

NRC Region III made several requests of GAP for additional information ever since the 2.206 petition was filed on September 28, 1984. 2/ Obtaining more information, according to Region III, was critical to any further investigation. NRC representatives admitted that the agency was unable to proceed without additional information. 3/ This need was also expressed in correspondences to GAP from both Frank Miraglia, Deputy Director of the Division of Licensing, and Harold R. Denton, Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. 4/ Finally, on November 30, 1984, GAP and the NRC met to discuss this matter. At this meeting, the NRC was informed that additional pertinent information did exist and was available.

2/ a) As documented in Enclosure 3 of an October 16, 1984 memorandum from Region III Administrator, James Keppler to Harold Denton, Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, the NRC Staff has had personal discussion or telephone conversation with representatives of GAP on October 4, 5 and 8, 11, 12, and 15, 1984, for purpose of obtaining additional information which GAP relied upon to prepare the allegations.

b) November 7, 1984 letter from Harold Denton, Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation and a November 21, 1984 letter from Frank Miraglia, Deputy Director of the Division of Licensing, to Billie Garde, GAP, requests additional information regarding the allegations.

3/ At the Meeting of the Commission on October 4, 1984, C. Norelius, Director of Projects, Region III stated that the NRC must meet with GAP so that the NRC "might more specifically understand what the allegations are, because many of them are so general that they're difficult to deal with in the form presented." (pg. 50, meeting transcript)

4/ The November 7, 1984 letter from H. Denton to GAP states: "...in the absence of more specific information supporting the petition, it is difficult to assess the need for further action." Furthermore, he states, "that the (NRC) staff is prepared to meet with allegers to ensure that all avenues of information are explored. By permitting the NRC to discuss the concerns directly with the allegers, GAP will be assured that a thorough review of the allegations has been performed."

Also Frank Miraglia in his November 21, 1984 letter to GAP states that "the staff will find it difficult to assess the need for further action without additional information."

Since that meeting, however, the NRC has changed its position regarding its commitment to safety concerns. The recent decision expressed in your letter indicates that the NRC Region III is no longer interested in the information which six weeks ago was vital to any inspection. It appears that the November 30, 1984 meeting was useless. After six weeks of non-decision we are far short of the status quo. Moreover it demonstrates the agency's eagerness to follow empty procedure at the expense of those who attempt to work with the agency.

What astonishes GAP about the Region's decision was the obvious ineffective and inefficient investigative process that the agency has chosen to take. The Region has expended considerable inspection resources, after admitting that the lack of substantial additional information would affect the depth and outcome of the investigation. Now the Region has decided to finalize its efforts with the issuance of an inspection report without having utilized all available information. This inspection report without a doubt will be the culmination of the bureaucratic gamesmanship.

However astonishing, such Region III techniques are not new to GAP. The NRC, in its approach to whistleblowers, uses inadequate inspection efforts. For example:

- * The NRC mischaracterized allegations. Inspection Report 50-483/84-30 contains summaries of 25 allegations provided during the summer of 1984 to the NRC in an interview with the allegeders. Many of the allegations, as presented by the NRC, are misinterpretations of the whistleblowers' allegations. The summaries are incomplete sentences pulled from various parts of the interview transcript. The NRC left plenty of room for interpretation of each allegation. These summaries are as clear as they are concise.

- * The NRC made no re-contact with the allegeders to clear up mischaracterized allegations. Instead, the NRC proceeded to investigate the allegations as they saw fit, even if it was substantially unrelated to the meaning of the whistleblowers' allegations.

- * As a result of the haste and poor investigative procedures, the allegations within Inspection Report 50-483/84-30 were contrary to the information brought to the NRC by the allegeders;

- * The NRC inspection report 50-483/84-30 reflected a paperwork review relying on the utility's self-serving explanations. The paperwork review is based on the assumption that the paperwork is thorough and accurate. Furthermore, the NRC has not disclosed the supporting data and calculations for evaluation of the whistleblowers' allegations;

James G. Keppler
January 30, 1985
Page Four

* The NRC has narrowly defined issues raised by the whistleblowers and failed to inspect beyond hardware examples the witnesses were able to identify specifically;

* The NRC has swept away the safety significance of the allegations from any realistic role in the investigation or the full power licensing of the Callaway plant;

* The results of the investigation were published in an inspection report almost six months after the interviews and one week before the Callaway plant went critical.

* The NRC has attempted to discredit whistleblowers, citizens groups, or simply interested individuals by questioning their motives, integrity, and technical competence to raise questions about public health and safety.

* The NRC has failed to utilize all available information pertaining to the 2.206 safety allegations.

* James Keppler's October 16, 1984 letter to Harold Denton recommending Callaway for full power licensing, categorized the forty-eight allegations submitted by GAP and contained conclusions drawn from less than the information available to Region III.

In sum, the statements above represent the NRC Region III's failure to investigate in good faith and to protect the public and those who dare to come forward with safety allegations. Nonetheless, this is business as usual for Region III.

Again the NRC Region III has decided to continue with its paper chase when, admittedly, it is not worthwhile. The inspection report issued on the 2.206 petition can only be a thoroughly blurred interpretation of the September 28, 1984 allegations. GAO's view is that the inspection report need not be issued at all since the inspection has been conducted only as a part of the agency's insincerity. Indeed, it is a colossal waste of taxpayer's money. More important, it is evidence of the agency's confusion as to its own responsibilities and duties. The allegations potentially effect the public health and safety, not the NRC's credibility. Your agency, authorized by Congress, is responsible for the health and safety of the public and also has a duty to competently investigate in good faith the safety allegations brought to your agency by nuclear workers.

It is clear from a review of the record of this case, that your primary, if not your only, objective was to the the Callaway Nuclear Power Plant licensed. You stated as much to a GAP representative in mid-October, "the NRC is not going to wait around for GAP to give us information, we want to get this plant (Callaway) licensed!" Mr. Keppler, ultimately your reputation

James G. Keppler
January 30, 1985
Page Five

may have grown in the eyes of your superiors due to your new tough line approach to allegations, but at the same time it has equally diminished in the eyes of those who are concerned about the safety and health of the public and thought you were also.

Sincerely,

Billie Garde

Billie Garde
Director of Citizens Clinic

Michele Varricchio

Michele Varricchio
Staff Associate

cc: Callaway Service List

CALLAWAY SERVICE LIST

D. F. Schnell
Vice President - Nuclear
Union Electric Company
Post Office Box 149
St. Louis, Missouri 63166

Nicholas A. Petrick
Executive Director - SNUPPS
5 Choke Cherry Road
Rockville, Maryland 20850

Gerald Charnoff, Esquire
Thomas A. Baxter, Esquire
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge
1800 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

J. E. Birk
Assistant to the General Counsel
Union Electric Company
Post Office Box 149
St. Louis, Missouri 63166

John Neisler
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Resident Inspectors Office
RR#1
Steedman, Missouri 65077

Donald W. Capone, Manager
Nuclear Engineering
Union Electric Company
Post Office Box 149
St. Louis, Missouri 63166

A. Scott Cauger, Esquire
Assistant General Counsel for the
Missouri Public Service Commission
Post Office Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Ms. Marjorie Reilly
Energy Chairman of the League of Women
Voters of University City, Missouri
7065 Pershing Avenue
University City, Missouri 63130

Donald Bollinger, Member
Missourians for Safe Energy
6267 Delmar Boulevard
University City, Missouri 63130

Mayor Howard Steffen
Chamois, Missouri 65024

Professor William H. Miller
Missouri Kansas Section, American
Nuclear Society
Department of Nuclear Engineering
1026 Engineering Building
University of Missouri
Columbia, Missouri 65211

Robert G. Wright
Associate Judge, Eastern District
County Court, Callaway County,
Missouri
Route #1
Fulton, Missouri 65251

Lewis C. Green, Esquire
Green, Hennings & Henry
Attorney for Joint Intervenors
314 North Broadway, Suite 1830
St. Louis, Missouri 63102

Earl Brown
School District Superintendent
Post Office Box 9
Kingdom City, Missouri 65262

Harold Lottman
Presiding Judge, Dasconade County
Route 1
Owensville, Missouri 65066

Eric A. Eisen, Esquire
Birch, Horton, Bittner and Moore
Suite 1100
1140 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 10036

John G. Reed
Route #1
Kingdom City, Missouri 65262

Dan I. Bolef, President
Kay Drey, Representative
Board of Directors Coalition for
the Environment
St. Louis Region
6267 Delmar Boulevard
University City, Missouri 63130

CALLAWAY SERVICE LIST

-2-

James G. Keppler
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region III
799 Roosevelt Road
Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Ronald A. Kucera, Deputy Director
Department of Natural Resources
Post Office Box 176
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Glenn L. Koester
Vice President - Nuclear
Kansas Gas and Electric Company
201 North Market Street
Post Office Box 208
Wichita, Kansas 67201