ORIGINAL

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NO: 50-352-OL 50-353-OL

PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY

(Limerick Generating Station, Units 1 and 2)

LOCATION: PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA

PAGES: 14723 - 15021

DATE:

THURSDAY, DECEMBER 6, 1984

R-01 add/enjoy to ASUBP, E/W-439

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Official Reporters 444 North Capitol Street Washington, D.C. 20001 (202) 347-3700

PDR NATIONWIDE COVERAGE

di

8412110296 841206 PDR ADOCK 05000352 CR21259 NATIONS 12/6/84

2

1

3

5

7

6

9

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of:

PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY

(Limerick Generating Station, Units 1 & 2) Docket Nos. 50-352-OL 50-353-OL

Old Customs Courtroom
U. S. Customs House
2nd and Chestnut Streets
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Thursday, December 6, 1984

The hearing in the above-entitled matter convened,

pursuant to recess, at 9:00 o'clock a.m.

BEFORE:

HELEN F. HOYT, Esquire., Chairwoman Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

DR. RICHARD F. COLE, Member Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

DR. JERRY HARBOUR, Member Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

CR21259	
Nations 12/6/84	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
_	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
•	22
	23
	24
Ace-Federal Reporters	, Inc.

APPEARANCES:

2

5

7

25

On behalf of Philadelphia Electric Company:

TROY B. CONNER, JR., Esquire NILS N. NICHOLS, Esquire ROBERT N. RADER, Esquire Conner & Wetterhahn, P.C. 1747 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20006

On behalf of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

ZORI FERKIN, Esquire Governor's Energy Council Post Office Box 8010 1625 Front Street Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105

On behalf of PEMA:

RALPH HIPPERT B-151 Transportation and Safety Building Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

On behalf of FEMA:

MICHAEL HIRSCH, Esquire Associate General Counsel Room 840 500 C Street, S. W. Washington, D. C. 20472

On behalf of the NRC Staff:

HENRY J. MCGURREN, Esquire NATHENE WRIGHT, Esquire Office of the Executive Legal Director U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

On behalf of Friends of the Earth and pro se:

ROBERT ANTHONY Post Office Box 186 103 Vernon Lane Moylan, Pennsylvania 19065 CR 21259 REE 12/6/84

APPEARANCES: continued

On behalf of Limerick Ecology Action:

PHYLLIS ZITZER, President SUZANNE B. ERCOLE, ESQ. Limerick Ecology Action Box 761 Pottstown, Pennsylvania 19464

Ace Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

CONTENTS

	1						
	2				EX	AMINATION	
	3			Voir			
•		WITNESSES:	Direct	Dire	Cross	Redirect	Board
	4	ROBERT W. FETTERS,	JR.				
	5	(Resumed)					
	6	By Ms. Zitzer	14,740				
		By Mr. Conner			14,753		
	7	By Ms. Ferkin			14,759		
		By Ms. Wright			14,763		
	8	By Mr. Hirsch By Judge Cole			14,765		14,768
		By Judge Harbour					14,770
	9	by stage narbour					14,770
	10	JOHN LUKACS					
	11	By Ms. Zitzer	14,771				
	12	DR. THOMAS FERSING					
•		By Ms. Ercole	14,778				
	13	By Mr. Rader	,	14,779	14,835		
	14	By Ms. Ercole	14,780			14,913	
	14	By Ms. Ferkin			14,873		
	15	By Mr. McGurren			14,894		
		By Mr. Hirsch			14,900		
	16	By Mr. Rader		14,905			
		By Mr. Hirsch			14,908		
	17	By Judge Cole					14,913
	18	DR. RªY FEICH					
	19	By Ms. Ercole	14,916				
	19	By Mr. Rader		14,918	14,985		
	20	By Ms. Ercole	14,919				
	20	By Ms. Zitzer	14,973			15,016	
	21	By Ms. Ferkin			15,010		
				LAY-INS			
	22			THI-TING			
	23	Testimony of John La	ukacs				14,774
	1 1 1 1						

14,726B

CONTENTS

(continued)

RECESSES

morning recess	14,775
luncheon recess	14,834
early afternoon recess (fire drill)	14,883
late afternoon recess	14,973

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

nations CR21259 mn-#1-1

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

PROCEEDINGS

JUDGE HOYT: The hearing will come to order. Let the record reflect that all the parties to the hearing who were present when the hearing recessed last evening are again present in the hearing room, that the witness has taken his place upon the witness stand. Sir, I will remind you once more that you are still under oath.

Prior to starting the morning session, I would like to remind the parties and record on this record the fact that after the conclusion of the testimony last evening the counsel and representatives of the various parties remained in session on an off-the-record discussion which was an extended on.

This concerned the use of a time frame for the presentation of the next series of witnesses by the LEA among other things and there was a very extended discussion of the times and dates for future hearings in light of the fact that the hearings have moved much more slowly than had been anticipated.

In accordance with the discussions, it was agreed among the parties that when an LEA witness among these approximately 60 witnesses which LEA has estimated that they have will be called that those witnesses with pre-filed testimony would be presented by LEA, that the applicant would be extended a period of 30 minutes for cross-examination,

mn1-2

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

that the NRC, the counsel for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, the Federal Emergency Management Agency would be extended all 20 minutes of cross-examation, that the representative of LEA would be accorded 20 minutes of redirect examination. It was agreed among the parties that without some decidedly need that could be clearly demonstrated that there would be recross-examination.

Now when witnesses presented by LEA would not have pre-filed testimony, LEA's representative would be accorded one and a half hours of direct examination, the applicant would be extended one hour of cross-examination and that counsel for the NRC, Commonwealth and FEMA would be extended 30 minutes of cross-examination time. LEA would have 30 minutes of redirect time. Again, no recross unless there was a decided and unforeseen need for it clearly demonstrated by the party requesting any recross.

MS. ZITZER: Your Honor.

JUDGE HOYT: Yes. Let me check one more thing. Subject to the availability of various hearing sites for these hearings some of which we discussed last evening in this off-the-record conference, we will prepare as soon as possible a schedule for the time that these hearings will begin on each day that we are on in session at the various locations.

The present time frames that we will work with in

deral Reporters, Inc. this week of December 6th and 7th will be as follows: we will stay in session from nine this morning until five this evening. We will have to abide by our original schedule nine to twelve for December 7th tomorrow. The reason for that is something that I had not thought about last evening and talked about it later, the reporters have scheduled their train times in accordance with our previously agreed upon schedule and since there had been plans made in accordance with that time, we will not disturb the arrangements that already have been made.

Starting next week, we have only scheduled the December 13th limited appearance session at Stowe. In discussion among the Board members, it just does not appear appropriate to try to schedule anything during the days of December 14th and 15th, that would be the Friday and Saturday after that limited appearance evening session.

Going back to the first part of the week, members of this Board already have had previous commitments for time in the office which simply does not permit us to convene this Board during the other days of that week, that is December 10th, 11th and 12th.

As soon as we have some indication from the administrative people as to the location of the various facilities that we can use and with the input that was solicited and agreed upon by the parties last night, namely

nn1-4

1 2

ge-Federal Reporters, Inc

the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and I believe Mr. Conners mentioned one facility to us and I forgot to ask you for the address and the person to contact about that facility, Mr. Conner if you will get that for me, I would appreciate it later on this morning.

MR. CONNER: We will provide that at the recess.

I don't know the name but if you are referring to the State
Office Building, I would assume that that would be something
Ms. Ferkin would look into. We had two other ideas. There
were two courtrooms in Norristown that this Board has used
in the past, I am not sure this Board has used both of them
but it has used one of them.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well. I believe those two that were mentioned last night, one is a ceremonial courtroom that we had used --

MR. CONNER: And the other is the old federal courthouse down on 9th and Market.

JUDGE HOYT: That we are looking into this morning as well. Yes, Ms. Zitzer.

MS. ZITZER: I would like to make a comment about the discussion we had yesterday afternoon.

JUDGE HOYT: Let me provide you that time but let me see if what I have indicated to you this morning is what, in fact, occurred during the hearings last night. Is that in accordance with everybody else's recollection?

3

1

Mr. Conner, I am getting a shaking of the head down, so I take it that is affirmative.

MR. CONNER: Yes.

JUDGE HOYT: The Staff?

5

MR. McGURREN: That is what the staff recalls,

6

Your Honor.

7

JUDGE HOYT: Mr. Hirsch?

8

MR. HIRSCH: That is FEMA's recollection as well,

9

Your Honor.

witnesses.

10

JUDGE HOYT: Very well. Ms. Ferkin?

11

MS. FERKIN: Yes.

12

JUDGE HOYT: Now, Ms. Zitzer.

13

MS. ZITZER: I want to clarify whether or not

14

this schedule we have just discussed applies to only LEA's

15

witnesses or whether it also applies to PEMA and FEMA

16

17

ND#1

18

19

20

21

22

23

CR 21259 12/6/84 REE Take 2

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc

JUDGE HOYT: The schedule that we have provided this morning does not apply to PEMA's witnesses at this time, but it will give us a point of reference when the PEMA witness list is given to us. And we will very probably have a similar type of discussion concerning their witnesses, and we will also assign times for direct examination, cross-examination, redirect, and recross, if any.

That will also apply to any other witnesses that the staff has as well. Mr. Conners, I think, understands that.

The staff witnesses -- when these witness lists are prepared by you, as soon as you can circulate them to us, it would be most helpful.

MR. MC GURREN: Let me remind the Board that the staff at this point has just one witness.

JUDGE HOYT: That was my recollection. In the event that you have any additional witnesses, please let me know.

MR. HIRSCH: Your Honor, I wanted the record to reflect that there are going to be only two FEMA witnesses, Mr. Asher and Mr. Kinard. We had originally thought that Joe Keller was the third FEMA witness.

He will not be available for the hearings.

I also want to indicate for the record and for the

•

parties in the hearing room today that I will not be available -- I have other commitments -- the week of January 14 through the 21st of January. And I had planned to discuss with the other parties whether we could arrange for the FEMA panel to be on sometime either before January 14 or after January 21.

We can arrange that as we proceed in the hearing.

JUDGE HOYT: Yes. That would be very helpful,

Mr. Hirsch.

The Board also recalls to all the parties that there has been frequent urgings, there have been directions by the Board, and there have been citations constantly given that where you can enter into stipulations, the Board is most eager to see that those stipulations are worked out. We are prepared to accept any reasonable stipulation that would be presented.

MS. ZITZER: LEA wishes to be heard.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well.

MS. ZITZER: We need to verify that the Board will, upon completion of LEA's witnesses, enter into a similar discussion of the appropriate amount of time for the PEMA and FEMA witnesses. We are particularly concerned because many of them are testifying on nearly all, which is approximately eight to ten, contentions. And in view of the panel nature of the way that the witnesses have been

8 9

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

presented, it would be extremely -- we believe it potentially would jeopardize LEA's opportunity of fair hearing to have to have only maybe eight or nine minutes per contention for the PEMA witnesses, and Mr. Campbell in particular, and would ask the Board at that time to consider the schedule accordingly.

JUDGE HOYT: I think what I just indicated to you is that we were going to consider each of the witnesses. If you want me to say it again on the record, I will be happy to do it.

MS. ZITZER: I don't believe that is necessary.

JUDGE HOYT: I have indicated to you that we are going to do that. I always have problems with panel witnesses anyway, but that is the way the --

MS. ZITZER: There is one other additional comment --

JUDGE HOYT: All right. What else do you have?

MS. ZITZER: -- I need to make. LEA understood that what took place yesterday afternoon to be a discussion and not any kind of a final agreement.

Upon consulting with Mrs. Ercole, who is LEA's attorney who will be conducting cross-examination of the school district superintendents, we must enter an objection with regard to the hour and a half time limit for two school district superintendents in particular where staff mortages

regarding contentions LEA 11, 12, and 15 have been identified. We are extremely concerned whether or not the hour and a half time limit is going to allow us to sufficiently explore the nature of those problems and any potential resolution to those problems.

I am specifically referring to Dr. Roy Claypool from Owen J. Roberts School District, and also to the representative representing Methacton School District.

I would like Mrs. Ercole to be heard on that if that is agreeable to the Board.

JUDGE HOYT: I don't think, Ms. Zitzer, we will need to hear Ms. Ercole. The agreement was made. You had the advice of counsel. These were the agreements, and these time frames will be retained.

MS. ZITZER: LEA did not enter into agreement. I object to the characterization of that.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well, Miss Zitzer.

Unfortunately, if you do not wish to use the word agreement, that will be fine. However, it is the Board's decision that these time frames will be applicable, and in this situation, the witnesses that you indicated that you have. I believe they are some 60 in number.

MS. ZITZER: LEA objects to this and believes that its right to a fair hearing has been prejudiced by this decision.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well, Miss Zitzer.

You indicated no problems with it last

You indicated no problems with it last evening.

MS. ZITZER: Your Honor, that discussion was not on the record, and we did not understand that we at that time entered into any final agreement.

JUDGE HOYT: That is precisely why I find frequently discussions off the record are, although productive, when someone wishes to use it as a vehicle of complaint or recalculating their position later, that is always the grounds that is used. I think that is not very applicable here since every other counsel and representative in this room last evening participated. I heard no objections to what occurred. There was a very free, open, all points of view were heard, considered. And we discussed them quite openly, quite freely, and I think at that time the Board was very pleased that we would be able to move forward.

Now, having had those discussions, if you have a change of heart, I believe that the consensus of this group was that the time frames were adequate, and that will have to be the way we will proceed.

MR. HIRSCH: Your Form, could I make a suggestion that might help clarify the section?

I wonder if it might be feasible to agree that

if LEA's counsel is able to complete its cross-examination of some of the other school superintendents in less than an hour and a half, perhaps they would be permitted to --

JUDGE HOYT: Mr. Hirsch, I think that we will have to consider that. However, I don't think that that is an appropriate vehicle.

I think that is simply having made certain decisions, then to not have the courage of your convictions and to abide by those decisions, then the Board is going to make a decision and abide by it.

Now, let's proceed with the witness that we have.

All right, Mr. Conners.

MS. ZITZER: I object to the characterization --

MR. CONNER: I would like to again state for the record, as I did off the record last night, we remain ready to consider any possible stipulation. Next week there will be no hearings. LEA could use that time to prepare precise examination or perhaps stipulations.

Mr. Claypool is, I understand, a very friendly witness to LEA. Maybe they can work with him and get something that would expedite the entire matter.

We are willing to consider anything.

JUDGE HOYT: Can you keep some counsel from your staff available to work with LEA, Mr. Conners, so that

END 2

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

any stipulation could be readily reached with them in the event that LEA would be willing?

MR. CONNER: Somebody will always be at the end of our phone line, which they have.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well.

Miss Zitzer, that was the offer of the counsel to be at the ready for you if you wish to enter into any stipulations.

MS. ZITZER: With regard to prefiled testimony?

JUDGE HOYT: Miss Zitzer, the hearing is in session, please.

The discussion that Mr. Conner had was that he would always have an attorney ready to work with you in reaching any stipulation. That is on any testimony, prefiled or otherwise.

T3MM/mml 1

2

B

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

leral Reporters, Inc. 25 MS. ZITZER: We will resume those discussions next week and we will be prepared to report back to the Board.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well.

MS. ZITZER: Your Honor, I --

JUDGE HOYT: Ms. Zitzer, I think we have spent half our time this morning with those discussions --

MS. ZITZER: I object --

JUDGE HOYT: Ms. Zitzer, I just advise you to begin your examination.

MS. ZITZER: LEA raised a concern yesterday about the problem of time deficiency for the cross examination of Dr. Claypool, and I am concerned that the record reflect that.

JUDGE HOYT: Ms. Zitzer, please, would you begin the examination of the witness.

MS. ZITZER: Yes, ma'am.

JUDGE HOYT: There is a provision in the rules of this Commission for contemptuous conduct, and I must advise you, you are coming very close to it.

MS. ZITZER: Thank you.

Whereupon,

ROBERT FETTERS

resumed the stand as a witness on behalf of Limerick Ecology
Action, and having been previously duly sworn, was further
examined and testified as follows:

mm 2

PXXXM

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed)

BY MS. ZITZER:

Q Mr. Fetters, has any representative of the Downingtown School District had any discussions with you regarding the use of your buses in the event of a radiological emergency?

A I have had no meetings with the Downingtown School
District in reference to any plan for evacuation of the
Pickering Valley Elementary School.

Q Mr. Fetters, are you aware that the Downingtown School District approved its Radiological Emergency Response Plan in February of 1984?

A I heard they had approved a plan, but I have not seen it.

Q Mr. Fetters, if asked by the Chester County

Department of Emergency County Services or the Downingtown

School District, would you be willing to enter into a

written agreement to provide buses and drivers to assist

in the evacuation during a radiological emergency at

Limerick?

MR. CONNER: I object to this. This is asked and answered. This is at trasncript 14,714.

MS. ZITZER: I don't believe he was asked if he would consider if approached.

I believe he testified he had not been approached.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

,,,

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

MR. CONNER: The transcript says, "I have been called by the Chester County Communications Department in reference to whether or not we would hire buses to go to other schools within the EPZ and I did not do so."

MR. CONNER:

JUDGE HOYT: What page are you on, sir?

MS. ZITZER: I believe my question wasn't limited

14,714. It begins line 5.

to the Chester County Department of Emergency Services, but it also included the Downingtown School District.

JUDGE HOYT: The witness responded, Ms. Zitzer, at page 14,714 on line 9, that he did not do this because,
"I figured if we had a problem with Downington School District, that is where we have a contract to start with."

MS. ZITZER: The question was, if he would be willing to enter into a written agreement to provide buses and drivers, if approached by either the Downingtown School District or the Chester Department of Emergency Services.

The reason I am asking the question is, the followup question I want to ask, "if not, why not."

And I don't believe that that has been established on the record.

JUDGE HOYT: The original question is -- the objection is sustained.

If you wish to ask the second question, you may do so.

BY MS. ZITZER:

Mr. Fetters, do you have any concerns about entering into a written agreement with either the Chester County Department of Emergency Services or the Downingtown School District regarding the provision of buses and drivers in the event of a radiological emergency at Limerick?

7

I do have concerns. A

8

What are those concerns?

9

10

Well first of all, I would have to meet with my

11

drivers. Second of all, to ask them to go into a situation

12

to evacuate students when they have their own families that they are concerned with in that EP zone would be questionable in my mind whether or not they would do it.

14

15

13

Mr. Fetters are you aware of whether the number of drivers that you employ that live inside as opposed to outside the Emergency Planning Zone, to the best of your

16 17

recollection?

18 19

Approximately 80 percent of my drivers. A

20

Live where? 0

21

A Within the EPZ.

22

Within the EPZ? 0

23

24

25

Yes. A

How many drivers do you employ? 0

37. A

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25 You mean in reference to the busing of the students?

Do you have any other concerns?

Q Yes, sir.

0

A I do. I just have -- there is a few questions in the back of my mind how it is going to be feasible to move these children when you are snarled with traffic. I don't really know how it would work. Ihave never been involved in an emergency situation where you have had a real emergency to evacuate these students.

We have a difficult time now just taking the students to school and bringing them home if the parents will stay away from the school and leave the buses do their job.

Q So you have a difficult time.

Can you give any specific examples?

MR. CONNER: We object to this. The trouble with the parents clossing the schools and the buses has nothing to do with an evacuation in the event it were necessary, in an emergency.

MS. ZITZER: I was simply asking --

JUDGE HOYT: Just amoment, Ms. Zitzer.

The objection is overruled.

MS. ZITZER: Thank you.

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: We have, as I stated yesterday at

.

the hearing, a tremendous traffic problem on Route 100.

How they are going to overcome it, I don't know. But this
is the main artery that would be leading into and out of
the Pickering Valley Elementary School.

The majority of the buses that go in and out of that particular school which is in the Downingtown Area School District have to use Route 100. They either go north or south.

BY MS. ZITZER:

Q Mr. Fetters, do you have any concern about the entrance to the Turnpike exit at Downingtown, at Route 100?

And, do you have any knowledge from your experience as

Emergency Coordinator and your other duties and responsibilities regarding traffic congestion or problems in that area?

MR. CONNER: Objection. That was covered yesterday.

JUDGE HOYT: Ms. Zitzer, I think you have covered a great deal of that area.

MS. ZITZER: I can ask a more specific question.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well. Thank you.

BY MS. ZITZER:

Q Mr. Fetters, could you describe the intersection at the interchange at the Downingtown interchange of the Pennsylvania Turnpike and Route 100 and the traffic pattern at that intersection?

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc

MR. CONNER: Object to this as unnecessary detail.

The interchange is not part of the evacuation route.

MS. ZITZER: It is the traffic pattern at the interchange that I am concerned about on Route 100.

MR. CONNER: The interchange is not on Route 100.

MS. ZITZER: The entrance to the Turnpike is on

Route 100.

JUDGE HOYT: Isn't there an access in there?

We are looking at Exhibit E-69, Ms. Zitzer. If

you will refer to that -- it is a schematic, but I think it

illustrates it better than some of the other maps.

Ms. Ferkin, do you have your copy of 69, E-69?

MS. FERKIN: E-69?

JUDGE HOYT: Applicant's E-69.

MS. FERKIN: Excuse me. I'm sorry. I have my copy, yes.

(Document handed to counsel for LEA.)

JUDGE HOYT: I think the objection was to your question speaking -- as I understood it at least -- that you had characterized the Downingtown interchange as being off of Route 100. And the maps that we have on the scene, Ms. Zitzer, simply does not concur with that, as an access with that.

(Counsel Zitzer handing document to witness.)

MS. ZITZER: I believe the Applicant's Exhibit

2

3

4

5

7

8 9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

which you referred to, I believe it is E-69?

JUDGE HOYT: That's right.

MS. ZITZER: It has a line drawn, which I believe is intended to represent the entrance ramp to Route 100.

Is that correct?

JUDGE HOYT: The witness can testify to that better.

MS. ZITZER: My question to the witness -- my concern is the traffic on Route 100 at the point where there is an entrance and an exit ramp right there at the entrance to Route 100.

I specifically wanted to ask him about his knowledge of the traffic patterns at that location.

JUDGE HOYT: If that is the question, that will be answered.

MS. ZITZER: Thank you.

JUDGE HOYT: Go ahead sir, if you know.

THE WITNESS: The main entrance to the Exit 23 on the Pennsylvania Turnpike, which is classified as the Downingtown Interchange, the entrance and exit goes on to Route 100 as stipulated in this example E-69.

JUDGE HOYT: Is that an accurate representation, sir?

THE WITNESS: It is. I just came by it this morning, it was still there.

(Laughter.)

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

JUDGE HOYT: I meant on the map.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. CONNER: If the Board please, this schematic was prepared to illustrate. I am looking at one of the -I forget who puts these out -- one of these maps which has an accurate blowup of the city -- I mean of the area. And this is only a schematic.

JUDGE HOYT: Yes, I understand.

MR. CONNER: So we do not purport that it accurately represents that Exit 23 interchange. But it illustrates its location, which I think is substantially accurate.

JUDGE HOYT: Thank you.

BY MS. ZITZER:

Q From your knowledge of traffic flow on Route 100,
Mr. Fetters, what has been your experience during peak
traffic hours of the effect of local traffic at that
interchange?

A There is a tremendous amount of traffic at the peak hours, which is in the morning when the people are going to work, to school and so forth, and the same in the afternoon.

Q And is there any problem with traffic congestion at that area that you are aware of that you could describe in more detail?

A There is. On an average workday, which is Monday

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 e-Federal Reporters, Inc.

æ-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25 through Friday, there is a tremendous traffic problem there in the morning and in the afternoon.

Q What impact does that have on the flow of traffic on Route 100?

A It snarls traffic, period.

Q What do you mean by "snarls"?

A I was involved the other morning in approximately a mile and a half of traffic solid southbound on Route 100.

Q Does that happen on a routine basis, to the best of your knowledge?

A To the best of my knowledge, yes. They just put a red light in at the Turnpike exit, where the Turnpike exits out to Route 100.

Q Has that helped improve the flow of traffic?

A No, it has not helped. It has hindered.

Q Thank you.

Did you have something else to say? I thought I cut you off.

A (Shaking head negatively.)

Q Okay.

Mr. Fetters, you also testified that you contract to remove snow in, I believe, Upper Uwchlan Township.

Is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q Is your snowplow responsibility limited to Upper

Uwchlan Township?

A Our contract is with Upper Uwchlan Township. But in the past, in the previous years and so forth, we have had to plow the PennDOT roads to get to the Township roads.

- Q In Upper Uwchlan Township?
- A That is correct.
- Q Okay.

Do you have responsibility for snow removal on state roads as well as municipal roads?

- A No, just in Upper Uwchlan Township.
- Q In Upper Uwchlan Township, who has the primary responsibility for snow removal on state roads?

MR. CONNER: Objection. Asked and answered.

I will withdraw that, that's quicker.

MS. ZITZER: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Would you repeat that question,

please?

BY MS. ZITZER:

- Q On state roads in Upper Uwchlan Township, who has primary responsibility for snow removal?
- A Pennsylvania Department of Transportation on their roads.
- Q I believe yesterday you testified that there have been some problems with the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation carrying out that responsibility.

24 Noe-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Is that correct?

2

That is correct.

4

3

Couldyou provide more information regarding your

5

experience with these problems?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

ce-Foderal Reporters

25

MR. CONNER: We do object to that. That has been gone into. It calls for a speech on the same subject made

vesterday.

MS. ZITZER: I don't believe he provided any explanation. He simply made a simple statement, and he really did not provide, in my opinion, enough explanation to really, for the record, state the nature of his involvement with PennDOT and his concerns.

I am simply trying to ascertain the extent of that contact and what his concerns are.

JUDGE HOYT: Objection overruled.

MS. ZITZER: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: The problem that I find with the roads that are involved in the Upper Uwchlan Township that the PennDOT takes care of, we have had roads that PennDOT doesn't touch for two days with a snowplow. You just don't see them, they don't show up. I don't know where they get to. But they have a problem.

Consequently, what happens, we wind up -supervisors gisve the authority and we wind up taking care of the road, either plowing or cindering.

2

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc

25

BY MS. ZITZER:

Q As Emergency Coordinator for Upper Uwchlan Township, Mr. Fetters, did you participate in the July 25th test drill?

A Yes, I did.

Q Did anything resulting from that participation that day satisfy your concerns about traffic congestion on Route 100 in the Marsh Creek State Park area, or at the intersection of Route 100 and 113, and were traffic control points set up at either of those locations or anywhere else in the township during the drill?

A I was not involved in Route 100 or Route 113 intersection on traffic control.

I was involved with the Upper Uwchlar end of it which would have been at the intersection of Route 100 and Park Road on the exercise drill.

Like I said, it was an exercise drill.

- Q Did you man that traffic control point that day?
- A No, we did not.
- Q Okay.

Is there any connection between the police and fire services between Upper Uvahlan and Uwchlan Township?

A Yes.

Upper Uwchlan Township contracts with Uwchlan
Township the police service, and the Lionville Fire Company
covers part of Upper Uwchlan Township. Ludwig's Corner

3

4

5

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

Fire Company covers the other part. And the Glenmore Fire Company covers the other corner of Upper Uwchlan Township.

Q Do you have any concerns about the availability of police and fire services in the event of a radiological emergency due to that shared nature of the relationship between the two townships?

A According to our evacuation plan that was presented to us, some of our traffic control points are to be manned by the Pennsylvania State Police. The others are to be manned by fire personnel and police.

In the event of an actual emergency, of an actual emergency, I think Upper Uwchlan Township is going to have to take care of it. I can't foresee the police or fire personnel being available to do it. Just not enough of them to go around.

Q Do you have the staff to do that?

A We have a small staff at this point, which is two teams; one team to work on a 12-hour shift, and the other team to work on a 12-hour shift.

We do not have enough people at this point to man all those traffic points.

MS. ZITZER: I have no further questions.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well.

The witness has been passed to you for cross, Mr. Conner.

5

6

7

8

9

MR. CONNER: Yes, ma'am.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. CONNER:

Q Mr. Fetters, I get the two Uwchlans mixed up as I have already told you.

At the beginning of your testimony you said at transcript 14,710, when shown the Traffic Master Plan and Engineering Study that was identified as Exhibit 23 for LEA, that -- you were asked what this document was and if you used it.

You said it was a document similar to the one we use.

I gather from that this document was not the one you used?

A Can I see a copy of the document, please?

Q Sure.

MR. ZITZER: Mr. Fetters, do you have that exhibit?

JUDGE HOYT: Mr. Conner, would you show the copy

to the LEA counsel that you are showing to the witness.

MR. CONNER: This is the exhibit they produced yesterday.

(Document shown to LEA counsel.)

JUDGE HOYT: Let the record reflect that it has been passed to counsel and witness.)

(Document handed to witness.)

XXX

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

24

ederal Reporters,

end T3

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE WITNESS: This is a document I looked at yesterday.

BY MR. CONNER: (Resuming)

- Q. In other words this is not the one that your township uses, is that correct?
- A. That is correct. It is marked Uwchlan Township.

 I am in Upper Uwchlan Township.
- Q. Directing to your attention to your statements on snow removal, I am not clear. As I understand it, route 100 and route 113 are state roads.
 - A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. You have no contract to remove snow on either of those but you sometimes do so in order to reach the municipal roads in your township that you have a contract for, is that correct?
- A. Not on route 100 and route 113 intersection.

 No, sir. That is in Uwchlan Township.
 - Q. Did you ever work for PennDOT?
 - A. Do you mean contract with PennDOT or personally work?
 - Q. Either way.
 - A. Years ago we contracted with PennDOT.
- Q. You state that in some snow storms, PennDOT didn't touch the roads for two days.
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. As a township supervisor do you complain to PennDOT

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

- K

- Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc

- A. I am not a township supervisor.
- Q. I am sorry.
- A. But I have complained to PennDOT. It doesn't do any good, but I do it.
- Q As the management coordinator for Upper Uwchlan Township and the emergency management coordinator, do you complain to PennDOT?
- A. Well, this is the first winter, the winter of 1984-1985, that I will be the emergency management coordinator for Upper Uwchlan. I am sure that there will be some complaints. I can see it coming.
- Q You didn't complain last night and you had three inches of now?
- A. No, I didn't. I was too tired from being here all afternoon.
- Q. Directing your attention to your testimony about Marsh Creek State Park, I think I see in front of you applicant's exhibit E-69 which is a skematic showing among other things the Marsh Creek Lake and State Park area?
 - A. (Perusing document.)
 - Yes, I have the E-69 in front of me.
- Q. You have testified about the travel congestion that occurs in the summertime in particular when Marsh Creek is occupied and exits onto route 100 and so forth. Do you

recall that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. Yes.

In the event of an evacuation, is there any reason why traffic in the park itself could not be diverted south down Moore Road, Dorlan Mills Road and Creek Road away from the emergency planning zone?

It is possible it could be arranged. Park Road is the main entrance to the Marsh Creek State Park and the other entrance comes in from route 282. It is kind of a split session, the larger side entrance and exit from Park Road. Now as you said, Moore Road goes off of Park. Yes, they could go off there but we find the majority of them and when I say "we" I am speaking of the police and the Park police find a majority of them use Park Road and the other ones use the road that leads to route 282.

- Q. In the event an officer were posted as a traffic control point at Park Road and Moore Road intersection, couldn't they simply divert traffic south out of the park rather than into the emergency planning zone?
 - It is possible, yes.
 - Is there any reason why it couldn't?
- In the past summer months they have had an awful time trying to keep them away from Park Road going in, the police have had a problem.
 - Q. I am talking only in the event of an evacuation in

1

4

3

6

7

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

an emergency.

- A. It is possible, yes. If you have a police officer there, they can direct them out that way.
- Q. What is Moore Road and Dorlan Mills Road and Creek Road south, what is the condition of those roads or the basic design of them?
- A. Dorlan Mills Road is a PennDOT road. Moore Road belongs to Upper Uwchlan Township. Park Road belongs to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- Q. I didn't make myself clear. Are these two-lanes or what kinds of roads, asphalt or dirt?
 - A. No. They are all blacktop asphalt roads.
 - Q. Two lane?
- A. Dorlan Mills Road is. Moore Road is and Park Road is.
 - Q. How about Creek Road?
 - A. Yes, two-lane, blacktop road, Creek Road, 282.
- Q. From your description of route 100 yesterday, they ought to be about comparable, wouldn't you say?
- A. Route 100 is larger than any of these three roads that I just described.
 - I thought you said that it was two lanes yesterday?
 - A. That is correct. The two lanes are wider.
- Q. I think I misunderstood you on this point. You were asked about traffic control points and the state police

mn4-5

•

Federal Reporters, I

doing some and local police doing others. Then I understood you to say that in the event of an emergency your township would have to care of manning these control points alone.

Are you suggesting that the state police wouldn't do whatever they had agreed to do?

A. No. I felt that my people in Upper Uwchlan Township in the emergency management group would have to assist if these people were not able to arrive at these points.

- Q. They would assist I infer from your statement?
- A. We would assist them if they needed so.
- Q. You talked about providing buses for your township for school buses, I am sorry, and what school if any in your township is inside the Emergency Planning Zone?
- A. We have of the Downington area school district
 Pickering Valley Elementary School which has kindergarten
 throuth sixth grade. There is a private school in the
 township and it is called Uppatinas. That is a private
 school there. I do not have a contract with them but
 Downington School District does haul children in there and
 I transport those children.
- Q. Do you understand that under the school evacuation plan for the Downington School District that the Pickering School has elected not to evacuate in the event of an emergency but to shelter their students?

A.

No. I was not aware of that.

2

MR. CONNER: We have no further questions.

3

JUDGE HOYT: Any questions by PEMA.

5

6

7

MS. FERKIN: The Commonwealth has a few brief

questions.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well. Proceed.

BY MS. FERKIN:

Mr. Fetters, do you happen to know whether Upper Uwchlan Township has an agreement with PennDOT to maintain state roads for a fee?

To my knowledge at this point they do not have a contract with them.

Q. Mr. Fetters, how many traffic control points are currently designated in Upper Uwchlan Township in the township's emergency plan?

I don't have the plan with me.

MS. FERKIN: Would LEA counsel make available a copy of the Upper Uwchlan emergency plan that has been identified as Applicant's exhibit E-37?

JUDGE HOYT: I believe the staff, Ms. Ferkin, has a copy available that they will offer to you.

(Above-referenced document supplied to the witness.)

MS. WRIGHT: The staff would like to note that I had already turned to attachment D which designates the traffic control points.

XXXXXX

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Contract Characters

. .

JUDGE HOYT: Thank you, Ms. Wright. The document has been now placed in the hands of the witness.

BY MS. FERKIN: (Resuming)

- Q. I believe in attachment D of the plan we just referred to, Mr. Fetters, we have five traffic control points designated in your plan. Is that correct?
 - A. (Perusing document)

There are five listed here with three access control points.

- Q Did you participate in the selection of these traffic control points?
 - A. No, I did not.
 - Q. Who selected these traffic control points?
 - A. I have no idea.
- Q. You are the emergency management coordinator for Upper Uwchlan Township, are you not?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Are you then not aware of the procedure by which these traffic control points in this emergency plan were chosen?
- A. Prior to my becoming the emergency management coordinator was held at the time by the police chief of Uwchlan and Upper Uwchlan Township and he resigned as police chief and also resigned from this position of emergency management coordinator. Whether or not he was involved in

Sca-Faderal Reporters, Inc.

this, I do not know.

- Q. I am not sure if this has been established, but how long have you been emergency management coordinator for Upper Uwchlan Township?
 - A. Since the middle of the July.
- Q. Have you reviewed the plan you have in front of you, draft seven, September 1984, prior to this date?
 - A. What page are you on?
- Q. I am talking about the document you have in front of you, the September 1984 draft seven, Upper Uwchlan Township Radiological Emergency Reponse Plan.
 - A. I have gone over it briefly.
- Q. Referring back to Attachment D, although you did not participate in selection of the traffic control points listed there, do you know where it is designated "township" as the responsible organization what township means?

 Does it mean Uwchlan Township or Upper Uwchlan Township or both?
- A. These traffic control points as listed are in Upper Uwchlan Township.
- Q Is the responsible organization therefore Upper Uwchlan Township?
- A. According to the listing that they have, they have responsible organization, route 100 and Fellowship Road would be state. I would assume that is state police.

П

Ace-Federal Reporters, I

The next would be state police for Little Conestoga and route 100 and the following three are classified as township so that would be the Uwchlan/Upper Uwchlan Township Police Department.

- Q. Uwchlan slash Upper Uwchlan Police Department, is that correct?
- A. Well, to my understanding Upper Uwchlan has a contract for services from the Uwchlan Township Police Department.
- Q. Do you have any concern that personnel from the Uwchlan Police Department would not show up to man these traffic control points in an emergency?
- A. I have a concern whether or not they would be able to get there in case of an emergency not on a drill but in the case of an emergency.
 - Q. What is the basis for your concern?
- A. I feel that they will be otherwise occupied whether they are in Uwchlan or Upper Uwchlan.
- Q. Do you know what the responsibilities of the Uwchlan Police Department are under Uwchlan Township Radiological Emergency Response Plan?
 - A. No, I do not know what they are.
- Q. Just to clarify, Upper Uwchlan Township did not staff the three traffic control points listed in attachment D as being staffed by the township in the July 25th drill?

2

3

4

5

6

XXXXXX

7

9

8

10

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

-Federal Reporters, In

25

A. That is correct.

MS. FERKIN: I have no further questions.

JUDGE HOYT: Does staff have any questions?

MS. WRIGHT: Yes, ma'am. The staff has a few questions.

BY MS. WRIGHT:

- Q Mr. Fetters, you testified earlier that the Upper Uwchlan Township contracted out for some of its police services, is that correct?
- A. Upper Uwchlan Township contracts with Uwchlan Township for their police service.
- Q. Was it also your testimony that Upper Uwchlan has two teams of police offiers who work in 12-hour shifts?
 - A. No.
 - O. They do not?
 - A. That was the emergency coordinator's team.
- Q. All right. During the July 25th exercise you stated the Upper Uwchlan did not provide any personnel to man the traffic access control points. Did anyone provide for that? Were they manned at all?
- A. I do not know. I was involved in the drill with PEMA and FEMA representatives there.

(Counsel for NRC conferring off the record.)

BY MS. WRIGHT: (Resuming)

Q. With reference to your earlier testimony regarding

8

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

applicant's exhibit E-69 about back-ups along the Downington interchange entering route 100. Do you recall that?

- Yes.
- You said that there were tremendous back-ups, I believe?
- Yes. You have a back-up of traffic on route 100 heading southbound in the morning.
- Can you approximate the 1 gth of the back-up in terms of car lengths or amount of time at any given rush hour morning or afternoon?
- I have observed approximately a mile to a mile and a quarter of traffic.
- Do you know whether the Downington interchange is the beginning or the point where the back-up begins or is it the intersection between Lionville Avenue which I think is route 113 and where it intersects with Pottstown Pike which is route 100? On this map you have an intersection at the Downington Interchange and route 100.
 - Yes.
- There is also an interchange between route 113 and route 100.
 - Yes. A.
- The back-up you are speaking of is at the Downington interchange, is that correct?
 - There is a turnpike bridge which is not on E-69

mn4-12

XXXXXX 11

erel Benorters In

which crosses route 100. After you go underneath the turnpike bridge and go approximately one block and at that point you can see the intersection of the Downington interchange exit and entrance. That is where I have sat with a busload of students waiting to go south on route 100 in the rush hour traffic in the morning as far as I can see. I cannot see the intersection of 113 and 100 from that point.

MS. WRIGHT: Thank you. Those are all the questions the staff has.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well. Mr. Hirsch.

BY MR. HIRSCH:

Q. Mr. Fetters, my name is Mike Hirsch. I am with the Federal Emergency Management Agency and I just have a couple quick questions. Mr. Fetters, do you believe it is an accurate assumption that during a winter snow storm in the vicinity of Upper Uwchlan Township and specifically on the designated evacuation routes out of the EPZ that roadway capacity and speeds of vehicles on the roadways would be reduced by 30 percent.

A. That is in a major snow storm that you are speaking of?

- Q Not necessary a major snow storm. I don't know how you would define a major snow storm but let's talk about five or six inches of snow, let's say.
 - A. The speed of traffic is definitely cut.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

CND#4

- Q Do you think cut by 30 percent is an accurate figure or do you think it would be less than 30 percent?
 - A. I think it is very conservative.
 - Q By that, you mean it could be more than 30 percent?
- A. I would say that the speed of the traffic would be cut by more than 30 percent.
 - Q. What do you base that opinion on?
- A. Actually being involved in the traffic in the snew storm.
- Q I believe you have testified that you have signed no letters of agreement or contracts to commit yourself in your capacity as the operator of a bus company to provide bus companies for evacuation in the event an evacuation is necessary from the EPZ. Is that understanding correct?
- A. I signed no contract with the Chester County

 Emergency Services. I have a contract with the Downington

 School District for the daily transportation of pupils to

 and from schools. As I testified before, I have not met

 with the Downington Area School District involving an

 evacuation plan for the Pickering Valley Elementary School.

CR 21259 REE Take 5 Page 1

æ-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Q Do you know from any other source whether the
Downingtown Area School District personnel believe that your
bus company will provide bus services to evacuate the
Pickering Valley Elementary School in the event of an
incident at the Limerick Generating Station?

A Like I said, I have not met with them.

Q My question to you -- excuse me. I didn't mean to interrupt you.

A I have a contract with them to transport those students to and from school. If they call and said they need to immediately evacuate the building, then we try to fulfill that contract.

Q I assume when you say you haven't met with the school district, that you have made -- strike that. Let me start all over again.

Have you made any oral commitments with either Upper Uwchlan Township or Chester County to provide bus services to evacuate the Pickering Elementary School or the second school that you mentioned that was in the EPZ, Uppatinas School?

A Uppatinas.

Q Let me start over. Have you made any oral commitments to either the Upper Uwchlan Township or to Chester County committing yourself to provide bus services for the evacuation of those two schools in the event of

an accident at the Limerick Generating Station? 1 2 I have made no oral agreement. 3 MR. HIRSCH: Thank you. I have no further questions. 5 JUDGE HOYT: Is there any redirect? 6 MS. ZITZER: I have no questions. 7 JUDGE HOYT: Very well. 8 Dr. Cole? XXXXXXX 9 BOARD EXAMINATION 10 BY JUDGE COLE: 11 Just one or two questions, Mr. Fetters. 12 Yesterday you were asked several questions 13 about the evacuation route, and you referred to Redbone 14 Lane as being a dirt/gravel lane. Do you recall that, sir? 15 A Yes. 16 Is Redbone Lane in Upper Uwchlan Township or 17 West Vincent Township? 18 To the best of my knowledge, 99 percent of 19 Redbone Lane is in West Vincent Township. 20 But there is some part in Upper Uwchlan, to 21 the best of your knowledge? 22 To the best of my knowledge, I believe there 23 is approximately a 50-foot section in Upper Uwchlan Township which joins Fellowship Road. It has to access Ace-Federal Reporters 25 onto Fellowship Road or Route 401.

Q All right, sir.

2

3

4

5

,6

7

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Reporters, Inc

25

In the planned evacuation route, how long -- do you know how long the section of Redbone Lane, I believe it is identified as T-435 on the map, do you know how long the section of Redbone Lane that is involved in the planned evacuation route is?

A I would estimate it approximately a mile and a quarter.

Q Is all of that in Uwchlan Township?

A Redbone Lane is in West Vincent Township, the majority of it.

Q So all of the part that is involved in the evacuation route is in West Vincent Township, or is this 50 feet involved?

A Well, the end of the Redbone Lane which exits onto Fellowship Road, which is in Upper Uwchlan Township, it is approximately, like I said, 50 feet, which would belong to Upper Uwchlan Township. But the majority, 99 percent of the road is in West Vincent Township.

Q But that 50 feet is involved in the evacuation route?

A Yes.

Q All right, sir.

Do you know if there are any plans to make any improvements to that road?

1 A I have no idea what West Vincent intends to do 2 with that road. 3 Do you know if Upper Uwchlan has any plans to make any improvements on Redbone Lane? 5 The Upper Uwchlan end is in very good shape 5 compared to the rest of the road. 7 Does that mean that you don't think it would be a problem in times of inclement weather? 8 9 On the Upper Uwchlan end, I would see no 10 problem, but on the West Vincent end, like I said, I have 11 no knowledge of what they do and how they operate on 12 their cindering and snow blowing and so forth. 13 JUDGE COLE: Thank you, sir. 14 JUDGE HOYT: Judge Harbour? 15 JUDGE HARBOUR: I have one question for 16 Applicant's counsel, first. You referred to the 17 exhibit which you talked about as the exhibit which was 18 given yesterday. Is that exhibit LEA-22? 19 MR. CONNER: I have it as LEA-23. 20 JUDGE HARBOUR: Thank you. 21 XXXXXXX BOARD EXAMINATION 22 BY JUDGE HARBOUR: 23 I just have a couple of questions. Is one of 24 the access control points in Upper Uwchlan -- are you aware

of whether the Downingtown interchange, which I believe is

XXXXX

1 actually located in Uwchlan Township, is a traffic control 2 point or not in the emergency plans? 3 The Pennsylvania Turnpike exit and interchange 4 is in Uwchlan Township. 5 And do you know, it is a traffic control 6 point or an access control point, excuse me? 7 I do not know what is on the Uwchlan plan. I would assume it is, but I am not positive. 8 9 JUDGE HARBOUR: That is the only thing I have. 10 JUDGE HOYT: Do we have any other questions? 11 (No response.) 12 Very well, sir. Thank you for your attendance 13 and for your testimony here. You are excused, sir. 14 (The witness stood down.) 15 MS. ZITZER: We are prepared to call our 16 next witness, Mr. Lukacs. 17 Mr. Lukacs, would you please come forward? 18 (Pause.) 19 MR. CONNER: Mr. Nichols is giving the Board 20 the cross-examination plan. 21 Whereupon, 22 JOHN LUKACS 23 was called as a witness and, having been duly sworn, 24 was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

2 3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

BY MS. ZITZER:

Mr. Lukacs, I have just given you a copy of the testimony which you submitted to present by Limerick Ecology Action in this proceeding on contention LEA-24.

Do you have that testimony you prepared in front of you?

- A Yes, I do.
- Have you had a moment to look your letter over? 0
- Yes, I did. A
- 0 Is this the statement which you prepared to submit as testimony in this proceeding?
 - Yes, it is.

MS. ZITZER: Your Honor, just as a procedural matter, I was informed by the Board that any testimony that was the subject of Philadelphia Electric's motion to strike would be discussed at the time that the witness was presented. This is one such situation.

For the record, I would like to note that the statement in Applicant's motion to strike which I believe is dated November 8, 1984, raises the question of whether or not Mr. Lukacs knowlingly presented this letter which he has typed the word "statement" on as testimony which was to be submitted with his knowledge on behalf of Limerick Ecology Action.

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

To the best of my knowledge, there is no other discussion in the Applicant's motion to strike that would relate to this particular piece of testimony.

Mr. Lukacs is here today at LEA's request.

He prepared the statement at LEA's request. LEA did type on to the statement "Testimony before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board," as is noted in the Applicant's motion to strike, and did type on the notation "Contention LEA-24," simply so that when LEA's transmittal of testimony was received, that it would be clear to the parties which contention this statement was in reference to.

And LEA has requested Mr. Likacs to come here today for the purpose of conducting cross-examination by the other parties on this testimony which he has authorized us to file on his behalf.

For that reason, LEA would ask the Board to deny the Applicant's motion to strike his testimony.

MR. CONNER: If the Board please, if Mr. Lukacs says this is his testimony, that, of course, is the only point we made in our motion to strike. If he says that, we have no objection to him appearing as a volunteer witness to give this as his testimony.

JUDGE HOYT: All right, sir. I will ask the witness a question.

Doctor, you have been shown a statement which

is purported to be your statement. Do you acknowledge that? 1 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor, I do. I 3 prepared a statement and gave it to LEA on the date marked there, the first of November. And if they wish 4 5 to present it as the testimony, I have no objection. 6 JUDGE HOYT: And you do acknowledge it as your 7 statement, sir? 8 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do, your Honor. 9 JUDGE HOYT: Very well. 10 I think with the explanation that the witness 11 has that this is his statement, the concern of the Applicant 12 in its motion -- if you wanted to withdraw the motion 13 to strike --14 MR. CONNER: I thought I said I did, yes. 15 JUDGE HOYT: If that is your intention, very 16 well. This will be accepted into the record and 17 incorporated into the record at this point as the 18 testimony of the witness John Lukacs. 19 (Testimony follows.) 20 21 22 23 24

2. Ace-Federal Reporters, In 2

XXXXXXXX

John Lukacs Valley PArk Road Phoenixville, Pa. 19460

Testimony before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

1 November 1984

Contention LEA-24

STATEMENT *****

- (1) I am a resident of Schuylkill Township, Chester County, where I have lived for the last thirty years. I have been a member of the Township Planning Commission for the last fifteen years. I have held its chairmanship for four of these fifteen years. I am an owner of my property, the chairman of the former Committee for the Preservation of Schuylkill Township, and a registered Republican.
- (2) I am not qualified to give an expert opinion on the technicalities of nuclear generating power but I believe that I have sufficient experience as a public official to state that I find the location and, therefore, the so-called evacuation plans and emergency transportation procedures of the P.E.C.O. not only inadequate but virtually impossible.
- (3) It is not my business to question the reason why P.E.C.O. and the attendant authorities have selected Limerick -- in this highly populated region, and so close, indeed, within the radius of Greater Philadelphia. But I am compelled to state the following:
 - (A) The unwillingness and the hesitation of many of the municipalities within the ten-mile Limerick radius during the July evacuation 'drill' was not merely the result of indifference or of insufficient attention devoted to this -- larg aly bureaucratic -- exercise. It was the result of their definite sentiment -- which, in certain cases, has crystallized into actual statements of opinion -- that the evacuation emergency plans in this area (especially 500TH of Limerick) are virtually impossible.
 - (B) So far as Schuylkill Township (and also the neighboring Bobough of Phoenixville) go, we have had a number of traffic surveys during the last fifteen years, and our preoccupation with traffic is a constant element in the consideration of Planning Cormission and Supervisors when it comes to any kind of proposed development.

Schuylkill Township (and Phoenixville, indirectly) find themselves in an unusual situation because Valley Forge National Park acts as a cushion between these still largely rural and small-town areas and the huge developments (which now have included an incredible generation of traffic) in the Valley Forge (East)-King of Prussia region and the so-called Route 202 corridor. It is consequent to this that our roads are relatively low-load capacity and already badly overcrowded. The county officials and in some cases the courts of the Commonwealth have sympathetically considered this condition during the past few years when it came to decisions involving possible large developments and adding to the traffic on existing roads.

(C) Undsual, too, is the condition that the population of Schuylkill Township Ithis is also true of Phoenixville, in spite of its wholly different social and economic situation) has

not increased significantly since 1970 -- in great contrast to much of the surrounding area, especially east and wouth of the township, within the so-called Great Valley industrial complex and the so-called 202 corridor. But even in this way our entire road system is already overcrowded, including off-peak hours, a situation whereof our School Board and the entire school system have been painfully aware.

- (D) The completion of the Pottstown Expressway (scheduled 1986) and of the s0-called Phoenixville Connector (scheduled 2000) will, at best, stabilize our present situation. The completion of these fast four-lane highways and/crexpressways mostly serve the people west and northwest of us, which may be a considerable help for people travelling toward King of Prussia in normal conditions but which the people (and the children) of Schuylkill Township and perhaps of the entire southern segment of the Limerick ten-mile area will, on the one hand, not be able to reach because of the existing and projected road network and which, on the other hand, will not allow people from the northern segment of the Limerick area (including the municipalities closest to Limerick) to proceed with any reasonable expectations through the roads which we now have and which we presumably will still have five or even fifteen years from now.
- (E) This, I believe, is the reason for the uneasiness and the scepticism and, in some cases, the definite reaction of the evacuation plan by most of the authorities in our township, including our school district administrators and fire companies.
- (4) IN sum, I do not hesitate to express my opinion, based on considerable experience and study, according to which the evacuation emergency plans in the event of a nuclear accident at Limerick are not only inadequate but perhaps impossible to realize.

Sincerely yours,

John Lukacs

Tilu blaces

BY MS. ZITZER:

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

XXXXXXX 21

22

23

24

Have you had an opportunity to review your prefiled testimony?

Yes, I did briefly this morning.

Is this statement still true and correct to the best of your knowledge?

A That is correct.

Is there anything in the testimony that you need to supplement or have any further concerns that you would like to express at this time?

I can't think of any right now.

MS. ZITZER: Your Honor, the witness is presented for cross-examination by the other parties.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well. May I have just a brief moment to retrieve something from the back room.

Maybe we can use this period more productively to have about a five-minute break and truly keep it to the five minutes, if we can, please.

Thank you.

The hearing is recessed.

(Recess.)

JUDGE HOYT: The hearing will come to order. Let the record reflect that all the parties to the hearing room are again present in the hearing room; that the witness has taken his place on the witness stand.

Sir, you a

Sir, you are still under oath, of course.

It has been the Board's decision, where the LEA has submitted prefiled testimony, that the Applicant will be accorded 30 minutes of cross-examination.

MR. CONNER: In the interest of moving the hearing along, the Applicant will ask no cross-examination questions.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well.

We have extended 20 minutes of cross-examination time to the Commonwealth.

MS. FERKIN: The Commonwealth has no examination for this witness.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well. Any cross-examination by the Nucear Regulatory Commission Staff?

MR. MC GURREN: The NRC Staff has no questions, your Honor.

MR. HIRSCH: Nor does FEMA.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well. Since there has been no cross-examination of the witness, the prefiled testimony has been attached. I have no questions. There is no redirect.

Sir, thank you very much for coming here. We appreciate your attendance at the hearing.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

MR. MC GURREN: The testimony was bound in the

24 æ-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

22

23

record, was it not?

2

JUDGE HOYT: Yes. I believe that has been indicated already, counsel.

3

(The witness stood down.)

5

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

MS. FERKIN: Judge Hoyt, simply for the record, I would like to note that at the break, the Commonwealth distributed to the Board its cross-examination plan for those witnesses for whom LEA has provided prefiled testimony.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well.

MS. ZITZER: At this time, LEA wishes to call Dr. Thomas Persing, the superintendent of the Upper Perkiomen Valley School District.

JUDGE HOYT: Would the witness please come forward.

MS. ZITZER: For the record, Mrs. Ercole is going to be conducting the examination today until 4 o'clock.

JUDGE HOYT: The Board would like to note that this was a perfect illustration that we just had where if there had been communication between the parties in this case, it would not have been necessary to have brought this witness down to the hearing. And I think for the sake of the inconvenience of the witnesses who do come to these sessions, when their testimony is going to be

received in the record because it has beer reduced to writing, we urge once more that counsel reach a stipulation in advance.

DR. THOMAS PERSING

was called as a witness and, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

JUDGE HOYT: Thank you, sir. As I understand it, this witness does not have any prefiled testimony.

MS. ERCOLE: That is correct.

JUDGE HOYT: Mrs. Ercole, you were not here when the decision was reached. Where there is no prefiled testimony, LEA will be accorded one and a half hours for the direct examination of the witness. The cross-examination by the Applicant will be within one hour.

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff, the Commonwealth, and FEMA will be accorded 30 minutes of cross-examination time. And you will be accorded, for LEA, 30 minutes of redirect. No recross is permitted.

With that understanding, would you begin your examination?

MS. ERCOLE: Yes.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Q Is it Dr. Persing?

3 4

5

Whereupon,

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

XXXXXX 23

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

	1	A	Correct.
	2	Q	What is your current occupation?
	3	A	Superintendent of schools, Upper Perkiomen
	4	School Dis	trict, East Greenville, Pennsylvania, Montgomery
	5	County.	
	6		MR. RADER: Your Honor, may I voir dire
	7	briefly on	the basic jurisdictional question which we had
	8	put to the	other witnesses?
	9		MS. ERCOLE: I believe I will cover that in
	10	my direct.	
	11		MR. RADER: Regarding the service of the subpoena.
	12		JUDGE HOYT: Yes. I think your time has been
•	13	stopped to	accord the Applicant an opportunity to take
	14	this witnes	ss on voir dire.
	15		MS. ERCOLE: Fine.
	16		JUDGE HOYT: Very well, sir. Go ahead, coursel.
xxxxx	17		VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
	18		BY MR. RADER:
	19	Q	Dr. Persing, were you served with a subpoena
	20	to testify	in this proceeding?
	21	A	That is correct.
•	22	Q	Were witness fees tendered with that subpoena?
	23	A	Yes, sir.
	24	Q	Did you accept those fees, sir?
Ace-Federal Reporters,	Inc. 25	A	No, I have the check. I have not accepted it.
	25	A	No, I have the check. I have not accepted it.

	1	Q	Do you intend to negotiate that check, sir?
	2	A	No, sir. I do not.
	3	Q	Do you understand or are you volunteering to
•	4	testify th	en, sir, in this proceeding?
	5	A	Yes, sir.
	6	Q	You understand that without the subpoena,
	7	without ac	cepting the fee, that you are free to go?
	8	A	No. I didn't understand that.
	9	Q	Is it your decision to testify voluntarily?
	10	A	Certainly.
	11		MR. RADER: Okay.
	12		JUDGE HOYT: Very well.
•	13		Miss Ercole, you have the witness back. Your
	14	time will	restart.
XXXXXX	15		DIRECT EXAMINATION
	16		BY MS. ERCOLE: (Resuming)
	17	Q	Dr. Persing, how long have you been superintendent
	18	of the Upp	er Perkiomen School District?
END 5	19	A	1 January 1974.
	20		
	21		
•	22		
	23		
ce-Federal Reporters,	24		
	25		

MM/mml	
	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
•	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
•	22
	23

25

Ace-Federal Reporters.

Do you have a resume with you today of your professional qualifications.

No, I do not.

For purposes of the record, therefore, would you please indicate to the Board where you graduated from undergraduate school?

- Bloomsburg University.
- And when was that, sir? 0
- That was in June 1955. A
- And Bloomsburg University is in what state?
- Here in Pennsylvania. A
- What was your degree in?
- Degree was in chemistry and biology. A
- Did you do postgraduate work?

Yes, I did. I took a master's degree at the Lehigh University, Master of Arts and Teaching and Administration. Took a master's degree in biochemistry from Penn State University.

Other graduate courses, et cetera. Postgraduate took a doctorate from Lehigh University.

- And the doctorate that you obtained from Lehigh University, when did you obtain that?
 - October 1968.
 - And what was that in, sir?
 - Educational administration.

1

3

5

7

6

9

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 ters Inc.

æ-Federal Reporters, Inc.

- Q Have you had any training in psychology?
- A Yes.
- Q And at what level?
- A Undergraduate, predominantly. But of course some graduate.
 - Q Do you have any degrees in it?
 - A No, I do not.
- Q Is it fair to say that any of the studies that you have taken as far as psychology is concerned, would be interrelated with your courses in education and education administration?
 - A I think that is a fair statement.
- Q Is your position as a Superintendent with
 Upper Perkiomen School District, is it an elected position or
 an appointed position?
 - A It is an elected position.
- Q Can you please indicate for the Board, exactly where your school district lies in relationship to the Emergency Planing Zone known as the EPZ, the ten-mile radius?
- A With the exception of one school, which is

 Green Lane Elementary School, located in Green Lane Pennsylvania
 which borders Sumneytown and Marlborough School District -with the exception of Sumneytown and Green Lane, with that
 exception our school district lies totally outside of the

 EPZ.

	A CONTRACTOR
	2
	3
	J. 17 4
	4
	2-2-1
	2
	120
	4
	0
	1 12
	7
	100
	8
	8
	5 6 7 8
	9
	10
	11
	171
	12
	11
	12
	13
	13
	103
	14
	14
	1
	15
	13
	1991
	16
	-
	17
	17
	18
	10
	0.00
	19
	124
	20
	20
	21
	21
	22
	22
	22
	22
	23
	- 1
	24
ers,	inc.
	- 11
	25
	100
	24

2

Q	Tì	nis	eler	menta	ry :	school,	the	Green	Lane	Elementary
School,	what	gra	des	does	it	encompa	ass?			

- A Kindergarten through fourth grade.
- Q And what is your student population at that school?
- A 190, 195 children.
- Q Are any of the other schools within your authority as school superintendent, serving as host schools?

A Yes. The high school, Upper Perkiomen High School will serve as a host school for the students from the Western Montgomery County Vocational Technical School.

In addition, there will be a mass care center as per an agreement with the Red Cross.

Q And that will be where, at the Upper Perkiomen High School?

A At the Upper Perkiomen High School. The high school is located in Red Hill, Pennsylvania.

- Q And what is its distance from the ten-mile radius?
- A I would say that it is probably about a mile, maybe -- approximately a mile.
- Q So we are talking in terms of a distance of approximately eleven miles from the Limerick Generating Station?
 - A To the best of my knowledge, yes.
- Q The elementary school, to which you referred, can you indicate what is your teacher population?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

æ-Federal Reporters, In

25

A The teacher population there would be nine teachers.

Q And in terms of -- would this include teachers and staff or just teachers?

A Just teachers. I didn't put into that category the itinerant teachers that arrive for special services, nor the psychologist or the principal.

Q What is your regular full-time staff at Green Lane Elementary School?

A That would be the nine teachers.

Q I see. Do you currently have bus provisions for the Green Lane Elementary School children?

A Yes.

Q And how many buses do you use for the Green Lane Elementary School?

A Frankly, I am not 100 percent sure. But I think there were six or seven.

Q And are those buses school-district-owned buses?

A No, they are not. All of our busing is contracted through Frank Levy. That is Levy Bus Company, L-e-v-y.

Q Is it fair to say that during your duties as a Superintendent you have -- you work in relationship with school Boards of Directors?

A That's fair.

Q How many sit on the school Board of Directors?

A Nine.

Q

Are they elected officials or appointed?

2

3

A They are elected.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

-Federal Reporters, I

25

Q During the course of your duties as a Superintendent

for Upper Perkiomen, have you had occasion to become involved in the review of these emergency planning procedures for your school district?

A Yes.

Q And the emergnecy planning procedures I am referring to would be those contained in your draft plan as prepared by Energy Consultants for radiological emergencies at Limerick?

A That's correct.

Q Do you know what is the current draft plan that your school is reviewing?

A Draft 4.

Q Has Draft No. 4 been adopted?

A None of the drafts have been officially adopted.

Q Would you state, Dr. Persing, whether you have a task force in your school district reviewing the plan,
Draft 4?

A Yes, we have Harold Romeike, who is Director of
Buildings and Grounds; Alton Frey, who is the Administrative
Representative, who is Assistant Principal at the high school;
Michael Ulrich, who is Director of Transportation for the
School District; and myself.

		ı	
		۰	
		,	
	ú	×	

Are there any teachers on your task force? Q

2

3

A No, there are not.

Are there any parents on your task force? 0

No, there are not. A

5

How long has your task force been in existence? 0

6

I'd say about five months. A

7

And what was the reason for forming that task force? 0

8

The reason was to review the drafts as they came

9

in and to work in cooperation with any and all people to

10

formulate a response plan for the school district.

11

Has the task force that you have just described,

12

have they prepared any position papers or statements or formal

13

review of the plans?

14

Negative, not formal.

15

At some point do you envision the task force to

16

make certain findings and reduce them to writing and submit

17

Yes, we do. A

them to the School Board?

18

19

Do you envision when that date would be, if you

20

havea date?

21

We do not have a date at this time. We are trying

22

to work within a time frame -- the time frame or time limits

23

of, somewhere between February and March--to try to get other

people involved.

Why are you speaking in terms of time limits by

Ace-Federal Reporters 25

7 8

February or March?

- A The reason we are doing it is because --
- Q I'm sorry, I didn't hear that, sir.
- A The reason that we are proposing that particular time frame, because it is our understanding that if everything were to go correctly, why the Limerick Plant might be in operation at that time. I have no documentation to substantiate that. That is just hearsay.
- And the hearsay, who have you received that from?

 MR. RADER: I object to this line of questioning.

 I think it is irrelevant when any task force report will be made, or how that may or may not be related to the ultimate operation of the Limerick facility as regards these particular contentions.

MS. ERCOLE: If I might, your Honor.

JUDGE HOYT: Yes?

MS. ERCOLE: I believe this witness could state in terms of what the status of his planning process is, and that if it is impossible to do certain things, or if it is unfeasible to do certain things within the date which this witness says may be an artificial date -- it may not even be applicable -- I think the witness should have the opportunity to state that.

JUDGE HOYT: Objection overruled.

MS. ERCOLE: Thank you.

1	1	
	1	
2	1	
-	1	
10.00	1	
3	1	
	1	
4	1	
	1	
	1	
3	H	
	I	
6	1	
	1	
7	1	
,	1	
	1	
8	1	
	1	
0	1	
,	1	
	1	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	11	
11	-	
12	1	
12	1	
13	1	
13	11	
	1	
14	1	
	H	
15	1	
	11	
16	1	
10	1	
	1	
17	1	
	1	
18	1	
	11	
10	1	
17		
110		
20		
21		
22		
44	11	

24

BY MS. ERCOLE:

- Q You may answer.
- A This was with conversations with people from Montgomery County and with John Cunnington.
 - Q John Cunnington is from Energy Consultants?
 - A Correct.

Again, I want to emphasize that these are purely speculative. There aren't any definite times ever issued to us at all.

Q I see.

Just for clarification, when you indicate Montgomery County, you are referring to Mr. Bigelow?

A Correct. Well, that is not only Mr. Bigelow, that would have been Sam Talbot -- Talpa -- I don't know. Sam -- it is a shame I can't remember the last name.

- Does Sam Taback refresh your recollection?
- A Yes, that's correct.
- Q Is he designated as Mr. Bigelow's assistant?
- A I don't know that. But he did meet with us.
- Q Is it fair to say that your task force is planning further meetings within the next few months to review the status of your draft?
 - A Oh, yes.
- Q Have you reported any unmet needs to Montgomery County?

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

A Some of the needs that we have alerted them to, of course, are some changes in the plan insofar as personnel, which is minimal. And they are easily to be done.

Some of them -- the other situation where we do need, and they have stated that they will be supplied, designated lines, private lines for telephone communication at the high school -- and these have been stated that they will be installed shortly.

working, of course, with the private schools in our area. We want to meet them, which we haven't done. We want to havewe want to make sure that we have public meetings with our people, we want to make sure that we get back to all of the information, as much of the information as is feasible, to all of the parents and to the teachers. And, we also need to have again, more public meetings to try to get public input or any type of questions, or answer whatever questions they might have.

Q Are you anticipating doing all the things you just enumerated before the plan is submitted for review by the School Board?

A Oh, unquestionably.

Q You have indicated that you have made certain requests for telephone communication lines.

A Yes.

Federal Reporters Inc.

MR. RADER: I object to this line of inquiry. There is no contention relating to communication with schools by any emergency planning unit.

There is no contention relating to that subject, your Honor.

JUDGE HOYT: Do you wish to be heard?

MS. ERCOLE: Yes, I do.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well.

MS. ERCOLE: I do not intend to pursue a whole line of questioning on telephone communication or notification.

The Superintendent has indicated this is one of the problems they have with the plan. It bears on the willingness of the school district and the buses and the teachers to assure that the plan will be properly implemented.

And, my question in this regard is, what, if any, representations were made about the telephone communication system? I am not going into detail in terms of how it is going to be installed and who is going to be notified.

MR. RADER: There is no foundation for Ms. Ercole's representations, there is some connection between communications and the willingness of school staff to perform their assigned functions.

MS. ERCOLE: This is one of LEA's specifications, and I would ask the Board, since the Superintendent brought up the question of the telephone communication, perhaps what

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

10

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

I could do is just ask him what representations were made in that regard, and then move on.

JUDGE HOYT: Objection overruled.

THE WITNESS: There were several lines, telephone lines, that would be installed in the high school for designated use during times of emergency. As I understand it, there would be unlisted number and private.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Who would do the installation, that was my question.

It is my understanding it would be Philadelphia Electric.

Did Mr. Cunnington make those representations to 0 you?

A Yes.

Is it fair to say that Mr. Cunnington was the representative from Energy Consultants that worked with your school district?

A Yes.

Can you indicate how many meetings, if any, you have had with Mr. Bigelow from Montgomery County?

I have had a minimum of three meetings. It may have been four, but it has been a minimum of three meetings with Mr. Bigelow.

Q And during the course of those meetings with

3

4

5

1

Mr. Bigelow, did you have occasion to discuss the unmet needs

that you have just listed?

A

with Mr. Bigelow?

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes. When was the most recent meeting that you have had

To the best of my recollection, it would be approxi-

mately six weeks ago.

During the course of your relationship with Energy Consultants, can you indicate what, if any representations were made by Energy Consultants about the need to adopt or promulgate the plan that you have designated as Draft No. 4?

What needs?

My question is, what representations if any, were made by Energy Consultants with regard to the requirement of the school district to adopt or promulgate Draft No. 4?

They stated that they would be working as closely as would be needed with us, as did the county, and they would take, as with the county as I understand it, take whatever revisions we have had and incorporate them in the draft.

Were any representations made to you about adopting the plan as Draft 4?

A No.

MR. RADER: Objection, asked and answered -- I

mm13

2

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 ce-Federal Reporters Inc.

25

withdraw my objection.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Q Were any representations made to you, if any, with regard to your school district plan if it remained unadopted by the school district?

A No.

Q In your school district you have indicated that there are a number of private schools, Dr. Persing.

Is it the position of the school district to be responsible for the private schools within its borders?

A Responsible only to those students which we have a responsibility to transport. We have the Perkiomen Prep School, which is a private school. And we transport what we call the day students to and from the school.

We also provide 100 percent of the transportation for the students to St. Phillips Neri High School. We also supply transportation outside of our school district to private schools.

Q Are the two school districts that you have mentioned,
Perkiomen Prep School and St. Phillips, are they within the
ten-mile radius, or outside?

A They are within the ten-mile radius.

No, excuse me, I misunderstood what you said.

They are in our school district, they are not within the tenmile radius.

1

3

4

6

7

8 9

10

11

13

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, In

25

Q Are there any private schools within your school district that are within the ten-mile radius for which you will be responsible?

A Yes.

Q Which school districts are those -- not school districts, which schools?

A Schools?

Q Yes.

A Well, we have St. Pius School, which is a high school, which we transport students to.

In addition, we have Western Montgomery County Vocational Tech School that we transport to.

In addition to that , we have numerous private schools and/or other public school districts that we transport to for special education students.

I, frankly, don't have those numbers within my head because, I believe there is something like 37 different ones.

Q Have you, during the course of your duties as
Superintendent in this emergency management process, have you
reviewed with those private schools within your school
district, their emergency planning -- their emergency planning
procedures as it relates to --

A Not at this time.

Q Can you state why, sir?

A Well, we are, quite frankly, quite Lusy getting

mm15

receral Reporters,

our own house in order, and we want to do that first.

Q Will you be providing bus transportation to evacuate the St. Pius High School, the Western Voc High School and other privates schools within your school district?

A The ones that are within our district, we will supply the same type of transportation that we now supply to them.

Q You have indicated that you have submitted -have discussed the question of your school district's unmet
needs with the county and with Mr.Cunnington.

My question to you in this regard is, have you reported any unmet needs in terms of buses?

A We do not have any anticipated unmet needs for buses based on what Mr. Bigelow said would be available.

Q Did you do a determination of how many buses would be needed to evacuate the elementary school within your school district and the private schools you will be providing transportation for?

- A The number of buses that we need --
- Q When you say "we," just --

A "We," being the school district -- the school district to provide the type of transportation that we now provide within our school district, within the confines of our school district, are about 31 in number, all of which are contracted with Mr. Levy, Frank Levy Bus Transportation.

End T6

8 9

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

They are fully available, it is my understanding.

Q When you say that it is your understanding, upon what is that understanding based?

A We have a contract with Mr. Levy, since he supplies all of our buses. He has assured us verbally as he does for any emergency evacuation, including inclement weather, that the buses will be available, and drivers, obviously.

deral Reporters, I

MS. ERCOLE: Excuse me one moment, please.

(Counsel for LEA conferring off the record.)

BY MS. ERCOLE: (Resuming)

- Q. Dr. Persing, have you had any discussions with Mr. Bigelow with regard to the Levy Bus Company's representations about providing buses and drivers to your school district?
- A. Not to my recollection. I don't remember talking to him specifically about Frank Levy buses.
- Q. So you have no specific recollection about talking to the Office of Emergency Preparedness for Montgomery County about your arrangements with Levy Bus Company?
- A. Just simply to tell them that we have been assured that the buses would be available for our school district.

 That is all I know.
- Q. The relationship that you have had with Levy Bus Company in terms of agreements are verbal agreements alone, is that correct?
- A. They are verbal agreements in the sense that I suspect you are phrasing the question, there certainly are verbal agreements but I think it should be known that we do have a formal written agreement with Mr. Levy to supply bus transportation to us on a three-year contract basis.
- Q. Now the contract agreement that you have with Levy
 Bus Company that provides bus services to your school district,

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

îd

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ce-Federal Reporters,

25

does that provide for the normal day-to-day operations of the school?

- A. It is normal day-to-day operations including any extra curricular or co-curricular activities including that.
- Q. Is there any provisions in the written contract of which you speak for Levy Bus Company to provide buses during a radiological emergency at the Limerick Generating Station?
 - Not to my knowledge.

 (Counsel for LEA conferring off the record.)

 BY MS. ERCOLE: (Resuming)
- Q. Have you attempted to negotiate any written agreement with Levy Bus Company for purposes of their providing buses to you during a radiological emergency at the Limerick Generating Station?
 - A. No, we have not.
- Q. Are you aware of whether Montgomery County forwarded any such written agreement to Levy Bus Company requesting their signature on an agreement to provide buses to your school district?
 - A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Is it fair to say, Dr. Persing, that as of this stage you are unaware of any written agreement or verbal agreement with Levy Bus Company to provide buses during a

1

3

•

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 Federal Reporters Inc.

25

radiological emergency at the Limerick Generating Station?

MR. RADER: Objection, asked and answered.

JUDGE HOYT: The objection is overruled.

THE WITNESS: When you say written, no but when you say verbal, the answer to that is yes. Verbally we have been assured that the buses would be ready.

BY MS. ERCOLE: (Resuming)

- Q. Has Levy Bus Company indicated to you whether they would sign a written agreement with you?
 - A. Not to me, no, but we haven't asked them either.
- Q. Have you made any requests of Montgomery County to obtain same from Levy Bus Company?
 - A. No, we have not.
- Q. Has Levy Bus Company represented to you how many drivers they would make available to your school district?
- A. Not the number just that it would be adequate for us to dismiss our students and get them to their homes.
 - Q. Did you request any such number from them?
- A. No, we didn't. We just asked would they be available.

(Counsel for LEA conferring off the record.)

BY MS. ERCOLE: (Resuming)

Q. Dr. Persing, you had indicated that the buses that would be provided by Levy Bus Company would be to evacuate the one public elementary school within your school

e-Federal Reporters, In

district and the other private schools that you are responsible for. The evacuation would take place to what location?

A. The students that we are talking about that would be going back into from Green Lane Elementary School that would be ordinarily going back into the EPZ, they would be going to the high school. They would be approximately ten or 12 children. The others that we are talking about would have the transportation from the Western Montgomery County Vocational Technical School back into the high school but those students would not only be our school students but they would be students to my knowledge would be from those students who are attending from other school districts which would be Spring Ford and also Pottsgrove. There would be a limited number of students from other school districts but those would be the three main constituents of the Western Montgomery County Vocational Technical School.

Q. Have you discussed with Levy Bus Company whether they will be transporting the students from the host schools to the mass care centers?

MR. RADER: Objection. The transportation of students to host schools is beyond the scope of this contention.

(Board conferring off the record.)

MS. ERCOLE: Judge Hoyt.

JUDGE HOYT: Do you wish to be heard?

•

e-Federal Reporters, I

MS. ERCOLE: Yes, I do. With regard to counsel's objection I can't see the basis for it simply because the school districts are responsible for all the children until the parents pick them up. It is this responsibility and how well it is planned for that we are discussing with Dr. Persing today.

JUDGE HOYT: Which contention are you trying to relate this testimony to?

MS. ERCOLE: With regard to the buses, I believe it is LEA-11.

JUDGE HOYT: I will permit the question. I am inclined to believe that counsel is right but if you want to pursue that line of examination, go ahead.

- Q. Have you discussed with Levy Bus Company their providing buses for your school district to transport students from the host school to the mass care center?
 - A. From the host school?
 - O. Yes.
- A. It is my understanding that we will have the buses available. Mr. Bigelow has stated and Mike Alrich our director of transportation has stated that the buses will be available to go from the Western Montgomery Vocational Technical School to our high school. That is my understanding.
 - Q. At the high school to which you are referring,

4 5

if the parents do not pick-up the children by eight o'clock that evening, where are those children transported to?

A. All right. By eight o'clock in the evening then they will go to the North Penn High School. That will be the host school.

Q. Will the bus arrangements that you have with Levy
Bus Company transport those students to the North Penn
School?

MR. RADER: I object to this line of inquiry. If I may clarify my previous objection, I believe I incorrectly stated that the line of inquiry related to transportation to host schools. In fact, it relates to transportation from host schools to mass care centers and it was on that basis that I object to this line of inquiry.

JUDGE HOYT: Ms. Ercole.

MS. ERCOLE: I believe the witness has qualified his answer to the extent that, in fact, North Penn would be acting as a type of mass care/host school. I think the terms have used interchangeably.

MR. RADER: If they were, it was incorrect because a host school is not a mass care center.

MS. ERCOLE: I think the witness can clarify that and as I had stated before the responsibility of the school district rests with the school superintendent and his staff until the parents pick-up the children and it is encumbent

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

8

10

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

ce Federal Reporters, In

25

upon them to insure that there are adequate buses and drivers to make this provision for the students.

(Board conferring off the record.)

JUDGE HOYT: The objection will be sustained.

I think you should refer to our order of September 24th,

Ms. Ercole.

MS. ERCOLE: I was referring to subspecification that radiological emergency planning for school districts adjacent to and outside the plume EPZ must be taken into consideration whether or not bus resources have been committed to provide assistance in the EPZ in the event an evacuation is recommended.

JUDGE HOYT: What contention are you referring to?

Is it LEA-11?

MS. ERCOLE: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. RADER: If I may, Your Honor, you are correct that specifically at page six of the order which you cited --

JUDGE HOYT: That is the page I was referring to.

MR. RADER: I think it is quite explicit.

JUDGE HOYT: The objection is sustained. Ms. Ercole, during the time that we have had this discussion I have not permitted the clock to run against your time.

MS. ERCOLE: Thank you. I am aware of the time.

JUDGE HOYT: We are starting now.

1

3

5

6

8

9

11

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Federal Reporters II

25

Q Is it fair to say, Dr. Persing, that you have stated that there are no unmet needs for buses because of the statements of Mr. Bigelow?

MR. RADER: Objection, asked and answered.

JUDGE HOYT: It has been answered but if you want to ask it again as the basis for your question, go ahead. We will permit the question and overrule the objection.

- Q. Is that correct?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. Have you had occasion as a school superintendent to review any of the bus provider agreements with any of the bus providers for Montgomery County?
 - A. No, I have not.
- Q. Have you asked to see a copy of the prototype letter of agreement?
 - A. Not to my knowledge.
 - Q. Do you plan to review that with Mr. Bigelow?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Do you plan to request Mr. Bigelow to obtain such written agreement with Levy Bus Company?
 - A. Yes, I think that would be very reasonable.
- Q. In your position as a superintendent, can you state whether you would seek in such a letter of understanding

3

5

6

7 8

9

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc

25

that a minimum number of buses be provided?

MR. RADER: I object to this line of questioning.

It is calling for a legal conclusion from this witness as to the necessity for agreements and the content of those agreements.

MS. ERCOLE: I am not asking him for a legal position. I am asking him as a superintendent reviewing what his needs are.

JUDGE HOYT: Objection overruled.

THE WITNESS: Yes. I think it would be encumbent upon us to make sure that we would have the number of buses available as was stated that would be available.

- Q. When you say that it would be encumbent to insure that there are a minimum number of buses available, you are saying to have that reduced to writing?
 - A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q Dr. Persing, during the course of your duties as a superintendent in reviewing emergency procedures for the Limerick Generating Station, can you indicate whether any training has been provided to your school district?
- A. Yes. There were presentations made to the entire staff during an in-service day.
- Q. Could I just stop you sir and ask if you would please indicate for the Board when that was?

.

A. This was approximately in April of this year. I am not really sure but it was in that time frame.

Q. You used the word presentation. Why did you use that word?

A. The reason I use it is because of the fact that the manner of which information was provided was in the form of a presentation by two people who were employed, I assume were employed, by the Philadelphia Electric Company which we asked them to do by the way. They volunteered and we asked them to do this and they made this presentation to the staff on procedures as well as an opportunity during a question and answer period from the staff in the audience which were about 160 people or more to them.

- Q. How long did this presentation last?
- A. Approximately an hour and fifteen minutes.
- Q. The 160 people that were in attendance, were they teachers and staff?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. Did it include bus drivers?
 - A. No, it did not.
- Q. When you had indicated that procedures were discussed, can you indicate for the Board what you mean by procedures?
- A. There were definitions of what is an EPZ, there were definitions of radiological exposure, there were items

ce Federal Reporters, Inc

that were discussed on what the sirens and where they would be placed in the county and when they would be alerting and the testing that would take place and as much information as they could supply during the time frame.

- Q Did you consider that training?
- A. No, not from our standpoint it is not training.
- Q. What do you consider training from your standpoint?
- A. Training to us means that we have an opportunity for what we call an input session from people both in theory and in content. We ask them to demonstrate certain aspects of what they have done and then we ask for some guided practice, that is, they would be there during the time that we would put our people through the practice sessions to give us guidance on how adequate it is. Then we would like to actually apply those principles. After we have applied the principles that they have given us input and theory on, then what we would like to do is to conduct in as real a life situation as we could having them available for coaching and feedback procedures so that we could improve what, in fact, we think is a workable situation. That is what training would be.
 - Q. Have you recieved that in your school district?
- A. No, ma'am. Now being fair, we haven't requested that yet either.
 - Q. Do you intend to request it?

A. Yes, we do.

END#7

* Federal Reporters,

Q. Is it fair to say that this is one of the stipulations or conditions that you wish to have met before the draft four is submitted for review by the school board?

A. I guess I need to qualify my answer. We have been doing through many drafts as you probably know the same as everybody else has. I don't know if draft four is the one that will finally be designated to go to the board of school directors. But whatever draft number it is, we are going to try to meet all of the particular training phases or anything else that we hope is necessary, that we think is necessary, before we submit to the board for their approval.

- Q. During the course of the presentation that you had had earlier in the year, were the various concepts of sheltering discussed?
 - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Have the schools within your school district for which you are directly responsible or have assumed responsibility because they are private schools, have they been evaluated for purposes of adequacy for sheltering purposes?
 - A. Not to my knowledge.

REE Take 8 Page 1

1

A No, I have not.

0

3

2

Q Do you intend to make that request?

4

A No. I do not.

5

6

Q Can you state why not?

7

A Well, for two reasons: One, we only have one small elementary school within the zone. And another is that we, in our opinion, we do not have sheltering capability.

Have you requested that that be done?

8 9

Q What do you mean by that? In your opinion?

10

A We do not have the basements, for example,

11

as I understand, sheltering would be used. And we are on one floor in many of our schools. And the basements

12

that we have would not be adequate for sheltering.

13

Q Have you requested that such a revision in

15

A No, we have not.

that regard be made in your plan?

16

Q Can you indicate what, if any, provisions

18

17

have been made in your plan in the event that a

19

sheltering advisory is called?

20

A No, I can't. I can't state that. I can't recall that. I am not saying that it is not in there,

21

but I just simply can't recall it.

23

Q Has your school district made plans for the elementary school of which you were speaking to evacuate those students under an early dismisssal plan?

24 Ace Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

A

Q At what stage is this?

Yes.

A This would be the -- I hope I get these stages right. I think it would be the alert stage, but I don't know if that is accurate. One of the stages. I really don't recall which it is.

Q Has that concept been incorporated in your draft number four?

A Yes.

Q Can you indicate why you made the request for an early dismissal at what you believe to be the alert stage?

MR. RADER: Objection. No foundation. I don't believe that is what the witness said. The witness simply stated that such plans exist. I don't believe the witness ever stated that he personally requested that some particular aspect of the plan reflect that.

MS. ERCOLE: He had indicate, ma'am, that it was included in this latest revision, draft number four. He believe that that revision was made, and I was asking him why it was so reflected, why that request was made.

MR. RADER: That is not correct.

Miss Ercole asked the witness why he had it put in the plan. It is a different question.

Ace Federal Reporters, Inc.

MS. ERCOLE: When I say "he," I did not mean personally his suggestion. I mean he is speaking for the school district and the people on the task force.

MR. RADER: I object to the same question. The task force or anyone else, there is no foundation that they requested that it be put in the plan.

JUDGE HOYT: Mrs. Ercole, would you like -MS. ERCOLE: I will lay the foundation if the
Board would like.

TUDGE HOYT: If you will, please.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Q Dr. Persing, you had indicated that the plan encompassed essentially an early dismissal at what you believe to be the alert stage, although you were unclear about that.

Is that correct? Is that a fair characterization of your testimony?

A Yes. I wish I had in my own mind what those stages were, but I can't recall them.

Q Was that particular part of the procedure that you have in draft four, was that in your other drafts?

A Yes. We have always had an early dismissal because of our particular location. In fact, originally, none of our school district was in fact in the EPZ or the LPZ, whatever PZ it is.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Q At what point, if you know, was that changed?

MR. RADER: Objection. There is no

foundation for a question that there was any change.

MS. ERCOLE: He said originally that it was

not --

JUDGE HOYT: Objection overruled.

THE WITNESS: I really don't know. I think it was something like five or six months ago. I really don't know exactly. But there was some change.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Q And to your knowledge, do you know why the change was made to affect your schools?

A I only know what I read in the newspapers, stating that it was some sort of calculated error in the radius and, therefore, we were on marginal area.

That is all I really remember.

Q During the course of your duties as a superintendent with your school district, have you had occasion to speak to the school teachers and staff within those schools affected by emergency procedures?

A No, did not yet.

Q Is it fair to say that you have done no formal survey of those teachers?

A A formal survey?

Q Yes.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc

A

2 Do you intend to do same? 3 We intend to give them copies of the plan --4 our draft, rather, prior to the time that it is adopted 5 by the Board for their review, for their input, as we 6 plan to do with parents, and have meetings on it. 7 If that is what your question is, yes, we intend to do that. 8 9 Do you intend to conduct a formal survey of your school staff and teachers to determine their willingness 11 to evacuate with the students? 12 I think the type of -- right now in my 13 mind, the type of formal survey that I envision would be 14 simply to meet with them and, frankly, ask them if they --15 what they intend to do for their responsibilities. 16 O Is it fair to say that you intend to do this 17 after the training has been completed as you have earlier described and after they are given copies of the draft plan? 18 19 MR. RADER: Objection. That is a leading 20 question. 21 MS. ERCOLE: I will rephrase it. 22 JUDGE HOYT: Very well. 23 MS. ERCOLE: I am aware of an hour and a half, and that is why I am trying to --25 JUDGE HOYT: Very well.

No, we have not.

2

3

4 5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 ce Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Q At what stage do you plan to speak to the teachers about their willingness to stay?

A I think that that will be part and parcel of the training that we are hoping to have with them. I think that will be rather early.

Q When you say rather early, in terms of time, when do you expect that to be?

A I am hoping somewhere in February.

Q When you say in February, is that because you have in your mind the prior time schedule.

A Yes. We --

MR. RADER: Objection. This is all leading.

JUDGE HOYT: Objection overruled, sir.

Let the examination proceed.

THE WITNESS: The only reason we are -- I am saying those sorts of things is because we, just from past experience over the last year, the amount of time that we think we will need to have elapsed and the amount of information needed to be dispensed before we can get to that particular phase. And that is, again, speculative.

I suspect that is when it will be. I really don't know for sure.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

1 Q Do you plan to -- have you conducted any 2 surveys of bus drivers? 3 A No. 4 Do you plan to do that? 5 Only in the sense of doing it through Frank 6 Levy because he actually employs the bus drivers. We do 7 not. 8 0 Have you made a request to Mr. Levy to do same? 9 No. Just verbally. 10 0 Okay. 11 When you say "just verbally," do you mean that 12 that was in the course of that discussion you had had with 13 him earlier? A Yes. 15 Have you received any indication that that has 16 in fact been done? 17 Yes. It is my understanding through Mike 18 Ulrich, who works for us, as director of transportation, 19 that it did, in fact, happen. 20 0 Do you have any of the results with you today? 21 A No. 22 Have you requested that? Q 23 No. In writing, no, I have not. 24 Do you expect to receive them? 0 A No. We haven't even requested it. No.

		1
		-1
		-1
		-1
		4
		п
	1	-1
		-1
		-11
		в
		-11
	2	-1
		-11
		-11
		-11
		-11
	3	-11
	-	ш
		и
		в
		п
	4	п
	135	п
		п
		н
		я
	3	п
		-11
		п
	4	м
	6	1
		1
		-11
	7	п
	7	п
		-81
		-11
		-11
	-	-11
	- 8	- 81
		-11
		31
		н
	9	ш
	. 7	-11
		-11
		-11
	-	-11
	10	н
		-11
		-11
		н
	11	н
	11	-11
		и
		ш
		-11
	12	н
	12	н
		-15
		н
		н
	12	H
	13	11
		11
		11
		11
	14	11
	* **	11
		11
		11
		8
	15	H
	-	11
		11
		H
	20	П
	10	Ħ
		Н
		Н
		н
	17	н
	1/	н
		11
		н
	18	П
	10	11
		11
	**	П
	19	П
	775	П
		H
		11
	20	I
	20	H
		-81
H		II

Q Do you intend to request it?

A I think we will consider that, yes.

Q Have any of the members of your school staff expressed any concerns to you about their willingness to stay?

A No, ma'am. They have not.

Q Are you saying this because you have not discussed it with them formally or informally?

A I don't know. They have had opportunity to speak with me. No one has really brought it up.

Q And have you brought it up specifically at any 'aculty or staff meetings?

A Only with the middle school. We have a middle school -- excuse me, a district liaison committee. Those are teachers who are representative of all the buildings in the area. And we meet approximately once every six weeks. That has been brought up a couple times, and I have had yet to have a single teacher say that they would not cooperate.

Q Have you had any teachers indicate to you formally or informally or otherwise that they would, in fact, stay and assist in an evacuation or sheltering scenario?

A Yes. Yes.

Q How many?

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

21

22

23

1	1
	1
2	11
2	
2	11
,	
4	1
	1
	11
5	11
	11
	11
6	
7	1
	li .
	1
8	11
	11
0	11
y	11
	11
10	
10	1
	1
11	
-	
12	
	11
13	11
	11
14	
***	11
	1
15	
16	-
	11
17	
10	1
18	
19	11
	1
20	
	1
21	18
	11
-	11
22	
	400

24

A Goodness. I don't know. I would say just perhaps ten or 12.

Q What schools would this be for?

A It would be Red Hill Elementary. It would be the middle school. It would be the high school. Those are the ones that I remember.

Q And those are schools that are not within the ten-mile planning zone; is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q Dr. Persing, have you calculated or compiled a student/staff ratio which you believe would be sufficient for evacuation purposes?

A No. Because as I stated, we are essentially going to be an early dismissal procedure within our own school district.

Q Do you intend at all to do any such calculations?

A Probably not because, again, we are not going anything except sending them to their homes.

Q Have you made any arrangements at this stage for the teachers and staff that are outside the emergency planning zone to enter the emergency planning zone to assist with evacuation therein?

A No. We haven't.

Q Of those teachers that you have spoken to from the schools outside the emergency planning zone, have

	11
	li .
	- 11
	-11
	1
1	11
1	il
	11
	11
2	11
4	11
3	H
	11
	11
3	H
	11
4000	-
4	11
	II.
	11
	11
5	!!
	11
	H
	11
5 6 7 8	
0	11
	11
	11
7	11
1	11
	11
	11
	11
8	11
	11
	11
	11
9	11
	11
	11
10	11
	11
	11
	11
11	11
	11
	11
	11
12	11
- 10	11
	11
	11
13	H
	H
	11
	11
14	H .
1000	11
	11
	11
15	H
	11
	13
	11
16	H
10	11
	11
17	1
17	11
	11
**	11
18	1
	!!
19	31

21

22

23

you specifically discussed that with them?

A No.

Q Other than the elementary school that is in the emergency planning zone for your school district, the other schools that are under the auspices of the school district, are they also on an early alert -- excuse me, an early dismissal?

A All the schools within our school district will be dismissed early in the event of an emergency.

Q And does this include the vo tech school as well?

A The vo tech school has to submit their own plan, as I understand it. And we then will receive those students in our high school as soon as they are transported to us.

Q Who is responsible for the supervision of those vo tech students?

A At the school will be Kerschner. His name is Herb Kerschner, K-e-r-s-c-h-n-e-r.

- Q And his position is?
- A He is the principal of the school.
- Q When you say of the school, which school are you referring to?
 - A The Western Montgomery County Vo Tech School.
 - Q Have you worked closely with Mr. Kerschner

24 Ace Federal Reporters, Inc. about this?

A Only in the sense that we are one of the school districts and we have assured him that we would take the students that are there into our high school. In that sense, yes.

Q Have you made any arrangements with Mr. Kerschner with regard to staff obligations?

A No.

MS. ERCOLE: Excuse me one moment.

(Pause.)

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Q Have you requested any accounting of unmet needs from the Western Vo Tech School?

A No.

Q Do you intend to do that?

A Yes.

MR. RADER: I object to this line of questioning. I don't think there has been any foundation laid for responsibilities by this school district for the Western Montgomery Vocational School.

MS. ERCOLE: Well, I believe that there is a responsibility that the witness had testified to at the beginning of his testimony, that that is one of the schools within his school district for which they are responsible.

6

5

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

z-receral Reporters,

JUDGE HOYT: The objection is overruled.

THE WITNESS: Just for clarification,

Western Montgomery --

JUDGE HOYT: I don't believe, sir, there is a question before you. Let the counsel ask the question.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Q Do you intend to request an accounting of unmet needs from the Western Vo Technical School?

A Yes. That will be part of what we will ask them.

Q And what will that include?

A Well, do they have the staff. Do they have the busses. And are they satisfied with the training they received. About those three general questions.

END 8

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

T9MM/m11

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

Q Is your school district willing to supply staff to Western Voc Tech School?

Do they ave an adequate staff?

A I don't think so, no.

Q Will your school district be providing buses to the the Western Voc Tech School should they pass that need on to you?

MR. RADLR: Objection. No foundation for any unmet needs for the Western Montgomery Vocational School.

JUDGE HOYT: Objection sustained.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Q Dr. Persing, you had indicated that what you had hoped to envision during the course of training is the application of principles to conduct.

And my question to you is, what position if any do you have with regard to proceeding through drills for purposes of a radiological emergency at the Limerick Generating Station?

A Repeat the first part of the question, will you please? The first sentence.

Q Why don't I just withdraw that. I will just rephrase it. It makes it simpler then.

Will you be requesting that there be drills for the schools within your school district for purposes of a radiological emergency at the Limerick Generating Station? mm 2

2

1

4

5

6

9

8

10

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

A What we will be doing is going through what we will call an exercise, trying to make sure that the students and the staff do what we hope, and the drill will call for.

I repeat though, that most of our training has been done in the sense of releasing for early dismissal, because that is what we do on a regular basis and we have had training in that sense.

Q Will you be, during the course of this drill, actually loading students on the buses?

A Probably not, because we do that for early dismissal anyway.

- Q Have you had bus drills for your school district?
- A You mean for this plan?
- Q No, just general bus drills.

A No, we have had husing exercises, if that is what you mean.

Q Does that include actually loading the children on the buses and transporting them?

A Only during the time that we are actually doing something, not in the sense of a drill, if that is what your question is.

Q That's right. My question was only confined to a drill, bus drills.

A No.

Q When you say you load the children and actually do

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc

something, you are referring to either an early dismissal or a field trip, is that correct?

A Correct.

Q Do you see any distinction between the roles of the school and staff in assisting with the radiological emergency -- strike that.

Do you see any difference between what your school staff and teachers do during the normal day-to-day operations of the school, and what they would be doing in implementing procedures for a radiological emergency?

A In the sense that we are going to have our students removed the same as we do for any emergency day, such as inclement weather, I would have to say no.

Q Do you see any distinction between the roles of the school staff in evacuating during -- between the sheltering and an evacuation situation during inclement weather and a radiological emergency?

MR. RADER: Objection. Asked and answered.

MR. ERCOLE: He said only as far as --

JUDGE HOYT: Sustained.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Q Do you have any conditions or qualifications on whether you believe that a radiological emergency is different, if at all, from a situation with inclement weather?

MR. RADER: Objection. Asked and answered.

3

4 5

6

8

7

10

9

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24 Ace-Federal Reporters Inc.

25

MS. ERCOLE: I am asking if there are any dissimilarities.

MR. RADER: Counsel just doesn't like the answer. It is as simple as that.

MS. ERCOLE: I believe the witness could amplify that.

MR. RADER: The witness said there are no differences, counsel does not like that answer.

JUDGE HOYT: Let's have an end to the argument. We will permit the question.

Ms. Ercole, your time is drawing, however. I would ask you to draw it more judiciously.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Q You may answer.

A There wouldn't be any difference insofar as we are concerned, because we would be leaving the children home, as an emergency, or for inclement weather.

There would be the difference if there were teachers who wanted to be dismissed early to go back into the EPZ. We consider that a difference. That is, if they live there and they wanted to get back to their homes, or their family.

- O As of this date, do you know how many do?
- A No, we do not.
- Q Is this part of your continued procedures over

the next few months?

2

ľ

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 e-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

A Yes.

Q Although it is incorporated in the plan for an early dismissal system, in the event that there is a sheltering advisory, do you see any distinction, if at all,

of sheltering advisory during a radiological emergency in the normal day-to-day operations of the school?

MR. RADER: Objection. Asked and answered.

MS. ERCOLE: Nor for the sheltering, your Honor.

Only asked for the evacuation. Talked about the inclement weather situation.

My next question is just on sheltering, then I would move on.

MR. RADER: That was not the question counsel posed before. Counsel posed the difference between responses during a radiological emergency, which includes evacuation and sheltering.

JUDGE: HOYT: Objection sustained.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Q Dr. Persing, why would you want to have your training sessions incorporate discussions of sheltering?

A If we were to go into a sheltering phase, it is our opinion that we do not have any basements, if basements were to be used, to be utilized. Our buildings are not equipped for that. We could not put our children or students

2

3

5

7

8

9

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CE-Federal Reporters, In

25

anywhere else except in auditoriums or probably gymnasiums or keep them right in the classrooms. That is the only three places we could use.

Q Other than the quantitative difficulty you would have in using those locations for sheltering purposes, do you see any others?

A Not at this moment.

(Pause)

MS. ERCOLE: If the Board would indulge me for one moment, I will see if I can wrap this up within the next five minutes.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well.

(Pause)

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Q During the course of your daily operations of the schools within your school district, have you had occasion to use the sheltering concept for any other emergency?

MR. RADER: Objection. Irrelevant.

MS. ERCOLE: It has to do with --

JUDGE HOYT: Overruled.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Q Have you been instructed or trained in terms of how long any sheltering advisory would last?

A No.

Q Have you discussed with Energy Consultants or

3

.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

the county what effect, if any, there would be on the whole student population being confined to hallways or auditoriums?

A No.

Q Can you state whether you intend to do that?

A No, we do not.

Q As a Superintendent in your school district, can you state what effect, if any, that would have on the student population in the sheltering situation?

MR. RADER: Object on. Psychological impacts upon students were specifically excluded from this contention.

MS. ERCOLE: I am not asking him --

JUDGE HOYT: If you want to give us any argument we will take it on the record for you, but I am ready to rule.

(Laughter)

JUDGE ERCOLE: If the judge is going to sustain, maybe I should argue. To save time, if you are not going to sustain it, maybe I won't argue.

JUDGE HOYT: I asked you first, Ms. Ercole.

(Laughter.)

That's the way this works.

MS. ERCOLE: My argument would be that I understand that the psychological impact is ruled out.

My argument would be that since there are limited facilities at that school for purposes of a sheltering, what

3

5

6

8

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 Se-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

impact would that have in terms of disruption and monitoring.

I am not asking him for what his interpretation is in terms of psychological trauma.

I think Energy Consultants has indicated in the testimony as well that there would be no disruptions in their monitoring problems.

JUDGE HOYT: I think you may not have been with us during the time of that. My recollection is part of that testimony was stricken, Ms. Ercole.

The objection is sustained.

MS. ERCOLE: If I am not mistaken, Judge Hoyt, I think I was -- it was reported to me what was stricken was the part about the radiation being an invisible hazard. But it was not stricken about the disruptions.

I remember being here for Energy Consultants and cross examining them on that.

JUDGE HOYT: Whatever the recollection is of either those who have reported to you something else, or something that you are saying now and what my recollection is, all fall in the phase of the record that has already been established, and the objection is still sustained.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Q Do you foresee -- we had talked earlier about a student/staff ratio for evacuation purposes.

Do you intend to calculate a student/staff ratio

	1
1	
	1
	П
	-1
3	
	4
	1
	1
3	н
	1
	1
4	-1
	1
	1
	- [
. 5	1
	1
	1
5 6 7 8 9	-
6	1
	1
	1
	1
-	1
1	1
	1
	1
49	1
8	1
	- 1
	- 1
	-1
9	1
	1
	-1
	1
10	- 1
10	-1
	- 1
	i
11	- 1
	- 1
	1
	-11
	- 11
12	1
	-11
	B
	-11
13	
13	1
	-
14	1
14	1
	1
	1
	1
15	1
10	1
	1
11	Control organic control
16	
	1
	11
	11111
17	11
1/	1
	1
	11
**	-
18	
11 15,	1
	л
	11
19	
1	11
	н
	11

21

22

23

for purposes of sheltering should an advisory be required?

- A We don't have any intention of sheltering?
- Q Should you be required to shelter?

A If we were required to, we certainly would try to determine what numbers of staff we would need.

Q Can you state whether you envision that number to be greater for sheltering scenario at the school than an evacuation scenario?

A I have no idea.

Q Is it fair to say that that is one of the things you intend to explore?

MR. RADER: Objection. This line of inquiry is leading.

JUDGE HOYT: I'm sorry, I didn't get the last word.

MR. RADER: Leading.

JUDGE HOYT: Leading.

MR. RADER: I believe it has all been covered as well, as to surveys and so forth.

JUDGE HOYT: We will permit the question.

Answer if you have it in mind, sir.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Q Should a sheltering ad sory be ordered and you must comply, do you intend to explore during part of your reviewing process the need for student/staff ratio?

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25 mm10

2

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

A If that is one of the contingencies, certainly we will try to plan for it.

Q Is it fair to say, Dr. Persing, that you have not looked into any of the sheltering concepts simply because you believed you were on an early dismissal situation and it would be unnecessary?

MR. RADER: Objection. Leading.

JUDGE HOYT: Counsel, that is going pretty far.

The objection will be sustained.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Q What, if any, has been your reason for not exploring the requirements or necessities of your staff with the student population needs during a sheltering scenario?

A All of our students with the exception of approximately 10 to 12 are outside of the zone. Since that is a fact, we intend to dismiss our students to the care of their parents and/or guardians.

Q As a Superintendent, can you state what concerns, if any, you would have about student disorderliness during your sheltering scenario, if any?

MR. RADER: Objection. I believe the Board previously sustained this objection.

JUDGE HOYT: That's correct, Ms. Ercole.

Objection is sustained.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

ce-Federal Reporters, I

Q Could you state what, if any, concerns you would have with regard to the ability of your staff to monitor the students during the sheltering scenario?

A I don't -- from our standpoint sheltering by -of our students by our staff, we think would be -- would cause
some problems because of the numbers of kids that would be
held together there collectively. But that is no different
than when, in fact, we have a bomb scare, or we have any type
of responsibility of bringing kids together in large numbers.

The question would be, how long would you intend they would need to stay in this area.

And I think in my opinion, the longer that you keep the students there, it is actually on a curve that the problems of disruption become greater the longer that they are there.

So, if it is a reasonable length of time I would not see any appreciable problem.

- Q What do you foresee is a reasonable length of time?
- A I think our experience has shown us around two -one, two, three hours, depending on the age of the children,
 we are okay. We go beyond that, and sheltering in my concept,
 would have some more problems.

MR. RADER: Your Honor, if I may, I would ask that the Board strike the previous response of the witness. I believe the witness got into an area in which he volunteered

3

5

6

7

9

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

an answer along the lines which was asked by Ms. Ercole earlier, and which the Board sustained my objection.

JUDGE HOYT: The answer will stand.

The motion to strike is denied. Proceed.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Q When you said depending upon the age group, can you indicate what you mean by age group?

A We have three different age groups in our particular school district; kindergarten through four; five through eighth; and nine through twelve. Depending on the type of facility you have at each building, and depending on the age, depending on the quantity of time, you have different problems.

Q When you say age group --

A Our age group for nine through twelve would present more of a problem than it would K through four.

Q During an evacuation or a sheltering scenario,
Dr. Persing, do you believe that it is necessary to have
the same school staff or teachers that supervise
the children in the day-to-day operations of the school,
remain with them?

MR. RADER: Objection. Asked and answered.

MS. ERCOLE: I am talking in terms of the same teachers.

JUDGE HOYT: Objection overruled.

Answer the question, sir.

mm13

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

THE WITNESS: It is desirable, it is not essential.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Q Do you believe it would be more desirable for elementary school children than for children in the middle -- in high school?

A Yes, sir.

Q Why do you say that?

A The children, obviously, become more familiar with their teacher and have an identity role with that one teacher because they spend all of their time or predominantly most of their time with one teacher as opposed to, when they get older, more independent. Plus the fact they have contact with several, or many teachers during the day.

Q Would it be fair to say that, say the same staff or teacher for the younger children would be unavailable, whether you could anticipate difficulties with monitoring or classroom disruptions with the younger children?

MR. RADER: Objection. Leading.

JUDGE HOYT: Overruled. Answer the question.

THE WITNESS: There would be more problems if, in fact, the classroom teacher were not there. But, kids are pretty adaptable.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

And the one public school within your school

mm14 1	district was Kindergarten through fourth grade, is that			
2	correct?			
3	A That's correct.			
4	Q That was within the ten-mile radius?			
5	A That's correct.			
6	MS. ERCOLE: Thank you.			
7	I have no further questions.			
8	JUDGE HOYT: Very well.			
9	Will the Applicant anticipate using their full			
10	hour on cross?			
11	MR. RADER: I am afraid I must under the circumstances.			
12	JUDGE HOYT: Very well.			
13	The Board will recess to reconvene at 1:00			
14	would 1 o'clock be sufficient time for everyone to have			
15	their lunch?			
16	(No response)			
17	Very well, we will reconvene at 1 o'clock.			
18	(Whereupon, at 11:55 a.m., the hearing in the			
19	above-entitled matter was recessed to resume at 1:00 p.m.			
20	this same day.)			
21				
22				
23				
24				

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

mations #21259 #10-1

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

AFTERNOON SESSION

(1:00 p.m.)

JUDGE HOYT: The hearing will come to order. Let the record reflect that all the parties to the hearing who were present when the hearing recessed are again present in the hearing room and the witness has taken his place on the witness stand. I will remind you, sir, that you are still under oath. At the conclusion of the morning session, I believe this witness had concluded his direct examination. Who will begin for the applicant?

MR. RADER: I am ready, Your Honor.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well. You have one hour.

MS. ERCOLE: Judge Hoyt, just before Mr. Rader does start would the Board just take notice that I had approximately ten minutes of reserve time.

JUDGE HOYT: I think it was ten minutes and seventeen seconds to be precise, ma'am.

MS. ERCOLE: Thank you.

JUDGE HOYT: Mr. Rader. you may proceed.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. RADER:

Q Dr. Persing, I am going to show you a copy of what has been marked as Applicant's Exhibit E-61 which is the radiological emergency response plan draft four for the Upper Perkiomen School District and I will ask you if you can

XXXXXXX

21

22

23

24

25

-

identify that document.

2

(Above-referenced document tendered to witness.)

3

JUDGE HOYT: Let me record reflect that

4

Applicant's Exhibit E-61 has been shown to counsel for

5

LEA and is now in the hands of the witness.

6

THE WITNESS: Yes, it appears to be.

7

BY MR. RADER: (Resuming)

8

Did you discuss the concepts embodied in that plan

9

with the Montgomery County officials during the various

10

stages of its drafting?

11

Yes, we did.

12

To the best of your knowledge and belief, does

13

that plan therefore reflect the concepts of protective

14

actions for your particular school district as you understand

15

them?

16

That's correct.

17

MS. ERCOLE: Objection. Unless the witness has had

18

a chance to thoroughly review that and he is satisfied that

19

that in fact reflects his impact.

20

JUDGE HOYT: I think he anticipated -- he has

21

22

23

already answered yes.

MS. ERCOLE: He is looking through it now and

it just indicated to me that there might be less of a

familiarity with it.

24

MR. RADER: I believe the witness represented that

25

2

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

it was a true copy of draft four.

JUDGE HOYT: That was my understanding.

Sir, did you have sufficient time to examine the document that I am describing to you as Applicant's Exhibit E-61?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

JUDGE HOYT: If you need additional time, please tell me.

THE WITNESS: It appears to be the same document.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well. The Board is satisfied.

Continue, counsellor.

BY MR. RADER: (Resuming)

Q Dr. Persing, I want to clear up some possible misunderstanding with regard to the physical location of the various schools in your district and the actions which would be taken for those schools in the event of an actual emergency?

MS. ERCOLE: Objection to the beginning of the statement saying "I want to clear up some misunderstanding."

JUDGE HOYT: You will strike that portion, counsellor.

MR. RADER: Yes.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well.

BY MR. PADER: (Resuming)

Q. Dr. Persing, would you tell us where the Green Lane Elementary School is located?

Federal Reporters, I

- A. The Green Lane Elementary School is located at the intersection of Upper Ridge Pike and Sumneytown Pike which is located in Montgomery County.
- Q. Where is that with respect to the EPZ boundary if you know, sir?
- A. It appears to be right on the margin of it, very, very close to the ten-mile limit.
- Q. Is there any other school within your district which lies within the EPZ?
 - A. Not to my knowledge.
 - Q. How many other schools are in your district, sir?
- A. Counting all of our schools within the school district, there would be four others. Now we have the private schools and others, but our school district.
 - Q. Those do lie without the EPZ, is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Does your plan make any distinction with regard to the actions which would be taken by your school district in the event of a radiological emergency for Limerick as between the Green Lane Elementary School which is within the EPZ and the other schools which lie beyond the EPZ?
- A. Within this draft, there are very limited references to that but upon reviewing the draft what we intend to do is address the students that live within the EPZ in fact that are attending not only the Green Lane Elementary School but

Factoral Reporters

the other elementary schools and that is not addressed in here.

- Q. If I may direct your attention to page 16 of the plan, sir, referring to the concept of operations if school is in session and the actions which would be taken at the alert stage. Is that reflected in your copy of the plan, sir?
 - A. (Perusing document.)

 Page 16.
- Q. Referring to the concept of operations where school is in session for actions which would be taken at the alert stage of an emergency.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Turning to the next page, sir, does it state what actions would be taken by Green Lane Elementary School?
 - A. (Perusing document.)

 Yes, it does.
- Q. In subsection 2(e), does it make any specific reference to actions which would be taken with regard to sheltering, sir?
 - A. (Perusing document.)

 Yes, it does.
- Q. In subsection (h), does it make any reference to staffing requirements for sheltering?
 - A. Correct. It does.

Q Now moving down to subsection three, does it make a distinction between Green Lane and actions which will be taken by the principals of other schools in the district?

4

5

A. (Perusing document.)

6

Yes, it does.

7

Q. Turning to the next page under subsection (c), can you tell me what actions would be taken at the alert stage for schools outside the EPZ?

9

A. As is stated in the draft site emergency school in session (c)?

11

12

Q Yes, sir.

13

15

16

A. One, school district superintendent will upon receipt of notification from the Montgomery County --

14

Q. I am sorry, sir. I didn't mean to interrupt you but I was referring to small subsection (c) which is a continuation of subsection three on the previous page.

17

A. Is that on page 18?

19

Q. Yes, sir. It is the small (c) at the top.

20

A. Assure preparation of the building to host students

21

living in the EPZ until 8:00 p.m., coordinating with maintenance, security, food service and nursing personnel.

22

Q. So that action applies only to students living within the EPZ, is that correct, sir?

24

25

MS. ERCOLE: Objection. The document speaks for

ce-Federal Reporters,

itself.

JUDGE HOYT: I believe that counsel for the Applicant is trying to lay some foundation for a question.

MR. RADER: Correct.

JUDGE HOYT: I am going to overrule your objection subject to the question being forthcoming. Very well. Proceed, counsellor.

BY MR. RADER: (Resuming)

- Q. Sir, does that section refer to only to students living within the EPZ?
 - A. (Perusing document.)

 Yes, it does.
- Q. In other words, is it fair to say that only students living within the EPZ would be maintained at the school at that point and that other students would be available for dismissal?

MS. ERCOLE: I object. The document speaks for itself.

MR. RADER: Your Honor, the witness has testified previously about his understanding as to how this would operate. I am simply using the plan as a point of reference to confirm that understanding and to provide an adequate explanation for the record.

MS. ERCOLE: What this witness' interpretation of the document by virtue of the questions asked by the Applicant

Ace Federal Reporters, Inc.

îd

ce Federal Reporters, Inc.

is improper because the applicant has repeatedly stated before in objections that the documents speak for themselves and I think that at this stage he has pointed out the relevant portions to the witness and that any interpretations thereof is left for the Board because we have the written document.

MR. RADER: If I may point out, Your Honor, I asked the witness if it meant that students not referred to in this section would be dismissed. That is not part of this section which I am referring to.

JUDGE HOYT: The objection is overruled. Answer the question, sir, if you can.

THE WITNESS: The children that would be living in the EPZ would be transported with this draft into the host school which would be the Upper Perkiomen High School.

BY MR. RADER: (Resuming)

- Q. Is that the 16 or 20 students I believe you referred to earlier at these schools?
 - A. That is correct, whatever number it would be.
- Q. Whatever number it might be, it is somewhere in the range of 16 to 20 persons within these schools who live within the EPZ and who would be retained at these schools at that point, is that correct?
- MS. ERCOLE: Objection. I don't think that is the correct characterization of his testimony.

nn10-9

Ace Federal Reporters, Inc. JUDGE HOYT: Objection overruled.

THE WITNESS: It would be the students that not only are from the Green Lane School but would be from any of the other schools who in fact do live in the EPZ.

BY MR. RADER: (Resuming)

Q. Now turning, sir, to the provisions regarding actions at a site emergency stage which begins at page 18 and continues at page 19, would you explain what actions would be taken with regard to the Green Lane Elementary School at the site emergency stage with regard to sheltering and I refer you specifically to subsection 2(b) on page 19.

A. (Perusing document.)

On 2(b) the Green Lane Elementary principal will upon notification complete all procedures outlined for alert and (b) confirm resources necessary for sheltering, reporting unmet needs to superintendent, coordinate with maintenance, security, food service and nursing personnel.

- Q. I note, sir, that the only protective action referenced in that section is sheltering. Are there any provisions with regard to preparation for an evacuation?
 - A. (Perusing document.)

The evacuation that we are planning is if in fact we go to early dismissal.

Q. Sir, I am speaking only to the Green Lane Elementary School. Are there any provisions in this plan regarding

evacuation of the Green Lane Elementary School?

2 3

To the best of my knowledge it would be the same P. as for the rest of the school district which would be to

5

4

have an early dismissal. That would be our evacuation.

6

Would you show me in this plan, sir, where you are referring to an early dismissal of students from the

7

Green Lane Elementary School?

8

(Perusing document.)

9

(PAUSE.)

10

I refer you to page 10, section (f)(3), please, regular dismissal policy, transportation routes will be

11

in effect unless preempted by parental notification.

12

13

MS. ERCOLE: Excuse me. Could I just have that cite

10

again?

THE WITNESS: Page 10, section (f) (3).

15 16

MS. ERCOLE: Thank you.

17

BY MR. RADER: (Resuming)

18

That is a statement of the general concept for your school district, is it not, sir?

19

20

Right. It says regular dismissal policy,

21

transportation routes.

22

Q. Yes, sir. Under the concept of operations section

23

which follow in the pages we were reviewing, aren't there different provisions for Green Lane Elementary School and

25

schools outside the EPZ as we have discussed?

A. Yes, that is correct.

2

Q. All right. Referring specifically to page 21.

3

A. (Perusing document.)

5

Q With regard to consideration of possible options

6

in the event a protective action recommendation is made, do you find any reference with regard to the Green Lane

7

Elementary School and I am referring to subsection two at

8

the top of the page which states that those students

9

would under any circumstances be dismissed.

10

A. (Perusing document.)

11

(PAUSE.)

12

Q. Let me clarify that, sir, dismissed as a protective

13

action. In other words, evacuated to another school.

14

A. (Perusing document.)

15

(PAUSE.)

that you have reference to.

A.

16

What it states is obviously do not dismiss

17

students into the EPZ which we talked about and then you

18

have reference to item 11, upon receipt of suspension of

20

protective action normal day. I think those are the two

21

Q. Sir, is it your understanding that the Green Lane

22

Elementary School would be dismissed as part of a protective

23

action or are you referring to an early dismissal prior to

24

the notification by the state of a protective action?

I have reference to an early dismissal. We may have

Ace Federal Reporters, Inc

25

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc

a conflict in definitions here but I am talking about an early dismissal.

Q I think you are right. I was referring to dismissal as part of an evacuation in response to a protective action recommendation by the State. Is it your testimony that you would not utilize evacuation as an option under those circumstances?

I am saying. What I would be doing is if in fact we have an alert status, we would be conducting what we call an early dismissal procedure in which we would get the students home into the custody of their parents or guardians.

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

When you say an alert status, you are referring, sir, to an alert stage of an emergency at Limerick?

- A Yes. That is to the best of my knowledge.
- Thank you. 0

Now, at the Green Lane Elementary School, I believe you stated that they have 190 to 95 teachers, with nine teachers and a principal?

- Students with --
- I am sorry, sir. 190 to 95 students with nine teachers and a principal.

Well, it is a part-time principal. In other words, he has two schools, Red Hill and Green Lane.

Do you have any reason to believe that those nine teachers would not be able to properly supervise those students during a sheltering scenario, if a general emergency were reached at Limerick?

MS. ERCOLE: Objection. I ask that a foundation be established before he answers the question.

MR. RADER: 1 don't know for what.

MS. ERCOLE: If he formulates a conclusion of a reasonable assurance of whether a staff would stay, he has to state upon what basis he can make such a finding and then what, if any, is his finding. He may not be able at this stage to state whether he has a sufficient

eral Reporters, Inc.

basis to make that conclusion. I think that proper foundation for a witness like this. Especially when we don't have any surveys.

MR. RADER: That is the witness' testimony.

I am asking him if he has any problems with that.

MS. ERCOLE: That was not the question.

JUDGE HOYT: If that is the question, I will permit the question to be answered, if the witness knows.

BY MR. RADER:

Q Do you anticipate any problems with staff supervision of children in an emergency at the Green Lane Elementary School with regard to the nine teachers and part-time principal staff that you have there?

A No, I do not.

Q With regard to the schools outside the EPZ, what is the policy of the school in the event of a radiological emergency at Limerick?

A What we will be doing is to, at this moment, is to have the teachers and the students conduct themselves as they would if they had been released for any other emergency, such as inclement weather. And that is what they would be doing.

We would allow the teachers, as usual, to go to their homes and as soon as is possible, those that live within the EPZ we'd have to try to coordinate that as

2 3 dismissal. 4 5 6 7 send them back into the EPZ? 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 A Correct. 15 16 A Yes, sir. 17 0 18 19 61, sir? 20 A No, we do not. 21 0 22

best we could to see if, in fact, they can get back into the EPZ. But we would handle it as we do for an early

- If the protective action recommendation of the state in a general emergency were to evacuate the EPZ, would you sent students who live in the EPZ, would you
 - Negative. We would not.
- And those students who live outside the EPZ would be dismissed to their homes?
 - That is correct.
- You mentioned certain private schools. I believe the St. Phillip's School and the Perkiomen Prep.
 - Are they within your school district?
- Do you have responsibilities for those schools with regard to emergency planning under Applicant's Exhibit
 - Are those schools outside the EPZ?
 - A Yes, they are.
- You also mentioned the St. Pious and the 0 Western Montgomery Vocation School, sir. Under your plan, does the Upper Perkiomen School District have responsibility

Federal Reporters Inc.

23

1 for either

for either of those schools?

A Not for St. Pious. But for the Western

Montgomery County Vo Tech School, we would be receiving

their students, and we will be charged with them until

8:00 p.m., when, in fact, if the parents haven't picked

up the students, they will be going to North Penn.

Q So your responsibilities with regard to the West Montgomery Vocational School are limited to providing a host facility; is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q You will not be sending busses in to that area to pick up their students or have that responsibility; is that correct, sir?

A That is correct.

Q You were asked certain questions with regard to your contract with the Levy Bus Company, sir.

Did I understand you to say that you have contracted for 30 -- the use of 31 of their busses?

A That is correct. I don't recall if it is precisely 31, but whatever number it is that we use on a day-to-day basis, because sometimes we might use one less or one more busses, depends on the routes and depends on the number of kids. But that is approximately correct.

Q And how many of those busses are utilized with regard to the Green Lane Elementary School?

	-11
	П
	п
1	-11
	Н
	11
	1
2	Н
S 100 100	н
	-11
	-11
2	1
3	п
	Н
	н
	п
4	н
-	н
	н
	11
5	н
5	н
- F- H 39	н
	11
	11
4	п
. 0	п
	-11
	н
	н
7	П
C. 3 10.	-
	11
8	11
0	11
	11
	11
	H
9	
	11
	н
	Ħ
10	н
10	п
	11
	11
	Н
11	H
	11
	H
	H
10	-
12	11
	Ħ
	н
	н
13	
13	H
	2
	11
14	ii.
	п
	н
	н
15	The state of the s
10	11
	H
	it.
	н
16	11
100	H
	11
	11
	1
17	Н
	11
	Ш
18	
	н
	H
10	н
19	11
	H
	H
20	и
20	1
	Ħ
	11
	11
21	
	11
	11
	11
22	11
22	11
	11
	11
23	11
20	
	11
	11
24	11
24	
	11
s, Inc.	11
The same	
25	11

Ace-Federal Reports

A	I	would	say	six	or	seven.

Q I see, sir.

Did you discuss the number of those busses in your discussions with the Montgomery County Emergency office?

A Yes, sir.

Q And is that the number you provided that office, sir, do you recall?

A It could be. I honestly don't remember exactly. Whatever the number was, I mean we did supply a number to them. I don't remember what it was.

Q If I were to refer you to a portion of the Montgomery County plan, which is Applicant's Exhibit E-3, with regard to the Upper Perkiomen School District and particularly the Green Lane Elementary School, might that refresh your recollection, sir?

A Yes.

Q Let the record reflect that I am showing the witness a copy of Applicant's Exhibit E-3, the Montgomery County Emergency Plan.

JUDGE HOYT: Do you wish to see this, Ms. Ercole?

MS. ERCOLE: No. I am sure they are showing

him what they are representing.

JUDGE HOYT: This record is going to show that.

MR. RADER: Specifically page I-3-13.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well. Let the record reflect that

the exhibit described by counsel is being shown to the witness.

MS. ERCOLE: This is draft 7?

MR. RADER: That is correct.

JUDGE HOYT: I believe that is E-3. Check that to be sure that is draft 7.

THE WITNESS: Upper Perkiomen School District does not routinely evacuate Green Lane Elementary School, Marlboro Township, five busses, assignment Levy Bus Company. That would be about right.

BY MR. RADER:

0 Thank you, sir.

With regard to the contract you have with the Levy Bus Company, do the provisions of that contract provide that the Levy Bus Company will provide you busses upon request?

- A Correct.
- Is that limited in any manner?
- A Not to my knowledge.
- So that would include a request to transport students in the event of an early dismissal due to a radiological emergency at Limerick; is that correct?

MS. ERCOLE: I object to that then because the document would speak for itself.

JUDGE HOYT: I haven't heard the question yet.

24

23

25

2 3

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

MS. ERCOLE: I'm sorry.

JUDGE HOYT: Let me see if I can get the question first. What is the question?

BY MR. RADER:

Is it correct, sir, that that contract would, therefore, include a request by your school district, if necessary, to transport students in the event of an emergency at the Limerick Generating Station?

MS. ERCOLE: I would object on the grounds that any contract existing would have to speak for itself. The witness could only state what the contract says. He cannot give his interpretation of it.

MR RADER: Your Honor, this witness has previously testified that he has experience in requesting this particular school bus service for early dismissal and he is certainly qualified to state his opinion based upon that experience.

JUDGE HOYT: I seem to recall some of the earlier portion of this testimony. The objection is overruled.

Answer the question, if you can, sir.

THE WITNESS: To the best of our knowledge, he would supply the transportation.

BY MR. RADER:

Sir, are you aware of any situations in which you 0

1	
	- 1
3	
	1
3	
	1
	1
4	1
	1
	1
5	1
	1
	1
6	1
	1
	1
7	1
	1
	1
8	1
-	1
	1
9	1
	-
10	1
10	1
1917	-
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	-
11	1
	1
	1
12	H
	-
	1
13	
	1
	1
14	-
	1
	ii
15	
	H
	H
16	1
	11
	11
17	1
	11
	1
18	1
	- 11
	Andreas of the latest designation of the lat
19	1
	H
	11
20	п
	I
	Ħ
21	11
	н
22	H
	II
23	Ħ
	l
	H
24	I
Inc.	I
 -	
F 10 F	

have requested busses from the Levy Bus Company for early dismissal? Has that happened previously?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q Does it happen often, sir?
- A Often in the sense that out of 183 days, it could occur ten or 15 times.
- Q On those occasions have the busses been provided by the Levy Bus Company?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Do you know of any situation in which the busses have not been provided because drivers had been unavailable?
 - A None to my knowledge.
- Q Sir, you were asked certain questions regarding training of your staff. How many persons were trained within your school district?
- A 160 or so people received information that was presented to them.
- Q And was that staff drawn from all the schools in your school district?
 - A Ye sir.
- Q And that would include the four schools outside the EPZ; is that correct, sir.
 - A The Green Lane Elementary School?
 - Q No, sir. My question was, that would include the

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

24 x-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

four schools beyond the EPZ?

A Correct. I am sorry. Right.

Q And under your plan, are there any particular actions which are taken by those four schools in the event of a radiological emergency at Limerick requiring the implementation of a protective action?

A None to my knowledge.

Q So there is no need for training for those individuals; is that correct?

MS. ERCOLE: Objection.

MR. RADER: I think it is a perfectly reasonable question.

JUDGE HOYT: What are the grounds, Ms. Ercole?

MS. ERCOLE: I will withdraw it.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well.

THE WITNESS: Well, quite frankly, we intend to have training for them because we do not know what, in fact, would be the contingencies. We don't know if the wind would shift or anything else. We would, under normal course, give training to all of our teachers. If we are going to give it to some, we would give it to all.

BY MR. RADER:

Q I want you to understand, no one is quarreling with that, sir. I am simply trying to establish what is

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

necessary for the implementation of this plan.

MS. ERCOLE: I object to counsel's dialogue with the witness. I think it would be proper for him merely to ask a question.

BY MR. RADER:

Mr. Persing, with regard to that training, were the nine teachers in the Green Lane Elementary School provided that training?

MS. ERCOLE: Objection. Your Honor, I don't want to be obstreperous, but the witness has testified that it was not training. It was merely a presentation. I think that term should be used in placing the question.

JUDGE HOYT: Ms. Ercole, I think the terms "presentation" and "training" have so become confused on this record, that it is much to late to concern ourselves with that now. We are going to have to take the testimony as we find it.

The objection is overruled. The witness will answer the question.

BY MR. RADER:

Did those nine individuals receive training from the Green Lane Elementary School, sir?

They were present at the time the information was presented, yes.

Q And following that presentation, did any one of them come up to you and advise you that in his or her opinion the training was inadequate?

A Not to me, no, they did not.

Q And has any one of those individuals ever advised you that he or she would be unavailable to assist and supervise in the event of a radiological emergency at Limerick?

A No.

Q Sir, you stated that with regard to that training, that you would suggest that it might be useful to have further input sessions, I believe is what you said.

Could you tell me what you meant by that?

A Yes. When we, in the education business, start talking about training, we are talking about a lot more than simply presentation of information. And within that context, we call for what we call "input session" or "explanation sessions" and make sure that the objectives are perfectly clear to everyone, that the input has taken place, that there is an opportunity for explanation of what is going on; there are opportunities for questioning techniques. There is opportunity for a dialogue between the participants.

There is an opportunity for guided practice,

3

4

5

6

8

10

9

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

Faderal Reporters, I

25

independent practice. There is an opportunity for actually doing the tasks that we are asking them to do and giving them a chance to get feedback on the quality and standards of performance within time lines.

And in addition to that, following that we have an opportunity then to do the coaching and feedback under actual application procedures.

Q With regard to the informational aspect of what you have stated, do you know of any questions that were not properly answered at the training session?

A No, sir. I couldn't speak to that. I don't know -- none that I know of, if that is your question to me, sir, none to my knowledge.

- Q Were you present at the training sessions, sir?
- A Yes, I was. But I was only present for 15 to 20 minutes of it.
 - Q And how long was the training session?
 - A About an hour and 15 minutes.
- Q And you are unaware of any particular question which the Energy Consultants' instructor was unable to answer? Is that your testimony?
 - A Not to my knowledge.
- Q Do you know if a question and answer period was provided, sir?
 - A Yes, there was.

Q It was provided?

2

A Yes, it was.

3

O With regard to the -- what I think you

5

have referred to as drills or something in the nature of demonstration sessions, would you tell me which particular aspects of the plan you believe require a drill or

if sheltering became a part of our plan, I would like to

If, in fact, we are going to ask kids to be part of a

drill which is, in fact, a radiological emergency, I

help of the teachers and teachers staff to understand

why we are doing it, what the objectives are, how long

we have in putting forth the best possible safety

would need to try to do those sorts of things.

I would like to also explain, get an

opportunity to explain to the parents what responsibilities

plan for anything, any situation, would be. I think we

would like to condition them as much as I could with the

go through each of those particular aspects of sheltering.

I think if we were going to go into sheltering,

6

demonstration?

it would be involved.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

sir?

A Not to my knowledge.

-Federal Reporters I

25

Q You stated you were there for 15 of the hour and

Was sheltering covered in the training session,

15 minutes; is that correct?

2

3

4

That is correct.

So it could have been given during the time you were not present; is that correct?

5

MS. FRCOLE: Objection. Speculative.

6

can only state to what was --

7

JUDGE HOYT: The objection is sustained.

With regard to your testimony regarding

Well, we were to get what we term to be a

bussing drills and fire drills and bomb scares, could

exercises and what is involved, particularly with regard

you please tell me how you conduct these particular

to supervision, teacher supervision of the students?

legitimate bomb scare, what we would do is, we would

have the teachers in charge of the students and we would

8

BY MR. RADER:

9

Sir, did anyone tell you after the training

10

session that he or she was disappointed because

11

sheltering was not covered?

12

A No.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2;

22

23

area such as a cafeteria, auditorium, or a gymnasium

area would probably -- is usually a large confining

have a volunteer group search a certain area. That

where we can get large groups of people there.

25

We try to determine that there is no bomb there.

ce Federal Reporters, Inc.

Then we would, as quickly as possible -- and by the way, these people have already been designated as a, quote, a bomb search squad. And they then go in, and we then move the students as fast as we can into that particular area.

And then we start -- then we start examining, to the best of our ability, the other areas.

If we are in any doubt at all, and sometimes as routine we call in the fire police and notify the police also that this is taking place. And then we attempt then to get the children into the other areas that -- try to get them out of the one crowded area into some other areas.

MS. ERCOLE: Can you keep up your voice, please.

THE WITNESS: Yes. After we try to get them into these other areas that we have tried to determine whether or not it is safe, with the help of some outside agencies. And then following that, then we get them back to school.

That is a procedure.

Another procedure that we have also used is quite frankly to get all the children immediately out of the area. Such a case is a fire drill. They all have their same exits. They know where the exists are, and they follow a certain traffic pattern to get them in a

position outside of the building.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 Factorial Resources 1

25

as involving the mobilization and assembly of a large number of students?

Is it fair to characterize these activities

A Yes.

Q Is it your understanding that this is basically what would be involved in sheltering?

A I have difficulty answering that because we have really -- even myself, I haven't covered sheltering too much.

I know it is in there, but I have difficulty with that. I don't think I can give you an honest answer.

Q Sir, if I may ask, have you personally had the training afforded to school administrators by Energy Consultants?

A No.

Q Do you --

A The only training that I have had is with other, with Energy Consultants, is to sit down with them and go over procedures and work with the plan, if that is what you mean.

Q No, sir. I mean specifically a training sessions for administrators specifically geared to their responsibilities which was offered by Energy Consultants.

Are you aware of such training, sir?

A Only that they were going to do this in the near

Ace-Federal Reporters,

future and that they were going to get the superintendents together especially.

If that is what you mean, I have reference to that. I am knowledgeable of that.

- Q Would you accept such training if offered, sir?
- A Certainly.

3

5

6

7

8

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

10

20

22

23

2

25

Q At any time with regard to training of any staff in the school, are you aware of any instance in which Energy Consultants or Montgomery County has declined to provide any particular training or assistance that you have requested, to your school district?

A None, to my knowledge.

Q Sir, in discussing sheltering before in your testimony, I believe you referred to your belief that sheltering would involve putting students in basements.

Is that correct?

- A That's one of the things that I was told, yes.
- Q And who told you that?
- A Mrs. --
- Q Mrs. Ercole?
- A Yes.
- Q I see.

By the way did you discuss your testimony prior to today with Mrs. Ercole?

Q No.

Did you discuss it today with Mrs. Ercole?

A Not the testimony. She talked with us, if that is what you mean.

- Q You did not discuss your testimony, however?
- A No, I did not.
- Q Did you discuss the questions which she might be

mm2

1 2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

asking you?

A No, I did not.

Q Is your concept of sheltering, in addition to whatever Mrs. Ercole may have told you -- is your concept of sheltering based on any knowledge of Annex E, the Disaster Operations Plan for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania?

A Not to my knowledge, no.

Q So you are not familiar with the concept of sheltering and the definition of sheltering as discussed in that plan.

Is that correct, sir?

A That is correct.

Q Are you aware then, under Annex E, how long a sheltering option would be utilized?

MS. ERCOLE: Objection. He said he is not familiar with Annex E.

MR. RADER: I wish to establish a specific point, your Honor. Their testimony was raised earlier -- the point was raised earlier in the testimony as to possible disruptions which would occur for presumably a longer period of time.

And I wish to establish that the witness was not familiar with Annex E, that states that sheltering would be utilized for only a two-hour period.

MS. ERCOLE: He said he is not familiar with Annex E. It has already been asked and answered.

1

3

•

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

or was.

20

21

22

23

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25 JUDGE HOYT: This is cross, Ms. Ercole, we will permit the question to be answered.

Objection overruled. Can you answer it, sir?
THE WITNESS: The question again is?
BY MR. RADER:

Q Yes, sir. Are you familiar with the concept in

Annex E, that sheltering would be a required option for only
a limited period of approximately two hours?

A I believe this was mentioned this morning in my company. Yes.

Q It was mentioned to you, sir?

A Yes.

Q By whom, sir?

A It could have been Dr. Feich, or it could have been Mrs. Ercole. I really don't remember, because I didn't know what sheltering was then, either.

MS. ERCOLE: I didn't hear that last answer.

THE WITNESS: I didn't know what sheltering is

BY MR. RADER:

Q Sir, you testified regarding your understanding that under your plan students would be dismissed at the alert stage, or when alerted.

Could you explain exactly what you meant by that?

A Well, what I understand is that when we would be

mm4

s, Inc. receiving a notification from the county, it would be +elling us that we are in various stages, and during those stages, one of those stages, we would be releasing our students as an early dismissal procedure.

Q If the state recommended to you, with regard to a particular protective action for the Green Lane School, would you follow that instruction, sir?

A I would think so, yes.

Q With regard to your testimony again regarding drills, bus drills I believe you referred to, did I understand you to say that in those drills you do not actually load the students on the buses?

A What I have reference to is that we have had -- one of the drills that we have had was almost like a communications exercise, at which time we did not move any personnel. I am not quite sure when that was. It has been in the last month or so. And at that time it was one of the phases that we had utilized, which was communications.

And we had two observers from the state there at that time.

- Q Are you referring to the school drill on November 20th, sir?
 - A I think that is what it would be, yes.
- Q Were there any problems during that particular drill, sir?

mm5

2

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A None to my knowledge.

Do you know if the FEMA observers found any problems?

MS. ERCOLE: Objection.

BY MR. RADER:

Do you know if there were any FEMA observers present, sir?

Yes, sir, there were two.

0 Did they discuss any problems with the drill with you?

No, none that they had observed. Not to my knowledge. We had a conference and we talked about things, but --

But they mentioned no particular problem that they saw in the drill?

None that I know of.

Could you explain to me what you meant previously by your reference to the bus drill as such, aside from the November 20th drill?

Was that something different?

What I would have reference to, is if we would have a drill for early dismissal, perhaps we could also utilize that if possible, as a means to superimpose the emergency evacuation. If we could do the same things at the same time, that way we wouldn't have to bring in buses and find out whether or not we could do what we want to do.

1

3

4

6

5

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

And, if we could possibly do it that way, it would save us a lot of time and a lot of effort.

Q If, for example, a representative from Energy
Consultants was there at that time to help you evaluate
the drill in terms of procedures during a possible radiological emergency, would that satisfy your concerns with regard
to the demonstration which you were discussing previously?

MS. ERCOLE: Objection --

THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know that until --

JUDGE HOYT: Just a minute.

MS. ERCOLE: Fine, I withdraw that. The witness has answered he doesn't know.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well.

Go ahead.

BY MR. RADER:

Q Do you anticipate any differences between the kind of evacuation procedure which might be used in an emergency for the Green Lane School and the procedures which you utilized in your bus drill?

A Well, the difference would be that we would not be sending the kids into the EPZ if some of them had lived there. We would be sending them up to the high school.

Q Let me rephrase that, sir.

I am directing your attention specifically with regard to the mobilization and assembly of students from their

e-Federal Reporters, Inc.

places in the school and putting them on buses.

A No, there shouldn't be any difference there, if that is what you mean.

JUDGE HOYT: I am sorry, sir, I did not hear you.

THE WITNESS: I said, no. If that is what he

means, the answer would be no.

JUDGE HOYT: Thank you.

BY MR. RADER:

Q Aside from the information or advice provided to you by Mrs. Ercole regarding the sheltering option, do you have any reason personally to believe that sheltering could not be implemented for the Green Lane Elementary School?

MS. ERCOLE: I object. And I object to the characterization of the question, because it improperly impugns my professionalism and integrity.

Secondly, it mischaracterizes what this witness said. He merely said he heard about sheltering, and the idea of a basement, from a discussion with Mrs. Ercole. It was never put in context in terms of advice. It was never put in a context in terms of an explanation of what sheltering was.

And, for Applicant to do something like that in the form of a question is improper.

JUDGE HOYT: Mrs. Ercole, I don't think anyone has impugned your professionalism in this courtroom. Certainly,

mm8

2

1

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

that is not the impression that I have.

I believe the witness --

MR. RADER: I attempted --

JUDGE HOYT: Just a moment, counsel.

MR. RADER: I am sorry.

JUDGE HOYT: I believe the subject of your discussion with this individual and someone else had occurred early in this cross examination.

I think this is an appropriate question. Your objection will be overruled.

MS. ERCOLE: I don't object to him asking what, if anything, was discussed.

But to characterize the question about what, if any advice Mrs. Ercole gave you, and various other discussions on sheltering, I think that is an improper characterization, and I think it is a flip way of putting a question, when it is not based upon what this witness had testified to previously.

JUDGE HOYT: I am again reiterating what I have just said, Ms. Ercole. I don't think that your professional integrity has in any sense been impugned. I don't interpret the question in that fashion.

If counsel wants to ask his cross examination as you have yours, in what may not be the best marner possible, this Board is not going to intervene.

All right, counsel, let's watch the approach to

mm9

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

your questions to avoid these types of things in the future.

MR. RADER: I absolutely attempted to find a neutral word, but I will rephrase it as best I can.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well.

BY MR. RADER:

Aside from whatever Mrs. Ercole told you regarding sheltering, do you have any personal beliefs regarding the ability of the Green Lane Elementary School to implement a sheltering action?

As I have stated before, I am not familiar with sheltering. But, if sheltering does provide for a utilization of a basement facility, we have none in Green Lane.

So, if that is an option, that option isn't open to us.

But that would be the only problem you have if sheltering required the utilization of a basement, is that your testimony sir?

I don't know that, because I have already told you I am not that familiar with, you know, sheltering. I would like first to have read it and studied it. Then I could give you an honest answer. I honestly couldn't tell you that at this time.

Are you aware whether the county plans and

1

3

5

6

7 8

9

11

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

XXX

20

21

22

23

24 ce-Federal Reporters, Inc

25

municipal plans and school district plans for other areas of the EPZ also utilize a sheltering option, sir?

A I am quite sure that they might use that as an option.

Q Sir, at the beginning of your testimony I believe you stated that you were an elected official.

Are you elected by the populace in your school district, sir?

A There aren't any superintendents in the State of Pennsylvania that are elected by the populace. They are all elected by the Board of School Directors.

Q So yours is technically an appointive office, is that correct, sir?

A No. Technically it is not. Technically it is an elective office.

MR. RADER: Fine. No further questions.

JUDGE HOYT: VEry well. The cross examination of this witness by Commonwealth will be limited to 30 minutes.

BY MS.FERKIN:

Q Dr. Persing, my name is Zori Ferkin, I am counsel with the Governor's Energy Counsel representing the Commonwealth. I would like to ask you a few clarification questions, if I may, just to get started.

My concern right now is with private schools.

Is the Perkiomen Prep School within the Upper

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Perkiomen School District?

Correct.

Is the Perkiomen Prep School within the ten-mile radius of theLimerick EPZ?

A No, negative.

Is the St. Phillips School within the Upper Perkiomen School District?

Yes. A

Is the St. Phillips School within the ten-mile radius of the Limerick EPZ?

Negative.

Q Is the St. Pius School within your school district?

Not within the confines of the school district, but within the definition of the State, within the ten-mile radius for providing bus transportation. We have that obligation.

In other words, you provide -- your school district provides bus service on a daily routine basis to the St. Pius School?

That is correct, as well as the other two other private schools for residents.

What other two private schools?

A. The ones you had reference to, which is St. Phillips and Perkiomen Prep.

Isn't the St. Pius School technically located

22

23

24

25

within the Pottsgrove School District?

- A That's correct.
- Q Do you know whether the Pottsgrove School District provides the St. Pius School bus service on a routine basis?
 - A Yes, I think to the best of my knowledge they do.
- Q Now we have referred to the Western Montgomery
 County Vocational Technical School.

Is that a correct statement?

- A That's correct.
- Q Is that school within the Upper Perkiomen School Listrict?
- A No, it is not. Only of course -- it is not physically in there, but since there are three school districts which operate the WesternHontgomery County Vocational Technical School; being Pottsgrove, Spring Ford and Upper Perkiomen we do have a responsibility for them.
- Q Therefore, you provide that school, the Western Montgomery County Vocational Technical School transportation on a routine daily basis?
 - A Yes, ma'am.
 - Q You referred earlier to other private schools?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Can you define what you meant by that?
- Yes. Those would be all school districts which are private in the sense of like Calvary Baptist School, or

Upper Bucks Baptist School, there are Christian Day Schools.

In addition, there are special education schools that we transport to not only that are singularly for that purpose, but as well as located within other school districts that we transport our children to.

- Q Do you have a ballpark figure as to how many of these schools are in that category?
 - A Yes. On any given day between 30 and 35.
- Q So in other words, you provide routine transportation to between 30 and 35 private schools, other than St. Pius and Western Montgomery Vocational Tech?
 - A Including them.
 - Q Including them?
 - A Yes.
- Q Do you know whether any of the private schools which we have just been discussing, are within the ten-mile radius?
 - A Oh, yes, some of them are.
 - Q Some of them are.

Do you have any idea which ones?

- A No, I do not. I think it is in our plan somewhere, we have reference to it. But off the top of my head, I don't have that.
 - Q Couldyou take a moment to review what has been

mm14

2

1

3

5

6

7

9

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ace-Foderal Reporters, Inc.

25

marked as Applicant's Exhibit E-61, and point out that place to me, if possible.

(Witness reading document.)

A I am having difficulty finding that, if, in fact it is even in this plan.

- Q Could we try attachment 1?
- A All right, let's do attachment 1.

(Witness reading document.)

Oh, yes, here we go.

Well, what happens here is, for example what you have reference to is we could have students attending the Calvary, the Chapel Christian Academy, and they would be bused to Calvary Baptist.

We could have --

- Q Excuse me, sir, could you identify for me exactly what page of that plan you are referring to?
 - A A-4-3.
 - Q Please continue. I didn't mean to interrupt.
 (Witness reading document.)
- A We could have some in St. Gabriel School as an example.

We might have some in West Mount Christian

Academy. I wouldn't know exactly, until I have the list of students in front of me where they were actually attending.

Q I understand.

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

8

11

12

10

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Just one general guestion with regard to attachment 4, when it states that a student will be bused to somewhere, does that bus emanate from the Levy Bus Service?

- No, not necessarily. A
- So this could refer to more than one bus service?
- Oh, yes. Very definitely. A
- And would the alternate bus services be bus services contracted to school districts other than Upper Perkiomen?
 - A Yes.
- In preparing attachment 4 to the plan that you are referring to now, was it necessary to coordinate, for example with the Pottsgrove School District, or the Spring Ford School District?

What needs to be done, in addition to what is in this attachment, we need to make sure that we have a list of all students who are attending all private schools from our school district, to determine by agreement with the schools that they are attending, that they will be responsible for transporting those students who are within our school district, but now attend them, their schools, to make sure that they will transport our students along with other students to other sites.

We need to determine that.

mn-13-1

2

3

5

7

8 9

10 11

12

14

13

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Are you in the process of determine that? Q.
- A. Yes, we are.
- Who are you working with in this process?
- We will be working with Sam Tabak, Mr. Bigelow --A. those two from the county office. We need to determine that.
- Until that process is completed, is it your opinion that you will not submit the Upper Perkiomen School District plan to your school board for approval?
 - Absolutely. That is correct.
- Sir, you made a reference earlier to the North Penn High School. I would appreciate it if you would clarify the reference that you made.
- We have students coming to our Upper Perkiomen High School from the Western Montgomery County Vocational Technical School who have the other two school districts, Spring Ford and Pottsgrove. If those students have not been picked up either by their parents or by some other type of transportation, they will then be transported from our high school to North Penn High School which will function as I understand it as a mass care facility.
- The time of the pick-up that you are referring to, are you referring to eight p.m?
 - Correct.
- So in other words students who are left at the Western Montgomery Vocational Technical School at eight p.m.

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

īd

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

will then be transported to North Penn High School.

- A. No.
- Q. Please clarify that.
- A. They would be removed immediately from the Western

 Mr. Atgomery County Vocational and Technical School to Upper

 Perkiomen High School. Then from Upper Perkiomen High

 School if they are not picked up by their parents or some

 arrangement has not been made for them by eight p.m., they

 will then be transported from our high school, Upper Perkiomen

 High School, to the North Penn High School.
- Q. In the beginning of your testimony earlier today you referred to certain unmet needs that you have discussed with Montgomery County Office of Emergency Preparedness, did you not?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. You referred to an unmet for personnel. Could you explain that, please?
 - A. Personnel?
- Q. Yes. You used the word personnel. I recall that distinctly.
 - A. In which context?
- Q I believe Ms. Ercole was asking you whether or not your school district had transmitted any unmet needs to Montgomery County. Your first mention was of an unmet need for personnel.

Ace-Federal Reporters, inc.

9

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

If I recall it correctly, personnel in order to oversee the drill or if we are going to have training?

You did not elaborate and my question to you is what did you mean by "personnel?"

As I recall putting in the context that is fresh in my mind, I meant personnel in order to help us with further training of staff.

In other words, you would like Montgomery County to provide service to you in the more extensive training that you have been describing throughout your testimony, is that correct?

A. Correct.

Is it your understanding that Montgomery County is going to provide you with such additional personnel?

They have repeatedly stated that they would be able to help us with our request.

Have they indicated a time or a date on which they would provide you with personnel?

No, they didn't but by the same token, we didn't request it.

Sir, regarding the presentation that you were provided by what I am assuming is Energy Consultants. Can I assume that that was provided to the 160-plus staff members by Energy Consultants?

Yes, ma'am. A.

3

5

6

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

Los-Federal Reporters, Inc.

- Q Do you recall the particular individuals from Energy Consultants?
 - A. No, ma'am, I do not.
- Q. Did you meet with anyone from Energy Consultants prior to the presentation of that training?
 - A. Yes, John Cunnington.
- Q. Did you review with Mr. Cunnington the content of the material that was going to be presented to the school district staff?
- A. In broad general terms, yes. They would be presenting information on radiation. They would be presenting information on the plan itself.
- Q. Did he indicate to you that the presentation would include a discussion of protective actions?
 - A. He may have. I can't say that I remember that.
- Q Did he review with you and I would appreciate it if counsel for LEA could show this to you.

(Document tendered to witness.)

JUDGE HOYT: Ms. Ferkin, what document is this?

MS. FERKIN: I am about to describe it. What counsel for LEA is showing the witness is a copy of Applicant's Exhibit E-65, the training module for school teachers and staff.

THE WITNESS: No. I personally have not seen this.

BY MS. FERKIN: (Resuming)

Q. So in other words Mr. Cunnington did not review that with you prior to arranging training for your staff members in your school district?

A. No, ma'am.

Q. In your discussion with Mr. Cunnington, did he -
(At this point in the proceedings, a fire alarm

was sounded throughout the building.)

JUDGE HOYT: We will be off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

JUDGE HOYT: Back on the record. Ms. Ferkin.

BY MS. FERKIN: (Resuming)

Q. I would just like to verify the answer to my last question.

JUDGE HOYT: Off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

JUDGE HOYT: We have just been notified that we do have to vacate for the fire drill. We will recess.

(Whereupon, a short recess was taken)

JUDGE HOYT: The hearing will come to order.

The parties are all present and the witness is again on the stand and you are still under oath, sir. Now Ms. Ferkin, would you please pick up on your examination please.

BY MS. FERKIN: (Resuming)

Defore we were interrupted --

24

25

Faderal Reporters, In

3

4

5

7

9

8

10

12

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 Aze-Federal Reporters, Inc

25

JUDGE HOYT: Let me say that is by a fire drill because I don't think this record reflects that. That was the interruption. Go ahead, Ms. Ferkin.

BY MS. FERKIN: (Resuming)

- Q Before we were interrupted by a fire drill,
 Dr. Persing, you had established that prior to the Upper
 Perkiomen School District staff receiving EC's presentation
 you had not reviewed the training module identified as
 Applicant's Exhibit E-65, is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Have you had an opportunity since that presentation was made to review that module?
 - A. No, I have not.
- Q. All right. After the presentation was made to your staff, Dr. Persing, did you speak with any of the 160-plus persons who attended the session?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. How soon after the presentation did you speak with an individual regarding the content of the presentation?
 - A. The next day.
- Q. What did that individual or individuals tell you about the content of the session?
- A. They felt that the content was in their opinion, some of it was superfluous and didn't apply. They felt -- some of them felt that it was good information and nice to

iA

Enderal Reporters I

know information. Most of them felt that they were not trained and they took issue with the word training and I explained that already. Some of them of course were more vociferous than that and had some philosophical, in my opinion philosophical, problems that they had to deal with.

- Q In what sense do you mean philosophical problems?
- A. I think that they felt again in my opinion that they were opposed to any type of plan because it couldn't possibly fulfill the requirements that they thought were in order to be adequate and therefore, they were opposed to doing most anything if they could. But they felt that they were almost ambivalent on it because they felt like they had to do something but they didn't like what they had to do and on and on and on.
- Q. When you said "superfluous," what was your understanding of what people meant by that?
- A. People had thought that there was not near enough information, it wasn't near enough time, it wasn't near enough training and it was just glittering over the surface.

 Now that was some people. It certainly was just a few.
- Q. Do you recall whether anyone suggested to you a particular substantive item that they felt might have been included in the presentation?
 - A. Some of the things they wanted to know is just how

mn13-8

ce Federal Reporters Inc

to act with the kids specifically if there would be a situation, is there any type of training that is available for them to help kids go through any type of trauma that they might have, things such as that.

- Q Do you recall anyone telling you that one of the substantive areas covered in the session they attended was sheltering?
 - A. No, not that I remember.
- Q. Dr. Persing, did the Upper Perkiomen School
 District participate in the July 25th drill?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. To what extent?
- A. We had the director of buildings and grounds and some other personnel present at the high school to have people in the area, directing them, giving them answers to questions especially for police and ambulance people and fire people that arrived.
- Q. You would characterize this participation then as demonstrating the communications capability of the school district?
 - A. To some degree.
- Q. You stated earlier that the district participated in the recent November 20th supplemental exercise, did you not?
 - A. Correct.

ce Faderal Reporters, Inc.

ze-Federal Reporters, Inc. Q Can you describe the activities your school district participated in that day?

A. What happened is we received a call telling us we are in one of the particular phases --

Q Let me stop you right there. Were you told what phase?

A. I didn't take the call. The message was given to me and I frankly don't remember which phase it was, whether it was stand-by at that point or what it was. We then contacted all the principals and told them that we had received the call and that further information would be forthcom.

Q Is that the extent of the activity on that day?

A. Following that, during the time that this was happening our director of transportation and our director of buildings and grounds and the task force member who was attached to our group was working with some state observers determining what information they thought they might need.

- Q. Was that the extent of the activity on that day?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. Following the drill, did you discuss any aspect of the drill with state observers?
- A. Yes. They came in and they sat with us and we discussed the aspects of the drill in my office. There were

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

two state observers, again the director of buildings and grounds, transportation and the task force person, Mr. Frye, was present.

- Q. Were there any particular aspects of the activities that you participated in that day that you discussed with the state observers?
- A. Yes. We talked with them about the necessity of having communications such as telephones present, designated numbers, designated lines. We talked with them about the problems of making sure that we had the students identified who lived in the EPZ to make sure that they wouldn't be transported back into the EPZ. We talked about problems of having our students who are located within the EPZ and outside for that matter attending other schools to make sure that we could coordinate their transportation and make sure we knew where they were and that we could get that information out to the parents. Those were the types of things we were talking about.
- Q Is it your feeling that especially with regard to identifying the students, is it your belief that you and the county are pursuing that effort?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. One area still puzzles me, Dr. Persing, at one point your direct testimony you testified that in your understanding the Western Montgomery County Vocational and

8 9

re-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Technical School must submit its own plan.

- A. Yes. It is my understanding that each school and they are an entity, that they have to have a plan.
 - Q. What is the basis of that understanding, please?
- A. They operate a school for three different school districts and they have transportation coming into them from three different school districts so they would have to coordinate between a minimum of three different school districts plus other students. That, to me, leads me to believe that they must have their own plan.
- Q But you do not know whether this particular school is, in fact, preparing its own plan?
- A. Yes, I do because I have been in contact with the director, Mr. Kirshner, and he tells me that they are preparing one.
- Q. It is your understanding then with regard to transportation of students from the Western Montgomery County Vocational and Technical School to the Upper Perkiomen High School that there will be buses from three different school districts performing that function including your own?
- A. No. I don't know that. I don't know exactly
 how those buses are going to be provided at this moment to
 get those students, all of those students, to our high
 school. I honestly don't know how they are going to do that.

-

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

e-Federal Reporters, In

25

- Q. But you were saying that you were in the process of coordinating that?
 - A. Yes. That was must be done.
 - Q. With Montgomery County?
 - A. I would think so, yes.
 - Q. And the other relevant school districts?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Let me clarify just one more time. In the Green Lane Elementary School, is it true that you have identified approximately ten to twelve children who attend that school and who live in the EPZ?
- A. That is to the best of my knowledge. I really don't have that list in front of me. I could very easily get it, not very easily but we could get you that information.
- Q. If Upper Perkiomen School District choses to at an alert send children out on early dismissal, what will be done with those ten to 12 children who live in the EPZ?
- A. They would be going along with other children who reside there to the high school, to the Upper Perkiomen High School.
- Q. You have testified that you are in the process of identifying children in private schools who also live within the EPZ, is that correct?

2

A. That is correct.

Have you thought about planning for transportation

3

of those children?

5

A. Oh, yes. What we must do is we must coordinate that transportation with the school in which they reside.

6

Q. Do you anticipate that that planning would result

7

in those children being transported to Upper Perkiomen

8

High School as well?

9

A. No, we do not. We anticipate that they will go

10

along with students wherever that particular school is going

11

to transport students to the host school. We do know but

12 I don't have reference to that now.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Foderal Reporters, Inc.

25

	1
	2
	3
	Ĭ
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	11
	12
	13
	14
	14
	15
	16
	17
	17
	18
	19
	20
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
rters,	Inc.
	25

Q At least one more question. You testified at the beginning of your direct testimony that Upper Perkiomen High School contains a mass care center.

A It has been designated as a mass care center through the Red Cross. This is something that we had done before.

I don't recall exactly when. Several months ago.

It doesn't specifically have anything to do with the radiological plan.

- Q So in other words, it is not part of the radiological emergency response plan for Limerick --
 - A Correct.
- Q -- that Upper Perkiomen High School be relied upon as a mass care center?
 - A That is correct. That's right.
- Q To your knowledge, does Upper Perkiomen High School serve any other function in the Limerick planning other than as a host school?
 - A None to my knowledge.

MS. FERKIN: May I have a moment, please.

JUDGE HOYT: Yes.

(Pause.)

BY MS. FERKIN:

Q One more point, Dr. Persing. You indicated on, I believe it was your direct testimony, that certain teachers had indicated informally to you that they would

REE 14/2	
	1
	2
•	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
•	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	10

stay with their students in a radiological emergency; is that correct?

That's correct.

Did you have any such indication from teachers at the Green Lane School, or have you had any such indication from teachers at the Green Lane School?

- No, specifically, I have not. A
- Have you ever raised the subject --
- No, I have not. A
- -- with the Green Lane School?
- A No.

Regarding the concept of sheltering, Dr. Persing, would you tell me the basis of any knowledge you have at this moment as to what sheltering means in a radiological emergency?

I can only tell you what, in fact, I have come in contact with today by sheltering, and that means simply to hold them in their positions, to close windows, to put them in a position where the participants would be better insulated.

That is about all that I know about it. I am not familiar with that concept.

Prior to today, have you ever discussed the concept of sheltering with any representative from Energy Consultants?

25

20

21

22

23

2

7

10

11

XXXXXX

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Not to my knowledge.

have you ever discussed the concept of sheltering with any representative from Montgomery County?

Not the concept of sheltering, no.

MR. FERKIN: I have no further questions.

JUDGE HOYT: Thank you.

Does the staff have any questions?

MR. MC GURREN: Yes, we do, your Honor.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well. You have 30 minutes.

MR. MC GURREN: I think I will take less than that, your Honor.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. MC GURREN:

Dr. Persing, my name is J. McGurren. I am with the NRC Staff.

The line of cross-examination that I am going to have you look at concerns early dismissal. And what I would like you to do is look at Applicant's Exhibit E-61. I believe we were looking at that earlier today. And specifically page 10, paragraph F-3, which I believe is the appropriate section for schools in session.

Have you found that, sir?

Yes, I have.

I ask you, particularly with regard to the second sentence there, to you, what does that mean to you?

	1
	2
	-
	3
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	,
	8
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	.,
	18
	10
	19
	17
	20
	21
	1,118
The state of the state	
	22
	23

Federal Reporters.

25

A You are referring to F-3?

Q F-3.

A Second sentence, "Regular dismissal policy, transportation routes"?

Q That's correct.

MS. ERCOLE: Page?

MR. MC GURREN: Page 10, draft 4, Applicant's Exhibit E-61.

THE WITNESS: What that means to me is that when we have determined that we are going to release the students, we will conduct that as per our regular early dismissal policy which means that we would be doing essentially the same thing that we would be doing if we had inclement weather.

That means that we will be bringing busses in early and dismissing them rather than their regular time.

BY MR. MC GURREN:

Q Okay.

Now, just to take this one step further with regard to the Green Lane School, which I think if I heard you correctly today, Green Lane is within the EPZ.

A Correct.

Q And it has 10 to 12 students that live within the EPZ.

A Correct.

Q What would you do with those 10 to 12 students?

A We would take those 10 to 12 students and we would transport them to the Upper Perkiomen High School where they would stay until their parents would, in fact, come and pick them up or guardians. If they would not pick them up by 8:00 p.m., for whatever reason, we would then transport them or see that they are transported to the North Penn High School.

Q Now, if you would, please, look again at that second sentence on page 10 of F-3, particularly the language that says, "and/or a protective action recommendation from the Montgomery County OEP."

Do you see that?

A Yes.

Q Now, I ask you, sir, to turn to page 21 of that same exhibit.

Let me know when you are there.

A I am there.

Q And you see a small c, "Upon recommendation for protective action"?

A Correct.

Q Paren, sheltering or evacuation?

A Correct.

Q Do you believe that that is what the language on page 10 is referring to in terms of a protective action --

24 Ace Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

21

22

23

Faderal Reporters Inc.

that is, being either sheltering or evacuation, a recommendation of sheltering or evacuation?

(Pause.)

Sir, if it might help you, I want you to take as much time as you think necessary to explain this. It might help you to refer to page 19 which is the beginning of this particular paragraph. It is Section D on page 19, General Emergency (School In Session), and number one says, "School district superintendent will, upon receipt of notification from the Montgomery County OEP," then it goes colon, then it goes on.

Do you see that? I don't know if that helps you or not.

MR. RADER: I have no specific objection as such, but since counsel offered that for clarification to help the witness, I would like to point out that under subsection D, there is a paragraph one providing general responsibilities for the school district superintendent in the event of a general emergency.

However, on page 21, there is a subparagraph 2 which appears to provide a different procedure for the Green Lane Elementary School.

JUD E HOYT: What is the purpose of that, counsel?

MR. MC GURREN: I think that is evident from the --

MR. RADER: Mr. McGurren was attempting to help the witness because he seemed to be having some difficulty in locating the particular provision of the plan.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well.

THE WITNESS: Now that I have located it, what was your question?

BY MR. MC GURREN:

Q What I am trying to do is tie in the section on page 10 that I referenced, which is F-3, that language that says -- let me read the whole sentence: "Regular dismissal policy/transportation routes will be in effect unless preempted by parental notification from the district and/or a protective action recommendation from the Montgomery County OEP."

And I am trying to ask you, sir, if it is your understanding of this plan that what the language there on page 10 means, in terms of protective action, is a recommendation of sheltering or evacuation from the OEP. That is my basic question to you.

Q Oaky. Well, the basic decision that we have made and will continue to do is that we intend to take the children from the Green Lane Elementary School. We intend to have early dismissal for them. And we intend to do that the same as we intend to do for every school

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

child, student in Upper Perkiomen School District,
regardless of whether they live in Green Lane or whether
they, in fact, go to the Green Lane School, or any of
the other schools.

The only thing different that we intend to do is we intend, in fact, to take those children who do not live -- who do live in the EPZ and not cransport them into that but take them up to the highschool

That is what we intend to do.

Q Just so that I am clear on my understanding, when I read this appendix or this exhibit, if you go over to page 22, the bottom line, it says, "Do not dismiss students into the EPZ" -- actually, I should take you to the middle of the page, (9), "Do not dismiss students into the EPZ."

A Correct.

Q What you are saying is, by taking those students out of the EPZ instead of allowing them to go to their home, that that is consistent with this exhibit?

A That is correct, yes.

MR. MC GURREN: That is all I have, your Honor. Thank you very much.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well.

Does FEMA's counsel have anything? Mr. Hirsch?

24 Los-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

MR. HIRSCH: Yes.

3

JUDGE HOYT: Very well. You also have 30

minutes examination time, cross-examination time.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. HIRSCH:

Dr. Persing, I understood you earlier in your testimony today to say that Mr. Levy of the --Frank Levy, I believe, of the bus company with which your school district already has an ongoing contract for the provision of bus services, that Mr. Levy had assured you that busses of his company would be available for use of your school -- by your school district ir the event that ther as a need for those busses as a result of an accide Limerick Generating Station.

at correct?

assured us for early dismissal, yes. That i correct.

conversation you had with him.

That is essentially correct. He and Warren Levy and Mick Ulrich -- and Mike Ulrich is the director of our transportation for the school district.

Were you a participant in that conversation?

I was only a participant with Frank Levy in my office, just the two of us.

Can you describe in any greater detail what the

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

10

20

21

22

23

.

Ace Federal Reporters, Inc

substance of that conversation was?

A The substance of the conversation would have been something like this: We are having plans being drawr up for early dismissal. Mike will be working with Warren. Warren is essentially running the business now, Frank's son.

I assume that we will have enough busses. And he said, sure, we will always -- we are contracted to you. You are our primary source. A primary contract obviously will have the busses.

Q I understand.

Do you remember when that conversation took place?

A No. No idea. I would say within the last year.

I don't believe you were here earlier in the hearing, Dr. Persing, but there was testimony earlier by Lind Bigelow, who I believe you know is the director of the Montgomery County Office of Emergency Preparedness, that approximately nine months ago, Mr. Bigelow sent to Mr. Levy a short -- what was entitled letter of understanding, which stated that Mr. Levy's bus company would agree to provide busses and drivers to the maximum extent possible for use during an emergency for transportation of individuals should an evacuation be

8 9

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc

required of Montgomery County residents affected by man-made or natural disasters, including an incident at the Limerick Generating Station.

Mr. Bigelow's testimony earlier was that approximately nine months ago, he signed that document and sent it on to Mr. Levy and asked Mr. Levy to execute the document and return it to him.

Mr. Bigelow's testimony was further that that document has not been returned by Mr. Levy yet.

Were you aware of that?

A No.

MR. RADER: I must object to this line of questioning. I believe there has been no foundation laid for any relevance as regards the letter of agreement concerning the Levy Transportation Service which is mentioned in Annex T of the Montgomery County plan.

The witness has previously testified that
any schools in his district are served by the Levy
Company under a contract. There has been no testimony to
establish that any schools in this witness's school
district will, in fact, be furnished transportation
by any provider, let alone the Levy provider, under any
letter of agreement obtained by the Montgomery County
Office of Emergency Preparedness.

JUDGE HOYT: Mr. Hirsch?

Federal Reporters, Inc. MR. HIRSCH: I don't have a ready reference to the citation, page citation in the transcript, but I did specifically ask Mr. Bigelow if he had sent Mr. Levy a copy of a letter of agreement, proposed letter of agreement approximately nine months ago. And he responsed yes, that he had, and that it had not been returned to him yet.

JUDGE HOYT: After thousands of pages of testimony, I am certain that the Board doesn't have that ready reference either. But I think that that was the testimony. Dr. Harbour recalls it as such. I have the general impression that counsel is correct.

MR. RADER: I agree, your Honor, that was, indeed, the testimony. My point is, there is no foundation, however, to connect --

JUDGE HOYT: Well, I would like the question to be answered. I think the Board will need that answer.

THE WITNESS: I am not alerted to any documents that were signed by Mr. Levy. I don't know of any. That was your question.

BY MR. HIRSCH:

2 Let me ask an additional question.

Does it concern you at all -- strike that.

Let me start all over.

Is it correct that it is possible that busses

Los-Federal Reporters, Inc

provided by Mr. Levy would be busses that you would look to to use in evacuating students from the Green Lane Elementary School, if there was an accident at the Limerick Generating Station?

MR. RADER: Objection. There is no foundation for any question relating to an evacuation of the Green Lane Elementary School. The witness has consistently testified that those children will be sheltered.

If counsel is referring to an early dismissal at an alert stage, I have no objection to that question. But if he is talking about an evacuation, that is an entirely different matter.

JUDGE HOYT: What is the thrust of your question, Mr. Hirsch?

MR. HIRSCH: I am trying to establish whether Dr. Persing has any concerns about the fact that in spite of oral assurances he might have received from Mr. Levy, that Mr. Levy has, for whatever reason, not executed this letter of -- proposed letter of agreement in the past nine months.

If Dr. Persing has no understanding along those lines, that is perfectly all right. I will just go on.

I don't believe Mr. Rader's recollection of the testimony is accurate. I don't remember Dr. Persing

3

4 5

ó

7

8 9

10

xxxxx

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

19

20

21

22

23

2

25

saying that there was going to be either sheltering or letting the students go home from Green Lane School to their homes. I believe he was talking about evacuation, possible evacuation of some students.

MR. RADER: May I voir dire the witness, please, on this point. I would ask that the Board allow Mr. Hirsch his regular time. My recollection is, in fact, quite exact on this point.

JUDGE HOYT: All right, counsel.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. RADER:

Q Dr. Persing, was it your testimony that you would not evacuate the Green Lane Elementary School in the event of a general emergency at Limerick but rather that those children would be sheltered?

I am referring to, sir, a situation in which you have a protective action recommendation from the state, not an early alert situation.

A I need to say this: You people are privy to a lot of terms that I am not privy to. You start using words like evacuation and sheltering and those terms, and I appreciate that.

I have to put it in my context. That is the only way I know how to explain it to you. I am going to put it in my context.

My context is simply like this: When, in fact, we get word that our kids are in any possible danger, and I will determine that based on the information that I have unless it is usurped by some higher authority, I intend to give an order that these children are going to be released in the form of an early dismissal policy. That is what I intend to do with the exception of those kids who do, in fact, reside in the EPZ.

I intend to take those kids up to the high school and have them housed there until they can get them into their parents.

The reason we do this is because we only have a small area of our school district within the EPZ, and therefore, we wouldn't want to sent our kids into the EPZ, but we think it is the most prudent, the most safety-conscious thing to do is to place these kids in the custody of their parents and/or guardians as soon as we possibly can.

That is what I am telling you I am trying to do, and I keep on hearing a lot of other words, and I don't quite understand those. I will be perfectly frank with you. But that is what I intend to do.

I agree with whatever has been said here about sheltering. I intend very much, if we have to shelter, if somebody says, you will shelter kids, we want to be trained on how to do that.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

But if we don't have to shelter kids, if
we don't have to do other things, I intend full well to
get those kids in the custody of their parents as soon
as I can, because we are not in the EPZ.

MR. RADER: I will turn this over to Mr. Hirsch.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well, the witness is yours,

Mr. Hirsch.

MR. .HIRSCH: I am not sure I am permitted to ask the questions I had --

JUDGE HOYT: You are permitted to ask questions.

MR. HIRSCH: Thank you.

CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed)

BY MR. HIRSCH:

Q Is it your testimony that you are not aware,
Dr. Persing, that Mr. Lovy has in the past nine months, for
whatever reason, not executed the letter I previously
described to you?

MR. RADER: I object to that for lack of foundation.

I have now found the reference in the transcript
where this was previously stated.

MR. HIRSCH: As have I.

MR. RADER: I believe it refers, your Honor, at the bottom of page 14,374, to a question as to whether these letters of agreement were provided in draft form to the providers, including the Levy Bus Company in the spring of 1984.

I don't believe that would necessarily involve a period of nine months.

MR. HIRSCH: Pardon me. Perhaps we are talking about

24

20

21

22

23

25

Ace-Federal Reporters, i

2

1

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

ze-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25 eight months.

JUDGE HOYT: Is that the reference?

MR. HIRSCH: Yes, that is the reference that I was going to refer to, your Honor, as well.

BY MR. HIRSCH:

Q Let me paraphrase that question, if I could, Dr. Persing.

JUDGE HOYT: With that understanding of where we are in the transcipt on the previous testimony, ask your question now Mr. Hirsch.

BY MR. HIRSCH:

Q Dr. Persing, were you aware of the fact that in the past eight months since Mr. Bigelow of Montgomery County sent Frank Levy a copy of the contract or letter of understanding, letter of agreement, whatever it might be called, which I described to you previously, that in those eight months for whatever reason, Mr. Levy has not executed that document and returned it to Montgomery County?

A Not to my knowledge.

Q Do you have any knowledge why Mr. Levy might not have executed such document in the past eight months?

A No, I have no knowledge.

I don't know --

Q Thank you.

Would you please take a moment to describe to me --

ze-Federal Reporters, Inc.

I believe it was -- it is not clear in my mind, but you had made some reference earlier to your being on an advisory committee which is reviewing the school district plan and which, as I understood it you were going to, after the review was completed, it would be forwarded -- the proposed plan would be forwarded to the Board of Education for the school districts for their approval.

Is that correct?

A There are four people currently in disseminal preparation; myself, director of transportation, director of building grounds and a member of the administration, who have formed a type of task force, if you will, to preliminarily review the first several drafts.

These people have been working with Mr. Bigelow, Mr. Tabak, Mr. Cunnington, and anyone else who wants to provide information to them in trying to determine these drafts.

- Q Are you the head of that advisory committee, or is there a head?
- A Superintendent is always ultimately responsible, yes.
- O I recall earlier today you testified, I believe on direct examination by Mrs.Ercole, that you felt it would be -- I believe your word was prudent -- I may be mistaken -- but something along those lines -- it would be prudent for

ze-Federal Reporters, Inc.

any agreement that the school district might enter into -excuse me, not the school district, but Montgomery County
might enter into with respect to your school district,
agreement with the bus company, that such an agreement should
specify the number of buses which would be available for use
by the school district in the event that there was a need
for evacuation as a resulot of an accident at the Limerick
Generating Station.

Is that correct?

A Yes. I think to the best of my knowledge, yes.

Q In your capacity of Superintendent of the School
District, would you recommend to the Board of Education, that
they not approve a plan which contained a letter of agreement
which failed to specify a number of buses available for use
by the school district in the event of an accident at the
Limerick Generating Station?

MR. RADER: I object to the question as without foundation, unless Mr. Hirsch is willing to amend it to state what the actual letter of agreement does provide. That it provides that the bus company will provide buses to the maximum extent possible under the circumstances.

MR. HIRSCH: I will be happy to paraphrase -
JUDGE HOYT: I think that was the testimony from

Montgomery County.

BY MR. HIRSCH:

MM%

7 8

co-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Q Dr. Persing, I was referring to the language which I read to you a few moments ago, which contained the language "to the maximum extent possible" providing buses and drivers to the maximum extent possible, and I am asking you to compare that type of language with what I understood to be your preference, based on your earlier testimony that you felt it would be prudent to specify a number of buses.

And I am asking you specifically whether you, in your capacity as a member of the advisory committee, would recommend against approval by the Board of Education of the school district if the plan which the school district was considering did not contain an explicit number of buses in this type of agreement?

A In our particular school district, to say that that alone would be the reason to recommend non-approval, because we only have a few students within the EPZ, I am not so sure that I would, if that were the only reason.

But, if push came to shove, my answer to you would have to be, I couldn't recommend it unless we had the transportation.

MR. HIRSCH: Thank you. I have no further questions.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well.

Ms. Ercole, you have 30 minutes redirect examination if you wish.

MS. ERCOLE: I just have one question on redirect.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Q You had mentioned in your cross examination about this concept of a basement in a sheltering scenario.

Do you recall your statement in that regard?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

A Yes.

Q Do you recall the discussion in which that was used, was in the discussion of a prototype daycare plan as opposed to school district plan?

A It very well could have been within that context, absclutely.

MS. ERCOLE: Thank you.

I have no further questions.

JUDGE HOYT: Dr. Cole has one question.

BOARD EXAMINATION

BY JUDGE COLE:

Q Just a brief question, Dr. Persing.

Do you have a copy of Applicant's Exhibit E-61
there?

A Yes, I do.

Q The school district plan.

Could you turn to page 3 of that plan.

(Witness complying)

Several times today, sir, in response to questions, you indicated that in the event of an alert that

XXX

•

5

1

2

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

XXX

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

e-Federal Reporters, Inc

25

2

1

3

4

5

7

6

8 9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

e-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

concerns the Limerick Station, you would embark on an early dismissal program.

You recall that, don't you, sir?

A Yes, I do.

Q And you also indicated that there are some terms of art and words that were used here that you might not be exactly familiar with what they meant in the context in which they were used.

Do you recall that, sir?

A I do.

Q When you used the word "alert," were you referring to alert as it is used in Section 9(b), or were you referring instead to something else?

Were you referring to any kind of an emergency --

A I am talking about any sort of an emergency; an emergency in the sense that we might feel even in the very earliest stages, if we had enough information, we would go to early dismissal. Alert in this sense as I read it and refresh my mind, would definitely constitute an early dismissal.

JUDGE COLE: All right, sir, thank you.

I very much appreciate your interest in this plan, and appreciate your coming forward. Thank you, sir.

THE WITNESS: You are welcome.

JUDGE HOYT: Dr. Harbour has no questions; I have

2

1

4

.

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

no questions.

Thank you, sir, for your attendance at the hearing.

(Witness excused.)

JUDGE HOYT: Your next witness I believe is the Pottstown School District representative?

MS. ERCOLE: That is correct, Dr. Feich. He is here.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well.

Whereupon,

RAY FEICH

was called as a witness on behalf of Limerick Ecology Action, and having been first duly sworn was examined and testified as follows:

JUDGE HOYT: Please proceed.

Ms. Ercole, this is a witness without any prefiled testimony. Therefore, your direct examination will be limited to one and a half hours.

MS. ERCOLE: I understand.

There may be a point, Judge Hoyt, during the course of the testimony where I will have to absent myself from the proceedings. In that event Ms. Zitzer will continue with the questioning.

JUDGE HOYT: VEry well. When that becomes a problem, then Ms. Zitzer will just go ahead.

MS. ERCOLE: We have made the arrangements. Yes.

	mm9 1		JUDGE HOYT: Very well.
	2		DIRECT EXAMINATION
xxxx	3		BY MS. ERCOLE:
•		Q	Dr. Feich, by w.om are you currently employed?
	4		The Pottstown School District.
	5	A	
	6	Q	In what capacity are you so employed?
	7	А	Superintendent of Schools.
	8	Q	How long have you maintained that position?
	9	A	I am in my 15th year.
	10	Q	Haveyou brought with you today a statement of your
	11	qualificat	ions or a resume?
	12	A	No, I have not.
•	13	Q	Can you then indicate for the record, sir, where
	14	you received your undergraduate degree?	
	15	A	Albright College.
	16	Q	When did you receive it?
	17	А	1958.
	18	Q	What did you receive your degree in?
	19	A	Mathematics and physics.
	20	Q	Did you do postgraduate work?
	21	A	Yes, at Ohio University.
•	22	Q	And what was the nature of your postgraduate work?
	23	A	Masters in mathematics.
	24	Q	And when did you receive that?
Ace-Federal R	eparters, Inc.	A	1961.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

24

Did you do any other postgraduate studies?

Yes, I have a doctor of education, educational administration from Lehigh University.

- 0 When did you receive that, sir?
- 1969.
- During the course of your doctorate work on education and education administration, did you have occasion to take any courses in psychology?
 - Yes, I did.
- Is it fair to say that any of the courses that you took in psychology relate to the educational system, of teachers, what have you?
 - Yes, they did.
 - Do you have any degrees in that field?
 - No, I don't.
- Dr. Feich, you currently maintain an elected position, is that correct?
 - That is correct.
- Can you state for the record whether you are elected by the population within your school district, or whether you are elected by the School Board of Directors?
 - A I am elected by the Board of School Directors.
 - 0 How many sit on that Board?
 - A Nine.
 - And how long is your tenure until?

mm11 I am in the first year of a three-year contract. A 2 So it will be over in June of '87. 3 Can you indicate for the record today how large your school district is in terms of student population? 5 MR. RADER: If Mrs. Ercole has completed her 6 qualification of the witness by preliminary questions, may 7 I inquire on voir dire as to the jurisdictional point? 8 JUDGE HOYT: You can, counsel if she has completed 9 those? 10 MS. ERCOLE: I have. 11 Is this about the check? 12 JUDGE HOYT: I beg your pardon? 13 MS. ERCOLE: I didn't know, I was wondering if this was about the check. 15 JUDGE HOYT: Roughly. 16 MS. ERCOLE: I am sorry, I should have turned it 17 over. 18 JUDGE HOYT: Very well. It is called voir dire. 19 MS. ERCOLE: I know that. 20 JUDGE HOYT: You may go ahead. 21 MR. RADER: Thank you, your Honor. 22 VOIR DIRE 23 BY MR. RADER: 24 Dr. Feich, were you subpoenaed to this hearing? 0 Federal Reporters Yes, signed by Judge Hoyt.

mm12 2 subpoena, sir? 3 A Yes, I was. 4 Did you accept that fee, sir? 5 6 cash it. 7 8 EY MS. ERCOLE: XXXX 10 11 own free will? 12 Yes, I am. 13 14 15 the Pottstown School District? 16 K to 12, public school, 3180. 17 18 19 Station? 20 21 22 23 24 Yes, it does. 25 Can you just indicate for the record within your

Were you tendered a witness fee with that No, I didn't. I have the check but I did not MR. RADER: I understand, sir. Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed) Are you testifying here voluntarily and of your Dr. Feich, could you please indicate for the record what is the size of your student population within Can you please indicate for the record the proximity of your school district to the Limerick Generating The way the crow flies, about three miles. About four and a half miles by regular transportation. Is it fair to say then that your whole school district lies within the ten-mile Emergency Planning Zone?

mm13 school district how many elementary schools you have? 2 Five elementary schools. 3 And how many middle schools? 0 We have a junior high school, grade 7/8. A 5 And how many high schools? 6 A One high school grade 9 to 12. 7 Can you indicate, sir, in the elementary school 8 level, what is the ratio of teachers and staff to students? 9 1 to 22. A 10 And in the junior high school? 11 A 1 to 24. 12 And in the high school? 13 1 to 18. A 14 Can you indicate the total number of teachers and 0 15 staff you have for the Pottstown School District? 16 A 199. 17 Do you -- when I say "you" I am referring, of 18 course, to the school district for which you speak -- maintain 19 any bus -- contracts with any bus providers? 20 Yes. We contract with CMD Bus Company. A And for what purpose is that, sir? 22 We bus children grade --23 No, my question was for what purpose did you 0 24 contract with CMD? 25 To transport children K to 6.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Q Are these buses that you have specifically under school district contracts?

Yes. A

5

9

8

11

12

10

13

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

- Q. Do you have any school district buses that you own yourself?
 - A. Only vans of ten passengers, three of those.
 - Q. Three vans with ten passengers?
 - A. Correct and one station wagon.
- Q. Is it fair to say that the contract that you have with CMD is for transporting the children it through 6?
- A. Only if they live and have to cross High Street or Route 100. We only bus about 400 kids.
- Q. Is it fair to say that the remainder of the student population walks to and from their homes?
 - A. Either walks or takes the commercial bus system.
- Q. During the normal day-to-day school operations for which you have a contract with CMD to transport the children K through 6, can you indicate how many buses they provide?
 - A. They provide five buses and some have double runs.
 - Q. How many of the five buses have double runs?
 - A. Four.
- Q. Is it fair to say that you have no school bus drivers under the contract personally with the school district?
 - A. Only the van drivers are employees of the district.
- Q. Would it be fair to say that there are three van drivers that are employees of the district?

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

12

14

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

a Farieral Reporters

porters, Inc. 25

- A. That is correct and one driver of the stationwagon.
- Q. The three vans to which you refer, what schools do they service or are they attached to?
 - A. They service all elementary schools.
- Q During the course of your duties as the superintendent for the Pottstown School District, did you have occasion to become involved in or review the emergency planning procedures for the Pottstown School District as prepared by Energy Consultants for radiological emergencies at the Limerick Generating Station?
 - A. Yes, I have.
- Q Can you indicate, sir, who has the responsibility for the promulgation or adoption of a radiological emergency response plan for your district should it come to that?
- A. I have the responsibility of planning it. The adoption is the Board of School Directors.
 - Q. Would your signature be affixed to that document?
 - A. As a recommendation, yes.
- Q. Are you familiar with the Pottstown School District Plan?
 - A. Yes, I am.
 - Q. Do you recall specifically what draft you are on?
 - A. Draft five.
 - Q. Have you had occasion to review draft five?
 - A Yes, and we are working on draft six.

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Reporters, Inc

25

Q. Is it fair to say that since you are working on draft six, you are not prepared to adopt or promulgate draft number five?

A. That is correct.

Q On the cover of draft number five of the Pottstown School District Plan which is Applicant's Exhibit E-57 for the record, I ask you if you have a copy of that plan with you today?

A. I do not.

MS. ERCOLE: With the Board's permission may I just ask the witness to look at what would be my copy of the plan.

JUDGE HOYT: I don't think there is any objection, is there?

MR. RADER: I will make it simpler, why don't I give him a copy.

MS. ERCOLE: Thank you.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well.

BY MS. ERCOLE: (Resuming)

Q. Dr. Feich, you have before you what has been marked for identification as E-57, the Pottstown School District Draft number five, and it indicates on the face of that item that it was prepared by the Pottstown School District?

A. (Perusing document.)

That is correct.

2

5

6

7

9

11

10

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Federal Reporters In

25

JUDGE HOYT: Ms. Ercole, may I interrupt only to tell you that that has been received in evidence as Applicant's Exhibit E-57.

MS. ERCOLE: Fine.

BY MS. ERCOLE: (Resuming)

Q Can you state, sir, whether the draft plan that you have before you has been in fact prepared by your school district?

A. It has been prepared in conjunction with Energy Consultants.

Q. Can you state, sir, who did the drafting of the items?

A. The first draft was presented at my request by Mr. Cunnington and then all changes thereafter were made at my request or the request of the team that is working with me.

Q. Is it fair to say that the document in question was prepared for the Pottstown School District?

A. Yes, it was.

Q. Have you worked with any particular representative from Energy Consultants with regard to the emergency planning procedures that have led up to and including draft number five?

- A. Yes, John Cunnington.
- Q. For how long a period of time have you worked with

Mr. Cunnington?

Approximately 18 months.

During the course of the time that you have worked with Mr. Cunnington, has there been any task force set up or established by you or the school board?

Yes. We have the assistant superintendent, the business administrator, one of the principals and myself and every time we do a draft a copy goes to each building teacher representative and one to each building principal and one to each library and one to the borough.

- Q. Do you know whether there are meetings of those people that have been designated by you to receive those copies?
- The faculty are privy to it and at a faculty meeting they cover anything that is a change.
- Q. Of your group of people from the task force that reviews this, are there any members of the student body parents?
 - A. No, they are not.
- Are the faculty representatives actually members of the task force or are they just extended the copies as a matter of courtesy?
- They are extended the copies but they do have the opportunity to have any input.
 - Q. Have you, in fact, received input from the faculty

5 6

1

2

3

4

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

representatives?

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. Yes, in terms of what they feel that they should have in the way of training.

Q. During the course of your relationship with Energy Consultants, my question to you is has any representation been made to you by Mr. Cunnington or that group about the need to adopt or promulgate your school plan?

A. That is the end result that we will adopt a plan. They have not set a time line if that was the intent of your question.

Q. Have they made any representations to you if any with regard to a plan not being adopted? What if anything would happen if the plan were not adopted?

A. We haven't discussed that because we will adopt the plan.

Q. When you say "you will adopt a plan," what are you referring to?

A. Our time line is somewhere around March that we will have a plan that is at least workable and have all the bugs cleared up, hopefully.

Q. Should you feel that items which concern you are not workable, would you be setting back the deadline from March?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Can you indicate why you have set March as a

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25 mn15-7

workable deadline?

A. Only because of what I read in the paper as far as the nuclear plant plus we have other emergencies like cyanide, trucks coming through our area and after the India thing, I think we had better look at that closely. But have other emergencies and we should have an emergency plan.

Q Prior to your work and development on these particular plans, did you have emergency plans for cyanide incidents?

A. No, we did not. All we had was an emergency plan for bomb threats and like a gas leak or a fire in our schools.

- Q. You had implementing proceudres for that though?
- A. Yes, we have.
- Q Is it your position that given the implementing procedures that you had for those other emergencies, that your planning for a radiological emergency has been deeper or more intense?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q Why is that?
- A. Because you are talking about moving or possibly moving students from the actual school district. In the bomb threat or the gas leak which we had and the fire, you are only moving them from the premise.
 - Q Is it fair to say that the other exigencies or

x-Federal Reporters, Inc.

mn16-8

2

1

.

6

8

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

emergencies that you have mentioned such as fires or bomb threats do not require a sheltering scenario on the premises as well?

A. I understand the sheltering quite viv1dly because I am familiar with those terms. We shelter differently if I may be explicit. If it is a bomb threat in a senior high, we shelter in a junior high or vice-versa. If it is an elementary we shelter in a church or a community building that people permit us and we review that annually. You may ask at the junior and senior high, we shelter in the Grieg Field because there is seating for 7,000 people in our athletic stadium. The other sheltering, of course, is in sheltering and we have never sheltered in in the hallways which is one of the things that the plan calls for.

- Q. You said you have never sheltered in?
- A. In-house.
- Q. All right.
- A That is the other type of sheltering.
- Q. So is it fair to say that the concept of in-house sheltering in the school building itself is a concept new to your planning procedures?
 - A. Correct.
- Q During the course of your review of planning procedures for the Pottstown School District, is it fair to say that you have considered the situation with the private

R

s-Faderal Reporters, Inc.

schools within your school district?

- A. We work with them jointly actually.
- Q Can you state whether your school district will be responsible for the private schools within your district boundaries for purposes of evacuation?
- A. I will not be responsible for the non-public schools.
 We only work jointly in preparing separate plans.
- Q. Is it fair to say that you will be providing only back-up notification services to them in lieu of assuming responsibility?
 - A. That is correct. We are secondary notice to them.
 - Q. What is that, sir?

MR. RADER: I object to this line of questioning.

Adequate notification to private school in the event of an emergency is not among the admitted contentions.

MS. ERCOLE: I am not asking the question -- I am not going into back-up notification procedures. I am asking him why he has relegated his role to back-up notification will not be providing transportation resources for the private schools.

MR. RADER: I also object to the characterization by counsel that this witness has relegated anything to anybody. That is also not in the record.

JUDGE HOYT: Counsel, will you please reask the question. The objection will be sustained.

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Faderal Reporters, In

25

BY MS. ERCOLE: (Resuming)

Q. Dr. Feich, you have indicated that you would be providing only back-up notification services to the private schools. Did I state that correctly?

MR. RADER: May I ask that counsel refer to the school district. We ran into this problem before. I know it is inadvertent, counsel, but with the reference to "you" the record is never clear as to what is intending and I think counsel means to refer to the superintendent school district rather than he personally.

MS. ERCOLE: I think I said that at the beginning.

MR. RADER: I don't believe you did.

JUDGE HOYT: Please be as specific as you can and it will eliminate problems later on.

BY MS. ERCOLE: (Resuming)

- Q. Dr. Feich, as superintendent of the school district for Pottstown, you have stated that the district would be providing only back-up notification services to the private schools. Did I correctly characterize your testimony?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q Will you be providing direct transportation resources for them?
 - A. No, I will not.
- Q. Will you be coordinating direct transportation resources for them?

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Federal Reporters Inc

25

A. No, I will not.

Q Can you state why not, sir?

MR. RADER: Objection, irrelevant.

JUDGE HOYT: Overruled. Answer the question, please.

THE WITNESS: My role with the Board and with the understanding and approval of the Board is that I will serve the seven elementary, junior and senior high school buildings and have a plan for their consideration in the event of an evacuation. That will be my role just those public school children.

BY MS. ERCOLE: (Resuming)

- Q My question to you is why are you assuming that role?
 - A. I feel that is enough responsbility.
- Q. When you say "enough responsibility" you mean in terms of providing direct transportation resources for only the public schools?
- A. Just in providing the leadership during that kind of an emergency.
- Q. Does that include providing the transportation resources?
- A I will not be providing transportation. I will only be coordinating whatever the county does in the area of transportation.
 - Q. You have indicated that you will be coordinating with

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

2

e-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

the private schools, is that correct?

- A. When I say coordinating, only the public school transportation will I coordinate. The non-public school will do their own transportation and coordination.
- Q Is it fair to say that you do not have the capabilities to provide direct transportation resources for the private schools within your district?
- A. True. We contract what transportation we do have short of the vans.
- Q. Have you reviewed your position in that regard as superintendent of the Pottstown School District with Mr. Bigelow?
 - A. Yes, during a workshop on September 14th.
 - Q. What if any was his position in that regard?
- A. He understands that he will coordinate all transportation for Pottstown as well as the non-public schools.
- Q. Have you had any discussions with representatives from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with regard to your position as a superintendent on this?
 - A. No, I have not.
- Q. Can you state, sir, what if any is your intention to invoke an early dismissal policy at the alert stage?
- A. We have had a workshop since draft five with the Board. The Board was completely involved in the discussion of

mn16-13

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

draft five and if time permits, we will have early dismissal. We can dismiss our children and get them to their homes within the hour. We are only five square miles in Pottstown.

- When you say "if you can coordinate it" or effectuate it, at what stage are you contemplating this, sir?
- Not the unusual event. It would be your alert or site emergency.
- When you reviewed this with the Board during your workshop, can you say whether they were in concensus?
 - They were in concensus.
- Can you state for the record, sir, why you would seek an early dismissal?
- Because the next stage could be sheltering in-house or evacuation and I do not believe in the in-house sheltering unless it is the only direction you can take. The other reason is the evacuation of our community is not to the same direction as our school children and I think that if you can have kids and parents travel the same path and be together, it is a much better situation.
- Can you indicate, sir, why as you have stated you do not believe in sheltering in-house?
- When you have to close windows and put say at the senior high school between 900 and 1,000 kids in hallways away from your windows out of the classroom, I would not want

mn16-14

1 2

3

5

6

8

7

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

s Inc

25

to do that for two hours.

- Q. Why not, sir?
- A I think the size of the group to monitor for two hours would be just a bad situation.
- Q. You have indicated that as far as the high school is concerned?
- A. The high school would be my main concern because there is your largest population. It wouldn't be as bad size-wise in a junior high which only houses 460 and there is no elementary school much larger than 400.
- Q. Would you see any concerns with regard to the inhouse sheltering of elementary school children?
 - A. It could be done but again I would not prefer it.
 - Q. Why not, sir?
- A. I just feel that rather than keep them in the building, I would rather either have them with their parents or in a place where it is safe other than the host school.
- Q Have any of the public school buildings within your district been evaluated for purposes of sheltering?
 - A. Yes, under the CD program in the late 1960's.
 - Q. The late 1960's.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. When you say "CD" are you referring to Civil Defense.
 - A. Civil Defense, yes.

mn16-15

- Q Has there been anything done further in the last 20-some years?
- A. No. They designated certain buildings as Civil
 Defense centers and all the food, et cetera, has since been
 thrown away. The water has turned black and all the food
 has just gotten to the point where they could not use it.
- Q Have you prepared a statement of findings or a report at this stage which would reflect the school district's position on the draft number five?
- A. Draft five reflects our opinion. The Board has reviewed it in a three-hour workshop on September 14th and we will have another workshop in early January.
- Q. Will that be similar to the one you recently conducted?
 - A. With some correction.
- Q. At some point before the plan is submitted for any final review by the Board, do you anticipate any input from parents?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Could you please describe for the Board what if any you envision?
- A. Following a meeting next week with the non-public school administrators, we will make some assessments of the last training session. They we are going to put draft six together, go to the respective bodies, then go to the public

mn16-16

for a community meeting jointly and then go back to the Board with any input.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Q	For	what	purpose	are	you	seeking	parental
input thre	ough a	publ	lic meeti	ing?			

A Basically to bring them up to date to what we have regarding an evacuation plan.

Q Can you state whether the public input from parents within the school district would have any impact on the Board's adoption of the plan?

A Sure would.

Q Have you had occasion, during the course of your review of the school district needs, have you submitted unmet needs or passed them on to the county level?

A I have incorporated them in the drafts, and
I have written a letter to Mr. Bigelow about the telephone
system, the radio system, and also adequacy of busses.

Q What, if anything, have you stated to Mr. Bigelow with regard to the question of the adequacy of busses?

A The training session we had on November 20th, after it was all done no one got back to us whether or not there would have been sufficient busses following that drill session or training session, whatever they referred it to.

And I still haven't gotten an answer on that.

Q When you say November 20th, you mean

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

November 20th, 1984?

A Correct.

Q Who was the request transmitted to?

A To Mr. Bigelow. I have received a reply regarding the telephones, and the phones. If I may, we have gotten written confirmation that we will get private telephones installed and also the phone jacks that are RACES, the radio access people can come in and plug in and give us immediate communications.

- Q Will that be installed and provided by PECO?
- A Correct.
- Q Can you please indicate what you transmitted or related to Mr. Bigelow and the county with regard to the questions you had on the sufficiency of busses?

A I asked specifically on that drill which we did not -- we reported back and forth our enrollment, and our specific needs. But I asked specifically if there would have been busses available that day for evacuation; since that was never transmitted to me, I wanted to know that.

- Q And you are still waiting for a reply as of this time?
 - A Correct.
- Q I would like to draw your attention again to the Pottstown School District plan, Applicant's Exhibit E-57.

 I would draw your attention specifically to A-3-23, captioned

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

at the top of the page, Resources Required for Evacuation.

I have that.

It indicates under Facilities, Senior High, unmet needs, 15; Junior High, unmet needs, 7. And then it lists for the five elementary schools, what their needs are: Respectively, Edgewood Elementary, zero; Franklin Elementary, four; Lincoln Elementary, six; Rupert Elementary, zero.

Is it fair to state that those resources that are reflected on A-3-23 accurately reflect your status of unmet needs as you reported them to the county?

Yes, they do.

Is it fair to say that the response you are waiting for from the county deals with the unmet needs that I have just delineated?

Yes. I wanted to know whether or not these ummet needs would have been met on that particular training day.

Have you had occasion in your dealings or meetings with Montgomery County Office of Emergency Preparedness and/or Mr. Bigelow to review with him the draft of the Montgomery County plan?

A Yes.

MS. ERCOLE: I would like to hand to the witness, if I may, what has been marked as Applicant's Exhibit E-3,

draft number seven of the Montgomery County plan. 1 2 Do you have an extra copy? 3 MR. RADER: I'm sorry. MR. ERCOLE: May we impose upon Applicant to 5 provide another copy? 6 Phyllis, do you want to show it to Mr. Rader? 7 BY MS. ERCOLE: 8 Dr. Feich, I believe the document that has 9 been tendered to you is captioned Montgomery County 10 Radiological Emergency Response Plan, Draft Number 7, 11 October of 1984. 12 Do you have that item? 13 A Yes, I do. 14 I would draw your attention to page I-3-9. 15 Do you have that page in front of you? 16 A Yes, I do. 17 I would draw your attention to Section D where 18 it says Pottstown School District. 19 A Yes. 20 It reflects Pottstown Senior High School. Do 21 you see that? 22 A Yes. 23 And under that it reflects busses, number 15. 24 A Yes.

Does that reflect to you that those are the 15

busses that are the unmet need busses?

2

That is correct.

3

And were you aware that the assignment for those 15 busses was the Wissahicken School District?

5

A No, I am not.

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

was aware of that.

MR. RADER: The point is, the plan says whatever

On page I-3-9, I was asking him whether he

MR. RADER: Objection. I realize that was a bit late because Mr. Feich answered quickly, but I believe the testimony of Mr. Bigelow was guite clear, that the use of a school bus provider under that heading and also under Annex I, as relates to assignment, was only a working document and did not, in fact, reflect that that actual assignment had been made.

In fact, I believe Mr. Bigelow testified that all assignments would be made at the time of an emergency.

So I don't believe that Ms. Ercole's characterization of it from this document is accurate.

MS. ERCOLE: What I am asking the witness to do is to just -- I asked him whether he was aware that, as reflected in the county plan, the 15 busses earmarked for the Pottstown Senior High School listed under assignment was the Wissahicken School District.

3

5

6

7

8

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc it says. Mr. Bigelow explained what that word meant.

This witness cannot explain to us what Mr. Bigelow

meant when he entered that word in the plan.

JUDGE HOYT: But he can explain what he understands it to mean, Mr. Rader.

MR. RADER: He may explain what he understands,
I agree. But I think Mrs. Ercole's question assumed
that it meant that those busses had, in fact, been
assigned to that school.

JUDGE HOYT: Ms. Frcole, he may testify as to what he understands.

MS. ERCOLE: That's right.

JUDGE HOYT: That is all that he may testify to.

THE WITNESS: Are you asking me of I saw this in writing before?

MS. ERCOLE: Yes.

THE WITNESS: I did not because when our plan came through they were all blacked out where we would get the busses.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Q Did you ask Mr. Bigelow whether you could have the names of the bus providers that would be providing busses to Pottstown School District?

A That question was asked at a workshop. And the reply was, the first time they did this, parents or

other individuals would call that school district where we were getting the busses, and then get ahold of the bus drivers and kind of harrass them to find our if they, in fact, would drive a bus in an emergency.

Therefore, he does not release that -- would not release that information to us of where we were going to get our busses.

He assured us we would have busses.

Is it fair to say then that prior to looking at draft 7, which was just handed to you a few moments ago, you did not know that assignment Wissahicken School District was for 15 busses Pottstown Senior High School?

MR. RADER: I have the same objection. Mrs. Ercole continues to insist that this assignment has been made when the testimony was clear that it was not. There is no foundation for that.

MS. ERCOLE: I am just reading, ma'am, what is listed here in the county plan.

JUDGE HOYT: I believe, Miss Ercole, counsel is correct is what he is saying about these figures.

Let's see if we can't get on a question that you can test this witness' knowledge about.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

I would like you to look at subsection 3,

24

21

22

23

25

1 Elizabeth B. Barth Elementary School. 2 Do you see that? 3 A Yes, I do. Do you see where it reflects three busses? 5 Yes, I do. Assignment North Penn School District? 0 7 A Yes. Prior to reading that item today, did you 9 have any knowledge of that? 10 No. I did not. 11 JUDGE HOYT: Why can't we just ask this witness if he has any knowledge at all about Montgomery 12 13 County plan bus assignments? 14 I don't think he has seen this document before. 15 MS. ERCOLE: He said that he has, ma'am. 16 THE WITNESS: I said I was aware of a 17 county plan. I have not seen this plan. 18 JUDGE HOYT: Just a moment. 19 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Judge. 20 JUDGE HOYT: Very well. 21 MS. ERCOLE: I misunderstood him, Judge. 22 I apologize for that. 23 JUDGE HOYT: All right. If we can get some 24 testimony from this witness about his plan, I am just 25 not thinking that we are on a wavelength here that this

witness is going to give us very much testimony about.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Q Is it fair to say that prior to coming here today, you did not know who the bus providers would be for the Pottstown School District?

A That's correct.

Q Have you requested that -- have you made that request to Mr. Bigelow?

A Not to date.

Q Do you intend to make that request?

A I plan to.

Q Why is that, sir?

A The same reason I plan to get the letter from the host school that they will in fact host us.

I now have that in possession. Before the plan is adopted, I will request where we are getting the busses before I present it to the board.

Q Have you had occasion -- strike that.

You have indicated that you have had a school district contract with CMD Bus Services.

A Correct.

Q Is it fair to say that CMD has provided busses to Pottstown School District for normal day-to-day operations of the school?

A Yes, they have.

MS. ERCOLE: I would like to hand the witness what has been marked previously as LEA Exhibit E-8.

If you could just show that to Applicant.

(Counsel distributes document to witness.)

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Q I have tendered to you, Dr. Feich, what is captioned Letter of Understanding, Agreement between the Montgomery County Office of Emergency Preparedness and CMD Services.

Do you have that item?

JUDGE HOYT: Get to that microphone.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Q I have tendered to you what has been marked for identification as Letter of Understanding, Agreement between Montgomery County Office of Emergency Preparedness and CMD Services.

Do you have that item?

A Yes, I do.

Q Prior to today, Dr. Feich, had you seen this document?

A No. I had not.

Q And can you indicate why you have not?

MR. RADER: I object. That calls for speculation.

MS. ERCOLE: If he knows.

24 Are-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

MR. RADER: How can he know why he hasn't seen a document? I object, your Honor.

JUDGE HOYT: Miss Ercole, I don't think that, as I look at LEA Exhibit E-8, that there is any way that this particular document may have come into this witness' custody or control or knowledge.

MS. ERCOLE: I think, if I might, I will rephrase it.

JUDGE HOYT: All right. Try again then. BY MS. ERCOLE:

Q You had testified that you had not seen this document prior to today.

A That is correct.

Q Had you discussed with Mr. Bigelow the nature of the contracts or letters of understanding that would be involved between the county and the bus providers as it pertained to your school district?

A Only that he has them. I did not see them or discuss them in any more detail than that.

Q And when you said that he had them, can you indicate --

A I was going to say. He indicated he did work with CMD and some private contractors and other school districts, but I have not had any knowledge which other school districts.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

19

20

21

22

23

I knew, in fact, he had worked with CMD.

Q Did he make any representation to you with regard to the North Penn School District or the Wissahicken School District?

- A No. He has not.
- Q Having looked at this item today, which I have just tendered to you, will you make a request to Mr. Bigelow to forward same to you?
 - A Before the plan is adopted, yes.
 - Q And why is that, sir?

A It would make our plan complete, that we not only have the phones and the communication, that we do, in fact, have the busses to have adequate transportation, and that would be treated confidentially, incidentally.

Q Prior to today, had you made such a request to Mr. Bigelow for your review of those letters of agreement?

A No, I have not.

Q The contract that you have -- the letter of understanding that you have before you reflects that busses and drivers are to be provided to the maximum extent possible.

Do you see that language?

- A Yes, I do.
- Q Can you state whether you are satisfied or not

24 ce-Federal Reporters, Inc

in terms of whether that guarantees your school district a minimum number of busses?

A That is the key, "minimum," because they only provide four or five now. And I don't think that will be a problem. In the past it has not been.

Q And with regard to the other contracts with the other bus providers for your school district --

A We have no other contracts. If you recall, we are mostly walkers.

Q With the other contracts -- with the other letters of understanding that you are requesting Mr. Bigelow to forward to you for purposes of review, my question to you is, for the 15 busses earmarked for Pottstown Senior High School, could you please indicate whether you are satisfied or not with the language "maximum extent possible"?

MR. RADER: I object. That question is loaded with premises, and it is entirely unclear to me as to what is being asked.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Q You have seen the language for the letter of understanding before you?

JUDGE HOYT: The objection is sustained.

Go ahead.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Ace-Pederal Reporters, Inc.

3

5

6

9

8

11

12

10

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

x-Federal Reporters, I

Mr. Bigelow to forward to you any other letters of understanding with the bus providers.

Is that correct?

Q You have indicated that you would request

A Correct.

Q Okay.

And you believe that that is essential before any plan is approved by your district?

A That is correct.

Q If the letter of understanding that is forwarded to you by Mr. Bigelow for the other providers uses the language as it is used here, "maximum extent possible," can you indicate whether that would meet your satisfaction?

A I would ask that he would state that he will provide, if it is 15 for the senior high or 55 for the total district, that that is what he would tell me he has. And he has done that orally.

Q And is it your position that you would request that that would be stated in the written agreement?

A That is correct.

Q And when you state that the minimum number of busses be provided in the written agreement, can you state why you would want that, sir?

A Because it is supposed to be evacuation on a

2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ideral Reporters, Inc

25

one-run basis, not double running.

So the minimum busses for our district should be there, if we are going to evacuate on one run.

Q Is it fair to say that you would not recommend to your school board approval of any such draft plan unless those letters of understanding provided for the minimum number of busses?

A That is correct.

Q Is it fair to say that you would not recommend adoption of your plan to the school board unless the bus providers were identified, at least to you?

A At least to me, correct.

Q Have you had occasion during the course of you duties as a school superintendent to survey or be aware of any surveys for commercial bus drivers that would be providing services to your district?

A Only through Mr. Bigelow, that he, in fact, did contact bus companies and drivers to see about their availability.

Q And did he forward to you any statistics or data on that?

A Not in writing.

Q And the representation Mr. Bigelow made to you in that regard was what?

A That he has not only enough busses, he has almost

double the number of busses needed for Pottstown in the event of an evacuation.

Q With regard to drivers?

A Same thing.

Q And those were his representations, double the number of busses and double the number of drivers?

A Almost double the number of busses. That was at a board workshop, he made that statement.

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ace Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

Q And that was the one you referred to just a few moments ago?

A September 14th.

Q Was that the one that was also attended by the non-public schools within your district?

A The non-public school administrators and the total Board and total administrators of the Pottstown School District.

Q Have you, yourself, as a school district conducted any such surveys?

A No, we have not.

Q Have you discussed with Mr. Bigelow whether the bus drivers that the county allegedly has surveyed, had been told or informed of their Limerick Assignments?

MR. RADER: I object to the form of the question.

The counsel said "allegedly." I think Mr. Bigelow testified quite clearly as to the extent of that survey. I don't think there is any allegations?

JUDGE HOYT: Ms. Ercole?

MS. ERCOLE: I believe that Mr. Bigelow's survey was for providers and not drivers, if I am not mistaken.

MR. RADER: It was for both.

MS. ERCOLE: In any event, if I can have the question stand, I will withdraw "allegedly," so we can move on.

JUDGE HOYT: Well, let's just take out the

1

3

4

6

8

7

9

11

12

14

16

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"allegedly" and move along.

MS. ERCOLE: Fine.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

- Q Do you remember my question?
- A No, I do not.
- Q . Have you received any results of any surveys with regard to drivers as done by the county?
 - A No, I have not.
- Q Would you request any such information before the plan for your school district is recommended to the Board?
- A All I need is a letter in writing from Mr. Bigelow who is in charge, that he does in fact have the buses and the drivers:

I will not ask for a list of drivers.

- Q With regard to your earlier testimony that you would request that the minimum number be provided in the letter of understanding, is your position still the same on that?
 - A Surely.
 - Q With regard to the bus company itself?
 - A What do you mean "with regard to the bus company"?
 - Q With megard to the bus provider?
 - A I don't understand your question.
- Q With the letter of understanding, is your position still the same that you would request in the letter of

nun 3

2 3

1

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

understanding with the bus company provider, that the minimum number be so stated in that letter?

- Yes. You are referring to the letter --
- That's right.
- -- that's before me here.

It wouldn't be from the bus company per se, it would be through Mr. Bigelow.

Right.

But with regard to the same letter of understanding, would you also request that a minimum number of drivers be identified? Not by name, but --

A I assume if they have the buses available, that they will have drivers to drive them. That's what I am saying. I don't want the drivers' names, I just them to tell they have 15 buses and 15 drivers.

- And would you want that still reflected in that letter of understanding?
 - A Surely.
- Okay. Have you requested, or do you intend to request of Mr. Bigelow that those bus drivers be informed of their Limerick assignment?

Again I would assume that's an arrangement he would make.

I won't request that he tells them it's a Limerick assignment. That's his job.

8 9

Q Do you believe that it is critical for the bus drivers to know that they would be going to Pottstown Senior High School as opposed to another school on the outer fringes of the Emergency Planning Zone?

A I would assume that is between the bus company and the drivers as far as how they are assigned. I have no feeling about, that I have to how that they were notified of their driving assignment. That will not be part of my requirement in order to present it to the Board for adoption.

Q Have you made any request to Mr. Bigelow that there be backup buses for the Pottstown School District?

A Not a request, per se. He has told us that there are backup buses. Therefore, I did not request that. And if he does give me the minimum buses, that is all I can ask.

Q During the course of your duties as a superintendent with the Pottstown School District, did you have occasion to survey your teachers and staff with regard to their participation during a radiological emergency?

- A Yes, I have, in writing.
- Q And can you indicate when that was done?
- A In this semester. Approximately late September, early October.
- Q Can you indicate whether that survey that you have so conducted, addressed the question of teacher

responsibility or willingness during a sheltering scenario?

A Yes, it did.

Q And did it also address the issue of a willingness to stay during an evacuation scenario?

A Yes, it did.

Q Can you indicate the total number of -- strike that.

Was the total number of teachers and staff that were surveyed, the 199 which is your --

A Correct.

Q And will you please indicate how many responses you received from that survey?

A More than 75 percent. I would say around 160.

Q You are saying 75 percent, or roughly 160?

A Correct.

Q And of the 160 teachers/staff that so responded, can you indicate what percentage of that indicated a willingness to evacuate with the students?

A Well, see some indicated they would remain and work with children in the pickup point. We will permit parents to come to a pickup point prior to bus arrival.

If a parent or guardian is not there by the time the buses arrive, then they will go onto the bus. That is written into our plan, or at least it will be in Draft 6.

We have sufficient staff -- most of the staff,

Ace Federal Reporters, Inc

25

incidentally, would rather remain with the kids to the pickup and then they are evacuated onto the buses. However, we have approximately 60 teachers that would go onto the buses.

- Q Now when you say of the 60 teachers that would go onto the buses, that is approximately what, 20 percent?
 - A No, 60 would be almost a third. 30 percent.
- Q Can you -- is it fair to say that the other 70 percent would then leave the school?
 - A Only after evacuation.
- Q When you say after evacuation, that means only after the children are placed on the buses?
- A Correct. After the building is cleared, then they could leave the school.
- Q Did you -- of the 30 teachers that indicated that they would then remain and escort the children to the host school.

JUDGE HCYT: I believe that is 30 percent, isn't it?

MS. ERCOLE: Didn't I say that?

JUDGE HOYT: I heard 30, but not 30 percent.

MS. ERCOLE: I'm sorry.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Q Of the 30 percent that would indicate that they would accompany the children and evacuate with them to the host school, could you indicate what proportion of that 30

24 Ace-Faderal Reporters, Inc. 25

23

percent was from the elementary schools?

- A The majority were elementary teachers.
- Q And do you have that broken down into statistics or percentages in terms of --
- A Not with me, but I have a breakdown per building.

 I know I have sufficient staff for one staff

 member per bus to go to the host school. One staff per bus.
 - Q How many students will be bused --
 - A All 3100.
 - Q Per vehicle, how many students?
- A Using the 50 average on secondary buses, and 60 for elementary.
- Q Do you have any preassignment of teachers wherein the elementary school teachers would remain with elementary school children?
- A What we have at this point are, the principals know who wants to remain and who will go on the buses. We have not actually assigned teachers on a particular bus. We have not gotten to that point. We will before the draft is adopted. And that will be done annually like we do with the bomb threat assignments for teachers, where they go in those cases.
- Q And is that assignment based upon the 30 percent of those teachers that indicated that they would so evacuate?

A That's correct.

Of the 30 percent of the teachers that indicated that they would evacuate with the children, do you know how many of them live within the Emergency Planning Zone?

A Most people within our school district live within the EPZ.

Q Would it be fair to say that it would be 100 percent?

A No, we have some from Reading, Abington, Orwigsburg, believe it or not. That is near Pottsville.

Q Are you able to give a percentage of those teachers that live within the Emergency Planning Zone?

A It would strictly be a guess. I would say at least 80 percent.

Q Of the teachers that had responded to your survey, can you indicate what percentage of those teachers indicated a willingness to remain in school sheltering scenario?

A We did not ask them if they would stay the two hours as referred to as the maximum shelter. That was not a question on the survey.

Q Is it fair to say that the issue of in-house sheltering was not addressed on that survey?

A That's correct.

We are going to address that with the student body prior to any adoption, so again they will know why they

Los-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Pederal Reporters, Inc.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Federal Reporters, Inc.

2.5

would be housed in the hallways, or wherever we put them in the case of sheltering inhouse.

Q Has the school district made any provisions for the reunification of the teachers with their families after an evacuation?

A No, we have not.

Q Is it fair to say that the school teachers and staff that will accompany the students in an evacuation will remain with them until all the parents pick up the children at the host school?

A They will remain there. In fact, the 8 o'clock does not pertain to our district, because the Emmaeus School District -- really East Penn Senior High School is a mass care center, so we will not have to move our kids beyond that point.

Q In the survey that you distributed to the teachers, did you ask the question of their willingness to stay with the students at a mass care center --

A No, I have not. I just feel in an emergency that will be a natural response.

We will address, that incidentally, but I have not asked them.

Q And when you say you will address it, how will you address it?

A We are planning an in-service program on this

mm10

2

3

1

5

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

evacuation plan February the 15th, if that is a Friday, and we are spending several hours on this plan, updating people, some training session.

Is it fair to say that the school district has not addressed the concerns of sheltering, as far as its teachers and staff are concerned?

Well, we have addressed sheltering at the host school. We have not --

No, I mean inhouse shelter.

Inhouse shelter. See, there are two kinds. When they use sheltering in the plan, it is really inhouse as well as external.

I am referring to the inhouse shelter.

We have not.

And is there a reason why it has not been so addressed?

We had that incorporated in our plan, and Draft 6 will reflect a few changes. We do want to, first of all, orientate the faculty, then go to the student body and have a drill on that, tell them why they are going to go from their classroom to hallway and what could happen in case this would have to be used.

In a sheltering situation, do you believe that the student/staff ratio should be decreased?

MR. RADER: I object to the form of the question.

1

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Decreased from what or to what?

MS. ERCOLE: I will rephrase it.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

You had indicated earlier in your testimony that for accompanying the students on the buses, it was sufficient to have a student/staff ratio of either 1 to 50 or 1 to 60.

Is that a fair characterization of your testimony?

- That's correct.
- In an inhouse sheltering scenario, do you believe that that ratio should be increased? That is to say the number of staff to students.

Well, you had asked me for staff ratios, and I gave you classroom staff ratios.

When you talk about inhouse, then you also have availability of nurses, guidance counsellors, librarians, and it is automatically increased.

Decreased, as far as students per adult.

- Do you maintain that there is more of a necessity to have a larger staff-to-student ratio in a sheltering scenario than it is on the evacuation of the buses?
- I think it would be advantageous to have more staff available, yes.
 - And why is that?
- The problems that you may encounter with a large contingency in a hallway, if that is where you are going to

put them.

Q And can you indicate whether -- can you break that down in terms of perhaps potential disruption or confusion problems with regard to the three levels of --

MR. RADER: I object. That is a leading question.

JUDGE HOYT: I agree that is correct, Ms. Ercole.

BY MS. ERCOLE:

Q Can you characterize what you mean by potential problems in the hallway?

A If we were to put 900 students in the hallways of a senior high school, which is roughly 12 feet wide, as opposed to having a 24 by 24 classroom of 25, I think you should have more adult supervision.

Elementary--of course you would, as I said, because you are going to have the itinerant staff available. The elementary level, since they are used to the bomb threats or whatever type of emergency we have of adhering to directions by the teachers, I don't see that as a real major problem.

We have a better control of students in emergencies than most groups.

Q Do you see any need in an elementary school situation -- strike that.

Do you see any need with the elementary school population in an inhouse sheltering situation, to have the younger children in the company of the teachers that they

know?

2

1

3

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

It is helpful, yes, because they are used to a 1 to 24, 25 contact.

Would you envision any problems if that is not the case?

No, because the other teachers available would see them, like a Title I teacher or a librarian or a nurse. They would be familiar with them.

And have those people been surveyed to determine their willingness to remain?

- Yes, they have. Yes.
- In an inhouse sheltering scenario.

We didn't talk about inhouse. As I said, it was strictly evacuation of the host school. We never asked it.

The reason we didn't, they are automatically going to stay for an inhouse. That is part of the responsibility. I just don't believe anyone that is teaching and is in their job up until the quitting time will leave the building. Their responsibility is their job.

Q With regard to the teachers that did not indicate a willingness to remain and to evacuate with the students, were those individuals that you surveyed certified teachers?

- They are all certified teachers.
- And would you characterize them as reasonable adults?

A14

A14 mm14

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A Yes, yes.

Q And would you characterize them as good teachers?

A Yes.

Q Did the teachers that indicated that they did not have a willingness to remain, make comments on your survey in terms of what their concerns were?

A Yes. Some would want to fulfill a responsibility as far as evacuation, and then join their families.

And in our plan, at least Draft 6, will be that we will let single-parent family adult members of our staff leave first. Pregnancy, of course, would leave too, any pregnant teacher, or pregnant student. We may have them dismissed early, too.

Q Do you have any children in the Pottstown School District that are close to the preschool age?

That is to say, that are four or five years of age.

A We don't operate a program in the public school setting, no.

Q Is it fair to say that the children that are in your kindergarten are five years of age?

A They must be five by December 1. We don't call those preschool, though.

O I understand that.

A Just so you don't think I didn't answer the question properly.

24
Ace Federal Reporters, Inc.
end T18
25

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

nations

- Q. So is it fair to say then that you do have children in your kindergarten classes that are four years old.
 - A. No. They are four up until December 1.
 - Q. That is my question.
 - A. Yes. As of today, they are not.
- Q. Is it fair to say that there are children in preschool facilities that are four years of age?
 - A. In preschool?
 - Q. That is correct.
- A. There are in Pottstown. There is a daycare center but not run by the public schools.
 - Q I understand that.
 - A. Fine.
- Q. But it is fair to say that those children in those other facilities are four years of age, is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Are you familiar of the concept of selective evacuation?
- A. In the sense of pregnancy and single parent families if that is what you are referring to, yes.
- Q Are you familiar with the concept of selective evacuation as it pertains to young children because of their susceptibility to radioactivity?
 - A. Yes, I am.
 - Q. Have you discussed with Energy Consultants or

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

any of the emergency planners concerns if any you would have with regard to those kindergarten children that are four years of age?

A. You are assuming the accident if happened would have to be between September and December.

Q. Yes.

A. We haven't discussed that specifically because we are talking about a one pick-up. They would be transported the same as everybody else.

Q You have made provisions, have you not, for a selective evacuation of pregnant teachers?

A. That is in draft six that we just completed.

Q Would you make any recommendations for a selective evacuation of those that are under five years of age?

MR. RADER: I object to this line of questioning,
Your Honor. I don't recall any contention dealing with the
adequacy of means for selective evacuation.

JUDGE HOYT: I believe we are going beyond the scope as I understand your contention.

MS. ERCOLE: This is just my last question if he would make any such recommendations.

JUDGE HOYT: I still think it is beyond the scope.

It doesn't matter whether it is the last question or the first question if the question is beyond the scope.

mn19-3

MS. ERCOLE: My concern was with the willingness of the teachers to stay if they had had a child who technically was not a preschool child in the sense that the child was in a facility but was chronologically a young child for purposes of a selective evacuation situation.

JUDGE HOYT: Do you want to respond, Mr. Rader?

MR. RADER: I don't recall that as being part of
the contention but if it is that narrow, let's ask the
question and be done with it.

JUDGE HOYT: I think we have gotten beyond the scope but I am not at all certain that it would hurt the record to have one more superfluous piece of information on it.

MR. RADER: I agree.

JUDGE HOYT: Let's have the answer if you know, Dr. Feich.

THE WITNESS: No. I would not make any special evacuation procedures.

BY MS. ERCOLE: (Resuming)

- Q Is it fair to say that you would not make that recommendation knowing their susceptibility to radiation?
- A. Not for that differential of three months. No,

 I would not. Half of the kindergarten wouldn't even be

 in school and of the ones born in that three months, you

 don't have a large contingency so I think that they would be

2

1

3

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

aderal Reporters

25

moved the same as the other first graders. You are kind of splitting hairs when you think of someone four years and nine months versus five years and one month or something.

- Q I would like to draw your attention to the Pottstown .
 School District plan again which is Applicant's Exhibit E-57 and I draw your attention to page 10.
 - A. (Perusing document.)
 - Q Do you have page 10?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Specifically I would draw your attention to paragraph subsection three.
 - A. (Perusing document.)
 Yes.
 - Q. Have you had a chance to read that paragraph?
- A. Yes, I have. That will be changed in draft six after that workshop.
- Q Can you state in what way it will be changed and why it will be changed?
- A. It will basically state if time permits we will have early dismissal of the children to their homes. That is the general paraphrasing of that change.
- Q. Can you state what it means in draft five when it says "unless preempted by parental notification from the district?"
 - A. We have a communications system with the parents as

2

1

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

far as a school number and, of course, in Pottstown we can talk about schools and we do notify them by that communication whether or not we are dismiss at regular time or at different times.

- But when you refer here to "unless preempted by parental notification from the district," is that to say the district would give an order?
- If danger were eminent as you referred to earlier, we may not have time to have a dismissal that we could actually get kids home. We may have to say they will be evacuated and announced as such. We need an hour in order to have every kid walk home in our district.
- With regard to your concept of early dismissal and when you revise paragraph three under subheading (f), do you intend to retain the phrase unless preempted by parental notification from the district?
 - A. Probably not.

MS. ERCOLE: May I have a moment, Judge Hoyt? JUDGE HOYT: Yes.

(Counsel for LEA conferring off the record.)

MS. ERCOLE: If I may have a minute or two, I will have to absent myself from the proceedings. I am 40 minutes late as it stands and Ms. Zitzer will be just completing the rest of the questioning for the remaining 18 minutes and I am advised that it may not take that long.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1

XXXXXX

XXXXXX

12

13

16 17

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

JUDGE HOYT: Very well. If you wish to exit now, Ms. Ercole, go ahead and the Board will take this opportunity to have a very brief recess. Thank you.

(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)

JUDGE HOYT: The hearing will come to order. All the parties are again present and the witness is on the stand. Sir, you are still under oath. We have an indication that Ms. Zitzer will complete the direct examination of this witness. Please proceed.

MS. ZITZER: Thank you.

BY MS. ZITZER:

Dr. Feich, do you believe that it would be helpful for you to conduct early dismissal tests?

A. We do early dismissals as a matter of routine like for early dismissal for inclement weather. As I mentioned we had a boiler breakdown and we had a gas leak, a bomb threat so we have already had those drills. It is an ongoing thing. We have already had those drills. We almost had one today because of the weather.

Has your concern about the availability of buses had any impact on your recommendation to pursue the early dismissal procedure for your school district?

No. That is not the reason. To reiterate I mentioned that the parents are going one direction and the kids are going another direction. I just feel that it would be

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

better if the family could go in tact.

Is it fair to say that the adequacy of the school district evacuation plan depends on the interrelationship between the adequacy of the local Pottstown Borough Plan and the local municipal plans and the county plans as well?

MR. RADER: Objection. That calls for matters beyond the scope of this witness. There has been no foundation laid that the witness is familiar with or has responsibility for those other plans.

MS. ZITZER: I am talking primarily about the Pottstown Borough plan, for example.

JUDGE HOYT: Let's see if he knows. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: I was in the initial planning with the borough when Sam Ely lived so within the groundwork of that particular plan. The answer to your question though is I don't think the Pottstown plan for the district has a great deal of relationship with the borough. We will do our particular plan and they will do theirs.

BY MS. ZITZER: (Resuming)

- Do you have concerns about the fact that the places that the students and the general public in Pottstown will be evacuated to are in different directions as you have so testified?
 - Only because --

MR. RADER: Objection. That is not a part of this

contention. This relates to the adequacy of evacuation which itself is not an issue in this case.

MS. ZITZER: Your Honor, the witness testified that the reason some of the teachers had indicated they were not willing to remain was this very fact and I was simply trying to determine the concerns that the superintendent had about this.

MR. RADER: Again, I don't believe we can litigate every aspect of this plan under the auspices of what one or two teachers might be concerned about.

JUDGE HOYT: I think that was a brief answer that this witness gave, Ms. Zitzer. I didn't understand the answer to establish the basis of that question. Let's try the next area.

MS. ZITZER: I will rephrase it.

BY MS. ZITZER: (Resuming)

Q Dr. Feich, isn't it true that you testified that a number of teachers indicated an unwillingness to evacuate with the students and isn't it true that you characterized at least one of the reasons you were aware of for that situation being concerns about the fact that the general public might be evacuated in a different direction from the evacuation plan for the school district?

A. There are two questions there. First of all, I never said the teachers were unwilling. They were willing to

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

either go with the students or remain for the parent pick-up. There was never any unwillingness. The second point was my concern that if kids were going to go to a mass and the parents to the Kutztown/Reading area, I would rather see them go together.

Q During either a sheltering situation or an evacuation, will the teachers be functioning in loco parentis in your opinion?

MR. RADER: Objection. I am not sure that it has been established that the witness knows what that means or can draw any legal conclusions relating to that.

JUDGE HOYT: I think he probably knows what it means.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

JUDGE HOYT: But I am not quite sure where we are going with that line of questioning.

THE WITNESS: In loco parentis is in the code and that is a common word for us.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well. I just don't understand the relevance of it or what you are after here, Ms. Zitzer.

MS. ZITZER: I am trying to determine what information has been provided to the teachers regarding the situation.

JUDGE HOYT: Why don't you just ask that question then.

MS. ZITZER: Certainly .

BY MS. ZITZER: (Resuming)

- Q. Dr. Feich, have you had any discussions with any of the teachers whether or not they would be functioning in loco parentis during either an evacuation or sheltering scenario?
- A Any time they complete their responsibilities they are in loco parentis.
- Q. Do the teachers understand that this would also apply to evacuation or sheltering scenarios?
- A. Any time they perform their duty and it is between the hours, secondary, 7:35 to 2:45, that is their responsibility.
 - Q. What would happen if this were to occur after 2:45?
- A. The kids would not be in school so they would not have any responsibility for an evacuation. My contention is that when the teachers are with their kids, they have the responsibility of in loco parentis. Beyond the school day, they do not.
- Q What would happen if, and let's take a hypothetical situation, where you received notification sometime in the mid-afternoon that you might need to go into a sheltering scenario and it might extend beyond the 2:45 time that you described or the same might be true for an evacuation scenario? Have you considered that?
 - A. We have not discussed that specifically but as I

-Fuderal Reporters, Inc.

mentioned if they evacuate, we have teachers who go with the buses and teachers to make sure that they are there for parental pick-up.

- Q. Have the discussions you have had with the teachers though been limited to the time up until the 2:45 p.m. end of the regular working day?
- A. That is correct. That is all we have discussed at this particular time.
- Q Do you envision the likelihood of discussing with them any situation which might result in their staying beyond 2:45?
- A. That will be reviewed just as part of the exercise when we talk about the actual plan and what the responsibilities are. I don't know if I am going to ask them specifically in writing if they will, in fact, work beyond the school day. That has never been the issue.

 It is the old problem with emergencies. People do what they have to do. We have had that situation in Pottstown with floods and really serious emergencies. I expect they will act as reasonable individuals. I am not going to ask for that in writing, to work beyond the school day.
- Q. But to the best of your knowledge the teachers have not yet been informed that there could be circumstances where they might have to remain after 2:45 p.m.
 - A. No, they --

1

3

5

4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

MR. RADER: Objection, asked and answered.

JUDGE HOYT: The question may be answered.

THE WITNESS: They have indicated they would stay if they are going to the evacuation center up to the eight o'clock pick-up time. So, in essence, those that have volunteered to accompany students to the host schools, they have really said that they will stay beyond the school day.

BY MS. ZITZER: (Resuming)

- It is your testimony that they understand they are volunteering to stay beyond 2:45 p.m.?
 - That is correct.
- With regard to a sheltering scenario, has that information been discussed with teachers as well?
 - In-sheltering or external?
 - Within the school building.
- We have not. As I said, that is the next thing we do with the in-service of the teachers and then we will have a drill with the students.
- All right. When you say that you are going to have a drill with the students, could you elaborate on that?
- We will inform the students why they may be housed in hallways or whatever areas we feel are applicable prior to actually having a drill, the same thing we do for bomb threats and fire drills. They know why they are doing it.

We feel that has to come first before we actually do the drill.

MS. ZITZER: Thank you. I have no further

questions.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well. The applicant is accorded one hour of cross-examination time.

MR. RADER: Thank you. As a preliminary matter, Your Honor, the witness has indicated that he had some written surveys and information related to those surveys in writing in his possession but not with him today. I understand and appreciate that it may be the Board's hope and anticipation that the witness would be finished with today but if that is not possible, I wonder if the Board could instruct the witness to bring that information with him tomorrow if he is present then.

JUDGE HOYT: Let's see if the witness could bring it with him tomorrow. Could you retrieve the documents you are talking about, sir?

THE WITNESS: They are survey sheets with the name and whether or not they will either accompany students or help the students prepare and pick up.

JUDGE HOYT: Could you find those and bring them into the hearing room tomorrow if you were to come back?

THE WITNESS: Does that preempt an appointment with a doctor at nine o'clock? What time do you start tomorrow?

JUDGE HOYT: Nine o'clock. Mr. Rader, would there

END#19

Ace Federal Reporters, Inc.

be anything wrong in preserving the right to recall this witness for you or to have the witness retrieve those particular documents in conjunction with one of your counsel and perhaps somebody from your staff, Ms. Zitzer.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. RADER: That would be permissible.

JUDGE HOYT: And enter into a stipulation as to what those documents would provide. I don't want to have this witness come back tomorrow and certainly not -- it isn't the Board's intention that he would miss his doctor's appointment.

MR. RADER: It was my intention also that he be asked to bring the documents only if he is required to return tomorrow. Otherwise, he could simply send them to us.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well. You just want copies of them?

MR. RADER: Yes. Any documents relating to the conduct of the survey and the information which resulted from the survey, please.

JUDGE HOYT: Let's be sure we understand what survey you are talking about.

MR. RADER: The survey of teachers and staff as to their willingness to participate in the event of a radiological emergency which the witness testified about.

JUDGE HOYT: What was the approximate date of that?

THE WITNESS: Early October.

JUDGE HOYT: That is of this year?

THE WITNESS: Correct, and we will do the same

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Reporters, Inc. 25 thing for the February in service to see if that, in fact, made any difference in the responsibility teachers will assume.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well.

MS. ZITZER: Did you say that the surveys had the names of the teachers on them?

THE WITNESS: Yes, it does.

MS. ZITZER: Do you have any objection to providing that information?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

MS. ZITZER: That is what I thought.

MR. RADER: That can be blacked out.

JUDGE HOYT: I don't think it is the names that we are interested in.

MS. ZITZER: I agree.

JUDGE HOYT: Merely the tabulated results of the survey with a backup document, copy of those surveys. If the superintendent wishes to delete the name and the address of the individual and telephone number or some other personal identification mark, I don't think that that affects the basic concept that the counsel for Applicant wanted to do -- that is, to get the results.

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, if I may, I could send you the document, a summary, and as a commissioned

1 officer of the state of Pennsylvania, I would hope that 2 you would accept the results. 3 JUDGE HOYT: I would. THE WITNESS: I don't see any sense in giving 5 you 160 sheets of replies. I wouldn't give you the 6 original, so that means I have to duplicate them. Why 7 not just give you a summary? 8 MR. RADER: I would be very happy with the summary if I could get one survey form also. 10 THE WITNESS: That is what I said I would give. 11 MR. RADER: Completed survey form. 12 JUDGE HOYY: At his discretion, you will 13 accept one? 14 MR. RAPER: Yes, ma'am. 15 JUDGE HOYT: All right. Very well. 16 MR. RADER: I assume they are all the same. 17 THE WITNESS: They are. 18 MS. ZITZER: My only concern was that it be 19 done according to the wishes of Dr. Feich. 20 JUDGE HOYT: Thank you. We will certainly 21 preserve the rights of the witness. 22 Do you have anything else? 23 MR. RADER: Nothing else. JUDGE HOYT: Are you prepared to begin?

MR. RADER: ' am prepared to begin.

then?

25

JUDGE HOYT: You are prepared for your cross

MR. RADER: Yes, ma'am.

JUDGE HOYT: You have 60 minutes, sir.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. RADER:

Q Dr. Feich, at the end of the examination by LEA, you were asked some questions relating to the evacuation in different directions out of the EPZ by the schools and by the general public.

Do you recall that, sir?

- A Yes, I do.
- Q And did I recall correctly your testimony that you believed that the public would be directed to a mass care center in Kutztown; is that correct?
- A Some go to Kutztown. I have seen the plan in the local paper, and they have a cut-off place where some go to the Reading area, and then some go to -- I think south. But I don't know all the places. I know they don't go to Emmaeus in general.
- Q And the host school for Pottstown is in Emmaeus?
 - A Emmaeus, East Penn Senior High School.
- Q Is it your understanding that all members of the general public evacuating from the Pottstown area would

0

1 have to go to the mass care center at either Reading or 2 Kutztown? 3 A You say have to? Yes, sir. Would they be required to do that? 5 I don't think you can require a citizen to go 6 anywhere. 7 So they would be free to go to the Emmaeus 8 area, if they wished to? 9 They would be free. But they would not 10 be permitted in the Emmaeus Senior High School just because 11 of sheer numbers. 12 I understand that, sir. But they would be 13 permitted to pick up their children at the earliest 14 possible time, wouldn't they? 15 Up until the busses arrive. 16 I am not sure I understand, sir. I thought 17 that Emmaeus was the host facility for the Pottstown 18 School? 19 I thought you meant picked up at the high 20 school. 21 I mean the people could go to the Emmaeus 22 School directly, they wouldn't have to go to Kutztown 23 or Reading. They could go directly to --A They could.

So in that situation, there wouldn't be any

concern as to evacuation in different directions because parents with children in schools which had been evacuated could go directly to the Emmaeus School to pick up their children.

A If they had vehicles.

Q Yes.

At the beginning of your examination, sir, you stated that you had had some relationship with Energy Consultants and Montgomery County. Could you describe that relationship, sir?

A Well, for the last 18 months, I worked very closely with Mr. Cunnington and prior to that Sam Eli, and then recently Mr. Bigelow. And it has been meetings discussing the plan, the drafts per se, and some of the things that we could do cooperatively with the county.

We reviewed -- we have had meetings, area meetings with administrators at Eagleville. So it has been an administrative relationship.

Q Could you give any estimate in your opinion as to how many meetings you have had with Energy Consultants and Montgomery County over those past 18 months, including telephone conversations?

A Personal meetings I have at least been with Mr. Cunnington at least eight times, at least eight times,

2

4

3

6

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. several times on the phone. With Mr. Bigelow I spent three hours, and one other time with him.

Q And have you found both Mr. Bigelow and his staff as well as the staff from Energy Consultants to be cooperative?

A Very much so.

Q Have they provided you with assistance?

A Yes, they have.

Q Have they listened to your suggestions and adopted those suggestions in the more recent drafts of the plans as they have been provided to you?

A Yes, they have.

Q You mentioned, sir, that there are -- I believe you said that you are now in the midst of considering the development of other emergency plans or plans for other emergency contingencies in your area; is that correct, sir?

A That is correct.

Q Do you believe that the plan which is being prepared now for the Limerick EPZ would be helpful with regard to those other emergencies?

A Definitely.

Q You mentiond also what with a bomb scare you move children from one school to another. Could you explain that, please, sir, exactly what happens?

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

14

-

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Enteral Resources Inc.

25

A At the senior and junior high school, since they are in the same campus, it is a 55 acre tract.

If it occurs at one or the other buildings, they go to either building, and we have an assignment of a cafeteria, auditorium to house the kids. If it is at both buildings, they are moved to the Greek athletic field where we have stands, and they again have assigned seats by class.

The elementary are evacuated to churches' auditoriums or actual churches. We have keys to them, and we actually move kids with the teachers.

- Q And this procedure requires the teachers to assemble the students, gather them in groups and move them out of the buildings?
 - A That is correct.
- Q Are those procedures similar to what you have utilized during a fire drill, for example?
 - A As far as the movement, yes.
- Q Would the procedures which you utilize for a bomb scare or a fire drill be comparable to what you would utilize during a radiological emergency, if it occurred and if you were required to evacuate students?
 - A Yes, it would.
- Q Have you had any experience of uncontrollable disruptions or other problems during the bomb scare or

fire drills?

A No. They have been orderly.

Q With respect to your testimony regarding the responsibilities for nonpublic schools in your district, did you discuss this matter in particular with regard to transportation for those schools with any Montgomery Count official?

A Mr. Bigelow.

Q What were your discussions with Mr. Bigelow?

A In the presence of all my public school administrators, my board, and all my ministers, we went oer the transportation. So he outlined all transportation, both nonpublic and public.

Q And did Mr. Bigelow accede to your suggestion that the county assume that responsibility?

A Not only did he accede to it, he told us that was his responsibility.

Q And did he indicate to you there would be any problem with providing transportation for those schools in the absence of your school district assuming that responsibility?

A Sir, the reason we can't assume it is we only have a contract to begin with. So we don't have the busses to move kids. He took that responsibility to provide that transportation.

Ace-Federal Reporters,

Q Did he indicate to you at the time that that would create any problem for the county in doing so?

A No. He did not. He said he could handle that or the county could.

Q Sir, are you familiar with the procedure under local plans for passing unmet needs to the county?

Are you familiar with the term "unmet needs"?

A Yes.

Q What does that mean?

A Things that you do not have either planned for or available, a resource you do not have available.

Q Has that concept been applied in your school district, sir?

A Yes, it has.

Q Has it been provided specifically with regard to school busses?

A We still have the unmet need in our plan where we do not have adequate busses within the district. We still have an unmet need of telephones. We still have an unmet need of radio communication. We still have an unmet need of brochures to parents, maps, what would happen, a kind of a synopsis of what this plan would entail, which we have been promised.

Q With regard to unmet needs for busses, would you identify for me please where in your plan you have

2

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

æ-Federal Reporters, II

25

stated that you have unmet need for busses?

A As we have mentioned in the appendix where it lists the number of busses per building, the fact that we only have four from CMD and we need 55, there is really 51 listed in there that are called unmet needs.

Mr. Bigelow has indicated he has busses.

Q You said 51, sir. Does that include private schools?

A No. As I said before, we are not -- we are concerned, but it is not my responsibility for private schools.

O I am having difficulty with your numbers then.

Could you turn to page A3-23 of your plan, sir?

A I have it.

Q I am looking at the unmet needs column for the public schools in your school district. I see -- I guess it is 37 busses and four vans is what I come up with?

A That is correct, 41.

Q Okay. And were those needs reported to Mr. Bigelow's office?

A Yes. He reviewed this with us.

Q And to your knowledge, was this added to the Montgomery County plan?

A Yes. It is on draft 7, I-3-9. We looked at it

earlier.

2

1

3

6

7 8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Is that following the A? I think you are looking at your plan. I am referring to the Montgomery County plan. Do you have that

Yes, I do.

in front of you?

I am referring specifically to page Q-1-1, sir.

Referring specifically to Annex Q of the

Montgomery County plan, do you have that in front of you?

I have it. A

Referring to the third column, unmet need, do you see any indicate there as to whether there are sufficient busses within the counties to meet unmet needs which have been reported to it by the municipalities or school districts?

It says zero on my copy, and that is what we were told orally by Mr. Bigelow.

You were asked a number of questions about the letters of understanding which the county is in the process of obtaining or has obtained from bus providers.

Would you be satisfied if you received a letter from Mr. Bigelow to the effect that he has the adequate busses necessary to evacuate your school district? Did I understand your testimony to be that?

If he would indicate if we need the 41 busses, he

25

aderal Reporters, In

has the 41 busses, yes, I would be satisfied with that.

Q Under those circumstances, would it be necessary for you to review separate letters of agreement which the county has with bus providers?

A I wouldn't need the separate letters, but

I would, as a matter of professional courtesy, have

him indicate they are coming from Wissahicken, North Penn

or wherever. I see no reason that shouldn't be shared

with me in my position. Then I would feel comfortable

and recommend it to the Board.

Q You referred to a civil defense program,

I believe, where schools, one school was evaluated for sheltering; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Is it your understanding that the criteria for sheltering under your local plan and the county plans in Annex E of the state plan are based upon criteria applicable to a civil defense probram?

A The civil defense plan of the late -- actually it was the middle '60s and late, into the late '60s, had the same concern about radiation. I was a member of the team that went out and surveyed both Montgomery County and Schuylkill County and made drawings of all the facilities, the apertures, et cetera.

There are a lot of similarities between that

1 2 3

m Federal Reporters, Inc.

ers, Inc. particular emphasis and what we are doing today. It was only a sheltering program of CD with adequate food and water for a certain amount of period of time. And they were then thinking of gymnasiums, et cetera, but I don't think they thought of a nuclear plant as opposed to an atomic approach.

Q Sir, have you reviewed the definitions and concepts of sheltering as used in NUREG 0654 and Annex E of the state disaster plan?

A I am not familiar with that document, but I really think I am familiar with sheltering per se, the definition. I know what sheltering is and in-house sheltering evacuation. If you recall, sheltering says that whether they are evacuated or whether they are kept within the building.

Q Have you reviewed specifically the concept of sheltering as used in Appendix 10, Section 2.2.2 of the state plan?

A I have not, to my knowledge, seen the state plan.

Q I beg your pardon, sir. In any event, I gave the wrong reference. I believe it is Appendix 10, Section 2.2.2.

You have not seen the state plan?
No, I have not.

10

12

13

9

14

16

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

25

20

END 20

24 ce-Federal Reporters, Inc. Q So you would not be familiar with the concept of sheltering or the criteria for sheltering under the state plan concept; is that correct, sir?

A That is correct.

Q Is it your understanding that the concept of sheltering as used in the school district plans corresponds to the concept in the overall state plan?

A Since I don't know the state plan, I can't make that comparison.

Q My question is a bit different. Is it your understanding that the concept of sheltering under your local plan corresponds to the concept under the state plan?

MS. FERKIN: Objection.

MS. ZITZER: Objection.

MR. RADER: I don't believe the witness understood the question.

MS. ZITZER: I think he said he wasn't familiar with the state plan.

JUDGE HOYT: I don't think, Mr. Rader, this witness has any knowledge of the state plan.

T21 MM/mml

Ī

3

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

23

24 # Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

MR. RADER: I will accept that answer, thank you.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well.

BY MR. RADER:

Q So you mentioned you had a contract for bus service with the CMD Bus Company, is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q Have you ever had occasion to call them for an early dismissal for any reason whatsoever?

A We have called them, yes.

Q How frequently does that happen, sir?

A On an average, six or seven times a year.

And then there are times that they know ahead of times for early dismissal.

But for inclement weather or emergency, six or seven times a year.

Q So the unannounced occasions, has there ever been a problem with their showing up.

A No, there has not.

Q Do you know of any problem that has ever existed with regard to obtaining bus drivers for those buses?

A No, he has backup drivers. He has always had drivers available.

Q With regard to the teacher survey which you spoke of, sir, you stated that 199 were surveyed.

Is that correct?

2

3

5

6

8

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 p-Factoral Reporters, Inc.

25

A That's correct.

Q Did that include just teachers, or was that the entire staff complement of your school?

A Just teachers. Administrators have already indicated they will complete their responsibilities.

Q And how many administrators are there in your school district?

A 19.

Q So there would be 19 additional staff who would be available to assist in an emergency, is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q And what about other staff that you mentioned, such as nurses, librarian and other complements?

A Well the nurses and librarians are part of the teaching staff. The other complement will be your secretaries, custodians, the noninstructional personnel.

Q Do you have a nurse in each school, sir?

A No, not full-time nurse.

We have, for the seven buildings we have five nurses.

Q Would you expect those nurses to remain and assist if called upon?

A Not only remain, they would keep the health records and supplies in our plan and accompany the kids to the center.

Yes.

Q

3

Would you expect the librarian to remain?

Do you have a librarian in each school, sir?

5

A During the school day? You say remain in the school?

7

Remain with the children and participate in any way reasonably requested?

8

Either in evacuation processs or helping kids at the pickup point, yes.

9 10

Are there any other members of your staff which

11

I have omitted?

12

As I mentioned the secretaries and noninstructional. Custodians, cafeteria workers, they are still part of the staff. We have about 125 noninstructional staff; 200 or 199 teachers; 19 administrators.

13

14

15

Sir, if I add together your 19 administrators, with the -- I believe you said 60 responded thatthey would

be willing to evacuate with the children, is that correct,

yes. The rest would assist in the building during the

Either evacuate -- yes, evacuate and on the buses,

17

16

60 teachers?

18

19

20

21

22

23

If I add the number of teachers to administrators who would be available, that is 79, is that correct?

That's correct.

process of evacuation.

25

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

-Federal Reporters, I

25

Q And you said that I should add to that I believe the number of nurses who would be available?

A As I mentioned, the nurses are part of the teacher group. The nurses are in the 199.

Q . Oh, the nurses are already in the 199.

A They are a member of the bargaining unit.

Q I see.

So there would be 79 individuals available at your schools to assist in the evacuation if that were to occur, is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q And what is the total student population of your school district, sir?

A 3180. That's everyone present.

Q Sir, my arithmetic leads me to find that you would have approximately a 1 to 40 ratio for teachers/ administrators to students.

Would that be your understanding?

A 80 times 40 is 3200. That is correct.

Q And you stated that there would be how many buses evacuating your students? I believe it would be 37 buses with 4 vans.

Is that correct, sir?

A I believe the total number of buses is closer to 50, because in the secondary we have 950 and 450, which is

1

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

Federal Reporters, In

1400. And if we are working with a 50 to 1 ratio, that would be 28 buses, and a 60 ratio for the 1700 would be somewhere in the -- well, of course without kindergarten one of the others wouldn't be there -- would be another 20-some buses. So we are close to the 50.

Q Okay. Let me approach it this way, sir.

Your total unmet needs for buses is 37, correct?

A Oh, that way, yes. Because we have CMD promising them.

Q And you have an additional 5 buses which CMD provides routinely, correct?

A Correct.

Q So you have a total number of buses anticipated for use in emergency of 42?

A Correct.

Q Is that correct, sir?

A Correct.

Q And 5 -- 4 vans as well?

A Yes.

Q All right, so that would be a total of 45 vehicles, then?

A Correct.

Q So in other words you would have just under a 2 to 1 ratio of teacher/administrator to student availability to assist on those buses and vans in an evacuation?

2

3

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

25

24

Is that correct?

That's correct.

Now when you -- I want to address a few questions to you now regarding the form of your survey.

Could you give me a brief idea of how the survey form appears in substance?

It asks the question, if a teacher would accompany the students to the evacuation site, the host school.

And the second question is, would they remain, or either would they remain to help students at the parent pickup place. They actually had two choices. I didn't give them a choice to go home, because during a school day they would be responsible to work.

As you say, you gave it to them as a choice. Could the teacher have interpreted that to be a choice rather than a request to underst and from your perspective whether they would be willing to do either of those things?

I don't follow your question. They have a choice to do either.

Yes, sir, they had a choice to do either . They could either help evacuate or remain until the students left, is that correct, sir?

- That's correct.
- Wouldn't they, couldn't they have interpreted that

mm7

-Federal Reporters, Inc

form to mean that they weren't necessarily being asked to do either one, but that they were being asked which would they rather do?

A That's what they were asked, which they would rather do.

Q Oh, so when you conducted this survey you didn't anticipate to find out whether all of the teachers would be willing to evacuate with the students. You merely gave them a choice to determine which they would rather do?

A That's correct.

Thank you, sir.

Now with regard to sheltering, sir, you mentioned that students would be sheltered in the hallway.

Do you recall that testimony, sir?

A Yes, I do.

Q Could you provide me with the basis for your understanding in a sheltering scenario students would be sheltered in the hallway?

A When you talk about closing windows and exposure to the outside in a radiation field, it was our interpretation that they should be outside the classroom where there were no windows.

And therefore, the only place we would have them in our school buildings would be in hallways, which would be not exposed to windows.

mm81

2

3

•

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Q Did you discuss this understanding with anyone from the county or Energy Consultants?

A We have not gotten into that scenario of actual sheltering inhouse. That will be my last resort.

Q Sir, could I refer you to page 21 of your plan, your school plan?

A Go ahead, I have 21.

(Witness referring to document.)

If you look at 3(c) that's what it says. "From outside environment." I have taken that from the window exposure.

Q Okay. So it is your interpretation of subpart 3(c) towards the bottom of page 21, that that means the students should be sheltered in a hallway?

- A Hallway or free of windows.
- O I see.

Are there any other areas of the school which would be free of windows, but not in the hallways?

A There is a basement area only in the senior high that would not be adequate. It is just not adequate to house people unless the get an extreme emergency, and that would not house 900-plus kids.

Other than that, you have some windows even in your gymnasium. We do have an auditorium free of windows in the senior high only.

moreon la

Q And, sir, what was the basis for your understanding that the sheltering should take place away from windows?

A Because you are going to close them, and the wording is from "outside environment."

Q And to you that means that they should be away from windows?

A That's correct.

Q That doesn't mean to you that there should be greater distance --

MS. ZITZER: Objection, that is a leading question.

I think he should rephrase it.

JUDGE HOYT: This is cross examination and leading questions are permitted on cross examination.

MS. ZITZER: Okay.

BY MR. RADER:

Q Sir, referring to the language that says "providing the most shelter from the outside environment," you would not interpret that to mean that there should be the greatest distance possible between the sheltering area and the wall of the building?

A See, that is self explanatory. That is the greatest distance. From the hallway to the other side of the building is also classroom. So the hallway is the greatest distance, but it is still the area most removed from the environment, the outside environment.

ral Reporters, In

Q But do you find a reference in this section to hallways, sir?

A No.

Q If that is what they meant, sir, do you think they would have said that?

A They gave us the option of hallway or a non -in my idea in a non-window situation. And we only have
two choices, and that two choices is only in the senior
high the auditorium has no windows. Junior high does and
all the others do.

Q Now, sir, did you attend any of the -- strike that.

Were there training sessions in your school

district for teachers and administrators?

A I don't want to introduce another word, Judge, but it is orientation, we called it. It was not a training session.

Q I will accept that, sir.

Were there orientation sessions?

A There were.

And they had two people per faculty. It was held last February and I attended one of the sessions complete.

Q And at that time, sir, was the concept of sheltering discussed?

A No, we did not.

Q Was this an administrator's session, sir, or a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

-Federal Reporters,

25

teacher's session.

A Total. Administrators, noninstructional staff, administrators all attended one of the sessions.

Q Sir, this was not a teachers' session, am I correct?

A Teachers were with us.

Q But was it a teachers' training session?

A It was a teachers' session, same as administrators' for orientation.

It was a basic fact, then question and answer presentation. We didn't get into moving kids or what they do in case of sheltering indoors, or actual any kind of drills. It was an information session.

And we plan to have this February a more in-depth training session.

Q Has Energy Consultants, or Montgomery County advised you that training would be offered whenever requested?

A Yes, they have.

Q Is it your impression that in a sheltering scenario, the students and supervising teachers would have to be in a single place?

A No.

Q So they could be broken up into different groups throughout the building?

A Correct.

mm12

2

1

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

0 Would that alleviate some of your possible concern regarding supervision, massing students together?

A . Well, that could work both ways. If you could mass them together in two areas versus one, yes.

- Would that be possible, sir?
- In some buildings, yes, in some not.
- You were asked questions regarding possible concerns of teachers for their families in the event of an emergency.

Do you have any idea of approximately the number of staff in your school who have families as opposed to being single?

About two-thirds are married. Because of our insurance premiums, that's how I know that.

- And of those who are married, do you know how many have children?
 - No, I don't.
- Do you know if the teachers have been made aware of the fact that a public informational brochure will be distributed within the EPZ for the general information of the public?

They are not aware of it other than the president of the association, and I am aware of it. But, I have not seen it. It was supposed to be here in November, and we have not received that brochure.

ce Federal Reporters, Inc. Q During the training session which you attended, were the teachers and staff advised that they should make prior arrangements for their particular family concerns in advance of any emergency?

A We didn't get into that area. We really didn't at my session. And I was at one of the six sessions.

- Q Were you there for the entire session, sir?
- A I was.
- Q Do you know if anyone raised that as a question or a praicular concern?

A It was a concern. In fact after it was a concern. That is why we are building into it that single-parent families will be permitted to leave first and of course pregnant -- not first -- but pregnant teachers would also be leaving first. And we will probably incorporate, if they have pre-kindergarten children they will be able to leave since they will not be covered by any school district.

Q From your perspective as an administrator, would that in your view satisfy any concerns that would be involved with family planning, from the perspective of evacuating or providing sheltering during an emergency situation?

A I sincerely believe that the number that will fall in that category will not impair the plan operating as far as evacuation.

XXX

1

2

3

5

7

6

8 9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RADER: No further questions.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well, Ms. Ferkin?

BY MS. FERKIN:

Q Dr. Feich, I appreciate your staying here all day.

You refer several times to the term, "if time permits" when discussing early dismissal.

Would you elaborate on that a bit.

A Well, before events -- unusual event, of course we are not notified -- alert, it is my understanding that there would be time to do something before you get into the evacuation scenario whether internal, external.

In that point, I will entertain releasing kids to their homes as an early dismissal in that alert status.

Q If you decided to implement an early dismisal when notified that there is an alert at the Limerick Generating Station, would that entail releasing kids to their homes in the usual way that kids return to their homes?

In other words, if children walk, would they be told that they should leave and walk to their homes?

A A lot of this depends on the time of the day, for one thing. Even the weather would make a decision, make an impact on my decision.

We do that now as far as, if I have a snowstorm coming up and it is snowing at one o'clock, rather than wait

mm15

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

for a 3:30 or 3:15 dismissal, I will get kids home expeditiously and in the best safety. And it may mean -- and we have done it -- call every parent. We have an emergency caller for second notification that kids are coming home. Also we have it over the local radio station.

So, to answer your question, it is according to circumstances how they would be released. We do not release kids, say go home and have a feeling there aren't parents home there. We have more empathy than that .

In the situation where you have decided to institute an early dismissal, would you consider calling upon the Montgomery County Emergency Planning Office to provide you with transportation?

Not to take kids to their homes, because as I mentioned there are walkers. The ones that we bus we bus anyway, and we still do on an mearly dismissal.

Would you consider contacting Montgomery County to provide transportation to take children not to their homes, but outside the EPZ?

For example, to what would serve as a host school in an evacuation scenario?

Not if I felt with the facts known that they had sufficient time to be home, no I would not. I would not take them to a host school without their parents even aware that that is a possibility.

23

24

-Federal Reporters, In

Q Would you consider early dismissal at the so-called site emergency stage?

A Yes, I would. Again with time permitting.

Once you are in a site or general emergency stage, then I think it is a time that someone can give us that we have before serious decisions of evacuation. And the time of the day. If it is near dismissal time, I am sure we would let them go home rather than keep them there just waiting for a decision.

We kept kids just 15 minutes late the week because of a report going out, and we got all kinds of calls.

So, if it is near dismissal time, I dismiss them.

Q In the course of developing the Pottstown Emergency
Plan, is it your understanding that in certain circumstances
an incident at the Limerick Generating Station would not
pass through the alert or site-emergency stages, but could
become a general emergency within a very short time period?

A Yes, it could.

Q And, is it your understanding that you would implement any protective action recommendation ordered by the state should that general emergency arise?

A Yes, I would expect some type of message from Governor Thornburgh. It is my understanding he would initiate that.

O Dr. Feich, you have testified that you are

interested in obtaining a written commitment from Montgomery
County that a so-called minimum number of buses beyond the
ones you have already under contract would be provided if
evacuation were necessary.

Is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q If you did not obtain such a written commitment from Montgomery County, would you consider that the Pottstown Radiological Emergency Response Plan you have developed to this point is a workable plan?

A No, it would be inadequate.

If I don't have buses to move kids, then I don't have a viable plan.

Q With regard to the orientation session provided by Energy Consultants, I believe you testified that was last February?

A Yes.

Q Do you recall how many administrators, staff and teachers within the Pottstown School District took advantage of that session?

A All administrators, all teachers, all custodians, noninstructional staff.

The only ones that wouldn't have been there, employees, would have been night shift people, and they were invited. Nightshift people would not have been there unless

mm18

they came on their own.

 But anyone on the payroll that was working

that day were at those sessions.

- 1 2

De Faderal Reporters Inc.

- Q Prior to that session, did you have an opportunity to review a lesson plan or a training mod le with any representative of Energy Consultants?
- A. We did not review that lesson plan module that you referred to today. We only reviewed a general outline of what they would cover.
 - Q. Can you recall the elements of that general outline?
- A Yes. They were planned to cover the radioactivity as far as the scientific approach. They use examples of the television and some pottery that had radioactivity in it.

 They discuss the possible scenario of evacuation. They went over general things of the plan but nothing in detail.

 They answered questions about what they might expect and then we knew we would have to go back for further planning.

 The teachers shared with me that they felt they needed to have more information before they actually got involved in evacuation.
- Q. You testified that you set aside February to institute further training?
- A. Half a day. We have an in-service day every February, the Friday before President's Birthday.
- Q. Is it your intention to ask Energy Consultants to participate with you in this training session?
 - A. Plus the county, correct.
 - MS. FERKIN: Thank you. I have no further

questions.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well. Does the staff have any questions?

MS. WRIGHT: No questions, Your Honor.

JUDGE HOYT: Mr. Hirsch for FEMA?

MR. HIRSCH: No. I have no questions. Thank you,

Dr. Feich.

JUDGE HOYT: Do you have any redirect?

MS. ZITZER: I have one.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. ZITZER:

- Q. Dr. Feich, you testified that you have an early dismissal about six or seven times a year, is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. When you have that early dismissal, how many buses are utilized to implement that early dismissal?
 - A. Just the five that we now have with CMD.
 - Q. Are those five routinely assigned to your schools?
 - A. Correct.

MS. ZITZER: Thank you.

JUDGE HOYT: The Board has not questions. I believe the witness has now completed his testimony.

MR. RADER: Your Honor.

JUDGE HOYT: Yes, Mr. Rader.

mn22-3

MR. RADER: Based upon the testimony and order to move things along and spare Dr. Feich any unnecessary inconvenience, I don't believe we need the survey information which we had requested. I think his testimony is sufficient to cover that.

JUDGE HOYT: All right. Thank you for your testimony, Dr. Feich. I believe that completes the testimony of the witness and you may be excused permanently.

(Witness excused.)

JUDGE HOYT: Yes, Ms. Zitzer.

MS. ZITZER: For the benefit of the parties to plan for tomorrow's schedule, Dr. Murray who was here yesterday and was not able to be gotten to is available tomorrow and I would hope that we would be able to get through with him if that is agreeable to the parties. If not, certainly he will be willing to return.

JUDGE HOYT: The witnesses that I had for Friday was Waters, the Fire Marshall for Upper Merion, and Dr. Murray, superintendent of the Phoenixville area school district, and Bernie Wolf, Camphill Special Schools. Is that no longer operative?

MS. ZITZER: Your Honor, I am not certain at this point in time that we will recall Mr. Waters and I think if we were to do both we wouldn't complete Dr. Murray. With the Board's permission I would like to bring in Dr. Murray and

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

if he is completed then Mr. Wolf will also be available.

JUDGE HOYT: That makes the two witnesses for tomorrow which will probably be all we will get to any way.

Mr. Conner, I believe you indicated you had something to add.

-MR. CONNER: I am happy to say that my question has already been answered.

JUDGE HOYT: Very well. Then we will conclude the hearing for today. Before we recess, let me just advise the parties that the Board has worked out a tentative schedule which we will give to you as to times and locations for purposes of your planning. We were able to get this courthouse, the Customs Courthouse, as you know for the week of December 17 through December 21. Those times will be as follows:

Monday, December 17th, 12:00 to 6:00; Tuesday, December 18th, 9:00 to 5:00; Wednesday, December 19th, 9:00 to 6:00;

Thursday, December 20th, 9:00 to 5:00; Friday, December 21st, 9:00 to 2:00 p.m. with no lunch break scheduled.

This we feel will permit us to take the week of

December 24th rough 28th off and that is the week of

Christmas and it just does not look like it is worth the effort

to come back up here for maybe a day or two of hearings at

most.

For the period starting on January 2nd, we have the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania, Courtroom number six at 9th and Market. We have that on January 2nd and 3rd and 4th

mn22-5

Federal Reporters, I

with the hearings on the 2nd from 12:00 to 6:00, on the 3rd,
Thursday from 9:00 to 5:00 and on the 4th, Friday, from
9:00 to 2:00 p.m., again no lunch break.

We will be slimmer if nothing else after these hearings.

The week of January 7th through the 11th, we will keep a schedule, 12:00 to 6:00 on the 7th, 9:00 to 5:00 on the 8th, 9:00 to 5:00 on the 9th, 9:00 to 5:00 on the 10th and the 11th, 9:00 to 2:00 p.m., no lunch break.

The same schedule for the week of January 14th and the 21st. Now the week of the 21st we had originally scheduled for this courthouse. I still have not received word whether or not we can get the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania Courthouse for that week of January 21 through 25. If we can't, we will keep this room here but obviously if you moved all this stuff over to the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania Courtroom number six, I think we should stick at that place.

The week of January 28th would be the same schedule and that will carry us through to Friday, February 1st from 9:00 to 2:00 p.m., again no lunch.

That is far as we have planned. That is a very ample schedule and that ought to finish it up, I hope.

We are going to publish this schedule probably in an order next week when we can get back to our office and we can get

mn 22-6

2 3

1

5

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

it published in the Federal Register. Next week we have the limited appearance session on the 13th in Stowe. hope that will help everybody's planning.

Is there anything else?

MS. FERKIN: I think the Board knows my concerns and there is no sense in repeating them.

JUDGE HOYT: The only thing is if we can just work around them, Ms. Ferkin, and I think Mr. Hirsch is going to have the same concerns and I do hope that counsel will work together to try to accommodate these people's schedules. It is just going to be absolutely necessary. If not, we can never anticipate completing these hearings. Your time was what again?

MS. FERKIN: The 2nd, 3rd and 4th, and the 7th, 8th, 9th, 10th and 11th and the 14th, 15th and 16th.

JUDGE HOYT: There is just no way, Ms. Ferkin, that we can accommodate that much time. I will hope that you can do something about getting a relief from one or the other of your assigned duties. If this will be very helpful to you, maybe in the order form that this will come out it may give you some ammunition hopefully.

MS. FERKIN: I understand and I will take it up with the relevant people as soon as I get back to Harrisburg.

JUDGE HOYT: Thank you. You understand the concerns. Maybe if it were just a few days but that is mn22-7

evening?

rteral Recorders I

an awful chunk of the time. Thank you.

Anything else to come before this Board this

(No response.)

JUDGE HOYT: Very well. The hearing is adjoured until nine o'clock in the morning.

(Whereupon, the hearing was recessed at 5:35 o'clock p.m., to reconvene on Friday, December 7, 1984 at 9:00 o'clock a.m.)

CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER

This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION in the matter of:

NAME OF PROCEEDING:

Philadelphia Electric Company
(Limerick Generating Station
Units 1 and 2)

DOCKET NO .:

50-352-OL and 50-353-OL

PLACE:

Philadelphia, PA

DATE:

Thursday, December 6, 1984

were held as herein appears, and that this is the original transcript thereof for the file of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

(Sigt)

(TYPED) Marilynn Nations, Mimie Meltzer

Rebecca E. Eyster

Official Reporter

Reporter's Affiliation