

March 21, 1985

Docket Nos. 50-348
and 50-364

Mr. R. P. McDonald
Senior Vice President
Alabama Power Company
Post Office Box 2641
Birmingham, Alabama 35291

Distribution

Docket file	NRC PDR
ORB#1 RDG	L PDR
ORB#1 Gray file	
HThompson	OELD
EJordan	BGrimes
JPartlow	EReeves (2)
CParrish	ACRS (10)

Dear Mr. McDonald:

SUBJECT: SCHEDULE FOR REACTOR VESSEL LEVEL SYSTEM COMPLETION (NUREG-0737,
ITEM II.F.2) - JOSEPH M. FARLEY NUCLEAR PLANT UNITS 1 AND 2

Following the meeting held between your staff and the Commission staff on January 22, 1985, your letter dated February 20, 1985 provided more definitive schedule commitments. We have completed the review of the clarifying information contained in the meeting and also in your February 20 letter.

Based on our review we consider that you have shown a good faith effort to attempt to complete the needed installations on both units at Farley site on an acceptable two refueling outage (RO) schedule. As a result of our discussions as outlined in our meeting summary dated February 26, 1985, we now have a clear understanding of your plant schedules and work packaging system. Your packaging system will allow taking advantage of any unscheduled extension of the second RO or an unscheduled later outage on either unit to complete the final part of the reactor head cable work. Therefore, the installation could be completed during 1986, but in no case later than during the third RO on each unit. Therefore, your proposed schedules are acceptable.

Any plant specific changes to these schedules should be subject to further negotiations and specific additional justifications. In conclusion, we appreciate your concerns expressed during the January 22 meeting. Your integrated scheduling efforts will assure completing this vital item as soon as is practical while considering all of the other safety enhancements being made at Farley during this same period. This letter concludes the appeal process for this item and is our confirmatory action of your scheduler commitments.

/s/GCLainas

8504100151 850321
PDR ADOCK 05000348
P PDR

Gus C. Lainas, Assistant Director
for Operating Reactors
Division of Licensing

cc:
See next page

*See previous white for concurrences

ORB#1:DL*
CParrish
03/19/85

ORB#1:DL
EReeves/ts
03/21/85

BC-ORB#1:DL*
SVarga
03/20/85

AD:OR:DL
GLainas
03/21/85

CPB*
LPhillips
03/20/85

AD:CPS*
LRubenstein
03/21/85

Docket Nos. 50-348
and 50-364

Mr. R. P. McDonald
Senior Vice President
Alabama Power Company
Post Office Box 2641
Birmingham, Alabama 35291

Distribution	
Docket file	NRC PDR
ORB#1 RDG	L PDR
ORB#1 Gray file	
HThompson	OELD
EJordan	BGrimes
JPartlow	EReeves (2)
CParrish	ACRS (10)

Dear Mr. McDonald:

SUBJECT: SCHEDULE FOR REACTOR VESSEL LEVEL SYSTEM COMPLETION (NUREG-0737,
ITEM II.F.2) - JOSEPH M. FARLEY NUCLEAR PLANT UNITS 1 AND 2

Following the meeting held between your staff and the Commission staff on January 22, 1985, your letter dated February 20, 1985 provided more definitive schedule commitments. We have completed the review of the clarifying information contained in the meeting and also in your February 20 letter.

Based on our review we consider that you have shown a good faith effort to attempt to complete the needed installations on both units at Farley site on an acceptable two refueling outage (RO) schedule. As a result of our discussions as outlined in our meeting summary dated February 26, 1985, we now have a clear understanding of your plant schedules and work packing system. Your packaging system will allow taking advantage of any unscheduled extension of the second RO or an unscheduled later outage on either unit to complete the final part of the reactor head cable work. Therefore, the installation could be completed during 1986, but in no case later than during the third RO on each unit. Therefore, your proposed schedules are acceptable.

Any plant specific changes to these schedules should be subject to further negotiations and specific additional justifications. In conclusion, we appreciate your concerns expressed during the January 22 meeting. Your integrated scheduling efforts will assure completing this vital item as soon as is practical while considering all of the other safety enhancements being made at Farley during this same period. This letter concludes the appeal process for this item and is our confirmatory action of your schedular commitments.

Gus C. Lainas, Assistant Director
for Operating Reactors
Division of Licensing

cc:
See next page

* SEE PREVIOUS WHITE FOR CONCURRENCE

ORB#1:DL*
CParrish
03/19/85

ORB#1:DL
EReeves/ts
03/19/85

BC-ORB#1:DL
SJordan
03/20/85

AD:OR:DL
GLainas
03/ /85

CPB
LPhillips
03/20/85

AD:CPS
LRubenstein
03/21/85

Docket Nos. 50-343
and 50-354

Mr. R. P. McDonald
Senior Vice President
Alabama Power Company
Post Office Box 2641
Birmingham, Alabama 35291

Distribution	
Docket file	NRC PDR
ORB#1 RDG	L PDR
ORB#1 Gray file	
HThompson	OELD
EJordan	BGrimes
JPartlow	EReeves(z)
CParrish	ACRS (10)

Dear Mr. McDonald:

SUBJECT: SCHEDULE FOR REACTOR VESSEL LEVEL SYSTEM COMPLETION (NUREG-0737,
ITEM II.F.2) - JOSEPH M. FARLEY NUCLEAR PLANT UNITS 1 AND 2

Following the meeting held between your staff and the Commission staff on January 22, 1985, your letter dated February 20, 1985 provided more definitive schedule commitments. We have completed the review of the clarifying information contained in the meeting and also in your February 20 letter.

Based on our review we consider that you have shown a good faith effort to attempt to complete the needed installations on both units at Farley site on an acceptable two refueling outage (RO) schedule. We understand your plant schedules and work packaging system much better now. Your packaging system will allow taking advantage of any unscheduled extension of the second RO or an unscheduled later outage on either unit to complete the final part of the reactor head cable work. Therefore, the installation could be completed during 1986, but in no case later than during the third RO on each unit. Therefore, your proposed schedules are acceptable.

Any plant specific changes to these schedules should be subject to further negotiations and specific additional justifications. In conclusion, we appreciate your concerns expressed during the January 22 meeting. Your integrated scheduling efforts will assure completing this vital item as soon as is practical while considering all of the other safety enhancements being made at Farley during this same period. This letter concludes the appeal process for this item and is our confirmatory action of your scheduler commitments.

Gus C. Lainas, Assistant Director
for Operating Reactors
Division of Licensing

cc:
See next page

ORB#1:DL
CParrish
03/19/85

ORB#1:DL
EReeves/ts
03/19/85

BC-ORB#1:DL
SVarga
03/ /85

AD:OR:DL
GLainas
03/ /85

CPB
LPhillips
03/ /85

AD:CPS
LRubenstein
03/ /85