something I did not read. And that's why I

provided them. But it was purely to --

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: I think we can assume that these people will not be witnesses or if witnesses will be the type who would not normally file prepared testimony in any event. They're being called upon after the fact to testify about --

MR. TURK: Let me move on, Your Honor. What I'd like to do is address all nine of the named witnesses and see whether or not we may be able to get prepared testimony from them.

I started with the first three because I felt that they were fairly easy to dispose of. Maybe I'm wrong, but I would agree with you that it's not apparent that actually there's any need for them to appear as

NEAL R. GROSS

witnesses. I'm simply starting with the assumption that if GANE has named them as witnesses and if they are going to appear, then there's no reason why those three could not prepare testimony in advance, no matter how brief it is, simply to state what it is that they're going to be saying if they appear.

Let me move from those three, however, and come to two others: Glenn Carroll herself and Pamela Blochy O'Brien. Ms. Carroll is certainly not a hostile witness, and there's no reason why she could not prepare testimony in advance stating what it is that she's going to put on on the w_tness stand.

The same is true for Pamela Blochy O'Brien, who for years, certainly the last two years that I'm aware of, has been working with GANE, who has filed a 2-206 petition that raised the same issues that GANE raised in its contentions in this proceeding, who is continuing to work in close association with Ms. Carroll. There is no reason why she could not be required in advance to set down on paper what she wants to talk about on the witness stand.

Let me reach a second question here, and that is the need for a subpoena.

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Well, we had some questions about what Ms. Blochy O'Brien would testify to,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

particularly concerning the reference that GANE put into matters appearing in her 2-206 petition.

Most of that material is not relevant to the contention that we're considering. And she could not introduce those petitions as direct testimony. Most of it would be not relevant. So the scope of her direct testimony would have to be considerably narrower than what she put in her 2-206 petition.

MR. TURK: I agree with that, Your Honor.

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: There may be some material in there that's relevant. I haven't studied it in detail. About all she could testify to would be that kind of material. And, of course, that would be subject to cross-examination of the source, values, et cetera.

MR. TURK: But the only way to get a proper scope of that, Your Honor, is to require in advance that she submit it in writing. We can then move in limine to exclude portions of it. And then when she actually appeared at the witness stand, the scope of the testimony will already have been properly addressed. Otherwise you're going to find yourself in a situation where the witness appears and you will be asked to make rulings constantly interrupting the witness.

I think the prefiling is a very good requirement, particularly for this kind of a witness.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

Now, I'm not aware of any reason why she could not prepare her testimony in advance. The other point that Glenn Carroll raised in 3 this conversation is that witnesses would only appear 4 under subpoena. Well, if that's true, the subpoena could 5 be issued early to permit enough time for people to work 6 7 on their prefiled testimony. CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: We'll go off the record 8 for a second. 9 10 MR. TURK: Your Honor, I would like to address 11 the other witnesses named by Ms. Carroll as well whenever 12 you're ready. 13 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: We'll be off the record 14 just a minute. 15 (Whereupon, the foregoing matter went off the 16 record at 2:30 p.m. and went back on the 17 record at 2:34 p.m.) 18 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: We're back on the 19 record. In talking this over, we perhaps would see a 20 reason for Blochy O'Brien and Glenn Carroll to file 21 prepared testimony. To the extent, Glenn Carroll, whatever your testimony is, you probably could file that 22 23 in advance. MS. CARROLL: What about my thing for --24 25 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Your what?

NEAL R. GROSS

1 MS. CARROLL: My discovery requests. CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Discovery responses are 2 never testimony. It would be the same thing, but what are 3 you going to testify to because --4 5 MS. CARROLL: I appreciate that there are distinctions. However, it's tough for me to make some of 6 7 them, the whole legal --8 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: I mean, normally during your discovery responses, you indicate the points you're 9 10 going to try to establish through your other witnesses. 11 To the extent you yourself are going to be a witness, I 12 suppose you could decide what you wanted to say. 13 Off the record again for a minute. 14 (Whereupon, the foregoing matter went off the record at 2:35 p.m. and went back on the 15 16 record at 2:37 p.m.) 17 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: We're back on the 18 record. We think after discussing this that it might be 19 better for everyone except hostile witnesses or witnesses 20 who are being subpoenaed to file prepared testimony and 21 for those several people who have to be brought in by 22 subpoena, that they will be very hostile witnesses. 23 And even for those, we would like statements 24 of qualifications filed. And that will be on whatever 25 date we fix, which is going to try to fix pretty soon.

MS. CARROLL: Judge Bechhoefer? CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Yes? 2 MS. CARROLL: This is Glenn Carroll. I have a 3 question. 4 Ms. O'Brien is not cooperating very well with me. And perhaps I'll be able to sort through that. But 6 7 one concern she has, which I believe can be addressed, but I'd kind of like to check on that with you all, is that 9 she's a voracious investigator. She won't stop. She's 10 studying probably as we speak. 11 And she's concerned about being prohibited 12 from raising anything she finds after she's filed any 13 testimony. And I believe if she finds anything, it will be more than welcome to be added. 14 15 MR. EVANS: I should think the other way 16 around. 17 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Normally unless it's new, not ne to her, but new information, normally you 18 19 should include in your testimony everything that had 20 occurred thus far and of which you are aware or should 21 have become aware. And if something happened in the next 22 week or two, that would be different. 23 MS. CARROLL: Sequoyah is so time-consuming. I see a lot of process and red tape things that make it 24 25 very, very difficult. We don't have a public document

1	room here. So we can't go and just read this stuff at our
2	leisure. We have to buy it. We have to wait for it to
3	come. And those are handicaps to knowing everything
4	that's happened up to no.
5	MR. TURK: I didn't hear the last end of what
6	Ms. Carroll just said. May I ask that she repeat or
7	summary?
8	MS. CARROLL: I suppose the court reporter got
9	it.
10	MR. TURK: It was very faint.
11	MS. CARROLL: Oh, I'm sorry.
12	MR. MENDONCA: If the court reporter could
13	repeat?
14	THE REPORTER: Possibly. You're going to have
15	to wait a second now. Who just spoke, please?
16	MR. MENDONCA: Marvin Mendonca.
17	THE REPORTER: Mr. Mendonca. All right. Once
18	I play this back for you, I would ask that you stay off
19	the record until I tell you to proceed again. Thank you.
20	(Whereupon, the pending question was played
21	back by the reporter, as requested.)
22	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter went off the
23	record at 2:39 p.m. and went back on the
24	record at 2:42 p.m.)
25	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: We're on the record or
	NEAL R. GROSS

NEAL R. GROSS

we're listening, anyway.

MR. TURK: Your Honor, this is Sherwin Turk.

I assume that the comments by Ms. Carroll related to the a lity of her to work with Ms. Blochy O'Brien to get prefiled testimony and what they should do with new matters that Ms. Blochy O'Brien may wish to add.

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: That's my understanding of what was raised, yes.

MS. CARROLL: That's what I asked.

MR. TURK: Let me note that I am aware that
Ms. Blochy O'Brien is continuing to investigate on her own
different issues related to Georgia Tech. In fact, I'm
scheduled this afternoon to have a conference call with
the region to discuss another whole series of requests for
information and claims by Ms. Blochy O'Brien pertaining to
Georgia Tech. And I believe a letter from the region of
approximately 20 pages single-spaced is about to go out to
her with regard to many of her concerns.

We've also had a 2-206 petition with numerous and almost constant supplementation by Ms. Blochy O'Brien. So we're aware that she's continuing on an ongoing basis to raise concerns related to this reactor.

That doesn't mean that she can continue to do it up to the point of the hearing. At some point an intervenor or any party has to be ready to say, "All

NEAL R. GROSS

right. This is what my case consists of ...

MR. EVANS: Normally at the end of discovery. Then that's it.

MR. TURK: If Ms. Blochy O'Brien then finds additional matters that she wants to raise, she may move or Ms. Carroll may move on behalf of GANE to reopen the record if the record has closed already or to supplement the record, allowing other parties enough parties in advance to learn what it is that are going to be the issues that are being raised.

But I would oppose any attempt by GANE or Ms.

Blochy O'Brien to simply appear on the witness stand with

a whole new list of issues for us to have to consider as

she appears in person on the stand. That is not proper

procedure.

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: No. We never suggested that. We would limit it or if it were oral testimony, we would limit it to the subject of the contention that was let in already.

MR. TURK: Right. And that is one very fundamental reason why the requirement of prefiled testimony for Ms. Blochy O'Brien should be adhered to. And even if Ms. Blochy O'Brien is not cooperating on a personal basis with GANE, GANE is a party in the proceeding.

NEAL R. GROSS

If GANE wishes to put her testimony in, it is GANE's obligation to comply with our rule. If Ms. Blochy O'Brien does not cooperate with that, perhaps GANE will 3 have to strike her as a witness. But any personal 4 differences that those two individuals may be having 5 6 should not affect the way this proceeding is conducted. 7 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: I've already ruled, actually, that all parties are supposed to be using 8 prepared testimony and we'll set a date except for hostile 9 10 witnesses, the ones who have to be subpoenaed. 11 MR. TURK: Then there's a question about the 12 other people. I think so far we've addressed six out of 13 the nine. The other three people that GANE has identified are Robert Poyd, Dr. Melvin Carter, and Dr. Brian Copcott. 14 15 MS. CARROLL: And Rebecca Long. 16 MR. TURK: I'm sorry. And Rebecca Long. Even 17 for those individuals, there is no reason to assume that 18 simply because they are not members of GANE or they are 19 not willing to appear without a subpoena, there is no 20 reason to assume that they cannot prepare testimony in advance. 21 Mr. Boyd I know has worked with GANE for some 22 23 time. He's an adviser to them. Ms. Carroll has identified him as an adviser to them. The others perhaps 24 have not worked with GANE until now, but if GANE wishes to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPOWITERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

put them forward, there's no reason why GANE cannot file in advance a statement of what their testimony will be in proper form.

And if it's necessary for the Board to issue a subpoena early on so that GANE then sits down and works with them to develop testimony, perhaps that's the proper outcome. But I don't think simply because a party is not a member of GANE or says "I won't appear unless you get a subpoena for me," that they're hostile.

Let me point out that Ms. Colleen Woodhead, who is sitting with me, mentioned that she was recently involved in the Pierce proceeding in which two former employees of Commonwealth Edison were hostile to the staff, but they did file answers to written questions in advance. And they were then brought in with a subpoena to testify. And we can go get the Pierce decision to get the exact procedural context that that arose in. But even though they were hostile, they did file in advance of their testimony.

MS. CARROLL: I have a question, if I may.

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: The Board can't make them file testimony. I don't think you can. You can't make a hostile witness file prefiled testimony.

MR. TURK: Well, it may be that you cannot force the hostile witness to do something, but if the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

party who wishes to bring the witnesses forward is required to file a statement in advance of what the testimony is going to be, that gives the other parties the right opportunity to examine that and to cross-examine when the witness appears.

Perhaps the first question would be whether the person had seen the testimony and whether they agree with it and do they wish to advance it as their testimony in the proceeding. And that's something that's the typical question asked of a witness when they appear, whether they're hostile or not hostile. If they have any differences with the testimony, they could state it on the record at that time and say what the differences are.

MS. CARROLL: Mr. Turk, if I can --

MR. TURK: I think my bottom line, Your Honor, is I don't see a reason to shortcut the procedures at this point with a blanket grant of permission for GANE not to have to file for these individuals in advance.

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Well, you're going to have a chance to depose all of these witnesses before the hearing. And I think that's sufficient given the fact they are a hostile witness.

I'm not saying they can't file prepared testimony, but I don't think we will require that they do so. Now, if GANE elects to have prepared testimony for

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

them, that's certainly okay. It would even be preferable. But we will not require it for the people we have to 2 3 subpoena. If they have to be subpoenaed, GANE will have to request subpoenas. 4 So we'll allow a little more time for 5 6 cross-examination so that proper cross-examination can be 7 prepared. And that may be the case. But if we can 8 accommodate that at the hearing, they could put in their 9 testimony orally. And, as I say, their qualifications, we 10 do want that included in advance. That's always an aspect 11 of their testimony. 12 So I think that's what our ruling will be. 13 And we need to set some dates, however. 14 MS. CARROLL: Judge Bechhoefer, this is Glenn Carroll. 15 16 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Yes? 17 MS. CARROLL: Pamela Blochy O'Brien is such a special case, shall I say. And she is not going to be 18 19 agreeable about sitting in for a process. I think 20 everybody involved has already had plenty of evidence of that. And at some levels I respect that. 21 What is the effect of limited public 22 appearance on the actual issues? I get the impression 23 it's almost a bone thrown to the public so that they feel 24 that they're helping but they don't actually have an 25

NEAL R. GROSS

effect. And I think Pamela --

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: It's not evidence. It permits the parties and the Board to request that the parties develop anything that's particularly mentioned.

And we did that once. We did that on the security issue earlier. I think that it was Ms. O'Brien's statement that we took something out of and asked the parties to develop it.

MS. CARROLL: So there is a possibility that if she brings anything really meaty through that format, that it will have the proper effect and it won't just evaporate?

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: That's true. And that will be like a statement from anybody else. We are going to allow a session for limited appearance statements.

And, as GANE suggested, we will try to do a couple of two-hour sessions in the evening sometime.

MS. CARROLL: I think there should be a session offered during the day as well. I don't believe I actually thought that out, but I think that one session should be offered for 9:00 to 5:00 working-type people to be able to attend.

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Well, I don't know how much demand there would be. We certainly would be prepared to offer an evening session.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	MS. CARROLL: Well, that's always the risk at
2	these public hearings that there is no demand for it, but
3	I think it's very important to offer it. I think you
4	share that.
5	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: I think an evening
6	session. I don't know whether we will need to offer two
7	separate sessions.
8	MS. CARROLL: Well, let me say on that that we
9	hope that you will offer a daytime session and an evening
10	session. We think the evening session might be what would
11	be considered odd even. That's why we specifically
12	mentioned that.
13	There would be other people. You know, the
14	students, for instance, might find it more accessible
15	during the day.
16	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Off the record for a
17	second.
18	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter went off the
19	record briefly.)
20	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: We will plan to hold at
21	least one evening session. Whether we will hold another
22	one or not we will decide later on. We do think that, of
23	course, if there is a limited appearance session, Ms.
24	Blochy O'Brien could really almost say anything she wants
25	in certain time constraints.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

MS. CARROLL: We couldn't hold her to five 2 minutes. CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Well, you could even 3 have a few minutes more, but not too many more, maybe 10 4 5 or so. But she can talk. If she's going to appear as a 6 witness, she has to limit her statement to what's relevant to the contention. And in a limited appearance session, 7 she can say anything she wants to. 8 9 So most of her 2-206 material would not be relevant to the particular contention. And, of course, if 10 11 there are new issues raised, she could mention that in the 12 limited appearance session. Then it would depend on their importance as to whether they become issues in the 13 14 proceeding or not. 15 I think following the filing of prepared 16 testimony, the only things that can be raised are really new information, new events, that kind of thing, not 17 18 reiterating what you might have failed to locate earlier, 19 only because there has to be some cutoff date. 20 So to the extent you're going to use Ms. 21 Blochy O'Brien as a witness, you should file prepared testimony and only on the subject matter of the contention 22 that's been admitted. 23 Other matters, if she wishes to make a limited 24

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

appearance, that's fine or if you decide you only want her

to appear, rely on her statement of limited appearances, you could do that, too. We're trying to schedule a hearing and set 3 aside time for various witnesses. And I know that we're 4 trying to work it into a fairly limited schedule. I think 5 the week of May 20th is the first week that we could hold 6 7 the hearing given the requirements for filing prepared 8 testimony and that kind of thing. 9 MS. CARROLL: Well, I'm trying to work out 1.0 somehow so I can inform Ms. Blochy O'Brien what her options are here. We all know she cares deeply about 11 12 this. 13 How do you think it will fly when we invoke the fact that she represents million of people in the 14 15 fellowship of reconciliation affiliations? Could we get 16 her an hour for the limited public appearance? 17 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: I doubt it. 18 MS. CARROLL: A half an hour? I mean, 10 19 minutes will not do, I know. 20 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Five or 10 minutes 21 maybe, maybe 15 on occasion, but, really, there is a certain amount of limitations as to time. And it may 22 23 depend in part on how many other people wish to make statements if we should schedule, for instance, the 24

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

> > WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

two-hour evening session, which is I would say fairly

likely. And we'll have to find out where we can hold evening sessions and that kind of thing, but that could be done.

MS. CARROLL: How much time did we use at the prehearing conference last year? It seems to me it was about three hours or maybe more.

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: I think it was scheduled for two. I don't know the precise time.

MS. CARROLL: I believe we ran over that. It seems that we broke for lunch a little bit on the late side. I'm sure we can look at the transcript and see, but I think since we've intervened, a lot of interest has built in this. And I don't think two hours are sufficient.

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Well, if it isn't, we could schedule additional sessions. If we start with an evening session, for instance, we could perhaps. But normally during the day when you're holding a hearing, particular witnesses will be scheduled to come in in general time frames. And in order for the particular witness not to have to waste too much time, it's desirable to be able to set aside a frame when we're likely to be able to hear the witness and have cross-examination, et cetera.

MS. CARROLL: Well, I'd like to specify that I

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

think that we should schedule at a minimum four hours for limited public appearance and that it needs to be more than one session and that it would be terrible if we ran out of time and if we told somebody they had to come back to speak. That would not be okay because when you get a person from the public to come out and address their government once, I mean, you've got to hear them.

So we're going to need to have ample time to accommodate them there. I think we're going to have to have enough flexibility for as long as there's a lot of people. I'm not saying there will be, but we need to be able to do that.

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Well, if we schedule an initial session and it appears there are many too many people, we can then schedule an additional session.

MS. CARROLL: I don't think that's acceptable because, like I said, somebody may have taken time off work. They may have, somehow or another, worked that time into their schedule.

And to put them off for another day and also not for us -- I don't know if anybody else wants to, but for us not to let the interested public know about these times well in advance, I mean, if we set a time on Tuesday for Friday, we won't be able to tell people this is available. Maybe there were people who couldn't come on

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASKINGTON, D.C. 20005

1	Tuesday but would have been able to come on Friday.
2	So we need to make every effort now to provide
3	for it head of time. It may not be perfect, but I'm
4	saying we need more than two hours. We need more. At
5	least I think it should be in two sessions, one in the
6	day, one in the evening, and that we have enough
7	flexibility to run long if we need to.
8	Now, this won't be for the benefit of Pamela,
9	but it will be to accommodate any number of citizens who
10	wants to.
11	MR. EVANS: This is Al Evans.
12	I'm wondering. Is there any way frankly, I
13	don't relish listening to speeches for four hours.
14	MS. CARROLL: You don't want to hear from the
15	citizens of Georgia?
16	MR. EVANS: I'm not interested in hearing
17	speeches by someone who has a view that I already know
18	their view. They're going to be hostile. Big deal. I'm
19	just wondering if there's any way that we can have both
20	things going on at the same time, have a court reporter
21	MS. CARROLL: Absolutely not.
22	MR. EVANS: have a court reporter take down
23	the speeches and
24	MS. CARROLL: Absolutely not.
25	MR. EVANS: let us get on with the hearing.
	land the second

1	MS. CARROLL: I mean, Al Evans, I probably
2	don't want to hear from your witnesses either, but I'm
3	going to suffer through it.
4	MR. EVANS: Well, I'm not talking about
5	witnesses.
6	MS. CARROLL: I'm talking about public witness
7	
8	MR. EVANS: I'm talking about people making
9	statements.
10	MS. CARROLL: I'm talking about democracy.
11	Sorry it bores you.
12	MR. EVANS: Well, four hours does, yes.
13	That's true.
14	MS. CARROLL: Didn't know you were sitting on
15	such a hot issue, did you?
16	MR. EVANS: No. I've got other things to do,
17	though, that listen to somebody pontificate about they
18	don't like something.
19	MS. CARROLL: Well, you can go to the bathroom
20	or something.
21	MR. TURK: Your Honor, I hate to cut into this
22	conversation, but I just want to note that I found the
23	transcript for the last limited appearance session held on
24	February 1st of 1995. There were 21 persons who appeared.
25	The session lasted from 9:12 in the morning until 11:00,
	NEAL R. GROSS

from 9:12 to 11:12. It seems to have gone exactly two hours, two hours. There were 76 pages of transcript, of which Pamela Blochy O'Brien took up 20. So maybe we have to consider how much limited appearance time has been allowed in the past in your decision, Your Honor, as to how much additional time to allow at this stage. I would say that I certainly am not --MS. CARROLL: "A Current Affair" has broken in there. There's been a cobalt accident that has been --MR. TURK: I'm sorry. Let me finish, please. I certainly won't interrupt you. I am not opposed to there being limited appearance statements. I think a reasonable amount of time is the proper course. I don't think allowing any one individual an hour of speech making is a direct outcome. Certainly for Ms. Pamela Blochy O'Brien, she knows how to submit issues in writing. She's been doing that. She continues to do that. And simply to give her a public forum for an hour, stand up and raise the same issues again that already are sitting within the Commission does not seem to be an appropriate use of anyone's time. MR. EVANS: If we can limit the statements to

maybe 10 minutes a person, like in the House of

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Representatives?

MS. CARROLL: Well, Mr. Evans, I believe they were limited to five minutes a person.

MR. EVANS: Well, that would be good. Yes, limit it to five minutes. That's a good idea. Limit it to five minutes a person. That would maybe move things a little better.

MS. CARROLL: I mean, I'm not recommending one or the other, but I'm letting you know that that's part of the deal.

MR. TURK: We would note also for the record, Your Honor, that anyone who wishes to present a limited appearance statement in writing is free to do so. And perhaps that directive to the public could be made known at the time of oral appearances so that anyone who wishes to say something additional would have an extra chance to make comments in writing.

Your Honor, I'd like to come back to the question also of what is a hostile witness in terms of whether their testimony should be required to be prefiled. I interpret the phrase "hostile witness" as not somebody who simply says "I will appear if you subpoena me but not otherwise." If the person has testimony to present that's favorable to the party that wishes to call them, they are not hostile to that party. They may not be cooperating,

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

but they're not a hostile witness.

A hostile witness is someone who has testimony that's favorable to a party but refuses to testify. Here people are willing to testify that are just saying, "I don't want to have the bad name of appearing on your behalf. Therefore, subpoena me, and I'll be happy to come."

That is not a hostile witness. That's somebody who is looking for a procedural device to cover their trail. There's no reason why a person like that should not be required to submit testimony in writing in advance.

not only limited to hostile witnesses. We think it should not apply in this case to people who are unwilling to appear without a subpoena. And we will uphold our ruling except where there are qualifications, which we think can be looked at in advance and will save some time. Except for that, we will not enforce the requirement for the witnesses who have to be subpoenaed. We'll limit it to that.

As for limited appearances, we'll speak under everything that's been said. I think we would definitely like to schedule one two-hour evening session. We'll have to find a place we can do that.

NEAL R. GROSS

MS. CARROLL: You don't think we can do that 2 at the same place we have the hearing? 3 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: It depends. I don't 4 precisely know where we're going to have the hearing yet. I have some inquiries along that line as to what type of 5 facility might be available on campus. Is there a moot 6 7 court at Georgia Tech, that kind of facility? 8 MR. EVANS: Not to my knowledge because that's 9 an engineering school. 10 MS. CARROLL: A what? 11 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Yes. That's what I 12 thought. We have held hearings in moot courtrooms at 13 other schools, but in terms of what kind of facilities 14 would be available on campus. And I suppose you would 15 have to have a room that would hold not only the parties 16 of the Board, witnesses, but probably the additional 17 session 50 people. So I don't know what's available. 18 MS. CARROLL: Federal Trade Commission room. 19 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: That room we like, if 20 available. Is that close to the university or not? 21 MS. CARROLL: It's not an ideal location, but 22 it sure is an ideal space. I mean, I don't think the 23 location's good. I don't know how the rest of GANE would 24 feel about this, but I think Georgia Tech campus would be -- I personally think that that would be a good place to 25

NEAL R. GROSS

1	start looking. There may be a few folks in GANE that
2	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: I do know the
3	MS. CARROLL: advantage or disadvantage to
4	us. But I would say
5	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Well, I might say that
6	the Federal Trade Commission room is never open after 5:00
7	or 6:00 o'clock, whatever their closing time is. They're
8	not open in the evening. And it would be very difficult
9	to hold a limited appearance session there.
10	I would expect are there any rooms on
11	campus which a lot of people could appear at in some
12	evening at least or
13	MS. CARROLL: There's an assembly room that is
14	probably a bit larger than what we need, but it has a
15	stage.
16	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Well, for limited
17	appearances you need a much larger space.
18	MS. CARROLL: I know the Department of Energy
19	and NRC have gotten hotel rooms. And we've griped
20	sometimes that they're down by the airport or not near
21	public transportation. We don't like that.
22	But the NRC has used the Peachtree Plaza Hotel
23	a lot for hearings.
24	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Yes. We would
25	MS. CARROLL: That's a fine location. Inforum

1	was used by the NRC for
2	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: What's that?
3	MS. CARROLL: That's not a bad location.
4	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: That I haven't even
5	heard of.
6	MS. CARROLL: It's supposed to be a big
7	computer facility.
8	CHAIRMAN BECHHOTFER: Is there a meeting room
9	on campus where
10	MR. EVANS: I feel there must be large meeting
11	rooms. I can't imagine not. Frankly, I don't know. I've
12	never looked into that.
13	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: I would think for
14	limited appearances campus might be a reasonable place to
15	hold.
16	MR. EVANS: It would probably be convenient.
17	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Yes.
18	MS. CARROLL: It wouldn't be convenient. I
19	would like to say this. The Georgia Tech campus would
20	probably not be considered convenient by the population at
21	large in Atlanta.
22	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Oh, really?
23	MS. CARROLL: But you weigh it. As far as the
24	students, a lot of them don't have cars. And so it's hard
25	for them to get to off-campus locations. So it's a
	NEAL R. GROSS

weighing out, you know, how to get there. 2 I think parking at night there would probably be easier than during the day, when classes tend to be in 3 session. So more might be able to come at night. 4 5 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: We certainly would not be opposed to using a room on campus for limited 6 7 appearances because that would be available to other 8 people than students as well. 9 But we can inquire about that type of facility. I'm sure there's somebody at Georgia Tech who 10 could be called. If they don't have a moot courtroom, 11 12 however, it might be better if we could get something like 13 the Federal Trade Commission room. 14 MS. CARROLL: That's not far from Georgia 15 Tech, really. 16 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Is that close to Georgia 17 Tech? 1.8 MS. CARROLL: It's not far. I'd say it's a 19 mile, a mile and a half. It's on a main through drag. It's on Peachtree Street. You know, they should be able 20 to find it. 21 22 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: They do have some 23 parking in the area. I know we parked there. I don't 24 know if it's a lot, but --MS. CARROLL: It's expensive, but it's there. 25

1	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: I think it's two dollars
2	a day. You can't always find a place.
3	MS. CARROLL: They must have a special
4	government rate.
5	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: There are no special
6	government rates. Just throw the thing in the meter
7	there. It's one of these meters that you throw. Right
8	behind the building there are several lots, two dollars a
9	day as of the last time we used it. That's fairly cheap.
10	The front I remember was six dollars a day. In Washington
11	standards, that's cheap.
12	So, in any event, I know we will try to
13	establish an evening session in a larger facility.
14	Whether or not we go for additional time in the daytime,
15	I'm not sure, but we'll take it under consideration.
16	MS. CARROLL: Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Now, reading the
18	information in GANE's submission, the Board thinks it
19	would be very desirable to have available at least the
20	additional communications from Dr. Copcott to Georgia Tech
21	for reference.
22	MR. EVANS: I'm not sure what we're talking
23	about. I've read the one letter.
24	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: There was a statement.
25	There was a statement right on the front page. No. Wait
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	a minute.
2	MS. CARROLL: Yes. I think we iterated it in
3	the
4	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: It says there is an
5	update.
6	MS. CARROLL: rely on, but it's the bottom
7	of Page 1. There's a communication from Dr. Brian
8	Copcott, who is the Director of the Nealy Research Center.
9	MR. EVANS: It says, "We assume we must file
10	discovery requests with Georgia Tech and if that fails,
11	the documents from Dr. Copcott."
12	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: The Board thinks those
13	documents might well be relevant.
14	MR. EVANS: I don't know if we have any other
15	than the ones that are already in the thing. I don't
16	know. Maybe we do. I'll check and find out, but I have
17	no idea what documents.
18	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Apparently this was
19	based on statements by Dr. Copcott.
20	MS. CARROLL: And they would have been
21	addressed to Dr. Carum. Whether he would have copied them
22	to the Nuclear Safeguards Committee and
23	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: It's not likely that
24	that kind of document would necessarily be sent to the NRC
25	at all.

1	MS. CARROLL: Well, the Nuclear Safeguards
2	Committee, which is a campus organization.
3	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Oh, the campus.
4	MS. CARROLL: You know, his resignation
5	letter, although he said it was copied to the members of
6	the Nuclear Safeguards Committee, it was not in the notes.
7	We looked at all of those minutes. So it had not been
8	filed there. We actually obtained it from an outside
9	source.
10	And whether Carum kept the letter that was
11	given by Copcott or not, I wouldn't know. But if you
12	could look into that, Mr. Evans?
13	MR. EVANS: I'm not what I'm looking into. I
14	can write. I am intending to send a copy of your
15	submission to Dr. Carum. And I can say that "They are
16	interested in any documents that you have along this
17	line," but I'm not exactly sure what I'm looking for.
18	MS. CARROLL: Well, Copcott was there a very
19	short time.
20	MR. EVANS: I know.
21	MS. CARROLL: And prior to his resignation, he
22	had apparently submitted
23	MR. EVANS: I can tell you what other
24	MS. CARROLL: some letters concerning
25	safety violations or I don't know what's in them.
	NEAL B. GROSS

1	MR. EVANS: Well, I could ask them what other
2	documents do we have relating to what are you looking
3	for, communications from Copcott to Carum?
4	MS. CARROLL: From Copcott to Carum.
5	MR. EVANS: What?
6	MS. CARROLL: Communications from Copcott
7	MR. EVANS: To Carum?
8	MS. CARROLL: to Carum.
9	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: It's referenced right on
10	the front page.
11	MR. EVANS: I see that, but I'm still not
12	exactly I mean, there are documents in here. There are
13	two or three. But you're looking for any other documents
14	not here included from Copcott to Carum. I could ask him
15	that.
16	MS. CARROLL: Yes, yes.
17	MR. EVANS: But I can't in blank. I have no
18	idea as to who else he may have written. If you just mean
19	what he wrote to Carum, that's fine.
20	MS. CARROLL: Yes. What we're interested in
21	was specifically stating they were to Carum. He may have
22	written more to other people, but he didn't indicate that
23	they would be important.
24	MR. EVANS: Well, okay. I mean, yes, I'll be
25	glad to ask. I have no idea as to what, if any, more

1	there are. But I'll ask.
2	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Okay. The Board thinks
3	that those documents might be quite pertinent, might or
4	might not be. We don't know.
5	MR. EVANS: Yes. And we don't know if there
6	are any. But if there are
7	MS. CARROLL: We know that there are some.
8	Whether Carum has them or not, we don't know.
9	MR. EVANS: Yes. That's the point. Also when
10	were these letters written? In '90?
11	MS. CARROLL: I would say so.
12	MR. EVANS: Yes.
13	MS. CARROLL: The letters I realize that
14	you haven't had time to examine those closely. There were
15	some letters, two letters, one year apart, Georgia Tech
16	courting Dr. Copcott to come to Tech.
17	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Those are in the record.
18	MS. CARROLL: And those are in the record.
19	MR. EVANS: Yes.
20	MS. CARROLL: And then there is one letter,
21	Dr. Copcott's letter of resignation, on October 8th. He
22	was employed there, I believe, between May and October.
23	And so May to October 1990
24	MR. EVANS: I don't know what he does. I know
25	personally I keep letters my reading file is about
	NEAL B. GROSS

three years. Then I toss it. Personally I don't even keep things that long, but he may. I don't know. We'll find out. You know, I'll ask him.

MS. CARROLL: All right.

MR. EVANS: But sometimes it's pretty hard to find a letter that's written back in '90. That's six years ago. I mean, I think I keep four. I think right now I'm back to about '92. I can check things. But, I mean, on my own reading files, letters and stuff, after three-four years, I toss them. I don't even keep them.

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Now, another thing we wanted to discuss with respect to Dr. Copcott, GANE, of course, has indicated that there's considerable expense to bringing him to Atlanta. We would be prepared to -- I don't know whether -- we would be prepared to work our scheduling so that his time in Atlanta would be as short as possible.

And I don't know whether he would want to fly out on one of these specials where you have to stay a Saturday night. If so, we could almost hear him first thing Monday morning assuming we started the 20th. I think we could work that kind of thing in to at least reduce his expense.

MS. CARROLL: Well, Judge Bechhoefer, I've had zero experience with a hearing of this type. Do you have

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Normally the --

MS. CARROLL: -- idea whether he would need to sleep in Atlanta one night, two nights, three nights? I mean, I just don't know what to anticipate. And GANE is going to weigh this out.

I'm projecting that we will find the money, and we will bring him in. But I'd still like to know what we're talking about.

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Well, if you brought him in on one of these cheap flights that you have to stay Saturday night, you could bring him in Saturday. Then he'd have to stay at least through until Monday. And whether he could -- normally the applicant would put his case on first. And then he would follow after at least cross-examination and all that kind of stuff.

The applicant would normally lead off. Staff would normally follow both parties. But order could be changed. And we could lead off with Dr. Copcott and then go into the applicant's testimony, which would be largely prepared. So you'd know what would follow Dr. Copcott.

MS. CARROLL: You just said that the normal sequence is applicant, the intervenor, and then the NRC staff?

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Right.

NEAL R. GROSS

+	MS. CARROLL: Okay.
2	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Regular. Then the
3	applicant gets a chance to put in rebuttal testimony after
4	everybody else gets through. So they get a chance to
5	finish, really.
6	MS. CARROLL: Okay. But nobody else gets
7	rebuttal?
8	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: That's correct.
9	MS. CARROLL: Apparently since you're
10	referring to these weekend saver rates, are they
11	significant enough to offset extra nights in a hotel? I
12	think we probably might spend \$100 a night on a hotel for
13	him.
14	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: We're off the record for
15	a second. We'll be back in a minute.
16	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter went off the
17	record at 3:22 p.m. and went back on the
18	record at 3:23 p.m.)
19	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: One correction for the
20	record. The other parties may have rebuttal witnesses.
21	There may be circumstances where that's warranted.
22	But, in any event, the applicant normally
23	leads off and concludes with the intervenor, then the NRC
24	staff following. But we would rearrange things to the
25	extent that it would be useful for Dr. Copcott to save

NEAL R. GROSS

money by taking weekend rates if that's necessary. I 1 mean, we would be willing to modify the schedule somewhat. 2 3 MS. CARROLL: Is that something that we can 4 addre later when we --5 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Of course. 6 MS. CARROLL: -- have the hearing schedule 7 more defined? 8 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: I'm hoping to set a 9 hearing schedule today, but to actually define what will happen in that schedule, we could change that around. We 10 would like to accommodate you to the extent we could save 11 you money in terms of bringing your witness in. 12 13 Now, I don't know. I personally am not aware 14 of whether they can use government rates or not if they're 15 under subpoena. But, be that as it may, government rates 16 aren't always that great. There are usually better rates available. 17 18 MR. TURK: I don't think that anyone who is not a consultant to the NRC would qualify for a government 19 20 rate. CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: I'm not sure about 21 witnesses under subpoena. I don't know what the rule is 22 23 on that. But the government rates aren't so great anyway. They're better than list price, and they probably don't 24 require a Saturday stay. I know they don't. 25

NEAL R. GROSS

1	The cheapest fares requiring over a Saturday
2	stays are usually better than the government gets. And we
3	will be willing to accommodate hearing schedules to take
4	into account any potential savings of cost that you might
5	
6	MS. CARROLL: That would also work if we had
7	him on Friday. I mean, if he testified on a Friday and
8	stayed over on a Saturday and flew home on Sunday, it
9	would work that way, too; right?
10	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Yes, it would. It
11	would.
12	MS. CARROLL: Can he fly on
13	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: We'll accommodate that.
14	MS. CARROLL: either way, coming or going,
15	or do you have to leave Saturday out of
16	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: We don't know precisely
17	that the hearing will last to Friday, but
18	MS. CARROLL: Well, it's looking like it to me
19	with all of this rebuttal and everything, but I guess
20	we'll get to that.
21	Judge Bechhoefer?
22	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Well, we will do our
23	best to accommodate that. And we would like to schedule
24	Dr. Copcott in a way that could minimize the expense of
25	bringing him from Los Angeles, which can be quite
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	expensive. So we will do that.
2	MS. CARROLL: Judge Bechhoefer, during some of
3	the breaks I had a chance to look over the NRC discovery
4	requests. I haven't looked at it thoroughly, but what
5	they sent us to GANE about Rebecca Long. I know you
6	brought that up at the beginning of this conversation.
7	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Yes.
8	MS. CARROLL: And I have something to say
9	about it if this would be a good place.
0	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Well, let's first decide
1	on a schedule for filing prepared testimony and for the
2	initial hearing. If we started the hearing on May 20th,
3	that would mean that prepared testimony would have to be
4	filed by May 3rd would be the latest.
5	MS. CARROLL: We had tentatively said May 1.
6	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Yes. May 1 would
7	actually be better, which is a Wednesday, but because of
8	the time it takes to send prepared testimony and all of
9	that, it would be useful to file May 1. We could set that
0	date as the date that prepared testimony must be filed.
1	Then the hearing would start on Monday, the
2	20th.
3	MR. TURK: Your Honor, I think we might have a
4	problem with that schedule.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: That's tentatively what

1	we said before.
2	MR. TURK: I understand. I was talking with
3	Mr. Evans about setting up depositions and also with Ms.
4	Carroll. Mr. Evans tells me that he's unavailable until
5	March 18th to begin depositions.
6	That week is all right for me, the first two
7	days, but there are two days in the week when I have to be
8	back here in Washington. So it really gives us only two
9	days during the week of March 18th and then the following
10	week, March 25th, to conduct depositions.
11	MR. EVANS: I blocked off that whole week for
12	depositions.
13	MR. TURK: The week of the 25th?
14	MR. EVANS: Yes.
15	MR. TURK: Yes. And then also we couldn't
16	carry over. I think the way our schedule looks now
17	MR. EVANS: We won't have the transcripts
18	back, though. It might be the end of April before we get
19	the transcripts back.
20	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Why? You order two-day
21	transcripts or one-day transcripts. That could be done
22	for people who take depositions. We order one or two-day
23	transcripts all the time, including for this particular
24	conference.

NEAL R. GROSS

MR. TURK: Without looking at the question of

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

1	transcripts, however, in looking at GANE's witness list,
2	which currently includes these 9 individuals, I am
3	projecting that we would need approximately 12 days, 11 to
4	12 days, of deposition time, which means depositions
5	probably would not be able to conclude until sometime in
6	the beginning of April.
7	There are a couple of days during the first
8	week in April when I am unavailable due to religious
9	reasons starting the 3rd, 4th, and 5th. So we may not be
10	able to
11	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: That's a good reason to
12	get them done before April.
13	MR. TURK: Well, I agree. What I'd like to do
14	is schedule them almost consecutively starting with the
15	March 18th date except for dates that we're not available
16	to do them.
17	MS. CARROLL: Would it help you if I
18	resubmitted Joan King's, John Galloway's, and Rob
19	Johnson's notes as their I mean, that's the only reason
20	they're listed. And I feel that that might be easy.
21	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: We don't think that they
22	will even be used as witnesses.
23	MS. CARROLL: I don't intend to call them.
24	They will be available if
25	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Well, that's the only

reason --

MS. CARROLL: -- anything is challenged about what we said so that they could say what they read.

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Right. They don't have to prepare anything as far as I'm concerned.

MS. CARROLL: I mean, I would not see why you would want to depose them.

accommodate the hearing schedule for -- those people will not be called as direct witnesses on anything unless there's a question raised about the records they refer to or whether they did it accurately. That would not be part of the direct testimony.

MR. TURK: Let me say, first of all, with respect to deposition time, I was only assuming a half day for each of them. But in my 11 to 12 days of deposition time, I was only assuming a day and a half for those 3 together.

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Well, but you shouldn't take their depositions at all. It's a waste of government money.

MS. CARROLL: If it's a half a day for each witness, that's three days. Oh, Al Evans has the other half of the day.

MR. TURK: No. I'm saying a total deposition

NEAL R. GROSS

time of maybe three hours for each of them. 2 MR. EVANS: We're not going to ask repetitive 3 questions, you know. 4 MR. TURK: And the questions that would be asked, Your Honor, in terms of whether it's a waste of 5 time really would be to establish who they are, what their 6 7 backgrounds are, their purposes in appearing in the case, 8 what they did in terms of reviewing documents, what it was that they saw, what did they take down, what did they not 9 10 take down. It would not be a very extensive deposition. And at this point I would intend to take it. 11 And I would state that we believe it would be a good use 12 13 of government resources. Since GANE has named them as a 14 witness, we're entitled to discover. And we would not 15 certainly waste time doing that, but I don't think that Your Honor should tell us that it's a waste of government 16 resources. We don't consider that to be the case. 17 18 In terms of scheduling, they don't --MS. CARROLL: But, yet, you won't let Rebecca 19 Long go to the ding-donged reactor with me. I think 20 you're talking out of both sides of your mouth. 21 22 MR. TURK: I'm sorry. I didn't understand. MS. CARROLL: That last comment should be 23 24 struck anyway.

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: I would not be willing

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

1	to delay the time spent for depositions of that time. You
2	could work them in if you want to. But we've been told a
3	day and it has been implied in this other document that
4	they're not going to really be called as direct witnesses.
5	MR. TURK: But may I ask what's
6	MS. CARROLL: We aren't going to call them.
7	They're merely there because I cannot testify to what they
8	read. And if it becomes a question, you are named.
9	You're told who read the documents that I filed. Maybe a
10	law firm wouldn't do that, but we aren't a law firm.
11	MR. TURK: What's the purpose, then, of the
12	notes? I mean, how
13	MS. CARROLL: You asked for them.
14	MR. TURK: No. I understand.
15	MS. CARROLL: We didn't have the minutes. So
16	we gave you the notes. They took the notes. I wanted to
17	make sure you knew who they were. If you put me on the
18	firing line about the notes, I didn't take them. So I
19	wanted to tell you who took them.
20	We aren't going to call them. We provided the
21	notes to you. We regurgitated them to you in our
22	chronological order. We will make our interpretations of
23	them, which I'm sure you will disagree with.
24	But other than that, if you say this isn't
25	what it says in the minutes that that's what John Galloway
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	put in the notes, he can take the heat for it. I can't.
2	MR. TURK: Then I take it you don't intend to
3	offer those notes into evidence?
4	MS. CARROLL: They're in there.
5	MR. TURK: I'm sorry?
6	MS. CARROLL: The notes are attached. All of
7	the notes, every single note, is attached to our recent
8	discovery response.
9	MR. TURK: No. My question, though, is I
10	assume that the notes were there to help you in preparing
11	for the case, but you do not intend to offer them into
12	evidence as
13	MS. CARROLL: That's how we know what's
14	contained in the minutes since we don't possess copies of
15	the minutes.
16	MR. TURK: All right. So they're not going to
17	be offered into evidence by themselves. They're simply
18	there for you to understand what's in the minutes. If you
19	agree to that, then I don't think
20	MS. CARROLL: I'm afraid to agree with that,
21	but it sounds right.
22	MR. TURK: I'm sorry?
23	MS. CARROLL: I'm afraid to agree with you. I
24	think there's a catch, but, actually, it sounds like what
25	you've said is right.
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	MR. TURK: All right. In that case, I don't
2	have to depose them.
3	MS. CARROLL: My understanding of the minutes
4	is based on their note-taking of the minutes.
5	MR. EVANS: This is Al Evans.
6	I assume the notes are not going into
7	evidence.
8	MS. CAPROLL: I hope they will. I don't know.
9	You put the minutes in. I mean, if you'll provide a copy
10	for the record of those minutes
11	MR. EVANS: I'm not going to agree for
12	someone's notes to be going into evidence if they're not
13	there.
14	MS. CARROLL: Well, the thing is we're relying
15	on those minutes, and we don't possess them. We can't
16	afford them at Georgia Tech's copying rate. And so we
17	read them at Georgia Tech and took notes. And make of it
18	what you will, but we're relying on every single one of
19	those minutes.
20	MR. EVANS: I think whoever took the notes
21	needs to be there because I might want to cross-examine
22	him about what he left out or not. I don't know.
23	MS. CARROLL: Well, I mean, you can if you
24	want. They've been
25	MR. TURK: The initial question, then, is:

NEAL R. GROSS

What is the use of the notes that you intend? If we get an agreement now that the notes will not be offered into 2 evidence, but, rather, GANE may --3 MS. CARROLL: We're going to offer them into 4 5 evidence. 6 MR. TURK: -- presenting questioning a witness 7 but the notes themselves don't go into evidence in reference to them, if GANE cross-examines and says, "Well, here in my notes I see that the minutes said X, Y, and Z," 9 that kind of a statement would not be accepted into the 10 11 record as a factual statement of what the minutes actually 12 contain, then we don't need to cross-examine the --13 MS. CARROLL: I can't follow this, but you know what might be cheaper than a plane ticket is getting 14 15 copies of all those minutes and checking the notes against the minutes and deciding for yourself if they are accurate 16 17 or not. MR. TURK: But if a document goes into 18 evidence, it should be the document itself, the minutes 19 itself. If you wanted to introduce minutes into evidence, 20 then you should have a copy of it and you should introduce 21 it into evidence, not the secondhand hearsay statement in 22 the notes of what your probably unqualified reviewer read 23 into them. And, you know, we certainly --

MS. CARROLL: I'm sorry. The notes --

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

24

1	MR. TURK: If you are going to introduce
2	minutes into evidence, then we don't need to question the
3	reviewers.
4	MS. CARROLL: The notes do have opinions
5	scattered, the human qualities that they have. But you
6	will not find in our discovery response a reliance on any
7	judgments on it, but, rather, on records of what the notes
8	said.
9	Some note-takers paraphrased. Some
10	note-takers quote.
11	MR. EVANS: I'm probably going to be objecting
12	to all notes if you try and put them in personally.
13	MR. TURK: Your Honor, perhaps we can get a
14	preliminary ruling now on whether the notes would be
15	admissible. If you would rule that they are admissible,
16	then we would need to depose the note-takers.
17	If you would rule that no, that the minutes
18	themselves, the primary documents, are the best evidence,
19	which is the law, and that you would not accept the notes
20	by these people in lieu of the actual documents, then we
21	don't need to depose them.
22	MR. EVANS: We can have the minutes in court,
23	I assume.
24	MR. TURK: If GANE feels that those minutes
25	are important, they can still go and copy them.
	NEAL R. GROSS

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: We're not going to rule on the particular point right now. We might need legal 2 briefs on that. But I think if someone offered the 3 minutes themselves --4 (Whereupon, the foregoing matter went off the 5 record briefly.) 6 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: We would clearly accept 7 8 the minutes. Now, whether the notes of the minutes would even be acceptable in evidence, I can't tell you. It's a 9 legal question, and we might have to raise that later if 10 11 it becomes relevant. Clearly the minutes themselves are best evidence for that. 12 JUDGE LAM: This is Peter Lam. If I may go 13 back to what Mr. Turk was talking about? 14 Mr. Turk, does the May 1st schedule propose an 15 16 undue hardship to do the prefiled testimony that you were referring to? 17 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: That's filing, not 18 19 delivery, dropping it in the mail on May 1st. 20 MR. TURK: Yes, it does, Your Honor, because 21 what I contemplate in terms of our deposition schedule will be the depositions will probably be able to close 22 around -- it wouldn't be possible by then, but during the 23 week of April 8th, we should be able to wrap everything up 24 in terms of depositions. 25

731 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: So you're asking us to extend the discovery schedule to April 8th. 2 MR. TURK: Well, during the week of April 8th. 3 4 So I would think if you could extend that to April 12th, we were able to close discovery by then. That's a 2-week, 5 6 10 working day, extension. But then after concluding the depositions and 7 8 after having been involved in them almost nonstop for two and a half weeks, we need to sit down with our witnesses 9 10 and begin to focus on preparing testimony. And I think 11 that is likely to take at least the three to four weeks that we talked about before, possibly more depending on 12 what we have to address as a result of these depositions. 13 For instance, if Dr. Copcott comes up with new 14 information that the staff was not aware of previously, we 15 16 will need to examine that information and take it into consideration, and not just what he has to say, but the 17 other witnesses as well. 18 So I would think that we probably would have a 19 20 hard time preparing testimony for filing before, I would say, May 17th, which is 5 weeks from the date we would 21 close discovery. And that's a tight schedule. 22

MR. EVANS: We can't do it before the Olympics, then.

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: What do you think --

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

23

1	MR. TURK: We could if we just put hearings
2	off until the beginning of June, hold the hearings in
3	June.
4	MS. CARROLL: That's not acceptable because of
5	the unavailability of the student population. They're not
6	back in session until October.
7	MR. TURK: I'm sorry. I thought that what we
8	were addressing now is when the hearing could be held, not
9	when students are able to attend.
10	MS. CARROLL: This is a public hearing. The
11	public needs to be able to attend.
12	MR. EVANS: If the door is open, it's a public
13	hearing.
14	MS. CARROLL: The Georgia Tech student
15	population is a highly affected segment of that
15	population is a highly affected segment of that population.
16	population.
16	population. MR. TURK: I'm not asking to extend this
16 17 18	population. MR. TURK: I'm not asking to extend this beyond the Olympics. I would think that the entire
16 17 18 19	population. MR. TURK: I'm not asking to extend this beyond the Olympics. I would think that the entire hearing should be held either before or after the
16 17 18 19 20	population. MR. TURK: I'm not asking to extend this beyond the Olympics. I would think that the entire hearing should be held either before or after the Olympics, rather than holding only a portion beforehand.
16 17 18 19 20 21	population. MR. TURK: I'm not asking to extend this beyond the Olympics. I would think that the entire hearing should be held either before or after the Olympics, rather than holding only a portion beforehand. MS. CARROLL: I would agree with that.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	population. MR. TURK: I'm not asking to extend this beyond the Olympics. I would think that the entire hearing should be held either before or after the Olympics, rather than holding only a portion beforehand. MS. CARROLL: I would agree with that. MR. TURK: But I would say let's just set the

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

June 3rd.

MS. CARROLL: Unacceptable to GANE.

MR. EVANS: Al Evans.

I would strongly favor that. I just think it is, frankly, almost an impossible schedule to be looking at other things I have, too. But looking at getting the witnesses' statements and all of that and discovery, I don't see how that could be done by May 20th.

MS. CARROLL: I will say, GANE will say, I will say for GANE on the record that there's no magic to GANE in having these public hearing before the Olympics. So where we would object to the week of June 3rd, we would be fine with doing it sometime in October. If all the parties agree to accept the schedule for the lawyers, GANE sees this hearing being in October. And that would be acceptable.

MR. TURK: Perhaps there's one other thing we should ask at the same time, Your Honor. As I understood GANE's filing, in their perfected responses to discovery, they look at May 20th as the last possible week to hold hearings.

My own view of how much time will be required for hearing is that if GANE does put on the six substantive witnesses they're talking about and the staff puts on two or three panels of witnesses and the applicant

NEAL R. GROSS

puts on several witnesses, we won't conclude in a week anyway. I would think that it will be a two-week hearing, not a one-week hearing. 3 4 MS. CARROLL: It's a compromise to me, Mr. Turk, speaking for GANE, that if the second week of 5 6 hearings flowed into the week when students are not 7 actually taking exams but are studying for them, which is very stressful, that would be a liveable compromise. 8 9 I actually earlier in the conversation hoped that we would find a schedule since we only are offering 6 10 substantive witnesses and we had talked about 30 and we 11 12 had set this April 1 deadline and this May 1 deadline previously. 13 I was hopeful we were actually going to find 14 out we could do it earlier. But we sort of made our peace 15 that it's the second week. And it may not be a full week, 16 17 but a second week. During studying week, that would not be ideal, but we would I don't think disagree with that. 18 MR. TURK: My proposal, Your Honor, would be 19 that the hearing begin June 3rd. And we expect that it 20 will last for two weeks. And I propose that the testimony 21 be filed two weeks before the 3rd of June. 22 MR. EVANS: Al Evans. 23 I think that's a more liveable schedule. 24 MS. CARROLL: Can I go to the bathroom? This 25

1	is Glenn Carroll. I'm going to check out for a minute,
2	folks.
. 3	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: We'll stay on. Hurry up
4	back.
5	MS. CARROLL: I'll be quick.
6	(Pause.)
7	MS. CARROLL: I'm back. Thank you.
8	MR. MENDONCA: Your Honor, this is Marvin
9	Mendonca.
10	We took the opportunity to take a restroom
11	break also. So we'd like to hold off for a little while.
12	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Hold off what?
13	MR. MENDONCA: Further discussion.
14	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Oh, okay. Okay.
15	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter went off the
16	record at 3:54 p.m. and went back on the
17	record at 4:22 p.m.)
18	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Back on the record. We
19	have inquired of let me ask. What is the last day that
20	students are going to be around for any purposes?
21	MS. CARROLL: Let me get my calendar warmed up
22	again here. Exams are scheduled for the week of June 3rd.
23	Okay? And I'm sure all of you can attest to this. When
24	you were in college, the minute your exams were over, you
25	left. And while you were in exams, that was pretty much
	NEAL R. GROSS

all you did. But, anyway, that is the week exams are scheduled. 2 3 MR. EVANS: They're going to be studying the 4 week _efore. So what's the difference? 5 MS. CARROLL: Exactly. CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Well, would they have 6 time? I remember going through a lot of exam periods when 7 I didn't spend 100 percent of my time either studying or 8 9 taking exams. 10 MS. CARROLL: But you're extra smart, Judge 11 Bechhoefer. 12 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: What? MS. CARROLL: Here's my thing, to reiterate. 13 14 We were talking two weeks. And the first week would be the ideal week or the last ideal week for us, and the 15 second week would have been during studying period. We 16 would live with that. 17 18 But if you're going to start during exam week and flow into a week when nobody is there, we object. And 19 20 I'm not --21 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Well, we had made a proposal to start on May 29, which is a Wednesday, and go 22 through the 7th, which is the end of the following week, 23 perhaps holding sessions on Saturday morning, and just 24 doing it, figuring the 10 days or so or 8 or 9 days of 25

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

-	hearing would be sufficient.
2	MS. CARROLL: Well, could GANE get everybody
3	to look at a way of tightening up a little more so that we
4	maybe started on the 22nd and went through the study week?
5	I thought I heard
6	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Well, that's a holiday.
7	I would
8	MS. CARROLL: Mr. Turk he was going to have
9	to find out what everybody's qualifications are. Well, if
10	GANE gets that to you quickly enough, does that save you
11	some deposition time or guide your questioning in a way?
12	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: I don't think that would
13	be a liveable week because you've got a holiday the next
14	week. We were proposing
15	MS. CARROLL: The 27th.
16	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Yes. And we
17	MS. CARROLL: Well, if we go two weeks, do you
18	guys hang around Atlanta over the weekend or do you go
19	home and come back?
20	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Well, the way I was
21	proposing it, we would stay down and start the 29th. And
22	we would go through the end of the next week if that much
23	time was required.
24	MS. CARROLL: So you're thinking travel the
25	28th. That's why you picked the 29th to start?

1	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Yes.
2	MS. CARROLL: I would feel like I was being
3	lax if I just caved into that. That's not a good time for
4	the student population.
5	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Well, actually
6	MS. CARROLL: I mean, an extracurricular
7	activity like this would be undue pressure on them. You
8	know, students commit suicide when they're under exam
9	pressure.
10	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Students also do a lot
11	of extra exam periods. I used to.
12	MS. CARROLL: But you're so smart.
13	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: I'm not sure that we can
14	schedule a hearing based on the availability of any
15	outside people.
16	MS. CARROLL: Well, I feel like it's my
17	responsibility to plead extraneously. And the students I
18	talked to concurred. They really were so pleased to have
19	us concerned about their ability to be present. And I
20	know they're
21	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: If we begin the 20th, we
22	could. But starting the 22nd wouldn't count much because
23	that would be
24	MS. CARROLL: I see your point. Why don't we
25	wait until October? I know that's irregular, but
	NEAL D. CDOCC

1	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: We're expected to come
2	up with a decision long before that.
3	MS. CARROLL: But the Olympics. You can't
4	help it. You can't even get on Tech campus. You can't
5	get close to Tech campus after June.
6	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: That's correct. We want
7	to hold the hearings before that.
8	MS. CARROLL: Wait a minute. Wait a minute
9	now. I don't know how I feel about this. I'm thinking
10	out loud. There's a weird summer session. There's a real
11	short summer session. It's making the fall session start
12	late. And I don't know what this summer session is. You
13	know, it gives the Olympics time to clear out.
14	And there's the par Olympics, I believe, after
15	the Olympics, whatever that is.
16	MR. EVANS: That's college Olympics. I think
17	that's about a week or something.
18	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: I think that the only
19	MS. CARROLL: They're having a short summer
20	session on campus. And it's going to make the fall
21	session start late. That's why I keep saying October,
22	because the summer session is going to run into September.
23	And, of course, I don't know how many of you
24	guys went to summer school. I did. But the regular
25	student body was not there during the summer.

1	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: I would think that it
2	would be preferable to hold it, even if it's exam period,
3	because the primary beneficiary should be the parties.
4	MS. CARROLL: No. I'm an advocate for the
5	public. And I think that it's already really hard for
6	them to follow. And if we have it at a bad time
7	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: Do you want your
8	students to present limited appearance statements or
9	MS. CARROLL: We want them to hear what's
10	going on if they're concerned. I want them to be able to
11	come and listen to it if they care about it. And, yes, a
12	limited appearance statement is an important part of that.
13	MR. EVANS: They could come and listen even if
14	we do it in July.
15	MS. CARROLL: They're under exam pressure. I
16	think it will be a difficult choice for them to make.
17	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: We would be willing to
18	schedule an earlier limited appearance session if that
19	would be desirable.
20	MS. CARROLL: Ideally to me, even if it
21	wouldn't be at the end, it wouldn't be the first thing
22	because I think that the public should have the
23	opportunity to hear some of the issues and then make their
24	statement responsive to it. And not all public citizens
25	would do that, but some might.

MR. TURK: Can I make an observation based on the hearings that I've been involved in? Members of the 2 public often do come to the hearing, but very few of them 3 4 are going to sit through more than an hour or two of them. Hearings tend to be very boring to people who 5 are not involved in the actual litigation. But if you 6 think that there are students who would attend for an hour 7 or two, then there's no reason to think that they couldn't 8 do that, even during the week before they're studying for 9 exams or after exams take an hour break, if they're really 10 interested enough to do it or maybe their own particular 11 exam schedule allows them to do it. I don't know which 12 students we're talking about in particular or what their 13 exam schedules are. 14 MS. CARROLL: Of course, we think the whole 15 nuclear industry loves the secrecy, loves the impression 16 that it's difficult to understand. And we strain to make 17 these issues available to --18 MR. TURK: That's certainly not anyone's 19 objective here, not certainly on my part. 20 MS. CARROLL: Of course not. It's certainly 21 mine. And it's an important one. But I think I'm the 22 only person on this conference call that holds that view. 23 And that's why I'm coming on so --24

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: I think given what we've

25

heard from all parties, starting on the 29th, which is a Wednesday, of May would be desirable. And we could run it through this -- well, we'll plan on running through the 7th. One of the things we could do is if we're 5 there on a Saturday, that Saturday we could hear limited 6 appearance sessions during part of that Saturday. We 7 normally don't like to schedule things on Sunday, but --8 MS. CARROLL: I would vote for that. Let me 9 say I really appreciate --10 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: If you wanted a daytime 11 session -- and we do plan to hold an evening session in 12 13 any event --MS. CARROLL: I think a Saturday session --14 CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: -- in order to meet that 15 session, to work that in as part of Saturday, that would 16 be fine. That would require that prefiled testimony be 17 filed by May 14, dropped in the mail by May 14. That 18 postpones it a little less than two weeks from what we had 19 20 said before. MR. TURK: Could I request that we be required 21 to file on the 17th to assure delivery by the 20th? 22 other words file Federal Express, next day delivery on the 23

MS. CARROLL: I think we're supposed to have

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

17th?

24

25

2

3

1	14 days for prefiled testimony, aren't we?
2	MR. TURK: The rules allow the Licensing Board
3	Chairman the prerogative to adjust those times.
4	MS. CARROLL: Well, I think we ought to
5	question the amount of time the lawyers think they need.
6	I mean, I haven't heard any question about that. Maybe
7	that's because it has to come from me.
8	CHAIRMAN BECHHOEFER: I don't think they want
9	to delay.
10	MR. TURK: May I ask one question before we
11	get too far along? As I understand it, Pamela Blochy
12	O'Brien is still named to be a witness?
13	MS. CARROLL: She is still named to be a
14	witness.
15	MR. TURK: So at this point you're not
16	withdrawing her?
17	MS. CARROLL: I'm going to discuss with her
18	what came out today. And I will accept her choice. I
19	think it's pretty clear what her choices are. And I will
20	let you know right away which way she goes.
21	MR. TURK: That's certainly going to affect
22	the deposition time that I have mapped out.
23	MS. CARROLL: I am certainly going to
24	encourage her to prefile testimony. I think that's her
25	best bet because if you're going to give her a maximum of
	NEAL R. GROSS

15 minutes for limited public appearance, I think she 2 needs more time than that to say what she knows. 3 MS. CARROLL: Right. She would be able to submit a written limited appearance statement as well. 4 5 MS. CARROLL: Mr. Turk? 6 MR. TURK: Yes? 7 MS. CARROLL: How important is it if you and Al Evans share information about the questions you're asking? How important is it that you're physically present for the deposition? 10 11 Couldn't you set your own schedule to dovetail where you could, but by sharing, you know, the questions 12 13 you're going to ask? I mean it's, like, as far as I've 14 ever seen, it's totally cut and dry. 15 Well, I guess, I mean, make up questions on 16 the spot, according to what you hear, maybe you guys wanted to consult each other, but. 17 MR. TURK: No, I don't plan to consult with 18 19 Mr. Evans in advance, but it definitely would be important 20 for both of us to be present during a deposition. 21 And that would, in fact, help cut down the 22 number of questions that get asked, to avoid duplication. 23 But I have no idea what questions he would intend to ask him. I don't intend to ask him in advance. 24 25 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Well, let me add this.

NEAL R. GROSS

What we will require is one of two things. Either that the prepared testimony by filed by Tuesday, May 24th, or, 2 alternatively, reach us, be in our hands by the 20th. 3 If it's postmarked by the 14th, and it doesn't 4 get to us by the 20th, well, so be it. You never can tell 5 on the mail. If the staff -- the staff will probably just 6 have to walk it over here, perhaps. They can file by the 7 8 17th. 9 Any other party who wants to file by Federal 10 Express can file the 16th or 17th. I don't know what the service is. And, as long as it's, will get to us by the 11 12 20th. That will be okay. 13 MS. CARROLL: So I'm to take it that the 14 discussion Mr. Evans was referring to, the desired schedule, is no longer the question? 15 16 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Well, it doesn't appear 17 that we could work -- the earlier dates just don't seem to work. 18 19 MS. CARROLL: I mean, you were all fine, but I 20 was asking why they have to do their depositions together. CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Well, that's --21 MS. CARROLL: Which seems to be a restriction, 22 because Mr. Evans is going to be travelling, and Mr. Turk 23 is going to be observing his religion. I don't see why 24

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

25

that should be imposed on --

1	MR. TURK: We're not, in fact. I intend to
2	waive the religious issue, and in order to accommodate Mr.
3	
4	MS. CARROLL: I would stand by you in honoring
5	it, but
6	MR. TURK: Well, we worked it out so that if
7	your witness in Los Angeles is available, we'll take him,
8	perhaps, on the third. Rather than on the first or
9	second. That accommodates both Mr. Evans, and I can live
10	with that, too.
11	MS. CARROLL: But see now
12	MR. EVANS: I've got a meeting here on the
13	it's April, let's see. We can work that out, but as far
14	as hearings, I don't think it will affect the hearing.
15	MS. CARROLL: Yes, but see, we have and I
16	think this is tentative, although I wrote it in my
17	calendar, which I don't usually do.
18	But, if you move the April 1 deadline to April
19	5 oh, because you're having holidays the third, fourth,
20	and fifth? I'm with you now. I see why three days.
21	But you can't interview Copcutt, until some
22	time that week, and you have a restriction. Is that
23	right, Mr. Carroll? I mean, that week is very
24	MR. TURK: No, we can do Copcutt during that
25	week. It would be hard for me to get to Atlanta that
	NEAL B. ODOGG

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1923 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
1941NGTON, D.C. 20006

week.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CARROLL: Right, and you were coming to Atlanta the week before, and that all worked out.

MR. TURK: And the week after.

MS. CARROLL: Okay. So then the week after --I mean, why can't you do our six witnesses in five days? You've got five Atlanta witnesses. Why can't you do them in five days?

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Well, we think that the schedule that we've set will work fairly well.

MS. CARROLL: Well, we don't, and --

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Well --

MS. CARROLL: -- we would like to work on the front end of it, because I think the Honors have extended each deadline by a month, and I don't see the justification for that.

I would like to raise the question, and, if I'm out of line, just tell me, and I guess I'll be quiet.

MR. TURK: The major problem we have is, if you are going to be presenting witnesses, who may not even be prefiling written testimony, we're going to need pretty good depositions to figure out what it is they're going to say.

You have at least one witness named who goes on for a while, and I don't expect her deposition to take

NEAL R. GROSS

just a short amount of time.

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I think the schedule that we've been talking about, starting the 29th, is pretty good, and it will enable us to stay in a two week -- essentially, a ten day period, to get through the whole thing at once.

And that will give us somewhat more flexibility as to when we can schedule particular witnesses, and that should help GANE, in terms of bringing in witnesses from the Pacific Coast.

But, anyway, I think we will -- now, we'll be flexible on limited appearances, and we can schedule limited appearances for, like, Saturday, June 1, or we can set it --

MS. CARROLL: Saturday, June 1, sounds good.
But, like, where is GANE going to fall in this mix?
You've got Applicants, Intervenors, staff, and then rebuttals. Are we going to be in the first week, or in the second week, like, are we going to --

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Well, I don't -- we won't know. Until we get to the prepared testimony, it will be hard to estimate how long it will take.

Normally, though, as I said, we would work in
-- we would arrange to hear what's his name? Copcutt. We would arrange to hear him at whatever time is most

NEAL R. GROSS

convenient for him to get to Atlanta and back, and save 2 him money or whatever. 3 We will be very flexible about - we can put him on at any time requested. That GANE could file -- you know, you could ask us, and we would accommodate his 5 testimony by scheduling him at whatever time would be most 6 convenient for his, whenever his plans are. 8 MS. CARROLL: Wait a minute, y'all, sorry. I'm a little late on the uptake. I can't do it then. I 10 cannot participate in the hearing then. I'll be working. 11 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Working when? MS. CARROLL: I have a client, and I'm booked. 13 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: For which --MS. CARROLL: I apologize for -- I worked it 14 15 out with her ahead of time, so I would know what my 16 restrictions were. 17 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: For what period of time 18 is this? 19 MS. CARROLL: It's precisely the two weeks he 20 picked, and I had made some mental notes, and it does pay 21 off perfectly with when the GANE witnesses were not available. 22 23 So I guess I could figure out who's going to 24 win on that issue. It looks like we're in great shape to 25 do it earlier, since, you know, GANE only has six **NEAL R. GROSS**

2 MR. TURK: Glenn, you're unavailable which 3 days? 4 MS. CARROLL: I'm unavailable -- actually, it grinds on a little bit. But that last week of May, the 5 27th through the 31st, that first week of June, the 3rd 6 through the 7th. 8 And, then, I would be grinding on into June 10th through 12th. I'll be at the printers, and I'll have to nurse it along. I won't be fully booked. 10 11 MR. TURK: So you're not free until June 12th? 12 MS. CARROLL: I've got another hearing that 13 week. So, you know, June 17th, and I'm not backing down. 14 I think that, considering that we worked this out ahead of time with the students, too. 15 16 That is a big, big issue to GANE, choosing a 17 time, that, specifically, was figured out ahead of time to 18 allow this. But, anyway, I would be loathe to ask my 19 client to accommodate me on this. I need them to survive. 20 MR. TURK: Well, Your Honor, I don't know what 21 to do about that. I do feel the hearings are going to take more than a week, so that, even if --22 MS. CARROLL: I do, too. I think it's going 23 to take longer than ten days. MR. TURK: Even if you went with the first 25

witnesses and everything. But --

1	idea, of starting hearings May 20th, we couldn't conclude
2	because of Ms. Carroll's unavailability. We may have to
3	defer until June 17th, to begin.
4	MS. CARROLL: I don't think you dare get into
5	June 17th. You'll definitely run into the Olympics.
6	MR. TURK: I don't know.
7	MR. EVANS: I don't know that you run into
8	them that early, do you? The Olympics start about mid-
9	July.
10	MS. CARROLL: Yes, but everybody comes in, and
11	starts training and everything, don't they?
12	MR. EVANS: Not that I know of.
13	MS. CARROLL: All the press people come in,
14	and get, like, pre-Olympics stories? I don't know.
15	MR. EVANS: I would have thought we were good
16	in June. I would not I would think we need to be
17	through in June. I don't know of anything going on in
18	until, before July.
19	MS. CARROLL: But boy, we will so clearly be
20	out of bounds on inc uding the public
21	MR. EVANS: Well, you know
22	MS. CARROLL: the voices of the public on
23	that.
24	MR. TURK: Well, there's still a lot of public
25	walking around, I mean.
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	MS. CARROLL: Yes, but to say that is right
2	there, they're young people. You know, they have the most
3	risk.
4	MR. EVANS: Well, I'm just not used to that.
5	Usually, I'm used to judges scheduling trials, and, if the
6	students can't get there, they can't get there, and that's
7	the way the cookie crumbles.
8	MS. CARROLL: Well, didn't you ever well,
9	yes, you were involved in the Tech reactor, but it wasn't
10	you didn't go to a public hearing with it.
11	MR. TURK: You know, I took a look at my
12	calendar, and I do have hotel reservations for the whole
13	week of June 17th, in Atlanta. So, at least that week
14	seems to be clear.
15	And maybe we can find out how the week of June
16	24th is, and that would do it. We could stop by June
17	28th, two weeks of hearings and we're out.
18	MR. EVANS: I can do that. I'm just saying I
19	can I'm available those two weeks.
20	MS. CARROLL: I'm not.
21	MR. MENDONCA: I cannot.
22	COURT REPORTER: Who is that?
23	MS. CARROLL: Is that the court reporter?
24	COURT REPORTER: No, it wasn't, but I'd like
25	to know who that was.

1	MS. CARROLL: Was that
2	COURT REPORTER: Who was that, please?
3	MS. CARROLL: I don't know.
4	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Who was that person who
5	said I cannot, Mr. Mendonca?
6	MR. MENDONCA: Mr. Mendonca made a very
7	emphatic statement. He's talking about June 27th, and I'd
8	like to talk with him and all the witnesses, and see when
9	they are available. You know, we're all assuming that, if
10	we set a date, the witnesses can attend, and we don't know
11	that.
12	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I assume we have subpoena
13	power for witnesses.
14	MR. MENDONCA: I'll put this on the record.
15	That's right, Mr. Mendonca.
16	MS. CARROLL: And that was day he was getting
17	married, darn.
18	MR. MENDONCA: Mr. Mendonca just offered to
19	have you call the witnesses.
20	MS. CARROLL: I can't, I'm getting married.
21	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I'll put it this way.
22	When we were scheduling, before, I anticipated the first
23	week would be the week of May 20th, and that the second
24	week, to the extent needed, would be either the June 3rd
25	or June 10th week. And I had thought GANE would have
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	planned for two weeks worth.
2	MS. CARROLL: I'll tell you, I can be flexible
3	on that first week, but that June that week in June,
4	I'm sorry, I'll start over again. I can be flexible on
5	the week of the 27th. I have no flexibility on the week
6	of June 3rd.
7	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: But what about the
8	MS. CARROLL: I'm comfortable with it,
9	because, I mean, I've already have taken a huge hit doing
10	this, and to screw my big client up is real scary.
11	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: What about that week of
12	June 10th? That was the other one.
13	MS. CARROLL: I just can't get off then. It's
14	not acceptable. If the student body is not in session
15	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: No, I'm saying, if we
16	started the hearing on the week of the 20th
17	MS. CARROLL: And then skip
18	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: And then yes.
19	MS. CARROLL: Skip tow weeks, and then came
20	back?
21	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: All witnesses don't have
22	to file their testimony at the same time.
23	MS. CARROLL: I think the question is how can
24	we do this May 13th, and May 20th, those two weeks.
25	MR. EVANS: I can that's the, you know
	The state of the s

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

1	MS. CARROLL: If you all weren't trying so
2	hard to blow GANE out of the water, you could do it. You
3	haven't got the troops on your side, that's your problem.
4	MR. EVANS: I'll be out of state, for at least
5	the start of the week of May 13th.
6	MR. TURK: Your Honor, would it be appropriate
7	for the parties to talk among themselves, and see if we
8	can come up with a joint proposal for schedule, and maybe
9	reconvene on this issue tomorrow, or the beginning of next
10	week?
11	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I'm going to be out of
12	town next week, and I've got to be working on other things
13	tomorrow.
14	MS. CARROLL: This is the last time we could
15	all get together for three weeks, as I understood it.
16	Maybe we're stuck together. This is the end game.
17	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I can any weekend you
18	all want to start it in June is fine by me. Well, they
19	can talk among themselves, too.
20	(Chatter.)
21	Yes, but I want to ask I have a couple of
22	questions to ask before we sign off, and
23	MS. CARROLL: And I had one the about Rebecca
24	Long.
25	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Well, that was one of our
	NEAL D. CDCCC

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

-- but we think can perhaps resume tomorrow. Dr. Lam will not be available, but we'll have a quorum at least, and we can resume tomorrow.

But let me suggest one thing. Not all

But let me suggest one thing. Not all prepared testimony need be filed on the same date. The rules talk about 15 days before the session of the hearing at which the guy, person will testify - I shouldn't use guy.

The staff does not, in any event, testify first, so they can delay their filing and prepared testimony presumably 15 days before whenever would be a reasonable date to expect them to testify. So they would have a few extra days, in any event, if we set a schedule like that.

MR. TURK: I know that one of our witnesses will be Mr. Mendonca, and I would expect that he'll be attending the hearings while other witnesses are on the stand.

I'll be sitting with him at the hearing. He would not be able to work on pretrial testimony while hearings are going on. The same is probably true for --

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I didn't say while the hearings were going on. It might give you an extra week to file prepared. Instead of filing, like -- prepared testimony then would be filed like next May 3rd could be

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

F

the date for filing prepared testimony for both parties. 2 The staff, which would follow, could have until, probably another five, another week, as long as 3 4 they made sure everybody got it. And they would have, then, until May 10th for the staff. And that would not necessarily be -- I mean, they could file Federal Express. 6 7 or whatever. 8 MS. CARROLL: That's a neat idea. 9 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: And that would give the staff -- the staff, at least, some extra time. I realize 10 11 it would not give Georgia Tech any extra time, but. 12 MR. TURK: Your Honor, what you're proposing, then, is that we file -- in Georgia Tech's case, three 13 weeks after we would stop depositions. In our case, four 14 weeks after. 15 16 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I haven't extended the discovery schedule yet. I was assuming that, based on 18 what's been said, if we added a week to discovery, until -- right now, discovery is supposed to end on April 1. 19 MR. TURK: Right. And I have requested that 20 21 we be allowed to extend through the week of April 8th. We'll just close it on the 12th. 22 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Yes. Well, I don't --23 well, we haven't granted that yet, and I'm not sure we should. 25

1	MR. TURK: Well, we may need to address that
2	now.
3	MR. EVANS: I would join in that motion. I
4	mean, I think we have to be somewhat real on you know,
5	we, I can be available on the last week in March to depose
6	people.
7	But I don't know what the schedules of the
8	people we want to depose are, and I think we have to have
9	some flexibility, and I don't think that's very much.
10	MS. CARROLL: Well, I think if we have
11	MR. EVANS: Say until the 12th.
12	MS. CARROLL: If we have agreed upon the goal,
13	we can be flexible on one deposition or something, but to,
14	you know, send the whole thing two weeks is blowing
15	everything. Judge Bechoefer, are you now looking at May -
16	- the week of May 13th, and the week of May 20th?
17	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Hold on. We were off the
18	record for the moment.
19	MR. EVANS: I won't be here the week of May
20	13th. I Lord. At least the start of the week of May
21	13th, I'm going to be out of state.
22	MS. CARROLL: When would you be back?
23	MR. EVANS: I'll probably be back about the
24	15th, the 16th, the middle of that week.
25	MS. CARROLL: Well.
	NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

MR. EVANS: But that just seems to be an awful short time. I'm assuming that, as far as what I submit, as far as the testimony, would simply be the direct. And that might be pretty short.

But the major testimony, obviously, with Karam and folks like that, is going to have to be, not -- it's going to have to be the rebuttal testimony, going down the laundry list of everything GANE has -- the 81, or is it 82 factual assertions you've made. We're going to have to go down those, one at a time.

I assume that's not covered by the written testimony, because that's going to be -- come up during rebuttal.

MS. CARROLL: Mr. Evans, you know, you're pretty new to this, and Ms. Guilday's been in it, since pretty much -- well, you know, she's been in the State Attorney General's office. And you also have Nordin and Wolibick, and them over there.

And, as you've got the rebuttal testimony, as what you perceive as vital, I mean, is there any way your crack team of lawyers over there could kind of cover for you?

MR. EVANS: No, because rebuttal testimony is just that.

MS. CARROLL: No, I was talking at the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

1	beginning, at the front end.
2	MR. EVANS: I'm lost.
3	MS. CARROLL: If we started on the 13th, and
4	you didn't get into town until the 15th or 16th, could
5	Nordin, Wolibick, and Gunnells
6	MR. EVANS: No, because they are not
7	MS. CARROLL: Guilday, all of them cover
8	the beginning end, and because you've got the rebuttal.
9	That's the last part of it.
10	MR. EVANS: No, because I was talking about
11	the written testimony. But, no, I mean, I'm the one
12	I'm the only one handling the case. Gary Wolibick and
13	those there, they're kind of in-house counsel.
14	MS. CARROLL: Well, Pat Guilday is no slouch.
15	She knows a lot about it.
16	MR. EVANS: Well, she's no longer on the case.
17	She has other she's doing torts, mainly torts, I
18	believe. But no, she's out. I mean, originally
19	MS. CARROLL: It seems like the State
20	Attorney General's office could do something. I mean,
21	you've barely been involved so far, so maybe I don't
22	know. I don't know.
23	MR. EVANS: Well, all I'm saying is,
24	originally, when we talked about
25	MS. CARROLL: you're hard to work out. And
20 14	NEAL R. GROSS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

2 1	I sure want to go see my father in the hospital, before
2	MR. EVANS: Well, when we talked last time, we
3	were talking about the tail end of May, and early June,
4	and that was fine by me. It is still fine by me.
5	MS. CARROLL: Well, it never was fine by me,
6	so what are we going to do?
7	MR. EVANS: Well, I would go to the week of
8	early June, June 3rd, June 10th.
9	MS. CARROLL: I can't deal with it then.
10	MR. EVANS: Well, maybe you need to change
11	your plans.
12	MS. CARROLL: Maybe you need to change yours.
13	MR. EVANS: This isn't the only case I have.
14	MS. CARROLL: This isn't my only life, either.
15	MR. EVANS: Are we off the record?
16	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: You weren't off the
17	record, but we were off the record. We were listening.
18	COURT REPORTER: Actually, so was I. This is
19	the court reporter. Could I please get the spelling of
20	Guilday, Nordin, and Wolibick?
21	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: They have nothing to do
22	with the case, I mean, as far as
23	MS. CARROLL: Guilday is G-U-I-L-D-A-Y. Can
24	you hear me?
25	COURT REPORTER: Yes, just continue.
	NEAL D. ODOGG

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

1	MS. CARROLL: Nordin, N-O-R-D-I-N. Gunnells
2	is G-U-N-N-E-L-L-S.
3	COURT REPORTER: G-U-N?
4	MS. CARROLL: N as in Nancy.
5	COURT REPORTER: Right. Go on.
6	MS. CARROLL: Was there another name?
7	COURT REPORTER: Gunnells, or Gun?
8	MS. CARROLL: Gunnells. G-U-N, as in Nancy,
9	N-E-L-L-S.
10	COURT REPORTER: Right. And then Wolibick?
11	MS. CARROLL: Wolibick. I believe it's W-A-L-
12	0
13	MR. EVANS: I think it's W-O-L.
14	COURT REPORTER: W-O-L?
15	MR. EVANS: O-B-I-C-K.
16	COURT REPORTER: O-B-I-C-K. Okay, thank you.
17	MS. CARROLL: Maybe we should just hook up on
18	satellite conference.
19	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: If we did the first week,
20	as scheduled, and then arranged any of these weeks for a
21	second week, I think that would solve things.
22	MS. CARROLL: So, the 20th through the 24th is
23	the first week.
24	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: That was the first week,
25	and but all testimony may not be in by then, and,
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

(202) 234-4433

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

particularly, my guess is that the staff will not be expected to appear as a witness during that week. I'm not sure, but. We never know how long these other things 3 take. 4 MR. TURK: You know, or thing we may do 5 that's, that Glenn may find useful. And let me throw this 6 7 out. I have two ideas, actually. One is, if we know whether Pamela Blockey-8 9 O'Brien will testify or not, and will be filing pretrial testimony, we know whether we have to take her deposition. 10 11 If we don't have to, that saves time, and it will also cut back on the scope of what we have to address 12 in our testimony. That's one suggestion. If we could 13 14 find that out, that would help us in scheduling. 15 Secondly, perhaps, in terms of scheduling the order of witnesses, if GANE wishes to go first, if the 16 Board finds that to be acceptable, perhaps GANE could put 17 18 on its testimony the week of May 20th. 19 And then the other parties resume when Ms. Carroll is available, in the middle of June. And closing 20 21 hearings out in the middle of June, when the Applicant's and staff's testimony is concluded. 22 23 MS. CARROLL: Let me ask you this. If GANE 24 went first, which would be irregular, would Georgia Tech be able to sort of combine their testimony and their 25

NEAL R. GROSS

rebuttal?

2

3

5

6

7

8

MR. EVANS: Yes, we could.

4

MS. CARROLL: Well, that would tend to shorten

the hearing.

far as I know.

can tell.

MR. EVANS: But, on the other hand, I don't know -- we couldn't do that, if we're filing anything in writing. I don't know -- obviously, the rebuttal testimony is not something you can out it in writing, as

9

10

11

12

MS. CARROLL: That's right. But, if you

followed your accuser, which would be us.

MR. EVANS: Yes.

13

MS. CARROLL: And the staff is expected to

14

support you with every fiber of their being, as far as we

15

MR. TURK: I don't expect that.

17

16

MS. CARROLL: Anyway, it seems like you would

18

not have anything to rebut. But --

19

MR. EVANS: Really to the contrary. It would almost be pointless. I would say, if we're doing it that

20 21

way, we should probably be relieved of filing any written

22

testimony at all, because, basically, what we would be

23

doing in that case, you would be giving your reasons why

24

we shouldn't begin the license renewal, and our entire

case would be basically rebutting your case.

25

NEAL R. GROSS

1	So there, really, probably would be no reason
2	for us to put in any written testimony, unless you want
3	some formal testimony about who's doing and what their
4	people have, as far as their college degrees, so forth and
5	so on.
6	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: We clearly want pretrial
7	testimony as to who's, who the person is, and what his
8	background qualifications
9	MR. EVANS: Of course.
10	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: In any event, we're going
11	to require that, because
12	MR. EVANS: Well, even for the rebuttal folks,
13	we would want that.
14	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Well, except, in some
15	cases, you see, I don't know whether some witnesses I can
16	think of we may or may not call, depending on what comes
17	in on Plaintiff's case. I can think of several instances,
18	where, depending on their case, we may or may not call
19	somebody.
20	MS. CARROLL: Well, this is all beyond me.
21	I'd like to go back to, you know, Herb extending his
22	desire, and Evans concurred, the April 1 deadline, which
23	hasn't been extended yet, but it's under discussion.
24	It's a religious holiday, Passover, and it's

So it's a short week. But a lot will

25

also Good Friday.

have been done, prior to that.

1.

And I think GANE could agree with saying that everything but Dr. Copcutt -- listen, I think you ought to figure on paying. I'm going to do my best to get her to tow the line in pretrial testimony, so let's figure she's a witness.

And, if you wanted to put like Copcutt and O'Brien in late. And certainly, in GANE's case, we kind of know where O'Brien's coming from, so, you know, if that came in late to us, that wouldn't affect us a lot anyway. I don't think any of us has the kind of knowledge we're supposed to have.

Anyway, couldn't we -- I just think we can do the schedule better. Now, if you say, really honestly tried to have most of it in by the 1st, and a couple of them in by the 8th, or the 9th, then what's left? Then, you've got to get your witnesses together, and get pretrial testimony in --

MR. EVANS: Yes.

MS. CARROLL: -- two weeks before they testify. And I think Bechoefer was raising some very interesting points about really playing this close to the edge of the envelope, where, literally, two weeks before that witness takes the stand --

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: That's permissible.

NEAL R. GROSS

That's what the rule says, actually.

MS. CARROLL: So that works. That gives you a lot of flexibility, if you could strategically figure out your order of your witnesses, and make sure you knock them off in that order.

You know, when you say you need five weeks for that, and that's pressing it. Is that what you said, Mr. Turk?

MR. TURK: For -- I'm sorry?

MS. CARROLL: Five weeks for preparing your witnesses' testimony, would be what you needed, and you regard that that would still be a tight schedule?

MR. TURK: That and somebody working the hearing during that period, yes. I guess we --

MS. CARROLL: But, if you took that five weeks, through May 10th, and if you think it would sound right, if we could start the hearing, say on the 15th. I don't know, because of Mr. Evans' restrictions.

And roll it around, do a weird two week sequence, where we go the 15th to the, through May 29th. And sorry all of you all have to hang out in Atlanta on Memorial Day. Or, I would vote for my government, and send people home, to be with their families for that holiday.

MR. EVANS: The timing of this. There's not

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

really enough time, unless people --MR. TURK: Your Honor, would you be interested in adjusting the witnesses appearing so that GANE goes first? And then break -- the other parties to bring in their testimony, bring in their witnesses a few weeks later? I think none of the other parties oppose the idea. MR. EVANS: I have no problem with that. MS. CARROLL: Now --

MR. TURK: You know, from the staff's point of view, we would be following GANE anyway. So we would still be following, except for they would be this hiatus, when Ms. Carroll is not available to be present.

MR. EVANS: The main difference is our witnesses would be going on once rather than twice.

MR. TURK: Which I think is preferable.

MR. EVANS: Because the normal order, if we go first, all I would plan on doing is putting on a very brief direct case as to why we think we're entitled to the license renewal.

GANE comes on, GANE is going to put on -- I think they had 82 listed factual assertions. My contemplation would be that I will have someone going right down those 87 factual assertions, one after the other, hopefully shooting them all down.

And that, as I see it, that's rebuttal

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

testimony. I wouldn't be preparing that anyway. I mean, 2 I would be preparing the witness. MR. TURK: You could prepare that in writing, 3 4 and submit it. 5 MR. EVANS: Yes. 6 MR. TURK: Have the witness simply swear to it 7 when he gets up on the stand. 8 MS. CARROLL: You can do that all at the same 9 time. Get a secretary to do it. 10 MR. TURK: That may save a lot of time in terms of how much actual hearing time we have, if we save 11 all the time needed to go through that orally. 12 13 MS. CARROLL: Good point, good point. 14 MR. EVANS: Of course, I would have to -- I 15 can't do anything in writing, until I hear what the 16 witness says on the stand orally. I can have them prepared, but I have to know what comes in, before I know 17 what I'm going to ask on rebuttal. 18 19 MR. TURK: I agree with that. To a large extent, you can frame the testimony, knowing what you 20 expect is going to come in, and then adjust it based on 21 what GANE produces. The only question, though, is how 22 much time do you need to adjust the written testimony? 23 MR. EVANS: I have not understood that I put 24 25 in written testimony on rebuttal cases. I thought that

1	was only on the direct case.
2	MS. CARROLL: I don't understand that either.
3	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Normally, it's only
4	written testimony on a direct case.
5	MR. EVANS: Yes, that's what I thought.
6	MS. CARROLL: go down
7	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: The thing that has been
8	done for rebuttal testimony we certainly would want
9	some time in advance, I guess, a statement of the
10	qualifications of
11	MR. EVANS: Of course. There's no question of
12	that.
13	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: talked before, or
14	haven't been distributed before.
15	MR. EVANS: Yes. We would yes, there's no
16	problem on the qualifications, and I could even probably
17	even do that on witnesses I don't know if I'll call. Like
18	Greg Kahn. I don't know if I'll call him or not, but I
19	could get you his qualifications, in case we call him. I
20	think that's doable.
21	MS. CARROLL: What I'm after is to get you all
22	to see that we could do this the week of May 13th and the
23	week of May 20th. It would be real tidy, you'd be home
24	for the holidays, we'd be done.
25	MR. EVANS: May 13th is just too early.

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

NEAL R. GROSS

MS. CARROLL: Well, it wouldn't be, if you all weren't trying to extend these deadlines, and again, I 2 3 know I'm --4 MR. EVANS: That would be too early. 5 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Under the rules, that would be too early, as long as we had prepared testimony 6 at all, because you're supposed to put 15 days in there. 7 8 Plus time to digest. 9 MS. CARROLL: But, if GANE goes first, and we get our prepared testimony in early, if we move our 10 11 deadline up, for prepared testimony. MR. EVANS: Okay, I don't -- but I can't be 12 13 working my witnesses and preparing them for oral 14 testimony, even, while you're presenting your case. I 15 mean, I need some time to do that. 16 MS. CARROLL: Well, we're giving you five 17 weeks. We're giving you from the week of April 9th, 15th, 18 22nd, 29th, then we're in May, and you've got your five 19 weeks. And that week of May 6th. 20 Well, I see your problem. Well, I tell you 21 what. I think I could get my client to work with me on 22 that last week of May, that Memorial Day's in, if you all 23 could be okay with Memorial Day being in the middle of it. 24 MR. EVANS: I can. That's okay with me. 25 MS. CARROLL: I mean, obviously we wouldn't

MR. EVANS: Yes, well. 3 MS. CARROLL: It would be the starting week for the -- I'll wheel and deal with my client. I think I can make it up for her in June. And, if we could -- if we 5 6 thought we could wrap it in nine days. 7 I think you all ought to hustle, and let us do 8 it on the 13th. I think that's ridiculous that you all are going to take five weeks to slam little bitty GANE, 10 with absolutely no resources. 11 MR. EVANS: Well, I think what you maybe don't understand is I probably have a caseload of, I don't know, 12 13 I would say at least 15 very active cases. MS. CARROLL: I m a volunteer. I don't want 14 15 to hear about it. 16 MR. EVANS: Well, the point is, I'm working --17 MS. CARROLL: Then the State Attorney General 18 should have picked someone else, if you can't do this. 19 MR. EVANS: Well, I can't spend -- I work, 20 right now, nine to ten hours a day, and I'm not about to 21 do any more. I'm sorry. MS. CARROLL: Well, how many hours do you 22 think I work? I earn my way, I'm a volunteer, and I have 23 to walk my dog. 24 25 MR. EVANS: All right. But this is not the

have the hearing on Memorial Day.

only case I have. It is not the only hearing I've got coming up, and it's not the only brief I've got coming up. 2 I mean, I can't devote -- you say five weeks. I can't --3 I don't have five weeks. 5 MS. CARROLL: I don't see why you need five weeks. We aren't even doing a single deposition and, you 7 know what, I think we're still going to win. 8 MR. EVANS: Well, my point is I don't have -out of five weeks, I don't have five weeks to devote to 9 10 this case. 11 MS. CARROLL: Well, Turk mentioned it. I 12 don't see on what earth you'll do with five weeks, with 13 your witnesses right there at hand. But I'm no lawyer. MR. TURK: Your Honor, where we started was 14 looking at how much time we needed for depositions. If we 15 16 can agree on a cut-off of deposition time, and look to see 17 where we are at that point, maybe that's the best way to 18 start. 19 And, again, I would note that, based on Mr. 20 Evans' schedule, and the difficulties of the week of April 21 1, I don't think we can conclude depositions, including 22 the deposition of Blockey-O'Brien, realistically, before 23 the 12th of April, the second week in April. 24 MS. CARROLL: Why don't you schedule her for

NEAL R. GROSS

Monday the 22nd, and Thursday? Why don't you get her

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

1	first?
2	MR. TURK: We'll have to talk to ill
3	witnesses, and check on their availability.
4	MR. EVANS: Yes. We have to check on that, on
5	everybody, including ours.
6	MR. TURK: What I have asked for is an 11-day
7	extension of discovery.
8	MR. EVANS: I would support that motion, Al
9	Evans.
10	MS. CARROLL: And I would oppose it, Glenn
11	Carroll. Did anybody see <u>Time</u> magazine yet?
12	MR. EVANS: No.
13	MS. CARROLL: Hello?
14	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: We're back on the record.
15	Was off the record, one minute.
16	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter
17	went off the record at 5:10 p.m.,
18	and went back on the record at 5;16
19	p.m.)
20	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: We had heard a lot of
21	this, not all of it maybe.
22	MR. TURK: I had made one point which I'm not
23	sure was picked up on the transcript, Your Honor. And
24	that is that I asked for an extension only until April
25	5th, a four-day extension of discovery.

Except, during today's conversation, Mr. Evans is unavailable for depositions during the week of March 2 3 18th, which I had assumed was a week we would block out 4 for some depositions. 5 And, also, he's unavailable March 25th. So, essentially, we would have to start depositions March 6 7 26th, and make sure the witnesses are available to be 8 deposed during that week and part of the following week. 9 MS. CARROLL: I ask again, why you --10 MR. TURK: May I finish please? And, for that reason, I thought that it would be likely that we would 11 not be able to finish depositions, until some time during 12 13 the week of April 8th. 14 And, for that reason, I had asked for April 15 12th as the cut-off date. And that's due to the unavailability of counsel, and the need to schedule with 16 17 witnesses, and that's the only reason for that extension. 18 I also had made the observation that we were 19 putting so many things into the record that are 20 unnecessary, that we're stretching it out, way beyond what should be in the record. 21 22 MS. CARROLL: Why are you waiting so late in 23 the March to get started, I forget? 24 MR. EVANS: Well, I can tell you. On -- next 25 week, I am out of town. The week of the 11th and 18th, I

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20066

have a major 11th Circuit brief due. It's going to take about two full weeks, ten hours a day, probably counting 3 the weekends. 4 And that is due, that has to be filed -currently, has to be filed the 18th, and I'm, I believe, 5 with the consent of the other side, they will extend it to 6 7 the 25th. 8 MS. CARROLL: I ask, again, why Turk and Evans 9 have to do this together? 10 MR. EVANS: Well, because we're both representing, in essence, different interests. I mean, 11 12 I'm representing Georgia Tech, or really, the State of Georgia. But, in this instance, Georgia Tech. I think 13 14 I'm representing the public, too, at least of Georgia. 15 And Mr. Turk is representing the NRC, the 16 staff, and our interests are not necessarily the same at 17 all. MS. CARROLL: Well, I agree. So I don't see 18 19 why you have to do your depositions together. And I'll add, I don't see why GANE's and the public's problem, that 20 21 the State Attorney General assigned an overworked attorney 22 to this case. 23 MR. EVANS: Well, we have a great many people 24 who are overworked, and that's the way it is. I mean, we 25 don't have -- most everyone here is handling multiple NEAL R. GROSS

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

> > WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

- 12

(202) 234-4433

cases.

I probably have a caseload of about 30 cases.

At any one given time, you've got about ten of them that are pretty active.

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I think some of these considerations are not really very relevant. Why does -- for instance, why couldn't Dr. Copcutt be deposed on two different days, by two different parties: We could grant limited extensions for that purpose.

I don't know why the whole hearing schedule has to be based on the convenience of certain lawyers who want to do things at certain times, when, particularly, the Applicant and staff have multiple people they can rely on, if necessary.

To me, they don't have to schedule their depositions the same day. And, in any event, it will be a lot -- it would be much more time-consuming, if we're both taking the same deposition of the same person, on different days.

What I was thinking was, probably, if we're, it should be taking one deposition, where we work together. That will avoid redundancy --

MS. CARROLL: But your logic is awful.

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: -- ask the same

questions.

NEAL R. GROSS

MS. CARROLL: You're going to cut out the 2 students. 3 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Read the transcript. MR. TURK: The students do not have to be cut 4 out of the process. We can address the hearing schedule, 5 in a way that will accommodate them, at least for a few 6 days of hearings, perhaps, in the manner I suggested. 7 8 But I've always seen that, when depositions are taken, the parties -- in this case, all three parties, 9 will try to work out a schedule that is convenient to the 10 11 witnesses, and to the representatives and lawyers. 12 So that you don't have duplication, you don't 13 force a witness to come out more than one time for 14 depositions. You don't go to the expense of having a 15 court reporter on more than one occasion. 16 MR. EVANS: Twice 17 MR. TURK: You don't have to get transcripts 18 for separate depositions, and then have to read transcripts that you were not present and attending, so 20 you can then determine what it is that you need to examine 21 in your own deposition. 22 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I'm not denying that it's 23 more efficient. 24 MR. TURK: There's no benefit to scheduling it 25 separately, except for Ms. Carroll's need to try to NEAL R. GROSS

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

> > WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

accommodate the public, which is not the proper thing to be considering in scheduling anyway. It should be the availability of the witnesses --MS. CARROLL: Oh my God. MR. TURK: -- the convenience of the parties, and the availability of the Board and of hearing rooms. We're not here to put on a public show. If the public is able to attend, and they are able to attend, and they want to attend, fine. If we can accommodate them fine. But the primary focus, in any scheduling, should be the parties' needs and the representatives' needs. And that's what we've been talking about. And, if Ms. GANE -- Carroll was lawyer, she would understand that, and we wouldn't have to go back to square one, and explain to her how litigation is conducted. MS. CARROLL: I absolutely, categorically disagree with you. MR. TURK: And it's 5:25, and I really would like to work things out. MS. CARROLL: -- and would serve the public. I mean, it's -- within a body load of constraints, I can perceive that. I'm clearly, the only one of the three arguing parties arguing for that.

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: If we scheduled, for

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	hearing, the week of the 20th to 24th, and then scheduled
2	one of the following week for any Board hearings that may
3	be needed, either the week of June 3rd or June 10th, if
4	possible, or even June 17th.
5	I have real questions about getting hote'
6	space in Atlanta, during a week as late as the 17th.
7	That's 30 days or so before Olympics start, and I think
8	people start coming in town.
9	MR. TURK: I know about you, but I didn't
10	think it was
11	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: No, I just don't know off
12	hand.
13	MR. TURK: I know that, personally, Your
14	Honor, Mr. Mendonca and I, and Ms. Woodhead have been able
15	to book rooms all the way through June 21st. We have not
16	tried for later dates. But we've been able to get rooms
17	all the way through then.
18	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I see. So, if we
13	MR. TURK: If we try now, we probably can book
20	it.
21	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I see. So, if you set -
22	if we set the week of May 20th, and then we went to the
23	week of June 17th, for carryover, we could do that. And,
24	if we provided that the testimony, then, would be filed
25	well, let's see.
	NEAL R. GROSS

Filed, probably Friday, the 3rd of May. But, to the extent that Georgia Tech or GANE need only file -- are only going to file, essentially, qualifications, identification of witnesses, that type of thing.

That would probably be sufficient, given what Mr. Evans said about what direct testimony of Georgia Tech would be, in any event.

MR. EVANS: I hope I'm not -- I mean, I'm assuming I'm correct on procedure, that you do not do it on rebuttal testimony, but mainly for the direct.

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: That's correct.

MR. EVANS: And, in a case like this, I would -- I mean, I want all the cards on the table. I would assume that the direct is very brief, and just basically trying to show, prima facie, why Georgia Tech thinks that they're entitled to renewal.

And then, after GANE goes, and after GANE's turn, and they point out all the things we've done that are wicked, then we come back and show that they weren't wicked. And that part is not in writing before, because we don't know the nature of the wickedness, until they say it in court.

MR. TURK: I'm going to make a proposal that Georgia Tech probably will not like, and that is file testimony the way the staff files testimony. We will

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

anticipate the issues that will be raised by the Intervenor's testimony, we will prepare testimony to meet 2 3 it. 4 After that testimony is proffered and comes in, we can then excise from our testimony portions that 5 are irrelevant, or do not meet anything that was out into 6 7 the record, and we can add to it things that are necessary. The staff, from the get-go, essentially will 8 9 be preparing pretrial, written, rebuttal testimony. MR. EVANS: Well, the reason I don't want to 10 11 do that is because A, that takes an awful lot longer time. 12 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Yes, I think that would 13 be --14 MR. EVANS: That would be very time-consuming. 15 I couldn't make that by May 3rd, I don't think. I can do the direct, and -- the brief direct, and I can do the 16 qualifications. I have no problem with that on the 3rd. 18 MR. TURK: That's -- you would then be able to 19 take a few extra weeks to file that. You wouldn't file 20 that until probably June 3rd, after GANE's witnesses are off the stand. 21 22 If this schedule that I'm suggesting works, GANE would file May 6th, we go to hearing on GANE's 23 testimony May 20th, staff/Applicant testimony gets filed 24 on June 3rd, and we go to hearing on that June 17th. 25

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

1	MR. EVANS: Well, that might be workable.
2	MS. CARROLL: That's interesting. However,
3	something's occurred to me, and it's protector of rights.
4	And I think it's in Georgia Tech's best
5	interest, and I think you also want the audience to
6	presume why you should have a license. And, if they
7	anticipate that, maybe being contained in a day, and I
8	don't know.
9	I have no clue we're going to want Copcutt on
10	the stand, etcetera. I would think a half a day would be
11	wearying for a witness, and I don't know. Anyway, if GANE
12	followed you, the rest of that
13	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: There are many witnesses
14	who are on for a lot longer than a half a day, but.
15	MS. CARROLL: Well, is two weeks realistic?
16	It sounds like Georgia Tech and the NRC are going to bring
17	in a couple of dozen apiece.
18	MR. EVANS: I don't think we have that many,
19	but
20	MS. CARROLL: Can you guess how many you've
21	got?
22	MR. EVANS: I think a two week hearing for a
23	situation like this, just based on general experience, I
24	think is probably about normal, average. What do you
25	anticipate?

- 1	MS. CARROLL: It was that.
2	MR. EVANS: I would think that I would
3	think this could be handled in about
4	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: We're estimating it about
5	two weeks, and maybe even less.
6	MR. EVANS: Maybe less. Maybe a few days
7	less.
8	MS. CARROLL: I'm just feeling the students
9	are sort of entitled to hear Georgia Tech's
10	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: If we held it on the
11	20th, they would have an opportunity to come in, and they
12	would be read it will be in the public record, the
13	direct testimony. But I think Mr. Evans is correct. A
14	lot of Georgia Tech's testimony will be in the nature of
15	rebuttal.
16	MR. EVANS: Probably 70, 80 percent of that.
17	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Yes, I can see that. And
18	that
19	MS. CARROLL: I think Georgia Tech okay,
20	let me ask you this. This five weeks that Turk's
21	experience tells him he needs. Okay, I'm sorry. That's
22	not what I meant to say. You don't have to strike it, I
23	just got confused.
24	Turk said that Bechoefer or, you know, the
25	Board can mess with the time schedules, if they like.
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	Now, if the parties agreed that, if we if the pretrial
2	testimony were in by the third, and we started the hearing
3	on the 13th, that the parties agreed that
4	MR. EVANS. It wasn't the 13th, it was the
5	following week, as I understand it. Not the 13th.
6	MS. CARROLL: Well, no, I'm the one talking
7	about the 13th. Nobody else is. The 13th is a good time.
8	And, if the parties agreed to shorten the time between the
9	pretrial testimony and the beginning of the hearing, would
10	that be a possibility?
11	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Well, we'd the staff
12	wouldn't have to file, then. Firs Evans, general
13	statements.
14	MS. CARROLL: Oh, you weren't suggesting the
15	staff file by the third. Even so
16	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: We were suggesting that
17	the staff file sometime later, approximately 15 days prior
18	to when their witnesses would go on.
19	MS. CARROLL: Like, if they filed on the
20	tenth, and we agreed
21	MR. TURK: The tenth of what?
21	MR. TURK: The tenth of what? MS. CARROLL: May.
22	MS. CARROLL: May.

that long. I'm just figuring, if you needed --MR. TURK: The staff would have longer than 2 that, because -- you know, all of this came about because 3 it's only last week that GANE finally filed their 4 discovery responses. They've had seven months' extension 5 6 of discovery. 7 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Stop complaining about 8

that. We've heard it about that.

MS. CARROLL: -- Rebecca Long --

MR. TURK: No. I'm asking for an adjustment, to meet people's scheduling needs, on the order of one to two weeks, and I feel all this compression taking place, without concept of why we're here, in the first place, at this late date. I don't think what I'm asking for is unreasonable at all.

MS. CARROLL: Well, maybe I could bring out my arsenal on all the screw-ups in this process. And I am aware that GANE has been accommodated, and I'm not going to draw a hard line here.

So, sorry, I can tell I pushed some buttons with you, but I see some ideal qualities to the 13th and the 20th, the week of the 13th and the 20th, and I was just asking if we could work this out. I'm sorry that you thought I was trying to impose something on you.

Well, the 13th doesn't give

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

people enough time to do anything. The 20th is absolutely the earliest. 2 3 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I don't think the week of 4 the 13th gives people very much time to -- we could postpone the filing dates, if people agree to use express 5 mail, and that kind of thing. But we ought to get, 6 whenever it's going to be there, in time for us to look it 7 over, at least. 8 9 MS. CARROLL: Well, how about if I worked it out with my client, for the week of the 27th. There is 10 11 Memorial Day in there. 12 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Well, we --13 MS. CARROLL: That would give us nine days. Although, we could still use that Saturday for limited 14 public appearances. I loved that idea. 15 16 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: We were thinking of 17 starting the 29th. That wouldn't be enough time. The week of the 20th would seem to reasonable there, still. 18 MS. CARROLL: Yes, that week seems to be good. 19 20 The second week becomes the question. And the thing I 21 don't like about June 17th, which is wonderful for me 22 personally is, you know, that the student body will 23 definitely have left. 24 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Yes, but the student body 25 -- if we're there the 20th, they will have an adequate

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1	opportunity. They don't have to sit in on the whole
2	hearing. They probably won't.
3	MS. CARROLL: They probably wouldn't, but it
4	seems like
5	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: We were scheduling the
6	week of the 20th, to try to get your desire in.
7	MS. CARROLL: Well, I appreciate that a lot.
8	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: And, if the first week of
9	the hearing were that, and the Applicants prepare
10	testimony, to the extent it describes why it should
11	receive a renewal, presumably would be prepared.
12	Well, the details wouldn't be, but I don't
13	expect that they would be anyway. They're in the nature
14	of rebuttal.
15	MS. CARROLL: Oh, so when the students come to
16	the hearing, the pick up Georgia Tech's pretrial
17	testimony, and they have read it for themselves?
18	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Well, I assume Georgia
19	Tech will they're not required to bring a lot of extra
20	copies, but
21	MS. CARROLL: But they can.
22	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: what is frequently
23	done is other parties, you can pile 100 copies on the back
24	of the room, and people can take it, if you want. I mean,
25	that's a matter of preference.
	NEAL D. CDOSS

1	There's only so many copies that are required,
2	and that means copies for all the parties, and a certain
3	number for the reporter, and all that kind of stuff.
4	I know the staff frequently brings in many
5	extra copies, and just invites people who are spectators
6	to take copies.
7	MS. CARROLL: Well, I guess I'm really trying
8	to keep the continuity going and, except for Memorial Day,
9	that next week is workable for me.
10	It's the study week for the students, and,
11	except for that Memorial Day, it seems like it would work.
12	And the government won't fly you home for a three day
13	weekend?
14	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Well, not really.
14	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Well, not really. MS. CARROLL: I mean, you'd probably have to
15	MS. CARROLL: I mean, you'd probably have to
15	MS. CARROLL: I mean, you'd probably have to cut Monday short, and travel back, and pick up the hearing
15 16 17	MS. CARROLL: I mean, you'd probably have to cut Monday short, and travel back, and pick up the hearing on Tuesday, right?
15 16 17 18	MS. CARROLL: I mean, you'd probably have to cut Monday short, and travel back, and pick up the hearing on Tuesday, right? CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Yes. That's why, when I
15 16 17 18	MS. CARROLL: I mean, you'd probably have to cut Monday short, and travel back, and pick up the hearing on Tuesday, right? CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Yes. That's why, when I suggested another schedule, I had suggested starting
15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. CARROLL: I mean, you'd probably have to cut Monday short, and travel back, and pick up the hearing on Tuesday, right? CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Yes. That's why, when I suggested another schedule, I had suggested starting Wednesday and we could fly down on Tuesday, instead.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. CARROLL: I mean, you'd probably have to cut Monday short, and travel back, and pick up the hearing on Tuesday, right? CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Yes. That's why, when I suggested another schedule, I had suggested starting Wednesday and we could fly down on Tuesday, instead. MS. CARROLL: I'm sorry I let us talk about it
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. CARROLL: I mean, you'd probably have to cut Monday short, and travel back, and pick up the hearing on Tuesday, right? CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Yes. That's why, when I suggested another schedule, I had suggested starting Wednesday and we could fly down on Tuesday, instead. MS. CARROLL: I'm sorry I let us talk about it so long before I realized I couldn't do that.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. CARROLL: I mean, you'd probably have to cut Monday short, and travel back, and pick up the hearing on Tuesday, right? CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Yes. That's why, when I suggested another schedule, I had suggested starting Wednesday and we could fly down on Tuesday, instead. MS. CARROLL: I'm sorry I let us talk about it so long before I realized I couldn't do that. CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: But

1	mean, there's been some creative suggestions made. I
2	mean, the staff has several pretrial testimonies to file,
3	in that five weeks. Certainly some of them are going to
4	be finished sooner than others.
5	MR. EVANS: Well, that's not necessarily so.
6	I don't know who's going to work on them.
7	MS. CARROLL: Evans was available.
8	MR. EVANS: I'm available on the 20th.
9	MS. CARROLL: And you think you've got other -
10	- oh, you don't have to be there to hear GANE's side of
11	it. Or you can have
12	MR. EVANS: Yes, I think I do. But I will be
13	
14	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I will say that lawyers
15	should be around to hear what the opposition is saying.
16	MS. CARROLL: You're going to have to cross
17	examine them and stuff, aren't you? You're going to have
18	to cross examine GANE's witnesses.
19	MR. EVANS: That's correct. And I have no
20	problems with the week of the 20th of May, the 27th of
21	May, or any week in June. So, I mean, I'm not a
22	bottleneck exactly on here.
23	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Sorry. You said you were
24	tied up the whole week of the 13th, or what?
25	MR. EVANS: No, I can
	NEW D CDCCC

MR. EVANS: Yes, of May. The beginning of the 2 week. I should be back in Georgia around the 15th, 16th. 3 Although, to be perfectly candid, I would like 4 a few days to go over the file and everything, before 5 starting a hearing, which would get us to the 20th. I 7 have no problem with the 20th. The 20th, 27th, or any 8 time in June. MS. CARROLL: Yes, that -- not Memorial Day, 9 10 that --11 MR. EVANS: I'll work Memorial Day, if that's what everyone wants. I don't care. MS. CARROLL: We could do Saturday the first, 13 to kind of make up losing the day, if it didn't impact on 14 our Washington people so hard. Will the government fly 15 16 everybody's wives down? And I guess Colleen's coming down, and she might have a family. 18 MR. EVANS: But, it seems to me, the week of the 20th --19 20 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Well, to put this on the record. We would be wiling to schedule the week of the 21 22 20th, all five days, plus one additional week in June. And I guess the earlier the better, but 23 whatever Ms. Carroll's obligations are. The week of the 25 17th to the 21st, we're told you can't get reservations. NEAL R. GROSS

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Of May, that is.

1	So we can schedule that on the second week.
2	MS. CARROLL: What, off the top of your head,
3	is going to be happening the week of June 17th? The staff
4	and Georgia Tech?
5	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I would think mostly the
6	staff, plus Georgia Tech's rebuttal ,which would be most
7	of its testimony.
8	MS. CARROLL: And you all don't care if the
9	public hears you or not?
10	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Well, there's a big
11	public, and a lot of them they will hear your witnesses,
12	the week of the 20th.
13	MS. CARROLL: Do you all like that, the 20th,
14	May 20th and June 17th?
15	MR. EVANS: Yes. That's suitable, as far as
16	I'm concerned, that's fine.
17	MS. CARROLL: Is Marvin's wedding date clear
18	of that? I'm kidding.
19	MR. TURK: We're waiting for the [Inaudible
20	word] to tell if those are the good dates, Glenn.
21	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I was going to say the
22	week of the 20th was a good day. Which week of June did
23	people prefer? The 17th, or the tenth?
24	MS. CARROLL: The 17th. I guess that's not
25	really objectionable.
	NEAL D. CDOCC

MR. EVANS: I don't care. Any week in June is 2 fine. 3 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Okay. Well, if we set -let's set, definitely, the week of May 20th. And we don't 4 care if you want to work out prefiling schedules so that, 5 when information is -- the 15 days assumes filing by 6 direct mail. 7 8 If you file by express mail we don't care if we get it a little later. We'll get it the same day. 9 10 MS. CARROLL: Now, when is the deadline for 11 pretrial testimony then? May third? 12 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Well, let's see. If the 13 -- it would be May. Normally, it would be May third, but, if we're served by express mail, it could be the the sixth 14 15 or seventh even. 16 MS. CARROLL: Okay. And then -- now, this is 17 for everybody or is this just for Georgia Tech and G.NE? 18 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: It will be for Georgia Tech and GANE. 19 MS. CARROLL: And when is the staff's 20 deadline? 21 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Well, two weeks before 22 the 17th. Let's see. It would be -- wait a minute. May 23 31st. The staff's would then be May 31st. 24 25 MS. CARROLL: That ought to make you pretty

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

NEAL R. GROSS

happy.

MR. TURK: Your Honor, that's --

4

2

3

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: For prepared testimony.

I have a feeling the staff won't go on until the second

5

week.

6 7 MR. TURK: If the staff goes forward during

the week -- let's assume June 17th we go forward. We would go then or Georgia Tech would put on a rebuttal case

9

at that point.

10

But, if we did that, then, under the rules, we

11

would normally be filing it on May 31st. I assume we

12

could do it by express mail on the third or fourth?

13

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: That's correct. That's

14

correct.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TURK: Okay. That would be helpful, because, the prior week, we only have four days after Memorial Day, and it will also be the week right after GANE's testimony is on, so we'll need that extra couple of days to finalize testimony.

MS. CARROLL: Wouldn't that be kind of unusual, that one party's pretrial testimony would actually follow the presentation of the case, the actual hearing of the other's?

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: It may or may not be unusual, but it's consistent with the way the rule reads.

NEAL R. GROSS

MS. CARROLL: Yes, but what I'm thinking is I think everybody should have their testimony in first, and 2 3 then let the process even out. I mean, certainly that intervening month gives everybody time to think. 4 5 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: We can't hold the hearing that early, then If you want to start on the 20th, we're 6 7 going to have to set a schedule like this. And to use the 8 weekend. 9 MS. CARROLL: Well, not necessarily. I mean, 10 this is if we grant Sherwin Turk's, you know, his deadline extension. But it seems to me like he still should have 11 12 to prefile before the hearing begins. 13 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Well, that's not 14 necessarily --15 MS. CARROLL: I'm not sure that I -- I have no clues about strategy on this, but it just surprises me 16 17 that now he needs more time, because he's got to respond to what we do at the hearing. 19 And yet, if we went three consecutive weeks, that would not be the condition he'd be operating under. I think that's a little weird that he gets extra time to 21 take the citizens' group testimony. 22 MR. TURK: Part of the reason we need the 23 extra time for filing is because we won't have the opportunity to complete preparation of testimony, at the 25

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

time we're meeting this early going to hearing date of May 20th. 3 So the extra time is just the time we would normally have needed anyway, to prepare testimony, 4 regardless of the fact that you're taking the stand first. 5 6 MS. CARROLL: One, two, three, four, five, 7 six. Six weeks. You wanted five, you're going to have 8 six. I mean, all you've got to do is get it in before the 9 hearing starts. 10 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: They -- if they have to -11 12 MS. CARROLL: I mean, if you're telling the 13 truth --14 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: They'll only have three 15 days after the hearings, of the first week's hearing, to file it. And they'll have a couple more days if they go 16 17 by express. 18 MS. CARROLL: Yes, but what possible -- if they're going to tell the truth, what possible difference 20 could it make what we say? I mean, I don't think this is fair. 21 22 MR. TURK: I'm willing to file the same time 23 as Ms. Carroll, as long as we don't start the hearing May 20th. 25 I mean, if she wants us -- as long as we have

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

enough time to prepare testimony. If she wants to start hearings June 17th, fine. We'll file testimony 15 days 2 3 before that, the same time as her. 4 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: But she didn't want that, 5 because of the --MR. TURK: I'm not looking for a filing 6 7 advantage. I just want enough time to prepare testimony, 8 and have my witnesses ready. 9 And, if GANE's concern is the public be able 10 to attend during May, as soon as exams begin, is a primary 11 consideration now, then my request was fine, delay other 12 parties than GANE. 13 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I think, given the various constraints and the fact that I don't think that we ought to be around Atlanta in Olympic time, and the 15 week of the 24th of June is getting a little late. I'm 16 not saying you couldn't get reservations, but my guess is 17 it would be very difficult. 19 MS. CARROLL: No. If you're suggesting we do two consecutive weeks that late, I would still rather split it up. I'm just questioning whether the staff could 22 file on the Friday the 17th, just so there's more of a blind thing going on. I mean, I just think that it's weird that

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

they're waiting to hear -- that they'll file after they

14

18

20

21

23

24

hear us. And I hear what Turk's saying, he can't work that week of the 20th, while he's at the hearing, but I don't see why he needs that week.

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Well --

MS. CARROLL: Wrap it up on the 17th.

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I think, based on what their testimony is likely to have to be, that's reasonable to have them file after your witnesses, or at least the first bunch of your witnesses. We don't know how many we'll get to.

It's reasonable to have -- well, I don't know whether we would require the Applicant to put in its basic outline case, like, on Monday the 20th. Even that could happen. But we would want to accommodate the schedule of the week of the 20th to the 24th to accommodate GANE's witnesses to the extent possible.

MS. CARROLL: Yes, but I mean, nobody is telling me that, if the staff has to file all their pretrial testimony by Friday, May 17th, that that's too short of a time period for them to do it in. I mean, that's six weeks since the end of discovery. I don't -- that doesn't seem to make any sense

MR. TURK: The problem is trying to do a lot of things at the same time. If we are going to hearing on May 20th, and we will have your pretrial testimony in hand

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

for approximately two weeks before that, a good portion of our time is going to have to be spent preparing to cross examine. We can't, at the same time, be preparing the direct testimony, and getting that in final shape.

And I don't see that you have any reason to be concerned anyway, because you should expect the staff's case will be based upon the inspection history, which the staff has observed at the reactor.

You've seen the inspection reports, you know what the staff's positions are, with respect to all of the detailed items that you raised as concerns, and you should expect that our testimony will summarize the inspection history, to a large extent.

I mean, that's the direct case that you're going to be putting on, and that's the case that we intend to meet. The problem is getting it all done at the same time.

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Now, I think that that will have to -- setting it for May 31st or express mail by, I guess, it would be June 4th, would mean that GANE will receive it that week, and will have a couple of weeks, over a week to prepare. And I think that's -- that is sufficient, at least under the rules.

And while the other would be more desirable, we were trying to accommodate GANE's request to hold the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

hearing during that first week. And I think that, to accommodate everything, that's the kind of schedule we'll 2 3 set. And --4 MS. CARROLL: Okay. Well, you guys know the rules a lot better than me, so if that's what's considered 5 fair by our forefathers, so be it. 6 7 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: We're doing our best to -- you know, we don't have to accommodate the availability 8 of members of the public at all. We're trying to 9 accommodate your request for that earlier week, and the 10 May 20th week. 11 12 And I think we've done it. And, to that 13 extent, we're trying to fulfill your request. Now, before 14 we leave, that's the kind of date we will set, and I have a couple --15 16 MS. CARROLL: I know you have a couple of 17 questions you want to go over, but could you give me one clue, just to wrap this up, when you think you would know 18 when you would be setting the limited public appearance 19 20 session? CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Well, it --21 MS. CARROLL: Not to set them now, but when 22 23 you think you might set them, so that I can, you know, 24 anticipate that? CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: If they would prefer one 25

NEAL R. GROSS

1	in the second week. It will be some time in the evening,
2	the week of the 20th. We could set an hour, during the
3	hearing day.
4	That's the usual time, right after the start
5	of the hearing. Like nine to ten o'clock in the morning.
6	That's done frequently.
7	It would be some evening that first week. It
8	will be we'll set it some evening during that first
9	week, two hours. Perhaps we can have another hour during
10	the hearing day. It's frequently, traditional to have the
11	firs hour of the hearing consist of that.
12	MS. CARROLL: Well, then, I'd like on Monday,
13	the 20th, one hour.
14	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Morning, nine o'clock,
15	nine to ten o'clock, or something like that.
16	MS. CARROLL: Do you want to set that now?
17	That would work for me.
18	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Okay. We will agree that
19	we'll start normally, the parties, or many times, the
20	parties will make whatever opening statements they need to
21	make, and then they'll then, perhaps, an hour for
22	limited appearances.
23	MS. CARROLL: So more like ten to 11, you
24	think?
25	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I think the best we can
	a cases

say is it will be that morning, because I think the -usually, prior to limited appearance stage, the parties will make their opening statements so that the people in the audience will know what the parties are going to try 5 to prove. MS. CARROLL: Yes. 6 7 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: How long that will take, I don't know. We start -- like the initial day, we usually start at nine-thirty. We may start at nine 10 o'clock other days. But, if we start at nine-thirty, it's going to take at least a half an hour for the people to introduce themselves, and for the parties to make opening 12 statements. MS. CARROLL: I think that's good enough, to just say --CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Whether it will be ten or ten-thirty, and then set an hour for limited appearances, I don't know. But it's hard to say. MS. CARROLL: Well, I'm wondering if, by the start and set an hour, if you shouldn't just let it go to lunch? Would that be --CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: No, we don't allow that much time, because we, ourselves have a lot of work to do. MS. CARROLL: Right.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: And we are going to hold

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	an evening session, which will be two hours, and whatever
2	day that involves, it makes long day. We start at nine
3	o'clock and run until ten o'clock at night. Tired.
4	MS. CARROLL: I was thinking like seven to
5	nine maybe?
6	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Well
7	MS. CARROLL: Like for supper, and then come
8	back and do some
9	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: That's certainly
10	possible.
11	MS. CARROLL: We might have to move, if we do
12	it in the Federal Trade Commission building, for example.
13	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Well, the Federal Trade
14	building isn't open in the evening, and
15	MR. TURK: Your Honor, can I suggest that we
16	defer setting the evening until we see which witnesses are
17	going to be scheduled that week. We'll get the list of
18	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I don't even want to set
19	it at the moment, but.
20	MS. CARROLL: Well, can you
21	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: We will allow one hour,
22	of the first morning.
23	MS. CARROLL: Do you think, at the beginning
24	of April you'll set them? GANE needs to notify, you know,
25	its members of such, of this opportunity. So that's what
	NEAL R. GROSS

I'm trying to find out, is when it will be set.

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Normally, we put it in the Federal Register a little bit ahead of time but, at least -- the trouble is that will be the first, we'll put the first one in, and the later one we will try to see what the schedule will be.

And I assume that the Applicant will make available his prepared testimony, in the hearing room, so that people who -- at least, to the extent of the general information, and background, and all that. So that people who are there can pick up extra copies.

There's no requirement but, as I said, the staff usually does it, and I assume Mr. Turk, that the staff would similarly bring perhaps extra copies of its prepared testimony, at the first hearing session that would follow their filing of that?

MR. TURK: We can do that, Your Honor.

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: So that other spectators can take copies, if they need to. I don't know how many you'll need, or -- but that has been done in many cases, and, if there is a large audience, that helps.

MS. CARROLL: I'd like to say, before we leave this topic. I don't know when you're going to set those dates for public appearance, but I think it would be very symbolically lovely if you offer at least an hour on that

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

first day of that second week, since they're nearly a month apart.

And, like I said, people travel and such. But the public hearing is important. Plus they will have heard a week of testimony by then, some people. Anyway, I don't want to bog us down with discussion, I just want to air that idea.

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Anyway, we'll perhaps even call people to consult them about setting the second date. But we want to see about availability of various rooms for that kind of thing.

If we held a session during the opening morning, that would likely be in the same hearing room we use. But, in the evening, we'll have to see what's available, when it's available and all that kind of stuff.

I have a couple of other questions that we -that I had. The -- there's a letter, in the record, I
guess, in the material that GANE supplied us, from a
Robert N. McDonald. My question is who is he? I don't
see any reference to what that means.

MS. CARROLL: I'm sorry. That was kind of extraneous. I thought it was interesting to get out of there, but I didn't interview him, and I just threw that in as an extra piece of paper for you to ask a question about.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Who is he?
2	MS. CARROLL: He was a I'm not positive. I
3	don't think he was a radiation safety officer. I think he
4	was a reactor operator.
5	MR. EVANS: I could be wrong. The name rings
6	a bell. It's possible he was an Assistant Director.
7	MS. CARROLL: He might have been, he might
8	have been.
9	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Be that as it may, I'm
10	just there was a piece of paper in there with his name
11	on it, and I said now what does this have to do with, and
12	who is he?
13	MS. CARROLL: I was organizing everything, I
14	went through hell and high water, involved the entire
15	personnel department to make that copy off the microfiche,
16	and I just couldn't leave it out so.
17	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Okay. Well, that's all I
18	wanted
19	MS. CARROLL: I didn't want to use it for
20	anything. I just wanted you all to read it, because he
21	was obviously desperate to get out of there.
22	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Well, it may lead to some
23	as you said, it might lead to some Board questions, but
24	I just didn't know who he was, or what the relationship
25	was.
	NEAL B. GROSS

MS. CARROLL: I think I'm out of time to call him up and interview him so I just kind of, you know, it 3 was one loose end that was dangling. CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Now, I had another 4 question. You referred to a notice -- in your references, 5 6 you referred to a notice of violation, which you dated 7 December 24, 1988. 8 And I was looking through our local New Docs file, and the only one I see is dated January -- December 29, 1988. And it sounds like the same thing, but. 10 MS. CARROLL: It sounds like I made a typo, 11 12 Judge. 13 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I think that's possible, 14 because I --15 MS. CARROLL: That's certainly possible, because a lot of this stuff I took notes on, and I either 16 17 might not have read my handwriting --1.8 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Yes, because I saw that reference, and I was wondering whether -- and you refer to notice of violation. You did not refer to the inspection 201 21 report that underlies it. 22 MS. CARROLL: Yes. 23 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: But, on December 29th, was report 8802, and that's in our New Docs file. I took 24 a look at it, so. But I wasn't sure that I was looking at 25 NEAL R. GROSS

1	the right thing that you referred to.
2	MS. CARROLL: Did the content of it seem to
3	jive with what I was referring to?
4	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: No, it has three
5	there's three different violations. One of them seems to
6	track, more or less. One of the three.
7	MS. CARROLL: Is this in my I'm so tired,
8	you all, I'm hungry. I can hardly think.
9	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Well, I was just
10	wondering.
11	MS. CARROLL: On my number 26, page 22, that
12	little list of everything we planned to rely on?
13	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Hold the line a minute.
14	It's on about page, let's see, it's on page six, under the
15	date of 12/24/88.
16	MS. CARROLL: Is it on page 22?
17	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: It's on page six.
18	MS. CARROLL: Twenty-six?
19	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Six.
20	MS. CARROLL: Six, six, six. My husband just
21	go home. He probably has no idea. Honey, I'm on the
22	phone with the NRC and everybody else.
23	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: On page six, under the
24	date of
25	MS. CARROLL: NRC Site Violation, for
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	calibration? Yes, that was definitely something I took a
2	note on. But, of course, none of the violations come out
3	on different dates.
4	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: They do. I looked in the
5	New Docs file, and I only found one on that date.
6	MS. CARROLL: And it was an inspection report
7	for 12/29.
8	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: But it's a violation date
9	of that, too.
10	MS. CARROLL: So they issued the violation the
11	same day? Or there were two different documents the same
12	date?
13	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: No, they the one dated
14	December 29th is entitled notice of violation, and then
15	the NRC inspection report 8802, at 8802 is a somewhat
16	earlier date. I just didn't know if that's the same
17	document. If that's a typographical error, that's all I
18	wanted to find out.
19	MS. CARROLL: Yes, I would rely on the notice
20	of violation reference more than the numerical reference.
21	I doubt I made an error on that whole
22	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: No, there was a numerical
23	reference wasn't even in there, and I didn't see any
24	notice of violation dated the 24th.
25	MS. CARROLL: Right.

1	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I saw the 29th.
2	MS. CARROLL: Okay.
3	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: That looks like a typo.
4	MS. CARROLL: And it's repeated in the list
5	where I refer to my chronology, to make my list of
6	documents to rely on, so that the mistake is repeated on
7	page 22. It's the next to the last line.
8	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Okay. Well, all I was
9	trying to do was clarify that it might be a mistake.
10	MS. CARROLL: I appreciate it.
11	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: All right. I see that on
12	page 22 as well. If there's another one on the 24th,
13	please let me know. I didn't see it in the New Docs file.
14	MS. CARROLL: Yes, I put that in there twice.
15	MR. TURK: I assume it will be in testimony,
16	if it's important.
17	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I don't know if it's
18	important or not. I was just trying to check through the
1.9	various things that were referenced.
20	MS. CARROLL: My brain shut down five minutes
21	ago, so I'm just I'll look into it. I sure can't
22	figure it out right this minute. I'll come to Washington
23	and use your public document room.
24	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Anyway, that's the
25	questions we have. The other Board Members have to go,
	NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

and --

MS. CARROLL: Well, my question is can I go down to the NRC and look at all the Rebecca Long documents, except for the classified ones? They look interesting. I appreciate what you sent me, but it looks like there's some other interesting stuff.

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: That was another question. Why were the documents which were referenced as -- gee, I don't have any idea at all. But there was one that was an interview with Robert Boyd, and the other was an interview with Karam. Why would not those be relevant to this?

MS. CARROLL: I thought that whole list of the Office of Investigation --

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: My question is to the staff.

MS. CARROLL: Oh.

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: There amy be some others.

But, if they relate solely to the alleged discrimination,

that is not relevant to our case. That may be relevant to

other things.

But, if it relates at all to Georgia Tech -- and I'm just wondering why the files labelled Karam and Boyd wouldn't be relevant to Georgia Tech?

MR. TURK: Your Honor, the position that we've

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

taken is not that Boyd and Karam didn't say things that may relate to Georgia Tech. Obviously, Karam was interviewed about things that relate to his ability, as would Mr. Boyd.

The relevance issue is twofold. Number one, the accusation by GANE in this proceeding is that Georgia Tech lacked adequate management.

What Boyd and Karam were interviewed about was a 1987 inspection by Rebecca Long, which does not bear upon management. It bears upon whatever violations might have existed at the time, and how NRC later dispositioned them.

Now, I don't know for a fact that Boyd and
Karam were asked about NRC dispositions. I don't know
what they were asked about, because I haven't read them.

I've merely listed the materials that Ms. Long collected.

But our position is number one, that just because it was something covered in an inspection report back in 1987, that does not make it relevant to the issue of is Georgia Tech's management adequate.

The second objection we raised -- and let me say this with respect to Boyd and Karam, as opposed to all the sex discrimination allegations. Is that we've already given to GANE the actual inspection findings written up by GANE -- I'm sorry, written up by Ms. Long.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

We've given them whatever other documents seem to be in that chain of events. So they have the primary documents. All of the subsequent interviews by OIA, and any reference to the same things again in the sex discrimination lawsuit -- and they do get mentioned again and again, is simply repetitive.

And, having given these documents over, it's

And, having given these documents over, it's not really relevant and it's not necessary to a proper decision in this proceeding.

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: The standard for discovery, however, is not whether something may be duplicative, or whether -- the standard is whether it can lead to the, it doesn't even have to be admissible. It has to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

And, to me, that's clearly within the proper scope of discovery. And anything that relates to that -- not solely to the discrimination, but to the conduct of management, even back in '87 and '88.

That was the starting point for this whole contention, really. The management contention starts with an event that occurred in '87.

MR. TURK: Actually, it was an event that occurred about a year later, the cadmium spill towards the end of '87, as opposed to this early 1987 inspection by Ms. Long.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

2 3 year earlier. 4 5 6 7 8 at that time. 9 10 11 12 talking about the same issue. 16 time reading them? covered by that.

There was no mention, in the contention, of anything predating the cadmium spill. This was almost a

And, even if it relates to Georgia Tech, it doesn't relate to the question of does Georgia Tech have adequate management. It's essentially a question of how did the staff disposition out the findings that were made

We made available the findings, we made availability the mark-up of Ms. Long's initial finding, we've given that to GANE, and everything else is just

It's not relevant, in the first place, in my mind, because it does not tend make any fact more probable or less probable as a consequence of the proceeding.

MS. CARROLL: What do you care if I waste my

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I think it's covered just generally, by permissible scope of discovery seems to be

MR. TURK: Where do you draw the line? If you say that things that are solely relevant to sex discrimination are not relevant, I definitely agree with you that far.

But if, in the course of all the sex

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	discrimination lawsuit, there's a reference to Georgia
2	Tech, does that mean I have to turn over the entire file
3	or first screen the entire file and hand over only certain
4	pages that relate to Georgia Tech?
5	MS. CARROLL: Why not?
6	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I think that's exactly
7	what that means.
8	MR. TURK: I don't see why it has to be turned
9	over, if they already have the primary document anyway.
10	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Because a lot of time the
11	primary documents don't reveal a lot of the underlying
12	bases, and we're trying to get below the surface.
13	MR. TURK: Well
14	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: We're not trying to
15	challenge or even to raise a question about the staff's
16	disposition, but the underlying sometimes you just
17	can't tell how things developed unless you get the
18	underlying document.
19	I'm not sure that the I don't know what's
20	in this. We haven't received it, and I don't expect that
21	we will receive these.
22	MR. TURK: Let me summarize for a minute
23	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I think they're relevant
24	to her request, her discovery request, and therefore,
25	should be turned over. She should be allowed to see them.
	NEAL R. GROSS

I'm not saying you have to make copies. 2 MS. CARROLL: I see a lot of them are very, 3 very lengthy. That's why I suggest that we meet at the 4 convenience of the Region 2 staff. They're already been 5 told to --6 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I was -- all of them. I 7 was thinking about ones that seem, on their face, to relate to some of the people who were involved in the case. There may be others who are or aren't. I can't identify them so. 10 11 MR. TURK: Perhaps it would help if I summarized what we have already handed over. 12 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I thought -- I don't know 13 14 what's in the documents. We saw which documents you were 15 turning over. 16 MR. TURK: What we're turning over are the 17 essential facts, that GANE has sought. Ms. Long's 18 original inspection findings, in draft form. It has a 19 mark-up by whoever it was who reviewed them in management. It has two summary statements written by Ms. 20 21 Long. One to her lawyer, and one to the NRC indicating how she felt the NRC did not adequately disposition her 22 23 findings.

So all the information is there already. Ms. Long, herself, apparently has to gain -- so that the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

24

*	person from whom GANE needs to find out information is
2	apparently already providing or willing to provide to GANE
3	
4	MS. CARROLL: I turned her documents over to
5	Caroline Evans, who's holding them hostage.
6	MR. TURK: Nobody's holding them
7	MS. CARROLL: by saying how did you like
8	the box of documents I put together for you.
9	MR. TURK: Well, she's wrong
10	MS. CARROLL: I said oh, box of documents, and
11	that was the extent of our conversation.
12	MR. TURK: Well, she's wrong. They were not
13	put together for production to GANE. They were gathered
14	by Region 2, but without my knowledge
15	MS. CARROLL: She wanted to know, she was
16	curious and was thinking oh, I have to ask for them back.
17	They're not going to give them back to me. I'm sorry I
18	lied. She didn't want them back.
19	She indicated she had you know, I'd said
20	you had your general objection to what's in the public
21	records. She goes "I've got a lot of stuff that's not in
22	the public records."
23	Now, if it's security, I'm not questioning
24	that. But, you know, you say, and I appreciate your
25	providing us free copies of what looks like meaningful
	1511 5 05000

documents.

But what on earth would you object to my wasting my time reading through those other hundreds of pages? There are already assembled in a box, in Mr. Evans' office.

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: The Board, speaking now as a quorum, Dr. Lam has had to leave. But Judge Kline is here and we think those two documents, on their face, should be turned over -- which ones are they?

MS. CARROLL: Boyd and I think you're talking about OIG interviews?

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I can't tell who some of these people are.

MS. CARROLL: Cox is with Georgia Tech. She's got to have, she is not talking about sex discrimination suits. That is not what they're asking her about. She's a secretary with Georgia Tech.

So I think all these Georgia Tech employees
- David Cox was the reactor operator. I think all of
those are key.

We aren't interested in the sex discrimination suit per se. Her salary is not our beef, but if she was having her documents, her investigation reports were biased by the NRC, that's very serious and we need to get into that.

MR. TURK: Your Honor, one other consideration that I think you should think about, for a moment at least, is whether or not there's a bar on disclosure.

These were documents that --

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: By the way, there is, can be disclosure subject to a 2790 order if we have to. I mean, normally you turn over these documents. You don't just say that they're privileged.

If they're relevant -- maybe you should get the order for privacy purposes, and I think that would depend on Ms. Long rather than anybody else.

But, be that as it may, they may be protected documents, but they -- if they're relevant, parties should be able to take a look at them, subject to perhaps not revealing any of the information elsewhere.

In a hearing, it might have to be done in camera. There are a lot of ways to protect it. It's not public information, but it seems to be relevant to the discovery process.

And I can't tell you about all these other

people, because I have no idea what they're involved with

and whether some of these interviews with, like Mr. Ernst,

I would think that probably doesn't relate much to the

Georgia Tech case. At least, the Georgia Tech --

MS. CARROLL: Maybe he was the guy who revised

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

her report.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: That, in itself, is not what we're looking at. What we're looking at is trying to find out the various facts that she looked into it and saw. And I would think --

MR. TURK: Those lead me to -- we've given out the report, we've given out Rebecca Long's own summaries of what her findings were. The basic facts are in their hands already.

The question is do you then go out and produce two boxes full of documents, in which the same things are repeated again and again, and again. The also bear upon the sex discrimination.

MS. CARROLL: I looked at those --

MR. TURK: Are you --

CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I think that discovery anticipates she can look through the whole file. And, to the extent they're privileged, some steps might have to be taken so that she doesn't publicly reveal the information.

But proper scope of discovery is not whether the stuff is duplicated. That's her decision. Basically, I think the material at least related to Boyd and Karam -and I don't know about the other Georgia Tech people, but there are several. Most of them I don't recognize offhand, but.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1	MS. CARROLL: I looked over it while you all
2	were on break, and I there is a lot of it that I would
3	be interested to look into it.
4	It's hard to identify by these referral by
5	titles but, a typed note of telephone conversation. It's
6	just so much. I think that I should get to look at it all
7	of it.
8	It will keep me out of trouble., It will keep
9	me from getting to the real heart of the matter, Mr. Turk,
10	if I'm wasting my time over here. I get the feeling
11	you're trying to hide something, okay.
12	MR. TURK: If I was trying to hide something,
13	I wouldn't have made up such a detailed list, listing
14	every single document in the box so that you and the Board
15	
16	MS. CARROLL: I don't see why you're trying to
17	protect me from wasting my time.
18	MR. TURK: I think you can protect yourself
19	very well.
20	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Again, I don't know what
21	some of these interviews are about, but I would think
22	interviews with at least Boyd and Karam and perhaps some
23	of these others, but it's hard for me to tell.
24	MS. CARROLL: I think everybody's at Georgia
25	Tech on that list. I think it's what he said, Judge
	NEAL P. GPOSS

1	Bechoefer, I asked for everything pertaining to Rebecca
2	Long.
3	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: But everything pertaining
4	to her her reviews of Georgia Tech, everything related
5	to the alleged discrimination, that is relevant. But, for
6	instance, I have never heard of a John Michael Puck. I
7	don't know who he is, and
8	MS. CARROLL: I have heard of him, but I can't
9	remember right now.
10	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I don't know whether
11	anything
12	MS. CARRCLL: He might have been in the oh,
13	he was the radiation safety officer, right afterin
14	fact, he overlapped with Boyd for a while.
15	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Potentially relevant.
16	MR. TURK: But what I'm afraid is going to
17	happen, if we start opening the door to all this, Your
18	Honor, is that it's going to start coming into the
19	proceeding. I think it is all very tangential.
20	MR. EVANS: Whoever he is, he's not on the
21	witness list, and he can't testify anyway.
22	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: That's the point, Ms.
23	Long is on the witness list.
24	MR. EVANS: No, this Plunkett, or whoever.
25	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: He doesn't have to be on
	NEAL D 00000

the witness list. She doesn't have to call him. MR. EVANS: I just meant I assumed that he's not going to be permitted to testify. Discovery -- I hope 3 we're going to end discovery, and then we go on what we 4 have. Otherwise, we're going to need more depositions. 5 6 I'm hearing new names is what concerns me. 7 MS. CARROLL: He's -- Mr. Evans, you want to know hoe to be me, and I want to know what's going on over at Georgia Tech. 9 10 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: We have a witness and 11 apparently the witness had some relationship with all 12 these other people. These other people aren't going to be and aren't proposed to be called as witnesses. 13 14 MR. EVANS: I have no problem with Long. I know about her. 15 16 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Yes, but Long's report, 17 apparently, includes all these other documents, and Long 18 is the person we know, or we've been told is going to be a 19 witness. MR. EVANS: Yes, that's fine. I have no 20 21 problems with that. CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Yes. 22 MR. EVANS: I heard someone mention -- I 23 forget the name. 24 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: These are all files. 25

1	MR. EVANS: I hear another name and I'm
2	thinking, my God, not another witness, because then we
3	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: No, these are Not
4	proposed witnesses.
5	MR. EVANS: Okay. I take back everything I
6	said.
7	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: These are people at
8	Georgia Tech that apparently Long interviewed.
9	MR. EVANS: Yes.
10	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Whatever the reports say,
11	I think they could lead to evidence.
12	MS. CARROLL: I think these are interviews by
13	the Office of Investigations. I think.
14	MR. TURK: These we're looking at part one
15	of attachment A
16	MS. CARROLL: Okay, I am
17	MR. TURK: Excuse me. Pages one through three
18	of attachment A, OIA/OIG documents. The first three
19	pages of that pretty much consists of a closing memo,
20	sworn interviews conducted by OIA and, in one case, OIG.
21	MS. CARROLL: That's Office of Investigations?
22	MR. TURK: OIA is Inspector Auditor, OIG is
23	Inspector General.
24	MS. CARROLL: They probably followed Long's
25	allegations. These investigations were done in order to
	NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

sort through what Long, the issues Long raised. 2 MR. TURK: They have to do with all the various claims that were made by Ms. Long, at that time, 3 which involved Georgia Tech. I think she made some 5 allegations about --6 MS. CARROLL: I'm not interested in those, by 7 the way. 8 MR. TURK: And all of her claims that she -not that she was sexually harassed, but that she was 10 subjected to sex discrimination. 11 What we've done already, Your Honor, is we've given the primary documents. We have Ms. Long's findings, as they were written originally. We've got the mark up by 13 14 management. 15 We've got her written complaints about how 16 that was done. We've got her letter to her lawyer 17 summarizing why those things were handled improperly by NRC. 18 19 How far do you open the door here? And I think this is an important question for us to consider in 20 21 terms of where the hearing is going. 22 If we're going to get, on the stand, Ms. Long telling everyone about how there are these terrible 23 inspection findings, and how NRC never dispositioned them 24 properly, now our testimony is going to have to meet that. 25

1	And we're going to have to show that, in
2	fact, m we did disposition properly, which we can do. But
3	how far do we want to open the door to all these other
4	people, and all these other interviews
5	MS. CARROLL: That's not the issue.
6	MR. TURK: The important thing here is number
7	one, is Georgia Tech management worthy of their license
8	being renewed?
9	We have inspection findings from almost ten
10	years ago. The Office of Inspector General has closed it
11	out, and the closing memorandum, I did send it to GANE
12	MS. CARROLL: Did you see <u>Time</u> magazine this
13	week?
14	MR. TURK: We're not going to look at Time
15	magazine in this case.
16	MS. CARROLL: I live in Atlanta. They're
17	going to see what's going on over there, and whether the
18	NRC is in collusion with them or not. And I live here and
19	you don't.
20	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: If your interpretation of
21	the discovery rules says you should turn that material
22	over, it doesn't mean it's all going to be acceptable.
23	It doesn't mean certainly, those people
24	aren't going to be witnesses. It's part of the reference,
25	and I think they should have been turned over, for
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	whatever they're worth.
2	MS. CARROLL: How can we proceed from here?
3	I'm really tired. I've got to get to Chattanooga, my
4	Dad's going to be asleep.
5	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: We have to get going,
6	too.
7	MR. TURK: Stop may I clarify which
8	document it is you; re talking about turning over. Is it
9	the OIA, OIG?
10	MS. CARROLL: Take out the classified
11	documents, and let me read through everything else.
12	That's what I suggest. Let me waste my time.
13	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Anything with Karam,
14	Boyd, or any other Georgia Tech employee. Anything like
15	that should be turned over. The other stuff I don't
16	know, but we don't say it.
17	MS. CARROLL: I think the deposition of
18	Rebecca Long could have it may only be a couple of
19	references in that
20	MR. TURK: You've got the witness, you've got
21	the documents.
22	MS. CARROLL: I'm sorry?
23	MR. TURK: You've got her documents. You've
24	got the witness.
25	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I think the other

1	material, the background material also should be turned
2	over. That doesn't mean that every item in there is going
3	to be gone over in the hearing. But if it's relevant it
4	should be, and let's let GANE decide whether the material
5	is relevant.
6	MR. TURK: When you say other background
7	material, how far are we reaching? You're talking about
8	all these investigative interviews.
9	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: We're talking about Boyd,
10	Karam, and other Georgia Tech employees, the files
11	relating to them.
12	MR. TURK: How far back in years?
13	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: They're on the list,
14	they're listed.
15	MS. CARROLL: They're all listed. There's
16	nothing older than '87.
17	MR. TURK: We can do that, Your Honor. There
18	may be privacy issues involved.
19	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Okay. Well, we're
20	willing to impose an order. If you all can agree to some
21	sort of protective order on some of the privacy
22	information, we'll approve that. This isn't public
23	information.
24	MS. CARROLL: Judge Bechoefer, can I have the
25	Long deposition, from 11/88? I feel some resentment, but
	NEAL D. CDOSS

NEAL R. GROSS

I have -- I get the impression from you. I don't resent you, but I get the impression from you that you; re limiting it to those Georgia Tech interviews. I see other 3 4 things that I would like to see. 5 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I think they interviewed her when she alleged discrimination. I don't know whether 6 there's part of that that are relevant, I don't know. 7 8 But I don't think those other depositions -if they have clear material related to Georgia Tech, I think those should have come out in the other. I don't 10 think those depositions, those interviews, how Long got by 11 her inspection. That's more related to her discrimination 12 13 charges, I'm sure. 14 MR. TURK: I don't think -- I feel reasonably sure that covers those issues. 15 MS. CARROLL: I pledge to you I will not -- I 16 will make very effort not to raise issues that are 17 18 irrelevant to this proceeding. I mean, it's pretty tiring, but I'm willing to wade through a lot. 19 If there's a reference in her sex 20 21 discrimination suit to matters pertaining to Georgia Tech, and they may or may not be apply to the management issue. 22 I realize that. 23 24 I don't want copies of them. Please don't

NEAL R. GROSS

photocopy them for me. I would like to review them at

1	your office.
2	MR. TURK: We'll arrange the you can do it
3	at the office in Atlanta.
4	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Okay. That settle it.
5	That's what we think should be done.
2	MS. CARROLL: That's right. And I get to see
7	everything you'll work on the sensitive stuff, but
8	everything else, I can
9	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Well, it has to relate to
10	Georgia Tech.
11	MS. CARROLL: to bring it up.
12	MR. TURK: As I understand what we're going to
13	be turning over, it's the interviews of Georgia Tech
14	personnel, and of any NRC personnel that were conducted by
15	OIA, OIG, that touch upon the Georgia Tech reactor?
16	MS. CARROLL: Then I get into C, civil
17	litigation. I'd like the complaint
18	MR. TURK: Let's
19	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I think that's correct.
20	MR. TURK: I understand I can turn over the
21	Long interview, but then what do I do with the other NRC
22	interviews that may cover Georgia Tech as well as other
23	issues?
24	MS. CARROLL: Give them to me.
25	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: If they cover Georgia

NEAL R. GROSS

1	Tech, they should. If they cover other issues, they can
2	excise the parts that deal with the other issues. I don't
3	care. It's only insofar as they relate to Georgia Tech.
4	I don't know that you can separate them out.
5	MS. CARROLL: It seems like a lot of staff
6	work to me. Just let me look at that box. Why would I
7	want to waste my time, if it didn't relate to Georgia
8	Tech? I mean, if you're going to leaf through the pages
9	before you decide they're relevant to me, why should you
10	have it do that work?
11	MR. TURK: Let me consider, Your Honor. It
12	may be that we just turn them over under protective order,
13	or maybe that we just
14	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: We will approve a
15	protective order to make sure they don't get to be public
16	information, assuming it's not appropriate.
17	MS. CARROLL: Does this apply to everything or
18	just certain things?
19	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: To whatever there's a
20	claim of confidentiality of any sort.
21	MS. CARROLL: Okay. Right.
22	CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: If they get involved in
23	the hearing, you might have hold an in camera session.
24	MS. CARROLL: Right, I understand.
24 25	MS. CARROLL: Right, I understand. CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I think that responds to

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

2 MS. CARROLL: The PR department at Region 3 3 tried to call me, is that because they were going to tell 4 me about fuels that got moved, anybody know? 5 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: I don't think we have to bring that up right now. I think we're about finished 7 with the items we wanted to have covered. No objection, after we get off, continue any conversation you may want to have. 10 MS. CARROLL: Where's my barbecue sandwich? 11 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: We took that. We got hungry. 12 13 MS. CARROLL: Well, you owe me one when this is over. Well thanks, guys, that was a ball. I guess I'm 14 getting in shape for the hearing. A four and a half hour 15 16 phone conversation. 17 CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: That's the way some of the these things happen. I've got to get the show on the road myself. 19 20 MS. CARROLL: Okay. Well, everybody be well, and prosper. 21 MR. TURK: Your Honor, I will go through the 22 23 documents again, that are in that category one, OIA, OIG documents, and I'll talk to Ms. Carroll tomorrow if 25 possible, Glenn?

our questions on that.

MS. CARROLL: I may be back tomorrow afternoon. MR. TURK: And we'll see how we can set up turning over some things from that section. MS. CARROLL: Okay. CHAIRMAN BECHOEFER: Okay. We'll sign off now. (Whereupon, the foregoing prehearing conference went off the record at 6:29 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission in the matter of:

Name of Proceeding: GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

RENEWAL OF LICENSE NO. R-97

Docket Number: 50-160-Ren

Place of Proceeding: ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

were held as herein appears, and that this is the original transcript thereof for the file of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission taken by me and, thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under the direction of the court reporting company, and that the transcript is a true and accurate record of the foregoing proceedings.

JEFFREY LINS

Official Reporter

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.