



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 76 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-3

TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY

AND

THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY
DAVIS-BESSE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 1

DOCKET NO. 50-346

INTRODUCTION

By letters dated December 26, 1980 (Item 5) and July 10, 1981, which were superseded by letter of July 8, 1983, Toledo Edison Company (the licensee) made application to amend Facility Operating License No. NPF-3 for the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 1, to reflect changes that were made in names and titles of both the Company Nuclear Review Board (CNRB) and the Station Review Board (SRB) membership requirements, the relocation of some groups from the site organization to the corporate organization, and the deletion of licensed designations from positions in the SRB.

EVALUATION

The staff has reviewed the proposed changes and find that management control and lines of authority and communication have not been weakened by the proposed changes in the off-site or on-site structures and the QA organization has retained the safe independence and authority as is in the current Technical Specifications. We find that the station superintendent authority has not been weakened or diffused by the proposed on-site structure changes. The deletion of the operator license designations from positions in the on-site operating organization from Figure 6.6-2 does not relieve the licensee from these requirements of ANSI N18.1-1971, Selection and Training of Nuclear Power Plant Personnel for operations Management (Section 4.4.2), Intermediate and First Line Supervisors (Section 4.3.1) and Operators (Section 5.4.1). Based on the staff's review, the proposed Technical Specification changes are acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

This amendment relates to changes in recordkeeping, reporting, or administrative procedures or requirements. Accordingly, this amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

CONCLUSION

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Dated: November 5, 1984

The following NRC personnel have contributed to this Safety Evaluation:
W. G. Rogers