

Entergy Operations, Inc.

P.O. Box 756 Port Gibson, MS 39150 Tel 601 437 2800

C. R. Hutchinson

Operations
Grand Gulf Nucuum Station

February 14, 1996

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Station P1-137 Washington, D.C. 20555

Attention:

Document Control Desk

SUBJECT:

Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Unit 1

Docket No. 50-416 License No. NPF-29

Update to Violation of Operating License Condition 2.C.(38) Control Room

Envelope Boundary LER 95-012-01

GNRO-96/00014

Gentlemen:

Attached is Licensee Event Report (LER) 95-012-01 which is a final report.

Yours truly,

CRH/KAG attachment

cc:

Mr. J. Z. Tedrow (w/a) Mr. J. W. Yelverton (w/a) Mr. R. B. McGehee (w/a)

Mr. N. S. Reynolds (w/a)

Mr. Leonard J. Callan (w/a) Regional Administrator

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Region IV

611 Ryan Plaza Drive Suite 400

Arlington, TX 76011

Mr. J. N. Donohew

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Mail Stop 13H3

Washington, D.C. 20555

260046

9602270044 960214 PDR ADDCK 05000416 5632

bcc:

Mr. G. S. See (w/a)
Ms. C. W. Gunn (w/a)
Mr. K. A. Godfrey (w/a)
Mr. G. D. Swords (w/a)
Required Reading Coordinator (w/a)
SRC Secretary (w/a)
TRG Secretary (w/a)
File (LCTS/RPTS) (w/a)
File (NS&RA) (w/a)
File (Central) (w/a) (11)

INPO Records Center (w/a) 700 Galleria Parkway Atlanta, Georgia 30339-5957

Mr. W. T. Donovan (w/a)
Illinois Power Company
Clinton Power Station Mail Stop V-920
P.O. Box 678
Clinton, Illinois 61727

ccmail:

Mr. P. W. Alberstadt (ECH) Mr. C. A. Bottemiller Mr. O. P. Bulich (RB) Mr. R. W. Byrd Mr. W. F. Clark Mr. J. P. Czaika Mr. L. F. Dale Mr. L. F. Daughtery Mr. G. G. Davie (W3) Mr. J. G. Dewease (ECH) Mr. M. A. Dietrich Mr. J. P. Dimmette Mr. C. M. Dugger Mr. C. W. Ellsaesser Mr. J. L. Ensley (ESI) Mr. J. J. Fisicaro (RB) Mr. T. W. Gates (RB) Mr. T. J. Gaudet (W3) Ms. C. W. Gunn Mr. C. C. Haye Mr. M. G. Hurley

Mr. C. R. Hutchinson IEA (ANO) Ms. S. B. Mahoney Ms. J. M. Manzella (W3) Mr. J. R. McGaha (RB) Mr. M. J. Meisner Mr. D. C. Mims (ANO) Mr. R. V. Moomaw Mr. L. B. Moulder Mr. D. L. Pace Mr. R. L. Patterson Mr. F. T. Philpot (ANO) Mr. T. E. Reaves (ECH) Mr. J. C. Roberts (ECH) Mr. J. L. Robertson Mr. M. A. Smith (ANO) Mr. R. L. Thomas (ECH) Mr. T. H. Thurmon Mr. D. W. Vinci (W3) Mr. D. H. Wells (RB) Mr. G. D. Williams

NRC F(4-95)	ORM 36		NSE	U.S. NUCL	REPORT			MISSI	ON	INFORMATION LEARNED ARI BACK TO INDU TO THE INFORMUCLEAR REC THE PAPER	APPROVED B EXPI URDEN PER RESPO COLLECTION REG INCORPORATED ISTRY FORWARD (STATION AND RECO SULATORY COMMISS WORK REDUCTION AND BUDGET, WAS	PRES OF COMMENTS MAN PRO	4/30/98 COMPI 50.0 HF IF LICE! IT'S REG WAGEMI ASHINGT	LY WITH THE RS. REPOR NSING PROC ARDING BUR ENT BRANCH ON, DC 2055 (3150-0104),	TED LESSONS LESS AND FED LOEN ESTIMATE I (T-6 F33), U.S.
FACILITY NAME (1) Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Unit 1							DOCKET NUM R (2) 05000-416			PAGE (3) 1 of 6					
7171 E (A)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON OF	AUTOMORIUS MATERIA	DE LINE COMMUNICATION COMMUNIC	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 2 IS NOT THE OWNER, THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 2 IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 2 IN COLUMN 2 IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 2 IN COLUMN 2 IN			ensurani an	ACCORDING SOUTH		ROWSELL STREET, STOREST	COLUMN TO STATE OF THE STATE OF	***************************************			
SCHOOL STREET,	COLUMN STATEMENT	THE REAL PROPERTY.	n of C	Perating Lic				DATE		Room Er	OTHER FACE			LVED (8)	
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH		DATE	YEAR	FA	CILITY NAME N/A	LITTES	1140	DOCKET NO	Name and the second
11	02	95	95	012	01	02		14	96	FA	N/A	DOCKET NUMBER 05000			
OPER	ATING	CANALAN PRINTERS	THIS	REPORT IS SU	BMITTED	PURSUAN	TTC	THE	REQUI	REMENTS O	F 10 CFR & (Che	ock one	or mo	ore) (11)	
MOD	E (9)	1		20.2201(b)		20.2203(a)(2)(v)				50.73(a	50.73(a)(2)(i)		50.73(a)(2)(viii)		
POV	VER	CHESTON IN THE		20.2203(a)(2)(i)		20.2203(a)(3)(i)		Name and Address of the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner, whic	50.73(a)(2)(ii)		50.73(a)(2)(x)				
LEVE	L (10)	100		20.405(a)(1)	(ii)	20.220	3(a)	(3)(ii)		50.73(a)(2)(iii)		73.7	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	
				20.2203(a)(2)(ii)	20.220	market and a	National Contract of the Contr		50.73(a		X	OTH	HER	w w NDC Com
		20.2203(a)(2)(iii)			50.36(c)(1)		50.73(a)(2)(v)		Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A						
				20.2203(a)(2)(iv)	50.36(c)				50.73(a)(2)(vii)		Violation of OL Condition 2.C.(38)			
CONTRACTOR STATE	THE REAL PROPERTY.		allowwood	NUMBER OF STREET	LICI	ENSEE CO	TAC	ACT F	OR TH	IS LER (12)		alian survey	SCHOOL SECTION	Safe sapple and an except	ACCOUNT AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY.
Ken (Godfre	y/ Lic	ensing	Specialist							E NUMBER (Include / 37-6164	Area Cod	0)		
PARTICIPATION TO SERVICE A	CONTRACTOR SECURE	SCHOOLS SERVE	CO	MPLETE ONE	INE FOR E	ACH COM	PON	NENT	FAILUR	E DESCRIBI	D IN THIS REP	ORT (1	13)	STATE OF THE PARTY OF	ENGL/CONTRACTOR SERVICES
CAUSE	SYST	EM C	OMPONEN	IT MANUFA	CTURER	TO NPROS			CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	M	ANUFAC	TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS
	-	-					-					+-	-		
COMPRESSOR	CAMPBELL ENGINE	S	UPPLE	MENTAL REPO	RT EXPECT	ED (14)	mare well	NA PARAMETERS	THE REAL PROPERTY.	E	PECTED	MC	нтис	DAY	YEAR
	YES (If yes, cor			JBMISSION DATE)		OF STREET, STR	X	NO			BMISSION ATE (15)				

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i. e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)

On October 12, 1995, after a power supply was replaced in the 'B' Control Room Heating, Ventilating and Air Conditioner (HVAC) unit, the fan was started to test the new power supply. When control room operators detected an abnormal odor from the control room ventilation, plant personnel were sent to investigate the problem. During troubleshooting activities and subsequent fan drive belt replacement, plant personnel opened an access panel on the 'B' Control Room HVAC unit in order to gain access to the fan drive belts. This panel is part of the control room envelope boundary, and opening the panel exceeded the allowable opening area for the envelope boundary. Plant personnel did not consider the removal of the panel to be a breach of the control room envelope boundary. The condition was discovered after the work had been completed and was reportabled pursuant to Operating License Condition 2.F.

This LER is being submitted as a supplemental report to LER 95-12-00 reported December 4, 1995.

NRC FORM 366A (6-92)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/98				
	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION	INFORMATION COLLE COMMENTS REGAR INFORMATION AND 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR	IDING BURDEN ES RECORDS MANAGEME REGULATORY COMMIT TO THE PAPERWORK I OF MANAGEMEN	SSION, WASHINGTON, REDUCTION PROJECT		
FACILITY NAME (1) Grand Gulf Nuclea	r Station, Unit 1	DOCKET NUMBER (2) 05000-416	1 LER NUMBER (6) 95-012-01	PAGE (3) 2 OF 6		

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 386A) (17)

A. Reportable Occurrence

The Operating License (OL) Condition 2.C.(38) requires the control room leak rate to be maintained within 590 cubic feet per minute (cfm) during modes 1, 2, and 3. The 590 cfm limit allows for additional boundary openings of approximately 20 in². However, the panel which was removed for fan drive belt replacement was equivalent to an opening of approximately 678 in². Therefore, this was a violation of OL Condition 2.C.(38), and was reported pursuant to OL Condition 2.F. This LER is being submitted as a supplemental report to LER 95-12-00 reported December 4, 1995.

B. Initial Conditions

At the time of discovery, the plant was in OPERATIONAL CONDITION 1 with reactor power at approximately 100 percent. Reactor coolant temperature was approximately 529 degrees F. Reactor Pressure Vessel level was 36 inches.

C. Description of Occurrence

On October 12, 1995, after a power supply was replaced in the 'B' Control Room Heating, Ventilating and Air Conditioner (HVAC) unit [VI], the fan was started as a test for the new power supply. When control room operators detected an abnormal odor coming from the control room ventilation, plant personnel were dispatched to investigate the problem. During troubleshooting activities and subsequent fan drive belt replacement, an access panel on 'B' Control Room HVAC was opened in order to gain access to the fan drive belts. However, maintenance personnel did not consider the removal of the panel to be a breach of the control room envelope boundary. Additionally, operations personnel were unaware that the panel removal was needed to gain access to the belts. Therefore, they did not request the Penetration Coordinator's review prior to opening the access panel as required by current administrative controls. Since this review was not performed and the access panel was removed, the control room envelope boundary was violated. The condition was discovered on November 2, 1995, after the work had been completed.

A subsequent review was performed to identify other activities which opened the access panel to the Control Room HVAC units. Four repetitive maintenance tasks were found that, when performed, violated the control room envelope.

NRC FORM 366A (5-92)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95				
	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION			ISION, WASHINGTON,		
FACILITY NAME (1) Grand Gulf Nuclear	Station, Unit 1	05000-416	1 LER NUMBER (6) 95-012-01	PAGE (3) 3 OF 6		

YEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

D. Apparent Cause

The root cause of this event is that the Corrective Actions of a previous control room envelope event were either ineffective or incomplete prior to this event:

- 1) Inacequate interim measures were implemented to assure that a breach in the control room envelope would not occur while corrective actions were being incorporated into permanent plant documentation.
- 2) When labeling the control room envelope access panels and penetrations, all structures, systems and components which comprise the entire boundary including access panels used for periodic equipment maintenance were not considered.

A contributing cause was that the work order issued to perform the HVAC belt replacement did not identify any control room envelope impact statement which delayed identification of the boundary breach.

E. Corrective Actions

Corrective Actions Completed:

- 1) All open Work Orders (WO) for the control building have been verified to ensure control room envelope impact is adequately addressed.
- Access panels on both trains of Control Room HVAC units which are a part of the envelope boundary have been labeled as such to ensure that boundary penetrations are clearly identified.
- 3) An evaluation which further defines the control room envelope boundary definition has been issued by design engineering.
- 4) The design engineering organization has reviewed how increased opening size affects GGNS' design bases for radiological and chemical consequences of the control room envelope.
- 5) New criteria has been established which provides additional guidance for work packages affecting the control room envelope boundary.

NRC FORM 366A (5-92)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95				
	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION	INFORMATION COLLE COMMENTS REGAR INFORMATION AND 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR	DING BURDEN E RECORDS MANAGEM REGULATORY COMMI TO THE PAPERWORK I OF MANAGEMEI	REDUCTION PROJECT		
FACILITY NAME (1) Grand Gulf Nuclear	Station, Unit 1	DOCKET NUMBER (2) 05000-416	95-012-01	PAGE (3) 4 OF 6		

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

Corrective Actions In Progress:

 Changes to work order impacts/instructions will be included for control building repetitive maintenance tasks which require special guidance regarding the control room envelope.

F. Safety Assessment

The GGNS Individual Plant Examination evaluated the average frequency of core damage internal events to be 1.7 E-5 per reactor year. From this, the probability of a core damage event for the period in which the HVAC access panel was open (maximum of one day) is 4.7 E-8. This probability is below the definition of a risk significant temporary change (i.e., 1 E-6) provided by the Nuclear Energy Institute Probabilistic Safety Analysis Applications Guidelines. Thus, the overall radiological risk to the control room operators presented by this temporary condition was very insignificant.

For future maintenance activities, an engineering evaluation was performed to assess the relative importance of short-term increases in control room inleakage on the radiological protection functions. On the basis of this evaluation, it is concluded that the radiological consequences of increased control room inleakage present a concern only during Operational Conditions 1, 2, and 3. The acceptable control room inleakage value, as described in GGNS Supplement 6 to Safety Evaluation Report (SSER 6), Section 6.4, is based on compliance to the General Design Criterion (GDC)-19 dose limits. Control room doses during Operational Conditions 4 and 5 remain within the limits of GDC-19 for a postulated design basis fuel handling accident without control room isolation. For Operational Conditions 1, 2, and 3, a temporary opening in the control room envelope of up to 4,000 square inches is acceptable for the first 30 minutes following the onset of a radiological accident. Thus, the applicable acceptance limits are met as long as the control room inleakage is restored to the 590 cfm criterion within 30 minutes of event initiation. In this manner, the intent of the License Condition is met for temporary conditions exceeding 590 cfm when control room leak tightness is restored as described above.

NRC FORM 366A (5-92)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95					
	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION	INFORMATION COLLE COMMENTS REGAR INFORMATION AND	DING BURDEN E RECORDS MANAGEM REGULATORY COMMI TO THE PAPERWORK I OF MANAGEMEI	COMPLY WITH THIS 0.0 HRS. FORWARD STIMATE TO THE ENT BRANCH (MNBB SSION, WASHINGTON, REDUCTION PROJECT NT AND BUDGET,			
FACILITY NAME (1) Grand Gulf Nuclea	r Station, Unit 1	DOCKET NUMBER (2) 05000-416	95-012-01	PAGE (3) 5 OF 6			

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

Investigation of the event revealed that additional openings in the control room envelope boundary could have existed. If so, the maximum opening size in the control room envelope boundary at any given time during this event could have been 1879 in².

A review of the Plant Data System's historical trend data reveals that during the entire time the access doors were open, the control room HVAC was operating in its normal mode. This means the control room HVAC Unit "A" was in operation and supplying outside air to the control room envelope and the control room utility exhaust fan was exhausting a lesser amount of air from the control room envelope. This results in the control room envelope remaining slightly pressurized during the events described in this LER; therefore, the control room operator's were at no risk with regards to a toxic chemical accident with the control room HVAC system in its normal mode of operation.

If the control room HVAC system had swapped to the isolation mode while the openings were present, the control room operators would have remained in no danger with regards to a toxic chemical accident. In the unlikely event of a toxic chemical accident, the toxic chemical plume would have had to traverse the plant yard and penetrate a concrete wall rated for 3 psi pressure differential or through two sets of doors to enter the area in the control building where the HVAC unit is located.

Should the toxic chemical plume enter the control building, it would have to travel to the control room with no driving force (i.e., "B" train ductwork not pressurized, no wind since indoors). Considering the fact that the plume would have had to navigate a circuitous path with no driving force, it is concluded that it would have been highly unlikely for a toxic chemical plume to have reached the control room operators with unacceptable toxicity levels.

As a result of this temporary condition, the overall radiological risk to the control room operators was very insignificant. They also were at no risk with regards to a toxic chemical accident with the control room HVAC system in its normal or isolated mode of operation.

Immediate corrective actions were initiated to resolve any safety concerns.

Attachment to GNRO-96/00014

NRC FORM 366A (6-92)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION		APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95				
	ICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION	INFORMATION COLLE COMMENTS REGAR INFORMATION AND	IDING BURDEN EI RECORDS MANAGEMI REGULATORY COMMIT TO THE PAPERWORK I OF MANAGEMEN	COMPLY WITH THIS 0.0 HRS. FORWARD STIMATE TO THE ENT BRANCH (MNBB SSION, WASHINGTON, REDUCTION PROJECT KT AND BUDGET,			
FACILITY NAME (1) Grand Gulf Nuclear	Station, Unit 1	DOCKET NUMBER (2) 05000-416	95-012-01	PAGE (3) 6 OF 6			

TEXT (if more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

G. Additional Information

This is an event similar to that reported by LER 93-007-00 on 08-26-93, and LER 93-007-01 on 12-03-93. As a result of this event, Incident Report 95-11-1 and Quality Deficiency Report 171-93 Supplement 1 were initiated.

Energy Industry Identification System (EIIS) codes are identified in the text within brackets [].