

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION III

Report No. 50-461/84-37(DRP)

Docket No. 50-461

License No. CPPR-137

Licensee: Illinois Power Company
500 South 27th Street
Decatur, IL 62525

Facility Name: Clinton Power Station, Unit 1

Meeting At: Region III Office, Glen Ellyn, IL

Meeting Conducted: October 25, 1984

Report Prepared By: *F. J. Jablonski*
F. J. Jablonski
Project Manager/Inspector

11-16-84

Date

RC Knop
Approved by: R. C. Knop, Chief
Projects Branch 1C

11-20-84

Date

Meeting Summary

Meeting on October 25, 1984 (Report No. 50-461/84-37(DRP))

Subjects Discussed: A meeting was held with corporate officers and staff of the Illinois Power Company at the NRC Region III office at Glen Ellyn, Illinois. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss proposed changes to the Overinspection Program.

DETAILS

1. Meeting Attendees

Illinois Power Company

D. Hall, Vice President
R. Campbell, Director of Quality Systems and Audits
W. Connell, Manager of Quality Assurance
J. Karr, Manager of Quality Recovery Program
J. Perry, Manager of Nuclear Program Coordination
F. Spangenberg, Director of Nuclear Licensing
S. Frantz, Attorney, Newman and Holtzinger
D. Schopfer, Field Project Manager Sargent and Lundy
S. Zabel, Attorney, Schiff, Hardin and Waite

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

C. Norelius, Director, Division of Reactor Projects
D. Danielson, Engineering Section Chief
T. Gwynn, Senior Resident Inspector
F. Jablonski, Project Manager/Inspector
J. Jacobson, Reactor Inspector
R. Knop, Projects Section Chief
W. Little, Engineering Branch Chief
K. Ward, Reactor Inspector
K. Warnick, Projects Branch Chief
B. Benson, Regional Counsel

2. Meeting Details

A meeting was held at the NRC Region III offices in Glen Ellyn, Illinois, on October 25, 1984, to discuss proposed changes to the Illinois Power Overinspection Program.

Mr. Norelius of the NRC opened the meeting at 1:00 p.m. and stated that it was Illinois Power's announcing the proposed changes to the Resident Inspectors which triggered the meeting. Mr. Norelius stated that he hoped IP would provide NRC with an understanding of the changes and the basis for making them, and also provide assurance that the changes were reasonable, consistent, and defensible.

Mr. Hall of IP introduced and outlined what would be presented and provided some general background. Mr. Hall emphasized that the Overinspection Program did not stand alone, that is, it was part of a continuing process of special audits, inspections, and engineering reviews which contributed to the overall safety and quality of Clinton Power Station.

Mr. Connell of IP made a presentation of the Overinspection Program (Attachment 1 to this report.) Special emphasis was on the rationale for the removal of large and small bore piping, and mechanical supports from the Overinspection Program. Electrical hangers were also briefly

discussed. It was suggested by IP that electrical hangers also be removed from the Overinspection Program because there was a separate 100% reinspection already being conducted of work done prior to July 26, 1982. At the conclusion of the presentation, a booklet of supplementary data to support the Overinspection Program was distributed. (Attachment 2 of this report.)

After Mr. Connell's presentation, members of the NRC requested the following items to be resolved by IP.

- . The Overinspection Program was designed to be a continuing activity until turnover of structures, systems and components to IP; however, criteria was not defined for termination of inspections by category. Open item (461/84-37-03).
- . There was no information provided that showed the safety significance of the problems identified to date during the Overinspection Program of large and small bore piping and piping supports. Such information is necessary to draw conclusions as to the safety significance of terminating the program before completing the overinspection of all lots of large and small bore piping. Open Item (461/84-37-02)
- . The data on page 15 of Attachment 1 was not sufficient to determine the actual percentage of total work that had been completed in any area. Open item (461/84-37-01).

It was suggested by Mr. Danielson that IP look at the form and detail of Commonwealth Edison Company's report on the reinspection of the Byron Plant. IP was provided a copy of the report by NRC. (Transmittal letter dated July 3, 1984, Commonwealth Edison Company to J. G. Keppler.)

Mr. Hall stated that IP will consider deleting the BA Quality and Technical Services 100% reinspection of electrical tray and hangers; only verification and overinspection will be completed. This action will be formalized by IP and forwarded to NRC.

The meeting ended at 3:00 p.m.