NUREG-0750 Vol. 20 Index 2

INDEXES TO NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION ISSUANCES

July - December 1984



U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Available from

NRC/GPO Sales Program

Superintendent of Documents Government Printing Office Washington, D.C. 20402

A year's subscription consists of 12 softbound issues, 4 indexes, and 2 hardbound editions for this publication.

Single copies of this publication are available from National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161

Microfiche of single copies are available from NRC/GPO Sales Program Washington, D.C. 20555

Errors in this publication may be reported to Vicki E. Yanez, Division of Technical Information and Document Control, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555 (301/492-8925)

NUREG-0750 Vol. 20 Index 2

INDEXES TO NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION ISSUANCES

July - December 1984

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Foreword

Digests and indexes for issuances of the Commission (CLI), the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Panel (ALAB), the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel (LBP), the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ), the Directors' Decisions (DD), and the Denials of Petitions of Rulemaking are presented in this document. These digests and indexes are intended to serve as a guide to the issuances.

Information elements common to the cases heard and ruled upon are:

Case name (owner(s) of facility)

Full text reference (volume and pagination)

Issuance number

Issues raised by appellants

Legal citations (cases, regulations, and statutes)

Name of facility, Docket number

Subject matter of issues and/or rulings

Type of hearing (for construction permit, operating license, etc.)

Type of issuance (memorandum, order, decision, etc.).

These information elements are displayed in one or more of five separate formats arranged as follows:

1. Case Name Index

The case name index is an alphabetical arrangement of the case names of the issuances. Each case name is followed by the type of hearing, the type of issuance, docket number, issuance number, and full text reference.

2. Digests and Headers

The headers and digests are presented in issuance number order as follows: the Commission (CLI), the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Panel (ALAB), the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel (LBP), the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ), the Directors' Decisions (DD), and the Denials of Petitions for Rulemaking.

The header identifies the issuance by issuance number, case name, facility name, docket number, type of hearing, date of issuance, and type of issuance.

The digest is a brief narrative of an issue followed by the resolution of the issue and any legal references used in resolving the issue. If a given issuance covers more than one issue, then separate digests are used for each issue and are designated alphabetically.

3. Legal Citations Index

This index is divided into four parts and consists of alphabetical or alphanumerical arrangements of Cases, Regulations, Statutes, and Others. These citations are listed as given in the issuances. Changes in regulations and Statutes may have occurred to cause changes in the number or name and/or applicability of the citation. It is therefore important to consider the date of the issuance.

The references to cases, regulations, statutes, and others are generally followed by phrases that show the application of the citation in the particular issuance. These phrases are followed by the issuance number and the full text reference.

4. Subject Index

Subject words and/or phrases, arranged alphabetically, indicate the issues and subjects covered in the issuances. The subject headings are followed by phrases that give specific information about the subject, as discussed in the issuances being indexed. These phrases are followed by the issuance number and the full text reference.

5. Facility Index

This index consists of an alphabetical arrangement of facility names from the issuance. The name is followed by docket number, type of hearing, date, type of issuance, issuance number, and full text reference.

BOSTON EDISON COMPANY

REQUEST FOR ACTION, FINAL DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; Docket No. 50-293; DD-84-15, 20 NRC 157 (1984)

CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY and NORTH CAROLINA EASTERN MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY

OPERATING LICENSE; FINAL SET OF RULINGS ON ADMISSIBILITY OF OFFSITE EMERGENCY PLANNING CONTENTIONS, RULING ON PETITION FOR WAIVER OF NEED-FOR-POWER RULE, AND NOTICE OF UPCOMING TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL, Docket Nos. 50-400, 50-401 (ASLBP No. 82-472-03-OL); LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 389 (1984)

CINCINNATI GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al.

WITHDRAWAL OF OPERATING LICENSE APPLICATION, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; Docket No. 50-358-OL (ASLBP No. 76-317-01-OL), LBP-84-33, 20 NRC 765 (1984)

CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY, et al.

OPERATING LICENSE, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER, Docket Nos. 50-440-OL, 50-441-OL; LBP-84-28, 20 NRC 129 (1984); LBP-84-40, 20 NRC 1181 (1984)

REQUEST FOR ACTION, DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; Docket No. 50-441, DD-84-23, 20 NRC 1549 (1984)

COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY

OPERATING LICENSE; DECISION; Docket Nos. STN 50-454, STN 50-455; ALAB-793, 20 NRC 1591 (1984)

NAME INDEX

CASE

OPERATING LICENSE, SUPPLEMENTAL INITIAL DECISION; Docket Nos. STN 50-454-OL, STN 50-455-OL (ASLBP No. 79-411-04-OL); LBP-84-41, 20 NRC 1203 (1984)

CONSUMERS POWER COMPANY

OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT; INITIAL DECISION: Docket No. 50-155-OLA (ASLBP No. 79-432-11-LA); LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT; SUPPLEMENTAL INITIAL DECISION; Docket No. 50-155-OLA (ASLBP No. 79-432-11-LA); LBP-84-38, 20 NRC 1019 (1984)

REOLEST FOR ACTION, DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; Docket Nos. 50-329, 50-330; DD-84-17, 20 NRC 226 (1984)

REQUEST FOR SHOW-CAUSE ORDER: DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; Docket No. 50-155; DD-84-25, 20 NRC 1703 (1984)

CRITICAL MASS ENERGY PROJECT, et al.

REQUEST FOR AMENDMENT OF REGULATIONS, DENIAL OF PETITION FOR RULEMAKING, Docket No. PRM-71-6, DPRM-84-2, 20 NRC 1563 (1984)

DUKE POWER COMPANY, et al.

OPERATING LICENSE; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER, Docket Nos. 50-413-OL, 50-414-OL; ALAB-794, 20 NRC, 1630 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE; PARTIAL INITIAL DECISION; Docket Nos. 50-413, 50-414 (ASLBP No. 81-463-06-0L); LBP-84-52, 20 NRC 1484 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE; SUPPLEMENTAL PARTIAL INITIAL DECISION ON EMERGENCY PLANNING; Docket Mos. 50-413-OL, 50-414-OL (ASLBP No. 81-463-06-OL); LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 933 (1984)

REQUEST FOR ACTION; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; Docket Nos. 50-413, 50-414; DD-84-16, 20 NRC 161 (1984)

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

OPERATING LICENSE RENEWAL MEMORANDUM AND ORDER, Docket No. 50-70-OLR (ASLBP No. 83-481-01-OLR), LBP-84-54, 20 NRC 1637 (1984)

GEORGIA POWER COMPANY, et al.

OPERATING LICENSE, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER, Docket Nos. 50-424-OL, 50-425-OL (ASLBP No. 84-499-01-OL); LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984); LBP-84-49, 20 NRC 1457 (1984)

GPU NUCLEAR CORPORATION

REQUEST FOR ACTION; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C F.R. § 2.206; Docket No. 50-289; DD-84-22, 20 NRC 1033 (1984)

GULF STATES UTILITIES COMPANY, et al.

OPERATING LICENSE; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; Docket Nos. 50-458-OL, 50-459-OL (ASLBP No. 82-468-01-OL); LBP-84-51, 20 NRC 1478 (1984)

KANSAS GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al.

OPERATING LICENSE: DECISION: Docket No. 50-482-OL; ALAB-784, 20 NRC 845 (1984)
OPERATING LICENSE: INITIAL DECISION: Docket No. 50-482-OL (ASLBP No. 81-453-03-OL): LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; Docket No. 50-482-OL (ASLBP No. 81-453-03-OL), LBP-84-27, 20 NRC 125 (1984)

KERR-MCGEE CHEMICAL CORPORATION

MATERIALS LICENSE AMENDMENT; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; Docket No. 40-2061-ML (ASLBP No. 83-495-01-ML); LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1296 (1984)

LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY

DISQUALIFICATION: MEMORANDUM, Docket No. 50-322-OL; ALAB-779, 20 NRC 375 (1984); CLI-84-20*, 20 NRC 1061 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE, DECISION, Docket No. 50-322-OL, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) OPERATING LICENSE, INITIAL DECISION, Docket No. 50-322-OL-4 (ASLBP No.

77-347-01C-OL) (Low Power); LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1343 (1984) OPERATING LICENSE, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; Docket No. 50-322-OL; LBP-84-30, 20

NRC 426 (1984)
OPERATING LICENSE, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER, Docket No. 50-322-OL-3 (Emergency Planning), ALAB-780, 20 NRC 378 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE: MEMORANDUM AND ORDER, Docket No. 50-322-OL-4 (Low Power), ALAB-777, 20 NRC 21 (1984); ALAB-787, 20 NRC 1097 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE: MEMORANDUM AND ORDER: Docket Nos. 50-322-OL, 50-322-OL-4; CLI-84-21, 20 NRC 1437 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER RULING ON REMAND ISSUES, Docket No. 50-322-OL, LBP-84-53, 20 NRC 1531 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE; ORDER; Docket No. 50-322-OL-4 (Low Power); CLI-84-16, 20 NRC 799 (1984); LBP-84-35A, 20 NRC 920 (1984)

LOUISIANA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

OPERATING LICENSE, MEMORANDUM; Docket No. 50-382-OL; ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER, Docket No. 50-382-OL, ALAB-786, 20 NRC 1087 (1984)

METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY, et al.

OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT; INITIAL DECISION, Docket No. 50-289-OLA (ASLBP No. 83-49)-04-OLA) (Steam Generator Repair); LBP-84-47, 20 NRC 1405 (1984)

REQUEST FOR LICENSE SUSPENSION; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; Docket No. 50-289; DD-84-18, 20 NRC 243 (1984)

SPECIAL PROCEEDING: DECISION: Docket No. 50-289-SP; CLI-84-II, 20 NRC I (1984) SPECIAL PROCEEDING: MEMORANDUM AND ORDER: Docket No. 50-289-SP (Restart Proceeding-Management Remand); ALAB-791, 20 NRC 1579 (1984)

SPECIAL PROCEEDING: ORDER, Docket No. 50-289-SP (Restart): CLI-84-17, 20 NRC 801 (1984); CLI-84-18, 20 NRC 808 (1984); CLI-84-22, 20 NRC 1573 (1984)

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY, et al.

OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT: MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; Docket No. 50-416-OLA (ASLBP No. 84-497-04-OL); LBP-84-39, 20 NRC 1031 (1984)

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY, MIDDLE SOUTH ENERGY, INC., and SOUTH MISSISSIPPI ELECTRIC POWER ASSOCIATION

OPERATING LICENSE: ORDER: Docket No. 50-416, CLI-84-19, 20 NRC 1055 (1984)
REQUEST FOR ACTION: DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; Docket No. 50-416; DD-84-21, 20 NRC 788 (1984)

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

OPERATING LICENSE; DECISION; Docket Nos. 50-275-OL, 50-323-OL; ALAB-781, 20 NRC 819 (1984); CLI-84-12, 20 NRC 249 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; Docket Nos. 50-275-OL, 50-323-OL; ALAB-782, 20 NRC 838 (1984); CLI-84-13, 20 NRC 267 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE: ORDER: Docket Nos. 50-275-OL, 50-323-OL; CLI-84-13A, 20 NRC 283 (1984); CLI-84-14, 20 NRC 285 (1984)

REQUEST FOR ACTION: DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; Docket No. 50-275; DD-84-20, 20 NRC 776 (1984)

REQUEST FOR ACTION: INTERIM DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; Docket Nos. 50-275, 50-323; DD-84-19, 20 NRC 773 (1984)

PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY

CONSTRUCTION PERMIT: INITIAL DECISION: Docket Nos. 50-463-CP, 50-464-CP (ASLBP No. 76-300-01-CP): LBP-84-43, 20 NRC 1333 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE; DECISION; Docket Nos. 50-352, 50-353; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984)
OPERATING LICENSE; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; Docket Nos. 50-352, 50-353;
ALAB-789, 20 NRC 1443 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE, SECOND PARTIAL INITIAL DECISION, Docket Nos. 50-352-OL, 50-353-OL (ASLBP No. 81-465-07-OL); LBP-84-31, 20 NEC 446 (1984)

PART 70 LICENSE; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; Docket Nos. 50-352, 50-353; ALAB-778, 20 NRC 42 (1984)

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al.

OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT, INITIAL DECISION, Docket No. 50-344-OLA (ASLBP No. 84-498-05-OLA) (SFP Amendment); LBP-84-52A, 20 NRC 1509 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; Docket No.

50-244-OLA (ASLBP No. 79-427-07-OLA); LBP-84-34, 20 NRC 769 (1984)
RULEMAKING ON THE STORAGE AND DISPOSAL OF NUCLEAR WASTE

RULEMAKING, DECISION, Docket Nos. PR-50, PR-51 (44 Fed. Reg. 61,372); CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 288 (1984)

SHIPMENTS OF SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL

REQUEST FOR ACTION, DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; DD-84-24, 20 NRC 1557 (1984)

SUFFOLK COUNTY AND STATE OF NEW YORK MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION OF CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE COTTER

DISQUALIFICATION; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER: Docket No. 50-322-OL-4 (ASLBP No. 84-503-01 Misc.); LBP-84-29A, 20 NRC 385 (1984)

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

CONSTRUCTION PERMIT, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER: Decket Nos. STN 50-518, STN 50-520; ALAB-783, 20 NRC 843 (1984)

CONSTRUCTION PERMIT, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER, Docket Nos. STN 50-566, STN 50-567, ALAB-783, 20 NRC 843 (1984)

TEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al.

OPERATING LICENSE, MEMORANDUM; Docket Nos. 50-445, 50-446; LBP-84-30A, 20 NRC 443 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; Docket Nos. 50-445; 50-446; LBP-84-44, 20 NRC 1340 (1984); LBP-84-46, 20 NRC 1403 (1984); L3P-84-55, 20 NRC 1646 (1984); LBP-84-56, 20 NRC 1696 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE. MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; Docket Nos. 50-445-OL-2, 50-446-OL-2 (ASLBP No. 79-430-06A-OL); LBP-84-36, 20 NRC 928 (1984); LBP-84-48, 20 NRC 1455 (1984); LBP-84-50, 20 NRC 1464 (1984)

THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA FACILITY LICENSE RENEWAL; MEMORANDUM; Docket No. 50-142-OL; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 133 (1984) VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER, Docket Nos. 50-338-OLA-1, 50-339-OLA-1 (ASLBP No. 83-481-01-LA), Docket Nos. 50-338-OLA-2, 50-339-OLA-2 (ASLBP No. 83-482-02-LA); LBP-84-40A, 20 NRC 1195 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; Docket Nos. 50-338-OLA-2, 50-339-OLA-2; ALAB-790, 20 NRC 1450 (1984)

ISSUANCES OP THE NECTEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DICESTS

DIGESTS ISSUANCES OF THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

CLI-84-11 METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY, et al. (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1), Docket No. 50-289-SP; SPECIAL PROCEEDING; July 26, 1984; DECISION

A The Commission reviews five issues decided by the Appeal Board in ALAB-729, 17

NRC 814 (1983) on plant design and procedures in this restart proceeding. The Commission decides four of the issues on the basis of the record in the proceeding, finding with respect to each that the existing evidence provides reasonable assurance of safe operation. The Commission refers a fifth issue (pertaining to environmental qualification of electrical equipment) to the staff for specified action, subject to possible further decision by the Commission.

The Commission's generic rulemaking on environmental qualification of equipment does not preclude challenges to the continued operation of plants where it is alleged those plants cannot be safely operated because of specific environmental qualification deficiencies.

The boards must closely examine any accident sequence which in their judgment poses an unacceptable risk to the public health and safety. Probabilistic or numerical calculations or any other mit gative actions deemed necessary by the boards may be included in such an examination. Florida Power & Light Co. (St. Lucie Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2), CLI-81-12, 13 NRC 838, 841-44 (1981)

838, 843-44 (1981).

When reasonable questions are raised regarding the reliability of a plant system, a board has discretion to examine that system, even if it is safety-grade, to determine whether it poses an unacceptable risk to public health and safety. In making such an examination, a board may use the test available data, even if not plant-specific.

CLI-84-12 PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2), Docket Nos. 50-275-OL, 50-323-OL, OPERATING LICENSE, August 10, 1984;

DECISION

A The Commission determines that the circumstances in this case do not provide a basis for departure from its decision in Southern California Edison Co. (San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3), CLI-81-53, 14 NRC 1091 (1981), that (1) NRC regulations do not require consideration of the impacts of earthquakes on emergency planning, and (2) the determination of whether to amend the regulations to include the consideration of earthquakes should be addressed as a generic matter. The Commission decides to initiate such a rulemaking and, further, determines that the issuance of a full-power operating license in this proceeding need

not be delayed until its conclusion.

The Commission will not license a nuclear power plant unless it can make the statutorily required finding that operation of the plant will not result in undue risk to public health and refer.

CLI-84-13 PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units I and 2), Docket Nos. 50-275-OL, 50-323-OL; OPERATING LICENSE; August 10, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

A The Commission determines to make effective, without prejudice to the pending appeals and petitions for review of the various licensing and appeal board decisions in this proceeding, the Licensing Board's fourth and final Partial Initial Decision authorizing the issuance of a full-power license for the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant Unit 1, LBP-82-70, 16 NRC 756 (1982), and, further, concludes that the license conditions imposed by the Board have been fulfilled and all other matters resolved so that the license may be issued.

CLI-84-13A PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2). Docket Nos. 50-275-OL, 50-323-OL; OPERATING LICENSE; September 12, 1984; ORDER

DIGESTS ISSUANCES OF THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

- CLI-84-14 PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2), Docket Nos. 50-275-OL, 50-323-OL; OPERATING LICENSE; August 20, 1984; ORDER
 - A The Commission decides not to review the Appeal Board's conclusions contained in ALAB-763, 19 NRC 571 (1984), concerning the adequacy of the operating license applicant's quality assurance program, except for a matter relating to the propriety of the Appeal Board's exclusion of certain contentions from the reopened hearing that was the subject of ALAB-763. The Commission indicates its agreement with the Appeal Board's exclusion of those contentions, but modifies the Board's reasoning for that action.

CLI-84-15 RULEMAKING ON THE STORAGE AND DISPOSAL OF NUCLEAR WASTE (Waste Confidence Rulemaking), Docket Nos. PR-50, PR-51 (44 Fed. Reg. 61,372); RULE-MAKING; August 22, 1984; DECISION

- The Commission sets out its findings in this waste confidence rulemaking proceeding called for by the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit in Minnesota v. NRC, 602 F.2d 412 (1979). In general, the Commission finds that it can, with reasonable assurance, reach favorable conclusions with respect to the safe storage and disposal of high-level radioactive waste and spent fuel. Specifically the Commission finds reasonable assurance that: (1) safe disposal of high-level radioactive waste and spent fuel in a mined geologic repository is technically feasible; (2) one or more mined geologic repositories for commercial high-level radioactive waste and spent fuel will be available by the years 2007-09, and that sufficient repository capacity will be available within 30 years beyond expiration of any reactor operating license to dispose of existing commercial high-level radioactive waste and spent fuel originating in such reactor and generated up to that time; (3) high-level radioactive waste and spent fuel will be managed in a safe manner until sufficient repository capacity is available to assure the safe disposal of all highlevel radioactive waste and spent fuel; (4) if necessary, spent fuel generated in any reactor can be stored safely and without significant environmental impacts for at least 30 years beyond the expiration of that reactor's operating license at that reactor's spent fuel storage basin, or at either onsite or offsite independent spent fuel storage installations; and (5) safe independent onsite or offsite spent fuel storage will be made available if such storage capacity is needed.
- CLI-84-16 LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), Docket No. 50-322-OL-4 (Low Power), OPERATING LICENSE; September 7, 1984; ORDER
- A The Commission calls for the views of the parties concerning a September 5, 1984 Licensing Board Order (LBP-84-35A, 20 NRC 920) in this operating license proceeding.
- CLI-84-17 METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY, et al. (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1), Docket No. 50-289-SP (Restart); SPECIAL PROCEEDING; September 11, 1984; ORDER
 - A The Commission denies a request by the Licensee to stay the reopened management hearings in the Three Mile Island, Unit 1, restart proceeding based upon its determination that the stay criteria are not satisfied and it grants an intervenor's motion to lift the stay of the reopened hearings on certain other allegations.
- B The four factors to be considered in deciding whether to grant a stay request, as set forth in 10 C F.R. § 2.788(e), are: (1) Whether the moving party has made a strong showing that it is likely to prevail on the merits; (2) Whether the party will be irreparably injured unless a stay is granted; (3) Whether the granting of a stay would harm other parties; and (4) Where the public interest lies.
- C The most significant factor in deciding whether to grant a stay request is "whether the party requesting a stay has shown that it will be irreparably injured unless a stay is granted." Westinghouse Electric Corp. (Export to the Philippines), CLI-80-14, 11 NRC 631, 662 (1980).
- D Mere litigation expense, even substantial and unrecoupable cost, does not constitute irreparable injury. Consumers Power Co. (Midland Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-395, 5 NRC 772, 779 (1977), quoting Renegotiation Board v. Bannercraft Co., 415 U.S. 1, 24 (1974).
- CLI-84-18 METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY, et al. (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1), Docket No. 50-289-SP (Restart); SPECIAL PROCEEDING; September 11, 1984; ORDER
 - A In order to determine whether further hearings are required in this special restart proceeding for Unit 1 of the Three Mile Island (TMI) nuclear power plant and the scope of any

DIGESTS ISSUANCES OF THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

such hearings, the Commission (1) decides to review certain portions of the Appeal Board decisions in ALAB-772, 19 NRC 1193 (1984), and ALAB-738, 18 NRC 177 (1983), and (2) requests the views of the parties regarding additional hearings. The Commission also announces its intention to determine whether the plant must remain shut down pending more hearings, should it find such hearings are required.

CLI-84-19 MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY, MIDDLE SOUTH ENERGY, INC., and SOUTH MISSISSIPPI ELECTRIC POWER ASSOCIATION (Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Unit

1), Docket No. 50-416; OPERATING LICENSE; October 25, 1984, ORDER

To avoid giving the erroneous impression that by designating its full-power authorization for the Grand Gulf facility a "license amendment" (to a previously issued facility license authorizing low-power operation) it intended to create new hearing rights under § 189a of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission orders the Staff to replace the "license amendment" with a separate full-power license.

CLI-84-20 LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1). Docket No. 50-322-OL-4; DISQUALIFICATION, September 21, 1984; MEMORANDUM

The Chairman of the NRC, finding that the standards for disqualification have not been met, denies on the merits and as untimely a motion filed by intervenors to the Shoreham licensing proceeding that sought his recusal.

CLI-84-21 LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1). Docket Nos. 50-322-OL, 50-322-OL-4; OPERATING LICENSE; November 21, 1984; MEMO-

RANDUM AND ORDER

Upon review of the Licensing Board's September 5, 1984 Order authorizing fuel loading and precritical and cold critical testing at the Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1, the Commission determines that the order may become effective only after another Licensing Board in this proceeding resolves certain issues remanded to it in ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) in favor of the applicant (or determines that they are not material to low-power testing), and the Staff resolves any remaining uncontested issues. The effectiveness of any Licensing Board order regarding the remanded (ALAB-738) issues is delayed by the Commission until 7 days after issuance.

Every health and safety regulation is not necessarily applicable to fuel loading and to В every phase of low-power operation. Rather, simple logic and common sense indicate that some regulations should have no application to fuel loading or some phases of low-power operation.

In determining whether to grant a stay, the Commission or the adjudicatory boards consider (1) whether the moving party has made a strong showing that it is likely to prevail on the merits; (2) whether the party will be irreparably injured unless a stay is granted; (3) harm to other parties should a stay be granted; and (4) where the public interest lies. 10 C.F.R.

The Commission is obligated under the Administrative Procedure Act and under principles of fair and efficient administration to act with reasonable dispatch on requests for licenses.

CLI-84-22 METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY, et al. (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station Unit 1), Docket No. 50-289-SP (Restart); SPECIAL PROCEEDING; December 13, 1984; ORDER

The Commission denies the Intervenors' motion to defer a decision on restart of Three Mile Island Unit 1 pending investigation of alleged radiation effects of the March 1979 Unit 2 accident on the health of the local population, finding the motion and its supporting data insufficient to call into question results of previous scientific studies that indicated such radiation releases will pose minimal risks to the population.

DICESTS

DIGESTS ISSUANCES OF THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING APPEAL BOARDS

ALAB-777 LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1),
Docket No. 50-322-OL-4 (Low Power); OPERATING LICENSE; July 20, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Acting on a referral by the Licensing Board of its ruling denying intervenors' motion for disqualification of all three members of one of three Licensing Boards considering issues in this operating license proceeding, the Appeal Board finds the disqualification motion both legally and factually insubstantial and affirms the Licensing Board's denial of the motion.

A supporting affidavit is required to accompany a motion for disqualification of an adjudicatory board member even where the factual underpinnings of the motion are matters of public record. 10 C.F.R. 2.704(c); Duquesne Light Co. (Beaver Valley Power Station, Units 1 and 2). ALAB-172, 7 AEC 42, 43 n.2 (1974); Detroit Edison Co. (Greenwood Energy Center, Units 2 and 3), ALAB-225, 8 AEC 379, 380 (1974). See also Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-749, 18 NRC 1195, 1197 n.1 (1983).

Motions for disqualification or recusal must be submitted as soon as practicable after a party has reasonable cause to believe that grounds for disqualification exist. Seabrook, supra, 18 NRC at 1198, quoting from Marcus v. Director, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, 548 F 2d 1044, 1051 (D.C. Cir. 1976).

An administrative trier of fact (like a federal judge) is subject to disqualification if he or she has a direct, personal, substantial pecuniary interest in a result; if he or she has a personal bias against a participant; if he or she has served in a prosecutive or investigative role with regard to the same facts as are in issue; if he or she has prejudged factual — as distinguished from legal or policy — issues, or if he or she has engaged in conduct which gives the appearance of personal bias or prejudgment of factual issues. Public Service Electric and Gas Co. (Hope Creek Generating Station, Unit 1), ALAB-759, 19 NRC 13, 20 (1984), Consumers Power Co. (Midland Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-101, 6 AEC 60, 65 (1973). See also Houston Lighting and Power Co. (South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2), CLI-82-9, 15 NRC 1363, 1365-67 (1982); Cinderella Career and Finishing Schools, Inc. v. FTC, 425 F.2d 583, 591 (D.C. Cir, 1970).

In order to provide a basis for disqualification on prejudgment grounds, the asserted prejudgment (or appearance of prejudgment) must relate to factual, as distinguished from legal or policy, issues. Southern Pacific Communications Co. v. AT&T, 740 F.2d 980, 990-91 (D.C. Cir. 1984).

F The fact that a member of an adjudicatory tribunal may have a crystallized point of view on questions of law or policy is not a basis for his or her disqualification. Midland, supra, 6 AEC at 66; Northern Indiana Public Service Co. (Bailly Generating Station, No. 1), ALAB-76, 5 AEC 312, 313 (1972). See also Southern Pacific Communications Co. v. AT&T, supra.

ALAB-778 PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY (Limerick Generating Station, Units 1 and 2), Docket Nos. 50-352, 50-353; PART 70 LICENSE; July 23, 1984, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER.

A The Appeal Board affirms the Licensing Board's oral ruling denying the intervenor's motion for a hearing in connection with the applicant's revised request for authority under 10 C.F.R. Part 70 to ship, receive and store new fuel at the Limerick site prior to receip of an operating license for the plant. The Appeal Board also denies the intervenor's request for a stay of any movement of new fuel from the outdoor storage area to inside the plant.

B A licensing board ruling that removes any possible adjudicatory impediments to the issuance of a 10 C.F.R. Part 70 license by the Director of the Office of Nuclear Material Safety

and Safeguards (NMSS) is immediately appealable. Philadelphia Electric Co. (Limerick Generating Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-765, 19 NRC 645, 648 n.1 (1984).

A Part 70 materials license does not permit operation of a reactor at any power level, or even loading of the fuel into the reactor vessel.

D Proof of service should accompany all filings with the Commission. A certificate of service should show the names and addresses of the persons served, the manner of service (e.g., deposit in the U.S. mail), the date of service, and averment of the person making service. See 10 C.F.R. §§ 2.712(e), 2.701(b). All filings must also be submitted to the Commission's Public Document Room or Secretary, 10 C.F.R. § 2.701(a).

E Even though represented in proceedings by a non-lawyer, a party is expected to comply with the rules of practice. See Pennsylvania Power and Light Co. (Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-563, 10 NRC 449, 450 n.1 (1979).

F An adjudicatory decision is usually the product of the arguments raised by the litigants. A party cannot be heard to complain later about a decision that fails to address an issue no one sought to raise.

Notwithstanding the absence of a hearing on an application for a materials license under 10 C.F.R. Part 70, the Commission's regulations require the staff to make a number of findings concerning the applicant and its ability to protect the public health and safety before issuance of the license. See 10 C.F.R. §§ 70.23, 70.31. Cf. South Cartina Electric and Gas Co. (Virgil C. Summer Nuclear Station, Unit 1), ALAB-642, 13 NRC 881, 89-96 (1981), aff'd sub nom. Fair-field United Action v. NRC, 679 F.2d 261 (D.C. Cir. 1982).

H An amendment to a Part 70 application gives rise to the same rights and duties as the original application.

A person whose interest may be affected by Part 70 licensing action is entitled to some form of adjudication of that interest, though it need not be a formal hearing before a licensing board. See section 189a(1) of the Atomic Energy Act, 42 U.S.C. § 2239a(1). The consistent agency practice, however, is for licensing boards, already presiding at operating license hearings, to act on requests to raise Part 70 issues involving the same facility. Limerick, supra, ALAB-765, 19 NRC at 651-52.

To be admissible for litigation in a licensing proceeding, the contention and its bases must be set forth with reasonable specificity. 10 C.F.R. § 2.714(b).

K The following technical issues are discussed: Handling and Storage of New Fuel at the Reactor Site; Fire Protection of New Fuel at the Reactor Site.

ALAB-779 LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), Docket No. 50-322-OL; DISQUALIFICATION; August 3, 1984; MEMORANDUM

A The Appeal Board explains, for the benefit of the parties and the Commission, its agreement with the determination of the Chief Administrative Judge of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel not to refer to the Appeal Board his denial of intervenor's motion calling for his disqualification from participation in any matters concerning the Shoreham facility.

B The Commission's regulation at 10 C.F.R. § 2.704(c) provides for referral to the Commission or Appeal Board of only those disqualification motions addressed to the presiding officer or a designated member of a licensing board.

ALAB-780 LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), Docket No. 50-322-OL-3 (Emergency Planning): OPERATING LICENSE; August 15, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

The Appeal Board denies as interlocutory a party's appeal of a Licensing Board order denying that party's request for discovery. Treating the appeal as a motion for directed certification of the order, the Appeal Board denies the motion.

B Section 2 30(f) of 10 C F R, generally prohibits interlocutory appeals. The single exception to that prohibition is found in 10 C F R, 2.714a, which allows an appeal from certain orders entered on petitions for leave to intervene in an adjudicatory proceeding.

An order granting discovery against a non-party to a proceeding has all of the attributes of finality insofar as that non-party is concerned and, thus, is appealable as a matter of right. Commonwealth Edison Co. (Zion Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-116, 6 AEC 258, 258 (1973). See also Long Island Lighting Co. (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), ALAB-773, 19 NRC 1333 (1984).

D An order that denies discovery by quashing a subpoena addressed to a non-party is wholly interlocutory in character and, accordingly, is not immediately appealable. Zion, supra. 6 AEC at 258: 10 C F R. 2.730(f).

A Licensing Board ruling normally will qualify for discretionary interlocutory review only if it either (1) threatens the party adversely affected by it with immediate and serious irreparable impact which, as a practical matter, could not be alleviated by a later appeal, or (2) affects the basic structure of the proceeding in a pervasive or unusual manner. Public Service Co. of Indiana (Marbie Hill Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-405, 5 NRC 1190, 1192 (1977). Discovery rulings rarely meet those tests. Consumers Power Co. (Midland Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-634, 13 NRC 96, 99 (1981). See also Houston Lighting and Power Co. (South Texas Prosect, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-608, 12 NRC 168, 170 (1980).

ALAB-781 PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2), Docket Nos. 50-275-OL, 50-323-OL; OPERATING LICENSE; September 6,

1984: DECISION

Upon the appeals of intervenors and the Governor of California, the Appeal Board affirms (with respect to Unit 1) the initial decision of the Licensing Board authorizing the issuance of a full power license for the Diablo Canyon nuclear facility. Consideration of Unit 2 by the Appeal Board is postponed, pending the Board's completion of findings of fact for that unit.

Exceptions to an initial decision that are not briefed on appeal are deemed waived. See Public Service Electric and Gas Co. (Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 1), ALAB-650, 14 NRC 43, 49 (1981), aff'd sub nom., Township of Lower Alloways Creek v. Public Service Electric & Gas Co., 687 F.2d 732 (1982); Public Service Co. of Indiana (Marble Hill Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-461, 7 NRC 313, 315 (1978).

NRC regulations do not require specific consideration of the impacts of earthquakes on emergency planning. Southern California Edison Co. (San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3), CLI-81-33, 14 NRC 1091 (1981). See also Pacific Gas and Electric Co. (Diable Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-728, 17 NRC 777, 793 (1983); CLI-84-12.

20 NRC 249, 250 (1984).

The Commission's June 13, 1980 policy statement entitled "Nuclear Power Plant Accident Considerations Under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969," 45 Fed. Reg. 40,101, does not mandate that the agency consider Class 9 accident sequences for plants, like Diablo Canyon, where the final Environmental Impact Statement has already been issued, unless there is a showing of special circumstances. In this instance, location of a nuclear power plant in a region of known seismicity is not a "special circumstance" under the policy statement.

The Commission's emergency response regulations, 10 C.F.R. 50.47(a)(2), do not require "final" Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) findings on the adequacy of off-site emergency response plans before a license may be authorized. Pacific Gas and Electric Co. (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-776, 19 NRC 1373 (1984).

Central to the development of offsite emergency response plans under the Commission's regulations is the concept of emergency planning zones (EPZs), i.e., those areas around a plant for which planning is needed so that timely and effective actions can be taken to protect the public in the event of a radiological emergency. See 10 C.F.R. 50.47(c)(2): 10 C.F.R. Part 50, Appendix E; "Criteria for Preparation and Evaluation of Radiological Emergency Response Plans and Preparedness in Support of Nuclear Power Plants," NUREG-0654/FEMA-REP-1, Rev. 1 (November 1980) at 10. The Commission's regulatory scheme contemplates the establishment of two such zones: the plume exposure pathway that "shall consist of an area about 10 miles (16 km) in radius" and the ingestion pathway that "shall consist of an area about 50 miles (80 km) in radius." 10 C.F.R. 50.47(c)(2).

The Commission's regulations require that emergency response planning within the emergency planning zones meet the requirements set forth in 10 C.F.R. 50.47(b). Section 50.47(c)(2) further provides that "[t]he exact size and configuration of the EPZs surrounding a particular nuclear power reactor shall be determined in relation to local emergency response needs and capabilities as they are affected by such conditions as demography, topography, land characteristics, access routes, and jurisdictional boundaries."

Although the regulations provide that the exact size and configuration of a particular EPZ is to be determined with reference to site-specific factors, the wholesale enlargement of the

Commission-prescribed EPZs by a state cannot preclude a licensing decision based upon the requirements of the NRC regulations. See Southern California Edison Co. (San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3), LBP-82-39, 15 NRC 1163, 1181 (1982), aff'd, ALAB-717, 17 NRC 346 (1983) (The Commission's regulations "clearly allow leeway for a mile or two in either direction, based on local factors. But it ... clearly precludes a plume EPZ radius of, say, 20 or more miles.")

A party seeking to impose a radical departure from the Commission's prescribed EPZs

should seek an exception to the rule pursuant to 10 C.F.R. 2.758.

The standard applicable to appeal board review of a licensing board's factual findings is whether an appeal board's examination of the evidence convinces it that the record compels a different result. See Northern States Power Co. (Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant, Unit 1). ALAB-611, 12 NRC 301, 304 (1980); Nisgara Mohawk Power Corp. (Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 2), ALAB-264, 1 NRC 347, 357 (1975).

The Commission's emergency response regulations contemplate, in appropriate circumstances, predictive findings on emergency response planning so that operation of a facility need not be delayed unnecessarily by the hearing process. See San Onofre, supra, 17 NRC at 380 n.57. See generally Detroit Edison Co. (Enrico Fermi Atomic Power Plant, Unit 2), ALAB-730. 17 NRC 1057, 1067 (1983).

A Licensing Board must adequately confront the conflicting viewpoints of expert witnesses and resolve each issue before it. See generally Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Sea-

brook Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-442, 6 NRC 33, 41 (1977).

ALAB-782 PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2). Docket Nos. 50-275-OL, 50-323-OL; OPERATING LICENSE; September 6, 1984: MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

The Appeal Board dismisses the joint intervenors' motion to reopen the Diablo Canyon

proceeding on seismic issues, finding that it lacks jurisdiction to consider the matter.

Under the terms of 10 C.F.R. 2.206, a party may request the Director of Nuclear Reactor B Regulation to institute a show-cause proceeding seeking to amend or revoke a nuclear power plant operating license.

When a discrete issue has been decided by an appeal board and the Commission declines to review that decision, agency action is final with respect to the issue and appeal board jurisdiction is terminated. Metropolitan Edison Co. (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit No. 1), ALAB-766, 19 NRC 981, 983 (1984); Virginia Electric and Power Co. (North Anna Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-551, 9 NRC 704, 708-09 (1979); Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Units I and 2), ALAB-513, 8 NRC 694, 695 (1978).

Where finality has attached to some but not all issues, appeal board jurisdiction to entertain new matters is dependent upon the existence of a "reasonable nexus" between those matters and the issues remaining before the board. See Virginia Electric and Power Co. (North Anna

Nuclear Power Station, Units I and 2), ALAB-551, 9 NRC 704, 707 (1979).

ALAB-783 TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY (Hartsville Nuclear Plant, Units 1A and 2A), Docket Nos. STN 50-518, STN 50-520, and (Yellow Creek Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2), Docket Nos. STN 50-566, STN 50-567; CONSTRUCTION PERMIT, September 11, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Based upon the cancellation of Units 1A and 2A of the proposed Hartsville Nuclear Plant and the proposed two-unit Yellow Creek Nuclear Plant, the Appeal Board terminates the limited jurisdiction it previously retained over the construction permit proceedings involving these facilities.

ALAB-784 KANSAS GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al. (Wolf Creek Generating Station, Unit 1), Docket No. 50-482-OL; OPERATING LICENSE, September 13, 1984; DECISION

The Appeal Board affirms an earlier order of the Licensing Board that dismissed an intervenor as a party to this operating license proceeding based upon the Licensing Board's determination that the intervenor's single contention concerned the financial qualifications of an applicant and, under the Commission's rules, such issues are not litigable in such proceedings. The Appeal Board's action is predicated upon the Commission's promulgation of a new rule that, like its predecessor, removed consideration of an applicant's financial qualifications from operating license proceedings.

- B Neither appeal boards nor licensing boards are empowered to entertain challenges to the legality of a Commission regulation. See 10 C.F.R. 2.758(a); see also Potomac Electric Power Co. (Douglas Point Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-218, 8 AEC 79, 89-90 (1974).
- ALAB-785 PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY Limerick Generating Station, Units 1 and 2),
 Docket Nos. 50-352, 50-353; OPERATING LICENSE; September 26, 1984; DECISION
 - A The Appeal Board affirms, in part, the Licensing Board's decisions in this operating license proceeding concerning the environmental impacts of the Limerick supplementary cooling water system, and remands two issues to the Licensing Board to afford the intervenor the opportunity to resubmit its contentions on those issues. Additionally, the Appeal Board denies the intervenor's motions to set aside the Licensing Board's decisions on the basis of new evidence.
 - B Federal agencies are precluded from taking action that "substantially conflict[s]" with a comprehensive plan for the development and use of the water resources of the Delaware River Basin (DRB) when it has been adopted by the Delaware River Basin Commission (DRBC) with the concurrence of the Commission's federal representative. See DRB Compact, Pub. L. No. 87-328, § 15.1(s)1, 1961 U.S. Code Cong. & Ad. News (75 Stat. 688) 775, 807-08.
 - C In the usual case, environmental hearings await the preparation and circulation of the staff's final environmental statement. See, e.g., Potomac Electric Power Co. (Douglas Point Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 apr 2), ALAB-277, 1 NRC 539, 546 (1975).
- D Although an agency must ordinarily adhere to its own rules and established practices, it is always within the discretion of an administrative agency to relax or modify its procedural rules adopted for the orderly transaction of business before it when in a given case the ends of justice require it. See American Farm Lines v. Black Ball Freight Service, 397 U.S. 532, 539 (1970), quoting NLRB v. Monsanto Chemical Co., 205 F 2d 763, 764 (8th Cir. 1953).
- E A licensing board may direct the staff to publish its environmental documents by specific dates if, after affording the parties including the staff opportunity to be heard on the matter, it finds no further delay is justified. Offshore Power Systems (Floating Nuclear Power Plants). ALAB-489, 8 NRC 194, 208 (1978). See also 49 Fed. Reg. 9352, 9361 & n.14, 9383-84 (1984) (the latter to be codified at 10 C.F.R. § 51.15).
- F NEPA does not address the timing of an environmental statement, as long as it is available by the time of the agency's recommendation or report on the proposed federal action. New England Coalition on Nuclear Pollution v. NRC, 582 F.2d 87, 93-94 (1st Cir. 1978).
- G The NRC could neither authorize a utility to withdraw water from the Delaware River in amounts that exceed that allocated by the DRBC, nor require the DRBC to make any particular allocation decision among the competing interests for the Delaware River. But the NRC is not precluded from examining the effects of the amount withdrawn for a nuclear power plant and acting to lessen the impact of a plant on the Delaware River.
- H The Commission has an independent responsibility to fulfill the purposes of NEPA to the fullest extent possible. 42 U.S.C. § 4332. See Tennessee Valley Authority (Phipps Bend Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-506, 8 NRC 533, 544-49 (1978). But see Bucks County Board of Commissioners v. Interstate Energy Co., 403 F. Supp. 805, 808 (E.D. Pa. 1975) (DRBC is "the federal agency designated to implement NEPA for all projects affecting the Delaware River Basin"). In carrying out its NEPA duties, the NRC need not perform a wholly independent analysis from scratch, but may rely, if it wishes, on scientific data and inferences drawn by other agencies.
- To the extent that an application for an operating license reflects some actual changes in connection with the facility as it was contemplated at the time of issuance of the construction permit, such changes are within the scope of the operating license proceeding. On the other hand, if activity already authorized by the construction permit results in impacts not previously expected, that is a matter for resolution by the Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation pursuant to 10 C.F.R. §§ 2.202, 2.206. See Consumers Power Co. (Midland Plant, Units 1 & 2), ALAB-674, 15 NRC 1101 (1982).
- NEPA does not require the NRC to consider those environmental impacts of a water diversion project solely attributable to a separate entity otherwise unassociated with the nuclear plant, when the total impacts have already been evaluated by another agency with oversight of

the entire project. See Henry v. FPC, 513 F 2d 395 (D.C. Cir. 1975). See also Kleppe v. Sierra Club, 427 U.S. 390, 410 (1976).

K Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) requires the head of any federal agency having authority to license any undertaking, prior to the issuance of any license, to take into account the effect of the undertaking on any district, site, building, structure, or object that is included in or eligible for inclusion in the National Register. The head of any such federal agency also must afford the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation a reasonable opportunity to comment with regard to such undertaking. 16 U.S. 6, 470f.

L Section 110(f) of NHPA requires agencies to undertake in advance all possible planning and actions necessary to minimize any direct and adverse harm to a National Historic Landmark

as a consequence of any federal approval. 16 U.S.C. § 470h-2ff).

The requirement of the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, 16 U.S.C. § 62(a) — that an agency "first shall consult" with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service whenever any waters are proposed or authorized to be diverted pursuant to a federal license — does not prescribe exactly when and how this consultation is to occur, so long as it precedes any definitive agency action.

Section 102 of NEPA, 42 U.S.C. § 4332(2)(C), requires consideration of alternatives only for major federal actions "significantly affecting the quality of the human environment."

Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA), as amended in 1979, 16 U.S.C. § 1536(a)(2), provides that each federal agency must, in consultation with and with the assistance of the Secretary of the Interior or Commerce, insure that any agency action is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any endangered species or threatened species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of the habitat of such species. In fulfilling this requirement, each agency must use the best scientific and commercial data available.

104 S. Ct. 2** (1983).

Q Congress did not design ESA to protect individual members of an endangered species, only the species as a whole. The smallest units afforded protection are "subspecies" and "any distinct population segment... which interbreeds when mature." 16 U.S.C. § 1532(16)

R The Commission's ex parte rules prohibit communications between the parties to contested proceedings, on the one hand, and, on the other, those with decisionmaking responsibilities — i.e., Commissioners, their staffs and advisers, members of adjudicatory boards, and their staffs and advisers, 10 C.F.R. § 2.780. See Administrative Procedure Act, S.U.S.C. § 557(d). The "NRC staff" does not advise the Commission or the boards. Rather, it is a distinct an separate entity that is a party to a proceeding and may confer with other parties. See 10 C.F. § 2.102(a).

S Adjudicatory boards can act only on applications before them and cannot dictate e' anges

in such applications that are a matter of management prerogative.

An applicant is obliged to notify the board and the parties promptly of an significant changes in its application. Tennessee Valley Authority (Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Units 1, 2 and 3), ALAB-677, 15 NRC 1387, 1391-94 (1982).

Parties to an adjudicatory proceeding must be afforded an opportunity to challenge any newly amended, significant portion of an application under consideration. See Philadelphia Electric Co. (Limerick Generating Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-778, 20 NRC 42, 48 (1984).

In making its determinations, an adjudicatory board must decide only the federal questions before it, without being unduly influenced by the decisions of others with differing concerns and responsibilities. See Kerr-McGee Corp. (West Chicago Rare Earths Facility), CLI-82-2, 15 NRC 232, 269 (1982), aff'd sub nom. City of West Chicago v. NRC, 701 F.2d 632 (7th Cir. 1983), and cases cited. See also Cross-Sound Ferry Services, Inc. v. United States, 573 F.2d 725, 732-33 (2d Cir. 1978).

- ALAB-786 LOUISIANA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY (Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 31, Docket No. 50-382-OL; OPERATING LICENSE, October 2, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER
- A The Appeal Board defers ruling on intervenors' motion to reopen the record on the issue of the adequacy of safety-related concrete construction at Waterford, pending receipt of certain information that it requests from the NRC staff.
- B A successful motion to reopen the record of an adjudicatory proceeding must be timely, address a significant safety or environmental issue, and show that a different result might have been reached had the newly proffered material been considered initially. It must also present more than bare allegations. Louisiana Power & Light Co. (Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3). ALAB-753, 18 NRC 1321, 1324-25 (1983).
- C. A newspaper article alone does not provide a basis for reopening a closed adjudicatory record. Id. at 1324-25.
- D At a minimum, new material in support of a motion to reopen a closed record must be set forth with a degree of particularity in excess of the basis and specificity requirements contained in 10 C.F.R. 2.714(b) for admissible contentions. Such supporting information must be more than mere allegations, it must be tantamount to evidence. And, if such evidence is to affect materially the previous decision, it must possess the attributes set forth in 10 C.F.R. 2.743(c) defining admissible evidence for adjudicatory proceedings (i.e., it must be relevant, material, and reliable). Pacific Gas and Electric Co. (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2). ALAB-775, 19 NRC 1361, 1366-67 (1984). See also id. at 1367 n.18.
- E The burden of satisfying the requirements for reopening a closed record is on the proponent of the motion and is a "heavy" one. Kansas Gas and Electric Co. (Wolf Creek Generating Station, Unit No. 1). ALAB-462. "NRC 320, 338 (1978).
- F At a minimum, the staff has a duty to submit to an adjudicatory board by way of a Board Notification any information that is clearly relevant to a matter pending before the board. Such notification should be timely and include a discussion of its relevance.
- G As a general matter, the NRC's Executive Director for Operations determines which staff personnel testify at hearings. See 10 C.F.R. § 2.720(h)(2)(i).
- ALAB-78° LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1),
 Docket No. 50-322-OL-4 (Low Power): OPERATING LICENSE: October 5, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER
- A The Appeal Board determines that the Commission has not deprived it of jurisdiction to review the Licensing Board's disposition of the intervenors' physical security contentions in this operating license proceeding, and dismisses, as interlocutory, intervenors' appeal of the Licensing Board decision denying certain of those contentions.
- B Normally the Commission does not undertake an immediate effectiveness review of a licensing board initial decision in an operating license proceeding unless the decision authorizes facility operation at greater than five percent of rated power. See 10 C.F.R. 2.764(f)(1).
- C Commission immediate effectiveness reviews have no bearing upon the exercise by an appeal board of the general appellate review authority in 10 C.F.R. Part 50 proceedings that is conferred by 10 C.F.R. 2.785(a). 10 C.F.R. 2.764(g). If the Commission desires to preclude or to limit the exercise of tai authority in a particular Part 50 proceeding, it must and does say so expressly. See, e.g., Metropolitan Edison Co. (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit No. 1), C.L.-79-8, 10 NRC 141, 147 (1979), id., C.L.-81-19, 14 NRC 304, 305 (1981); id., C.L.-81-34, 14 NRC 1097, 1098 (1981).
- The Commission's rules of practice bar appeal of an interlocutory order that does not dispose of a major segment of a proceeding, or terminate the participational rights of a party. 10 C.F.R. 2.730(f). See Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-331. 17 NRC 1073, 1074-75 (1983), quoting Toledo Edison Co. (Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station), ALAB-300, 2 NRC 752, 758 (1975), and citing Houston Lighting & Power Co. (Allens Creek Nuclear Generating Station, Unit No. 1), ALAB-635, 13 NRC 309, 310-11 (1981). By way of contrast, see Kansas Gas and Electric Co. (Wolf Creek Generating Station, Unit 1), ALAB-784, 20 NRC 845 (1984).

ALAB-788 LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), Docket No. 50-322-OL: OPERATING LICENSE, October 31, 1984, DECISION

The Appeal Board affirms the initial decision rendered by the Licensing Board in this operating license proceeding. LBP-83-57, 18 NRC 445 (1983), with the exception of three matters that are remanded to the Licensing Board. (1) the question whether the plant may be operated pending resolution of a specified unresolved safety issue; (2) resolution of certain issues associated with housekeeping, and (3) the issue of environmental qualification of electrical equipment. Additionally, the Appeal Board vacates as unnecessary a condition imposed by the Licensing Board requiring the applicant to adopt a particular definition of the regulatory term "important to safety

The quality assurance requirements contained in 10 C.F.R. Part 50. Appendix B or their B equivalent do not automatically apply to "important to safety" structures, systems and compo-

nents of a nuclear power plant.

The Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, makes clear the Commission's authority to regulate all items contained in a nuclear power plant in order to protect the public health and safety. See 42 U.S.C. 2201(i)

The NRC expects licensees to adhere to their obligations and commitments and will not hesitate to issue appropriate orders to make sure that such commitments are met. 10 C.F.R. Part

2, Appendix C. § IV E.

There is no express regulatory premise for requiring a single study directed exclusively to E systems interactions at nuclear power plants. See generally Pacific Gas and Electric Co. Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant. Units 1 and 2). ALAB-728. 17 NRC 777, 810-11 (1983). But, an applicant must demonstrate that safety systems are not compromised because of their interrelationship with nonsafety or other safety systems.

Where there is a generic unresolved safety issue (USI) involving a discerned safety problem, the staff is obliged to explain why the USI does not stand in the path of construction permit or operating license issuance. See Virginia Electric and Power Co. (North Anna Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2). ALAB-491, 5 NRC 245, 247-48 (1978) and Gulf States Utilities

Co. (River Bend Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-444, 6 NRC 760, 775 (1977).

Criterion XVIII of Appendix B to 10 C.F.R. Part 50 requires that a comprehensive system of planned and periodic audits be carried out to verify compliance with and determine effectiveness of the Appendix B quality assurance program. Random-sampling statistical methodology, however, is not mandated by this requirement.

Quality assurance review involves two separate, yet interrelated, inquiries, i.e., whether deficiencies have been uncovered and corrected, and whether a generic problem exists that could affect the confidence in the safety of the facility. See Union Electric Co. (Callaway Plant,

Unit 11, ALAB-740, 18 NRC 343, 346 (1983).

Error-free construction of a nuclea: power plant is not mandated for licensing. Rather, the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's implementing regulations require a finding of reasonable assurance that, as built, the facility can and will be operated without endangering the public health and safety. Ibid.

In examining claims of quality assurance deficiencies, an adjudicatory board must consid-

er the implication of those deficiencies in terms of safe plant operation. Ibid.

In reviewing quality assurance, an adjudicatory board must be satisfied not only that construction defects have been corrected but that there has been no overall breakdown of quality assurance. See ibid. Numerous imperfections, even if minor, may be indicative of a more widespread or generic quality assurance problem.

Not every violation of a quality assurance implementing manual or procedures constitutes a violation of 10 C F R. Part 50, Appendix B. See 10 C F.R. Part 2, Appendix C, § IV A.

Criterion XVIII of 10 C.F.R. Part 50, Appendix B does not establish requirements for the maximum amount of time allowed in tracing the data used in design calculation, but requires simply that records be identifiable and retrievable.

Criterion I of 10 C.F.R. Part 50, Appendix B requires that the persons and organizations performing quality assurance functions have sufficient authority and organizational freedom to identify quality problems; initiate, recommend, or provide solutions, and verify implementation of solutions.

Mere demonstration that a licensing board erred is not sufficient to warrant appellate relief. Cleveland Electric Illiumnating Co. (Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-443, 6 NRC '41, '756 (1977). The complaining party must demonstrate actual prejudice—i.e., that the ruling had a substantial effect on the outcome of the proceeding, Louisiana Power and Light Co. (Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3), ALAB-732, 17 NRC 1076, 1096 (1983).

Under the Commission's rules of practice, an adjudicatory board must use its powers to assure that the hearing is focused upon the matters in controversy and that the hearing process is conducted as expeditiously as possible, consistent with the development of an adequate decisional record. 10 C.F.R. Part 2. Appendix A. § V. Adjudicatory boards may impose time limits on cross-examination, require parties to pursue certain matters first, or limit evidentiary material to that information that is genuinely the subject of controversy.

Certain matters may be left to the staff for post-hearing resolution where hearings would not be helpful and the adjudicatory board can make the findings requisite to issuance of a license. Consolidated Edison Co. of New York (Indian Point Station, Unit No. 2), CLI-74-23, 7 AEC 947 951 (1974).

R Regulatory guides do not set out mandatory regulatory requirements. Methods and solutions different from those set out in the guides can be acceptable if they provide a basis for the findings requisite to the issuance of a license. Metropolitan Edison Co. (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit No. 11, ALAB-698, 16 NRC 1290, 1299 (1982), rev'd in part on other grounds, CLI-83-22, 18 NRC 299 (1983).

5 Seismic design response spectra set forth in Regulatory Guide (Reg. Guide) 1.60 are designed for applicability at essentially any location in the country and may be unnecessarily conservative for some plants. "Design Response Spectra for Seismic Design of Nuclear Power Plants." Reg. Guide 1.60 (Rev. 1) (Dec. 1973).

The more pendency of confirmatory staff analyses regarding litigated issues does not automatically foreclose board resolution of those issues.

U Section 189 of the Atomic Energy Act, 42 U.S.C. § 2239, which provides parties with an opportunity for a hearing, does not preclude the adoption of procedures for written cross-examination.

V The Administrative Procedure Act (APA), 5 U.S.C. § 556(d), expressly authorizes agencies in certain licensing cases to adopt procedures for the submission of all or part of the evidence in written form as long as the parties are not prejudiced.

W The APA does not give parties an unlimited right to submit rebuttal evidence and conduct cross-examination. Rather, these rights are bounded by a need for a full and true disclosure of the facts. Ibid.

The following technical issues are discussed. Safe Shutdown Earthquake, Quality Assurance Requirements. Important to Safety and Safety-Related, Turbine Bypass System, Reactor Core Isolation Cooling (RCIC) System. Standby Liquid Control (SLC) System, High Water Level Trip, Rod Block Monitor (RBM). Reactor Water Cleanup (RWCU) System, Systems Interaction, Unresolved Safety Issue (USI) A-17 (Systems Interaction), USI A-47 (Control System Failures). Probabilistic Risk Assessments (PRA), Event Tree/Fault Tree Methodology of a PRA, Housekeeping, Control of Calculations, Separation of Electrical Cables, Quality Assurance Organization, Water Hammer, Environmental Qualification, Post-Accident Monitoring, Passive Mechanical Valve Failure, Anticipated Transient Without Scram (ATWS), ASME Code, Single Failure Critation, Scram, Seismic Design, Earthquake Motion (displacement, velocity, acceleration), Seismic Response Spectrum, Mark II Containment, Vacuum Breakers, Design Basis Loads, Containment Leakage Tests; Safety Relief Valves (SRVs) (Two-Stage and Three-Stage SRVs).

ALAB-789 PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY (Limerick Generating Station, Units 1 and 2), Docket Nos. 50-352, 50-353, OPERATING LICENSE, November 5, 1984, MEMORAN-DUM AND ORDER

A The Appeal Board denies intervenors' motions that seek a stay of the issuance of a lowpower hierase for the Limerick facility. Treating the motions as requests to suspend the lowpower license authorization (because the license had already issued by the time the Appeal

Board received the motions), the Appeal Board finds that the stay criteria have not been satisfied

and that one of the motions is untimely

In ruling on a stay request, appeal boards are required by the Commission's Rules of Practice to consider the same four factors traditionally applied by courts in deciding similar motions. (1) whether the moving party has made a strong showing that it is likely to prevail on the merits, (2) whether the party will be irreparably injured unless a stay is granted; (3) whether the granting of a stay would harm other parties, and (4) where the public interest lies. 10 C.F.R. § 2.788(e).

The second factor contained in 10 C.F.R. § 2.788(e), irreparable harm, is often the most important in determining the need for a stay. United States Department of Energy (Clinch River Breeder Reactor Plant). ALAB-721, 17 NRC 539, 543-44 (1983), Public Service Co. of Indiana (Marble Hill Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-437, 6 NRC 630, 632 (1977).

The issuance of a low-power license does not begin an "inexorable" process that threatens the public safety. A Juli-power license will not and cannot be issued to any utility until it has demonstrated that the plant in question can be operated safely and in accordance with myriad regulatory requirements.

If a safety problem is revealed at any time during low-power operation or as a result of the merits review of a party's appeal of authorization of that operation, the low-power license can be suspended. See, e.g., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant,

Unit 1), CLI-81-30, 14 NRC 950 (1981).

The Commission's long-held view on economic concerns is that they are not within the proper scope of issues litigated in NRC licensing proceedings. A nuclear plant's possible effect on rates, the utility's solvency and the like are best raised before state economic regulatory agencies. Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Unit 21, CLI-84-6, 19 NRC 975 (1984)

Under 10 C.F.R. §§ 2.788(a) and 2.710, a party is obliged to seek a stay within 15 days of the service date of a licensing board decision.

A party's motion for stay will be denied where the movant wholly fails to address the stay criteria of 10 C.F.R. § 2.788(e) See Public Service Co. of Indiana (Marble Hill Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-493, 8 NRC 253, 270-71 (1978).

ALAB-790 VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY (North Anna Power Station, Units I and 2). Docket Nos. 50-338-OLA-2. 50-339-OLA-2: OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT; November 20, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Determining that, in the particular circumstances of the case, the appellant had sustained no present or potential injury by the Licensing Board's denial of its intervention petition, the

Appeal Board dismisses its appeal from that denial.

Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C), requires a federal agency to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) in every recommendation or report on proposals for legislation or other major federal actions significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. If, however, after an initial environmental assessment, the agency determines that no significant impact will result from a proposed action, without additional analysis it may publish a statement indicating that such is the case.

It is well-settled that in Commission practice as in judicial proceedings, only a party aggrieved may appeal. Parific Gas and Electric Co. (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units I

& 2), ALAB-644, 13 NRC 903, 914 (1981), and cases there cited.

ALAB-791 METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY, et al. (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1). Docket No. 50-289-SP (Restart Proceeding-Management Remand); SPECIAL PROCEEDING, December 3, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

The Appeal Board, concluding that interlocutory appellate review is not warranted, denies intervenor's motion seeking directed certification and reversal of a Licensing Board-ruling that prevented intervenor from introducing into evidence the testimony of two former NRC Commissioners.

The Ethics in Government Act prohibits former federal officials from attempting to influence their former agencies with respect to particular matters in which they were personally and substantially involved while government employees. 18 U.S.C. § 207(a).

C Failure of a party to address the standards for directed certification in responding to a motion seeking such review may be construed as a waiver of any argument regarding the propriety of directed certification. Cf. Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Units I and 2). ALAB-734, 18 NRC 11, 14 n.4 (1983).

D In deciding whether to exercise its directed certification authority, an appeal board considers whether a licensing board ruling either (1) threatens the party adversely affected by it with immediate and serious irreparable impact which, as a practical matter, could not be alleviated by a later appeal, or (2) affects the basic structure of the proceeding in a pervasive or unusual manner. Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-737, 18 NRC 168, 171 (1983), quoting Public Service Co. of Indiana (Marble Hill Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-405, 5 NRC 1190, 1192 (1977).

Determinations regarding what evidence should be admitted rarely, if ever, have a pervasive or unusual effect on the structure of a proceeding so as to varrant interlocutory intercession by an appeal board. See Long Island Lighting Co. (Jamesport Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2). ALAB-353, 4 NRC 381 (1976); Toledo Edison Co. (Duris-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), ALAB-314, 3 NRC 98 (1976). See also Clevelanu Electric Illuminating Co. (Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2). ALAB-675, 15 NRC 1105, 1113 (1982) (error must fundamentally after the very shape of the proceeding to warrant interlocutory review).

The fact that an evidentiary ruling involves a matter that may be novel or important does not alter the strict standards for directed certification. See Virginia Electric and Power Co. (North Anna Power Station, Units I and 2), ALAB-741, 18 NRC 371 (1983).

The Commission's Statement of Policy on Conduct of Licensing Proceedings, CLI-81-8, 13 NRC 452, 456 (1981), neither explicitly nor implicitly relaxes the standards for directed certification. Rather, it simply exhorts the licensing boards to put before appeal boards legal or policy questions that, in their judgment, are significant and require prompt appellate resolution. North Anna, supra, 18 NRC at 375. See also Long Island Lighting Co. (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), ALAB-780, 20 NRC 378, 382 (1984), Arizona Public Service Co. (Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3), ALAB-742, 18 NRC 380, 384 n.10 (1983).

H The language regarding directed certification in § V(f)(4) of Appendix A to the Rules of Practice. like the Commission's Policy Statement, CLI-81-8, supra, 13 NRC at 456, does not relax the standards for directed certification.

ALAB-792 LOUISIANA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY (Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3), Docket No. 50-382-OL, OPERATING LICENSE, December 12, 1984; MEMORANDUM

A The Appeal Board determines that it has jurisdiction to rule on intervenors' motion to reopen the record where the motion raises issues that have a reasonable nexus to other issues still pending before it.

B Issues that cannot properly be raised in adjudication may be presented in a petition filed under 10 C F R § 2 206 with the Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR).

If an appeal board has previously considered an issue and (by either the action or inaction of the Commission) the determination amounts to final agency action on that issue, the appeal board has no jurisdiction over a subsequent attempt to raise that matter once again. Such requests are, in general, more properly directed to NRR, even though other issues in the same proceeding may still be pending before the board. When an issue sought to be considered anew, or to be reconsidered, has a reasonable nexus to a discrete matter still pending before an appeal board, the board has jurisdiction over it. See Pacific Gas and Electric Co. (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-782, 20 NRC 838, 840-42 (1984), Metropolitan Edison Co. (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit No. 1), ALAB-706, 19 NRC 981, 983 (1984); Louisiana Power & Light Co. (Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3), ALAB-753, 18 NRC 1321, 1329-30 (1984): Florida Power and Light Co. (St. Lucie Nuclear Power Plant, Unit No. 2), ALAB-579, 11 NRC 223, 224-26 (1980); Virginia Electric and Power Co. (North Anna Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2). ALAB-551, 9 NRC 704, 705-09 (1979); Public Service Co. of Indiana (Marble Hill Generating Station, Units I and 2), ALAB-530, 9 NRC 261, 262 (1979); Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Units I and 2), ALAB-513, 8 NRC 694, 695-96 (1978)

D The fact that an appeal board's pending inquiry into an issue arises from a motion to reopen, rather than from an appeal from a Licensing Board decision, is of no moment to a

determination of its jurisdiction over a related matter. Rather, as was stated in North Anna, supra, 9 NRC at 709, the decisive factor is whether, except for those limited issues as to which jurisdiction has been expressly retained, the case has been decided. The focus in determining jurisdiction is on whether and what issues remain before the board, not how they got there.

ALAB-793 COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY (Byron Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2). Docket Nos. STN 50-454, STN 50-455; OPERATING LICENSE, December 20, 1984, DECISION

The Appeal Board affirms the Licensing Board's authorization of the issuance of operating licenses for the two-unit Byton (actity, It does so by affirming the Licensing Board's initial decision (LBP-84-2, 19 NRC 36 (1984)) in part and the supplemental initial decision (LBP-84-41, 20 NRC 1203 (1984)) issued by that Board pursuant to the Appeal Board's decision (ALAB-770, 19 NRC 1163 (1984)) on the applicant's appeal or the initial decision.

A party is always free to urge the affirmance of a trial tribunal's result on grounds other than those assigned by that tribunal. See, e.g., Niagara Mohawk Power Corp. (Nine Mile Point

Nuclear Station, Unit 21, ALAB-264, 1 NRC 347, 357 (1973).

Utilities engaged in the construction of nuclear power plants are required by the Commission's regulations to have a quality assurance program that, among other things, verifies that activities affecting the safety-related functions of structures, systems, and components have been performed correctly. 10 C.F.R. Part 50, Appendix B. Criterion I.

While it must retain ultimate responsibility for full compliance with all quality assurance requirements, an applicant may delegate to its construction contractors the establishment and ex-

ecution of individual quality assurance programs. Ibid.

An integral part of all acceptable construction quality assurance programs is confidence that the individuals carrying out the inspections have the qualifications to fulfill their responsibili-

ties properly.

C

It is of crucial importance in the assessment of the adequacy of a quality assurance program that there be satisfactory proof of the inspectors' qualifications. Normally, that proof will take the form of quality assurance documentation establishing that the individual in question has the training and experience appropriate to his or her assigned function and has passed any requisite qualifying examinations.

Effective April 26, 1982, the Commission amended its regulations to prohibit the litigation of need for power and alternative energy source issues in operating license proceedings. 47 Fed. Reg. 12,940 (1982). The prohibition currently is found in 10 C.F.R. 51,53(c). See also 10

C.F.R. 51 23(e)

Within this agency, only the Commission itself has the authority to invalidate one of its own rules or regulations. See 10 C.F.R. 2.758(a); Kansas Gas and Electric Co. (Wolf Creek Generating Station, Unit 1), ALAB-784, 20 NRC 845, 846 (1984). Potomac Electric Power Co. (Douglas Point Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-218, 8 AEC 79, 89 (1974).

Undergirting the 1982 amendment prohibiting litigation of need for power and alternative energy sources issues at the operating license stage was the Commission's belief that, as a general matter, no useful purpose is served by considering such matters at that time. See 47 Fed. Reg.

12.940

All nuclear power plants must be designed and built to protect the public from the hazards of radioactive releases should the plant be subjected to movements in the earth's crust. Pacific Gas and Electric Co. (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 & 2), ALAB 644, 13 NRC 903, 909 (1981), quoting Pacific Gas and Electric Co. (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 & 2), ALAB-519, 9 NRC 42, 45 (1979).

Under the Commission's regulatory scheme, protection from movements in the earth's crust is achieved in part through the requirement that the plant be designed to withstand the maximum vibratory ground motion (in terms of acceleration) that might result upon the occurrence of one of two different possible seismic events: the Safe Shutdown Earthquake (SSE) and the Operating Basis Earthquake (OBE), 10 C.F.R. 100, Appendix A, 58 III(c), (d).

The SSE is the most powerful earthquake ever expected to occur at a plant site. The plant must be able to withstand the forces of the SSE without releasing dangerous quantities of

radioactivity. Diablo Canyon, ALAB-644, supra, 13 NRC at 911.

M The OBE is the strongest earthquake considered likely to occur during a plant's operating lifetime. The facility must be designed and built to function through the OBE without creating undue risk to the public health and safety. Ibid.

The vibratory ground acceleration assigned to the OBE must be at least one-half that assigned to the SSE unless a lesser value is justified. 10 C.F.R. 100, Appendix A, §§ II, V(a)(2);

Diable Canyon, ALAB-644, supra. 13 NRC at 989-92.

Before selecting the SSE and OBE that are to serve as the design bases for its proposed facility, a utility is required to investigate in sufficient scope and detail, inter alia, the structural geologic conditions of the site and surrounding region, including its geologic history, 10 C.F.R. 100, Appendix A. § IV.

If there is a fault within 200 miles of a site that might be of significance in establishing

the SSE, the applicant must further determine whether that fault is "capable." Ibid.

Q A fault is a large-scale dislocation or distortion within the earth's crust along which differential slippage of the adjacent earth materials has occurred parallel to the fracture plane. Id. § III(e)

R A capable fault is defined in 10 C.F.R. Part 100. Appendix A, § III(g) as a fault which has exhibited one or more of the following characteristics:

 Movement at or near the ground surface at least once within the past 35,000 years or movement of a recurring nature within the past 500,000 years.

 Macro-seismicity instrumentally determined with records of sufficient precision to demonstrate a direct relationship with the fault.

A structural relationship to a capable fault according to characteristics (1) or (2) of this
paragraph such that movement on one could be reasonably expected to be accompanied

by movement on the other.

If there is a capable fault within 200 miles of a plant, it must then be evaluated for its potential for causing vibratory ground motion and surface displacement, and taken into account

in establishing the SSE 3d 39 IV(a)(7) and (8), IV(b).

If an investigation both demonstrates that a particular fault is structurally associated with geologically old structural features (such as many of those found in the eastern region of the United States) and uncovers no affirmative evidence of capability, that fault shall be presumed

to be not capable. Id.'s III(g).

Failure of a party to brief issues adequately deprives the adjudicatory boards precisely of that assistance which the Rules of Practice are designed to have an appellant provide, i.e., to flesh but the bare bones exceptions with the precise portion of the record relied on in support of

the assertion of error, 10 C.F.R. § 2.762(a), and to present the boards with sufficient information or argument to allow an intelligent disposition of the issues. See Consumers Power Co. (Midland

Plant, Units 1 and 21. ALAB-270. | NRC 473, 475 (1975).

Under its long-standing practice, the Appeal Board reviews, sua sponte, any final disposition of a licensing proceeding that either was or had to be founded upon substantive determinations of significant safety or environmental issues. Offshore Power Systems (Manufacturing
License for Floating Nuclear Power Plants). ALAB-689, 16 NRC 387, 890 (1982); Sacramento
Municipal Unity District (Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station), ALAB-665, 14 NRC 799,
803 (1981), quoting Washington Public Power Supply System (WPPSS Nuclear Project No. 2),
ALAB-571, 10 NRC 687, 692 (1979); Philadelphia Electric Co. (Peach Bottom Atomic Power
Station, Units 2 and 3), ALAB-509, 8 NRC 679, 683 n.8 (1978).

The following technical issues are discussed: Quality Assurance Program (and Reinspection Program). Quality Assurance Inspector Certification and Qualification. Seismic Design (Operating Basis Earthquake (OBE) and Safe Shutdown Earthquake (SSE)); Capable Faults: Earthquake Ground Asseleration: Core Drilling: Refraction Seismography. Relative Age Dating of Fault: Absolute Age Dating of Fault. Scarp for escarpment). Modified Mercalli Intensity Scale, Richter Scale, Capie Tray Hangers, Whole Body Counting and Strontium-90.

ALAB. 94 DUKE POWER COMPANY et al. (Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2), Docket Nos. 50-413-0L, 50-414-0L; OPERATING LICENSE, December 24, 1984, MEMORANDUM

AND ORDER

A The Appeal Board denies intervenors' application for a stay of the authorization of the low-power license issued in this operating license proceeding for Unit 1 of the Catawba facility.

The Board determines that the stay criteria set forth at 10 C.F.R. 2.788(e) have not been satisfied.

- B The established criteria to be applied in passing upon stay requests in NRC adjudicatory proceedings are set forth in 10 C.F.R. 2.788(e):
 - (1) Whether the moving party has made a strong showing that it is likely to prevail on the merits.
 - (2) Whether the party will be irreparably injured unless a stay is granted;
 - (3) Whether the granting of a stay would harm other parties; and
 - (4) Where the public interest lies.
- C The NRC's stay criteria are the same as those applied by the courts. See, e.g., Virginia Petroleum Jobbers Ass'n v. FPC, 259 F.2d 921 (D.C. Cir. 1958); Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Comm'n v. Holiday Tours, Inc., 559 F.2d 841 (D.C. Cir. 1977).
- D Under NRC Rules of Practice, stay applications may not exceed ten pages in length. See 10 C.F.R. 2.788(b).
- E The second factor contained in section 2.788(e) of 10 C.F.R., irreparable harm, is often the most important in determining the need for a stay Philadelphia Electric Co. (Limerick Generating Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-789, 20 NRC 1443, 1446 (1984), and cases cited.

DICESTS

- LBP-84-26 KANSAS GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al. (Wolf Creek Generating Station, Unit 1), Docket No. 50-482-OL (ASLBP No. 81-453-03-OL); OPERATING LICENSE; July 2, 1984; INITIAL DECISION
 - A The Licensing Board issues an Initial Decision authorizing the issuance of an operating license for the Wolf Creek Generating Station, Unit No. 1, provided two conditions have been met prior to the issuance of the operating license.
 - B Having accepted the benefits of a stipulation, one is estopped from challenging it. Toledo Edison Co. (Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station), ALAB-300, 2 NRC 752, 767-68 (1975).
 - C At the operating license stage, a Licensing Board passes only upon contested matters. While a Licensing Board has the residual power to delive into any serious matter, even if no party has put it into issue, here the Board determines that there were no serious matters which it should raise sua sponte, and thus, the decision as to all other matters which need be considered prior to the issuance of this operating license is the responsibility of the NRC Staff and it alone. 10 C.F.R. §§ 2.104(c), 2.760a; 10 C.F.R. Part 2, Appendix A, VIII(b); Consolidated Edison Co. of New York (Indian Point, Units 1, 2 & 3), ALAB-319, 3 NRC 188 (1976).
 - D If the Licensing Board, pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.754, directs that all parties should file proposed findings of fact, conclusions of law and briefs, any party failing to file these submissions shall be deemed in default. Florida Power & Light Co. (St. Lucie Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2), ALAB-280, 2 NRC 3, 4 n.2 (1975).
 - E Emergency planning is a continuous process and a Licensing Board's findings are predic-
 - F Minor details, which are not set forth in the emergency plans, are a proper subject for post-hearing resolution by the NE.2 Staff. Louisiana Power and Light Co. (Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3), ALAB-732, 17 NRC 1076, 1106 (1983).
 - The following technical issue is discussed: Emergency Plans.
- LBP-84-27 KANSAS GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al. (Wolf Creek Generating Station, Unit 1). Docket No. 50-482-OL (ASLBP No. 81-453-03-OL); OPERATING LICENSE, July 26, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER
- A Pursuant to Applicants' Motion for Clarification, concurred in by all parties, the Licensing Board clarifies its Initial Decision (LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53) issued on July 2, 1984.
- LBP-84-28 CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY, et al. (Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2). Docket Nos. 50-440-OL, 50-441-OL; OPERATING LICENSE, July 26, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER
 - A The Licensing Board, having admitted a broad emergency planning contention prior to the completion of State and local plans, grants Applicants' motion to require intervenors to "particularize" its contention by providing specificity and bases.
 - B When a broad contention has been admitted at an early stage in the proceeding, intervenors should be required to provide greater specificity and to particularize bases for the contention when the information required to do so has been developed.
- LBP-84-29 THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA (UCLA Research Reactor),
 Docket No. 50-142-OL; FACILITY LICENSE RENEWAL, July 17, 1984; MEMORANDUM
 - A Licensing Board reviews allegations of misconduct made against technical members of the NRC Staff and concludes that although the information available to the Board does not conclusively show misconduct, that information does raise concerns for the integrity of the adjudicatory process. These concerns are brought to the Commission's attention for whatever action

it deems necessary. Additionally, the Board recommends that the Commission take up a Staff proposal for rulemaking which it had earlier declined to entertain.

LBP-84-29A SUFFOLK COUNTY AND STATE OF NEW YORK MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICA-TION OF CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE COTTER (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), Docket No. 50-322-OL-4 (ASLBP No. 84-503-01 Misc.); DISQUALIFICATION, August 1, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

The Chief Administrative Judge of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel denies Intervenor Suffolk County's motion for recusal on the grounds that he has no adjudicatory responsibilities in connection with the Shoreham proceeding, and consequently no adjudicatory responsibility from which to recuse himself.

B The rules governing motions for recusal and their resolution are generally the same for the administrative judiciary as for the judicial branch itself, and the Commission has followed that practice.

C The Chief Administrative Judge of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel has no authority to decide any issue pending in the Shoreham proceeding, and consequently no adjudicatory responsibility from which to recuse himself.

D The Chief Administrative Judge of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel has no authority to refuse to perform the administrative responsibilities of his position.

LBP-84-29B CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY and NORTH CAROLINA EASTERN MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY (Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2). Docket Nos. 50-400. 50-401 (ASLBP No. 82-472-03-01). OPERATING LICENSE. August 3, 1984. FINAL SET OF RULINGS ON ADMISSIBILITY OF OFFSITE EMERGENCY PLANNING CONTENTIONS. RULING ON PETITION FOR WAIVER OF NEED-FOR-POWER RULE. AND NOTICE OF UPCOMING TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL

In this Memorandum and Order, the Licensing Board completes its rulings on the admissibility of the over 100 emergency planning contentions submitted by various intervenors.

Emergency plans are not called upon by regulation or guidance to give an account of materials available for evacuee decontamination. NUREG-0634 focuses on providing for decontamination of emergency workers, who would be likely to face greater contamination dangers than evacuees would see the evaluation criteria under \$ II.K in NUREG-0634. However, the plans must show that the responsibility for evacuee decontamination has been assigned to organizations which will be adequately trained to carry out the task.

Any large decontamination of evacuees or vehicles at the border of the plume EPZ would very likely impede grompt evacuation of the most threatened part of the population around the plant. The desire to avoid purported safety measures that would impede evacuation is reflected in evaluation criterion ILL10 h of NUREG-0634. It calls for siting the host areas, and thus the principal decontamination centers, "at least 5 miles, and preferably 10 miles, beyond the boundaries of the plume [EPZI."

The emphasis in evaluation criteria II M.1 and II M.3-4 in NUREG-0654 is on planning for the decision to reenter, not on measures to be executed during reentry and recovery. Presumably, the thought behind this emphasis is that the decision to reenter is equivalent to a decision to relax protective measures revaluation criterion M.1 in NUREG-0654, § III and is therefore to be made with a degree of care which requires some advance thought. However, since reentry and recovery would not take place under the same time pressures protective actions would, planning for measures to be executed during reentry and recovery needs to be more than general.

A finding that there is reasonable assurance that the plans can be implemented is, under 10 C.F.R. § 50.47(a)(2). To be based largely on the plans, not the myriad details of the implementing procedures. Implementability is a characteristic of good plans, for even the best implementing procedures cannot rescue an ill-conceived plan. Thus it is to the adequacy of planning that all of the Commission's planning standards and evaluation criteria are directed, not the mechanical details of implementing procedures. An intervenor looking to introduce such procedures

into hiligation would have to point to some plan provision drafted in such a way that a board would have to book at the implementing procedures under it to determine whether there was reasonable assurance is could be implemented. Accord Louisiana Power and Light Co. (Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3), ALAB-732, 17 NRC 1076, 1106-07 (1983).

Sub-areas of the plume EPZ need not be perfectly regular, concentric rings, or parts thereof, any more than the EPZs themselves should be exactly 10 or 50 miles in radius. "The boundaries of the sub-areas shall be based upon the same factors as the EPZ, namely demography, topography, land characteristics, access routes, and local jurisdictions." NUREG-0654, Appendix 3, at 4-4

State and local planning officials are not obliged to supply a written justification of their boundary-making until they are faced with an admitted contention on the subject. In particular, in the absence of such an admitted contention, officials need not justify in writing the exclusion from the plume EPZ of areas just inside the 10-mile limit. Section 50 47(c)(2) of 10 C.F.R. says that the plume EPZ shall be "about" 10 miles in diameter, not "at least."

Evacuation routes are not simply routes out of the plume EPZ, they are routes to public shelters. Thus in order to reach the nearest shelter, some routes may have to carry traffic toward the clant before they carry it away.

LBP-84-30 LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1),
Docket No. 50-322-OL; OPERATING LICENSE: August 13, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND
ORDER

The Licensing Board denies a petition, pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.758(b), for exception to the regulations eliminating the financial qualifications review of electric utilities in operating license proceedings. In the alternative, the Board denies admission of an untimely financial qualifications contention. The Board also denies certification of the issue to the Commission.

A petition for waiver or exception to the Commission's regulations, pursuant to 10 CFR, § 2.758(b), should only be granted in "unusual and compelling circumstances," Northern States Power Co. (Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant, Unit 1), CLI-72-81, § AEC 25, 26 (1972).

In order to show that the rule precluding consideration of a utility's financial qualifications in an operating license proceeding should be waived in a particular proceeding, the party petitioning for waiver must show that the electric utility cannot recover its costs through the ratemaking process. Proposals to disallow a portion of a utility's costs are not a sufficient basis for the waiver of regulations because the outcome of such proposals is speculative.

D Absent evidence that a State rate commission is systematically denying a utility recovery of its costs, disclowance of construction-related costs is not an appropriate basis for waiving the financial qualifications regulations in an operating license proceeding.

E. A party seeking waver of the financial qualifications regulations must make a prima facie showing that the utility has been denied recovery of costs for safe plant operation.

Good cause for the late filing of a contention, which is based on a recently issued document, does not exist when the information contained in that document was publicly available at an earlier date.

G With regards to the standards for the admission of a late-filed contention, a party cannot assist in the development of a sound record unless the contention presents a significant, triable issue.

LBP-84-30A TEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al. (Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2), Docket Nos. 50-445, 50-446, OPERATING LICENSE, August 24, 1984; MEMORANDUM

In this Memorandum, the Licensing Board concludes that a request for a license for fuel loading and precritical testing may be considered pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 50.57fc) because the activities for which a license is sought fall within the activities for which a low-power license may be granted.

A licensing board may authorize the issuance of a license for fuel load and precritical testing provided that it makes the findings required by § 50.57(a) with respect to the contested activity sought to be authorized. However, the pendency of a broad quality assurance contention requires that the motion be accompanied by evidence concerning the status of those systems required to assure that criticality will not occur during the proposed activities.

C The following technical issues are discussed: Fuel load, Precritical testing, Boron equipment, Neutron monitoring equipment, Fuel-handling equipment, Reactor protection systems, Quality control, Keff.

LBP-84-31 PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY (Limerick Generating Station, Units 1 and 21, Docket Nos 50-352-OL, 50-353-OL (ASLBP No. 81-465-07-OL); OPERATING LICENSE, August 29, 1984, SECOND PARTIAL INITIAL DECISION

The Licensing Board issues a Second Partial Initial Decision finding in favor of the Applicant on all controverted issues prerequisite to authorizing a low power operating license. Offsite

emergency planning issues are still pending for litigation.

The whole body of implementing procedures need not be ready for challenge in a hearing. Louisiana Power and Light Co. (Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3), ALAB-732, 17 NRC 1076 (1983). However, this does not mean that a Board cannot examine implementing procedures which are available and arguably necessary to determine whether certain provisions in the emergency plan meet NRC planning standards. Examination of such implementing procedures is with the adequacy of the plans foremost in mind, since the proper object of litigation is the adequacy of the plan.

Since findings of the licensing tribunal are deemed to amend the FES, amendment and recirculation of the FES are not normally required, unless the differences between the decision and the FES are truly substantial. Niagara Mohawk Power Corp. (Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 2), ALAB-264, 1 NRC 347, 371-72 (1975); Allied-General Nuclear Services (Barnwell Nuclear Fuel Plant Separations Facility), ALAB-296, 2 NRC 671, 680 (1975). See also Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2). CL1-78-1, 7 NRC 1.

29 n.43 (1978)

D The following technical issues are discussed: Onsite emergency planning, Environmental analysis of severe accidents, Quality control of welding, Environmental qualification of electrical equipment. Effect on plant structures of postulated petroleum and natural gas pipeline accidents. Cooling tower plumes, aircraft carburetor icing.

LBP-84-32 CONSUMERS POWER COMPANY (Big Rock Point Plant), Docket No. 50-155-OLA (ASLBP No. 79-432-11-LA), OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT, August 29, 1984, INI-

TIAL DECISION

In this Initial Decision, the Licensing Board authorizes the Licensee to add three more spent fuel racks to its spent fuel pool, expanding its capacity from 193 spent fuel assemblies to 441 assemblies upon the condition that the plant will not be operated should the heat load from the fuel and the temperature of the nearby lake prevent the Licensee from assuring that the makeup line to its pool will be able to keep the bulk pool temperature below 150°F. The Board also requires that there be a human factors analysis of the meter for the noble gas stack monitor and that Licensee advise emergency planning authorities to consider practicable means of improving emergency evacuation at time of a major event at the Castle Farms site.

The following technical issues are discussed: Spent Fuel Pool Inside Containment (Makeup Water Line). Temperature Analysis of Spent Fuel Pool, Zircaloy/Steam Reaction in Spent Fuel Pool, Concrete Integrity in Spent Fuel Pool, Radiation Exposure from Spent Fuel, Aircrash Risks, Seismic Stability of Gantry Crane, Emergency Planning, Size of EPZ, Radiation Monitoring, Emergency Planning, Summer/Winter Conditions, Emergency Planning, Children

and Pregnant Women

LBP-84-33 CINCINNATI GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al. (William H. Zimmer Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1). Docket No. 50-358-OL (ASLBP No. 76-317-01-OL); WITHDRAWAL OF OPERATING LICENSE APPLICATION, August 29, 1984. MEMORANDUM AND

ORDER

A Licensing Board grants Applicants' unopposed motion to withdraw their application for an operating license for the Zimmer Station and to terminate this proceeding, subject to the condition that Applicants implement, with Staff verification, their site restoration plan. The Board refuses to impose a condition, consented to by Applicants, that the grant of the motion be with prejudice to any future application by these Applicants for a nuclear reactor at this site on the ground that such a condition is unnecessary.

B Dismissal of an operating license application with prejudice is a severe sanction which is reserved for unusual situations where it is necessary to prevent substantial prejudice to a party who opposed the application.

LBP-84-34 ROCHESTER GAS & ELECTRIC CORPORATION (R.E. Ginna Nuclear Plant, Unit 1). Docket No. 50-244-OLA (ASLBP No. 79-427-07-OLA); OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT, August 30, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

A In this Memorandum and Order the Licensing Board dismisses the proceeding in view of the withdrawal of the sole Intervenor and the consequent removal of all issues requiring hearing.

LBP-84-35 GEORGIA POWER COMPANY, et al. (Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2), Docket Nos. 50-424-OL, 50-425-OL (ASLBP No. 84-499-01-OL), OPERATING LICENSE; September 5, 1984 MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

A In this Memorandum and Order, the Licensing Board rules on the admissibility of Intervenors' contentions.

B Because Intervenors failed to make a prima facie showing of special circumstances justifying a waiver of 10 C F R § \$1.53(c) to permit reconsideration of the need-for-power issue at the operating license stage, 10 C F R § 2.758(c) bars further consideration of the matter, and Intervenors' contention is dismissed.

The Commission's determination that its rule barring litigation of financial qualifications issues in operating license proceedings remains in effect, despite the decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit in New England Coalition on Nuclear Pollution v. NRC, 727 F.2d 1127 (D.C. Cir. 1984), bars consideration of Intervenors' financial qualifica-

LBP-84-35A LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), Docket No. 50-322-OL-4 (ASLBP No. 77-347-01C-OL) (Low Power); OPERATING LICENSE: September 5, 1984, ORDER

A Upon reconsideration, the Licensing Board grants summary disposition as to all issues relevant to proposed fuel loading, precriticality testing, and cold criticality testing. Although the site lacks a fully qualified onsite source of emergency AC power, no such power is needed to protect public health and safety during the requested activities, thus, they may be authorized without contravention of applicable regulatory criteria.

8 Fuel loading, precriticality testing and cold criticality phases of proposed low-power program require no onsite emergenc. AC power

C Although GDC 17 is applicable to low-power operations, it may be applied in view of a "rule of reason" where requested activities require no emergency AC power to protect public be alth and safety

LBP.84-36 TEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al. (Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2). Docket Nos. 50-445-OL-2, 50-446-OL-2 (ASLBP No. 79-430-06A-OL). OPERATING LICENSE: September 17, 1984, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

A The Licensing Board orders the Office of Investigations (OI) to provide to the Board and parties, subject to protective order, a copy of each of the investigation reports that OI had offered to provide to the Board ex parte.

In a case in which serious allegations of intimidation have been the subject of intensive hearings, it is not proper for the Licensing Board to receive reports of twenty-two related investigations ex parts, without providing the parties the opportunity to comment on the relevance of the reports. The proper way to handle the matter is to provide the materials under protective order to the parties, making whatever provisions are necessary in the circumstances to avoid release of the names of confidential informants. In the past, sensitive security matters have been handled in this way. So too should confidentiality matters.

LBP.84-3* DUKE POWER COMPANY, et al. (Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2). Docket Nos 50-413-OL 50-414-OL (ASLBP No. 81-463-06-OL) (Emergency Planning), OPERATING LICENSE, September 18, 1984; SUPPLEMENTAL PARTIAL INITIAL DECISION ON EMERGENCY PLANNING.

A In this Partial Initial Decision, the Licensing Board completes consideration of all emergency planning issues and authorizes the issuance of an operating license to Applicants subject to certain conditions

A responsibility of the Licensing Board in deciding emergency planning issues is to determine if the planning is in conformity with regulatory standards. Although the Intervenors may "desire that the level of the emergency preparedness be enhanced to the maximum extent possible," the Licensing Board's role is not to require that measures be taken which exceed the requirements of the regulations and regulatory guides.

The Commission's emergency planning regulations and regulatory guide require that informational brochures must advise the public by unobtusive language that high levels of radiation are harmful to health and may be life-threatening. See 10 C F.R. § 50.47(b)(7) and Part 50, Ap-

pendix E, § IV D 2: NUREG-0654/FEMA-REP-1, Rev. 1, § II.G 1.

The Commission's emergency planning regulations and regulatory guide require that warning signs and decals must not be so general in their message that they do not state that the warning relates to a nuclear emergency. There should be made available to transients a source of local emergency information so that they too have the opportunity to become aware of how to cope in a nuclear emergency prior to the time an event may occur. See 10 C.F.R. § 50.47(b)(7) and Part 50, Appendix E. § IV D.2: NUREG-0654/FEMA-REP-1, Rev. 1, § II.G.2

The Commission's emergency planning regulations and regulatory guide do not require the inclusion within the plume emergency planning zone any portion of the City of Charlotte, North Caroline, whose city limits come within 9.7 miles of the plant. See 10 C.F.R.

§ 50.47(e)(2) and NUREG-0654/FEMA-REP-1, Rev. 1, § 1.D.2.

Although the Commission does not require that all aspects of emergency plans be complete before a final licensing decision is reached, where the planning for the evacuation of a theme amusement park, that can have in excess of 25,000 attending at a time, is being readdressed and the process is not near completion, it is appropriate for the Licensing Board to require as a condition of licensing the plant that plans be completed within a specified time to the satisfaction of the NRC Staff.

LBP-84-38 CONSUMERS POWER COMPANY (Big Rock Point Plant). Docket No. 50-155-OLA (ASLBP No. 79-432-11-LA). OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT: September 25, 1984;

SUPPLEMENTAL INITIAL DECISION

In this Supplemental Initial Decision, the Licensing Board dismisses four remaining

issues and authorizes the issuance of a license amendment.

The following technical issues are discussed: Spent Fuel Pool Water Level Monitors: Containment Pressurization from spent fuel pool). Motor-Operated Valves (irrelevant to spent fuel pool). Emergency Planning Pamphlet (content), Distribution of Emergency Planning Pamphlet. Cask Drop (adequacy of redundant support system).

LBP.84-39 MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY, et al. (Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Unit 1). Docket No. 50-416-OLA (ASLBP No. 84-497-04-OL): OPERATING LICENSE AMEND

MENT, September 28, 1984, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

In this Memorandum and Order, the Licensing Board dismisses the proceeding upon confirmation of the withdrawal of the only Intervenor

LBP-84-40 CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY, et al. (Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2). Docket Nos. 50-440-OL, 50-441-OL (ASLBP No. 81-457-04-OL): OPERATING LICENSE, October 4, 1984, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

In this Memorandum and Order the Licensing Board denies Intervenor's motion for summary judgment and dismisses its contention finding that Applicants are not required to install an automated standby liquid control system under Commission regulations dealing with anticipated transients without scram-

Summary disposition may be granted against the party requesting summary disposition when all the relevant facts are agreed and the law dictates a result opposite the moving party's

position

The Commission's regulations do not require an automated standby liquid control system to be installed in boiling water reactors that were not designed and constructed to incorporate

such a system 10 C F.R § 50.62(c)14)

LBP-84-40A VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY (North Anna Power Station, Units I and 21, Docket Nos. 50-338-OLA-1, 50-339-OLA-1 (ASLBP No. 83-481-01-LA), Docket Nos.

50-338-0LA-2, 50-339-0LA-2 (ASLBP No. 83-482-02-LA), OPERATING LICENSE AMEND-MENT, October 15, 1984, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

A In Case OLA-1, involving an application for an amendment to the North Anna operating licenses to permit the receipt and storage of 500 spent fuel assemblies from the Surry facility, the Licensing Board rules that certain contentions, as recast by the Board into a consolidated contention, are admitted as issues in controversy, and admits the intervenor as a party. In Case OLA-2, involving an application for an amendment to the operating, licenses to permit the expansion of the fuel pool storage capacity at the North Anna facility, the Licensing Board rejects the contentions, denies the petition for leave to intervene, dismisses the lase, and authorizes the Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation to issue an amendment to the North Anna operating licenses which revises the technical specifications to permit the inputsion of the spent fuel storage capacity.

B Section 2.714 of 10 C.F.R. does not require the petition to detail the evidence which will be offered in support of each contention, and, in passing upon whether an intervention petition should be granted, it is not the function of a licensing board to review the merits of a contention. Mississippi Power and Light Co. (Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-130, 6 AEC 423, 426 (1973).

LBP-84-41 COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY (Byron Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2), Docket Nos. STN 50-454-OL, STN 50-455-OL (ASI,BP No. 79-411-04-OL), OPERATING LICENSE, October 16, 1984; SUPPLEMENTAL INITIAL DECISION

A In this Supplemental Initial Decision, the Licensing Board concludes that the Applicant has demonstrated its ability or willingness to comply with applicable NRC regulations to maintain a quality assurance and quality control program, and to observe on a continuing and adequate basis the applicable quality control and quality assurance criteria plans. The Board authorizes the Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, upon making all requisite findings, to issue full-power licenses for Byron Nuclear Power Station. Units 1 and 2.

As a general rule, the authority of licensing boards is limited to deciding matters in controversy among the parties. An operating license for a nuclear power plant may be issued at such time as the NRC renders the findings required by 10 C.F.R. § 50.57(a), and the Commission, subject to the immediate effectiveness provisions of 10 C.F.R. § 2.764, has vested the Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation with the authority to make such findings.

C. A licensing board may delegate a matter or issue to the NRC Staff when it is clear that the NRC Staff can adequately resolve it.

LBP-84-42 KERR-MCGEE CHEMICAL CORPORATION (West Chicago Rare Earths Facility).

Docket No. 40-2061-ML (ASLBP No. 83-495-01-ML): MATERIALS LICENSE AMENDMENT, October 19, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

A In a proceeding commenced to consider Staff's proposal to license onsite storage of thorium mill tailings, the Licensing Board, in considering objections to contentions, rules that Staff must consider permanent disposal of the mill tailings now and that the Applicant may file contentions in the proceeding even though it did not request a hearing.

An applicant for a license amendment may file contentions challenging Staff's proposed action in a proceeding commenced at the request of another party despite the fact that applicant did not request a hearing.

C. Commission's delegation to Licensing Board of authority to act on petitions to intervene and conduct any necessary proceedings pursuant to 10 C.F.R. Part 2, Subpart G, includes authority to accept contentions filed by applicant even though applicant did not request a hearing.

Facts surrounding Staff's proposal to license onsite storage of mill failings where applicant had applied for a license permitting onsite permanent disposal are strongly indicative of the conclusion that Staff's proposal amounts to segmentation prohibited by NEPA of an overall plan. Provisions of the CEQ's regulations (adopted by NRC) mandate that permanent disposal be considered now.

Where no concrete proposal exists to add material to the mill tailings which are the subject of the proceeding, there is no requirement that Staff consider the addition of such material in its environmental impact statement.

- F Consideration of alternative sites under NEPA is meaningful only when all alternatives considered meet the requirements of the Commission's regulations promulgated under the Atomic Energy Act.
- G Nothing in § 203 of UMTRCA suggests that a licensee must post a bond to cover the cost of adverse health and socioeconomic effects resulting from disposal of mill tailings.
- H EPA's regulations promulgated under UMTRCA provide a minimum level of protection which may not in all cases be deeined sufficient by NRC after the latter considers the level of risk posed by a specific tailings pile, economic costs, and other appropriate factors (§ 84(a), Atomic Energy Act).
- Section 83(b)(1)(A)(ii) of the Atomic Energy Act provides that, if the State in which a permanent tailings disposal site is located elects not to become the owner of that site on license termination, the federal government must.
- J Criteria 9 and 10 of Appendix A to 10 C.F.R. Part 40 require that the fihancial cost of long-term maintenance and monitoring of disposal still be considered initially rather than deferred until shortly before license termination.
- K Part 51 of the Commission's regulations requires a cost-benefit balance which includes a consideration and balancing of qualitative as well as quantitative environmental impacts.
- LBP.84-43 PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY (Fulton Generating Station, Units 1 and 2).

 Docket Nos. 50-463-CP, 50-464-CP (ASLBP No. 76-300-01-CP); CONSTRUCTION PERMIT.

 October 23, 1984, INITIAL DECISION
 - A In this Initial Decision, the Licensing Board dismisses the proceeding without prejudice as moot, subject to the condition that the Applicant is barred from filing a future application identical to the one dismissed.
 - B An unparticularized, unsupported general allegation of harm to property values caused by Applicant's delay in dismissing its application is not of sufficient weight or moment to cause the Board to inquire further.
 - C General allegations of psychological stress, even if factually supported, provide no basis for a legally cognizant claim for relief.
 - D Costs incurred by the NRC Staff in reviewing an application subsequently wit. Sawn may not be billed to the applicant as a condition of dismissal, where those costs were incurred prior to the November 6, 1981 adoption of revised regulations.
- LBP-84-44 TEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al. (Companche Prik Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2), Docket Nos. 50-445, 50-446; OPERATING LICENSE, October 25, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER
 - A In this Memorandum, the Licensing Board requests information concerning certain technical issues.
 - B The following technical issues are discussed: Safety factors derived from materials tests; Tests of materials (representativeness of sample tested); A36 and A307 steels as structural materials.
- LBP-84-45 LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1),
 Docket No. 50-322-OL-4 (ASLBP No. 77-347-01C-OL) (Low Power), OPERATING LICENSE;
 October 29, 1984; INITIAL DECISION
 - A Licensing Board grants 10 C.F.R. § 50.57(c) exemption to requirements of general design criteria to authorize license for low-power operation in reliance upon an "enhanced" offsite AC emergency power system in the absence of a fully qualified onsite system.
 - B Pursuant to Commission direction, applicant for 10 C.F.R. § 50.57(c) exemption to portions of design criteria must show that the operation of the plant will be "as safe as" it would be were it in full compliance, and that equities of exigent circumstances favor the grant of the exemption.
 - C An examination of "inconsistencies" in NRC regulations must include not only inconsistencies in their literal wording, but also inconsistencies in their application in practice.
 - D If no core cooling is necessary to protect public health and safety during certain phases of Applicant's low-power testing program, no emergency AC power can be needed for that purpose, compliance with requirement for sufficient available emergency power is achieved, even in the absence of any emergency AC power sources whatsoever.

- E The safety limits of 10 C.F.R. § 50.46(b) are set conservatively to provide a safety margin. A plant is deemed safe if it can show that it meets these limits; it need exceed them by no particular margin of safety.
- F NRC reactor safety standards a 3 viewed in the functional sense. What must safety systems be able to do in order to protect public health and safety, and are they able to do it? A point-by-point comparison of each component of alternate systems is not appropriate.
- G. An exemption to the requirement for a fully qualified onsite emergency AC power source is granted for purposes of low-power operation where emergency power is available from "enhanced" offsite systems.
- H Although, in lieu of a fully qualified source of onsite emergency AC power, normal offsite power sources will be relied upon, in part, for emergency power during low-power operations, there is no requirement or justification for imposing the seismic qualification of these normal offsite power sources.
- Where emergency AC power is to be supplied utilizing a system comprised of multiple separate power sources, the single failure criterion is applied to determine the impact of a single failure on the ability to provide power to the system as a whole, not on the ability of each component thereof.
- An exemption to GDC 17 may be authorized for low-power operation where applicant has shown that operation would be as safe as it would be if it were in full compliance, and that exigent circumstances favor the grant of the exemption.
- K. Where an exemption is sought from regulation requiring a qualified source of onsite emergency AC power, the offsite power "enhancements" provided as sources of additional emergency power need not be treated as vital.
- Cost to applicant of protracted litigation may be considered as an "economic and financial hardship" experienced by it relevant to an equitable "exigent circumstances" determination.
- M The following technical issues are discussed: Emergency AC Power, General Design Criterion 17, LOCA at Low Power, Low-Power Operation, Offsite Electrical Power Grid; Safety Standards, Single Failure Criterion, Standby Gas Treatment System.
- LBP-84-46 TEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al. (Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2). Docket Nos. 50-445, 50-446, OPERATING LICENSE; October 29, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER
- A In this Memorandum, the Licensing Board requests information on certain welding issues.
- LBP-84-47 METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY, et al. (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1). Docket No. 50-289-OLA (ASLBP No. 83-491-04-OLA) (Steam Generator Repair); OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT: October 31, 1984, INITIAL DECISION
 - A In this Initial Decision, the Licensing Board authorizes the Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation to issue to the Licensee, upon making requisite findings, an operating license amendment that revises technical specifications to recognize steam generator tube repair techniques other than plugging, specifically the kinetic expansion tube repair technique. The authorization is subject to satisfaction of conditions identified in the Initial Decision.
 - B If a licensing board directs all parties to file proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law and rules that they would be deemed in default for failure to file, an intervenor is deemed to be in default with respect to a contention if it fails to file proposed findings upon that issue. Florida Power & Light Co. 1St. Lucie Nuclear Power Plant, Unit No. 2), ALAB-280, 2 NRC 3, 4 n.2 (1975).
- C The following technical issue is discussed. Steam Generator Tube Repair.
- LBP-84-48 TEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al. (Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2), Docket Nos. 50-445-OL-2, 50-446-OL-2 (ASLBP No. 79-430-06A-OL): OPERATING LICENSE, November 2, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER
 - A The Licensing Board vacates its order of September 17, 1984 (LBP-84-36, 20 NRC 928).

- LBP.84-49 GEORGIA POWER COMPANY, et al. (Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2). Docket Nos. 50-424-OL, 50-425-OL (ASLBP No. 84-499-01-OL); OPERATING LICENSE, November 5, 1984, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER
 - A In this Memorandum and Order, the Licensing Board rules on Intervenors' objections to its Memorandum and Order deciding the admissibility of Intervenors' contentions. LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984)
 - B The Licensing Board further rules that Intervenors' request for an investigation into Applicants' practices provides no basis for broadening an existing contention relating to Applicants' quality assurance program.
- LBP-84-50 TEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al. (Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Unets 1 and 2). Docket Nos 50-445-OL-2, 50-446-OL-2 (ASLBP No. 79-430-06A-OL): OPERATING LICENSE. November 16, 1984. MEMORANDI, M. AND ORDER
 - A The Licensing Board refuses to honor a grant of privilege for some documents created by Joseph J. Lipinsky and said to be covered by attorney-client privilege. The Board finds that Applicants' lawyer could not properly represent Mr. Lipinsky, who had previously taken a position adverse to Applicants' and who therefore had an irreconcilable conflict of interest. In addition, the Board honors a limited claim of privilege for documents for which attorney work product privilege was claimed but denies the privilege for other documents for which the Board found an overriding interest in obtaining the documents because of their importance in the proceeding.
 - B Documents are not privileged pursuant to an attorney-client privilege if they were generated in the course of an attorney-client relationship that appeared to exist but could not exist because of an irreconcilable conflict-of-interest. An attorney-client relationship cannot be used to draw down a mask of secrecy over an attorney's relationship with an individual whose position was adverse to the position of another client whom the attorney continues to represent.
 - C. A claim of work product privilege may be overridden with respect to documents for which there is an important evidentiary need.
- LBP-84-51 GULF STATES UTILITIES COMPANY, et al. (River Bend Station, Units 1 and 2),
 Docket Nos. 50-458-OL. 50-459-OL (ASLBP No. 82-468-01-OL); OPERATING LICENSE;
 November 20, 1984, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER
 - In this Memorandum and Order, the Licensing Board grants Intervenor's motion to withdraw its remaining contentions, grants Applicants' motion to withdraw their application as to Unit 2, and dismisses the proceeding.
- LBP-84-52 DUKE POWER COMPANY, et al. (Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2). Docket Nos. 50-413, 50-414 (ASLBP No. 81-463-06-OL); OPERATING LICENSE; November 27, 1984, PARTIAL INITIAL DECISION
 - A The Licensing Board issues a Partial Initial Decision resolving "foreman override" concerns in the Applicants favor. The phrase "foreman override" denotes a situation where, for example, a foreman directs a welder to weld in violation of procedures in order to speed construction. See LBP-84-24, 19 NRC 1418, 1562-66 (1984). Following an evidentiary hearing, the Licensing Board found that instances of foreman override at Catawba had been isolated, and that in any event they did not represent a significant breakdown in quality assurance. In light of these findings and earlier findings favorable to the Applicants on various safety and emergency planning contentions, the Board authorizes the Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation to issue full-power operating licenses for the Catawba Nuclear Station.
- LBP-84-52A PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al. (Trojan Nuclear Plant),
 Docket No. 50-344-0LA (ASLBP No. 84-498-05-0LA) (SFP Amendment), OPERATING
 LICENSE AMENDMENT, November 28, 1984, INITIAL DECISION
 - A In this Initial Decision the Licensing Board finds that the Licensee has adequately demonstrated that the expanded capacity of its spent fuel storage fellility is designed to maintain discharges of radiation with specified limits and that such capacit, is designed so that in case of accidents offsite radiation levels will not exceed 10 C.F.R. Part 100 guideline reference radiation dose values. The Board concludes that there is reasonable assurance that the Trojan Nuclear Plant can be operated without endangering the health and safety of the public under the expanded spent fuel pool capacity authorized by Amendment No. 88 to License No. NPF-I issued by the NRC Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation on June 8, 1984, affirms the issuance of the

DIGESTS ISSUANCES OF THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARDS

amendment, and additionally concluded that no modifications thereof or additional conditions are required.

- LBP-84-53 LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 11, Docket No. 50-322-OL, OPERATING LICENSE; November 30, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER RULING ON REMAND ISSUES
- A Of three issues remanded to it by the Appeal Board (1) housekeeping, (2) environmental qualification of nonsafety-related electrical equipment; and (3) control systems interaction—the Licensing Board finds that numbers (1) and (2) are adequately resolved by affidavits from the NRC Staff. Although the Appeal Board had amanded Issue No. (3) while under a significant factual misimpression (that two studies had not been done while, in fact, they had), it apparently wished to afford Intervenors some recent opportunity to come forward with hitigable issues pertaining to the two studies. Thus, the Licensing Board grants the Intervenors additional time to frame such issues, although they could fairly be charged with delay. However, based on a balancing of the equities, the possible pendency of such issues does not provide a basis to stay the issuance of a low power operating license.

The test for determining whether a stay of activities should be imposed pending disposition of a remand is less stringent for the proponent than is the test applicable for stay pending appeal. The test balances: (1) seriousness of the remanded issue; (2) traditional balancing of equities; and (3) any likely prejudice to further decisions that might be called for on the remand.

Where facts material to a remanded issue had changed significantly during the pendency of appeal, parties were under some obligation to take steps to protect their interests in the interim

D Where Intervenors had been in possession of documents setting forth the Applicant's and Staff's analyses for over a year, were on specific notice that the subject matter involved had been remanded to the Licensing Board for possible future adjudication for three weeks, were reminded five days prior to a scheduled conference of counsel that they would be expected to come to the conference prepared with specific substantive issues challenging the analysis, the Intervenors' failure to come so prepared cannot be viewed as reasonable.

E The following technical issues are discussed. Control systems interactions: Environmental qualification of nonsafety-related equipment.

LBP-84-54 GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY (GETR Vallecitos). Docket No. 50-70-OLR (ASLBP No. 83-481-01-OLR). OPERATING LICENSE RENEWAL, December 17, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

A Licensing Board denies request for readmission to a proceeding filed by petitioner to intervene which failed to respond to the Board's orders reactivating the proceeding after several years of inactivity and consequently was dismissed.

Parties may not step into and out of NRC proceedings at will. United States Department of Energy (Clinich River Breeder Reactor Planti, ALAB-76), 19 NRC 487, 493 (1984). Consumers Power Co. (Midland Planti, Units 1 and 2). ALAB-691, 16 NRC 897, 907 (1982). Where a party does not offer a sufficient excuse for its failure to respond to Board orders reactivating a proceeding (which failure led to its dismissal), it must satisfy the criteria related to untimely petitions to intervene in order to be readmitted.

C A party appearing pro se must notify the secretary of any change of its address.

D Service of documents upon a party is complete upon deposit in the United States Mail, properly stamped and addressed.

LBP-84-55 TEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al. (Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2). Docket Nos. 50-445-OL. 50-446-OL (ASLBP No. 79-430-06-OL). OPERATING LICENSE. December 18, 1984, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

In this Memorandum, the Licensing Board decides certain welding issues.

B Significant inconsistencies in testimony and confidential background information are grounds for discounting the credibility of witnesses.

C Systematic violations of construction procedures may have independent significance regardless of their safety implications. When violations of procedures are tolerated, this adversely affects workers' perceptions of the seriousness of complying with other procedures.

DIGESTS ISSUANCES OF THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARDS

- D Permitting welders to determine whether there is adequate preheat by employing a "hand warm" test may not be an adequate procedure to assure compliance with the preheat requirements.
- E The following technical issues are discussed: Weave welding. Downhill welding. Weld rod control: Welding of misdrilled holes. Repair welding (misdrilled holes): Preheat, welding. Welding preheat.
- LBP-84-56 TEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al. (Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2), Docket Nos. 50-445-OL. 50-446-OL (ASLBP No. 79-430-06-OL), OPERATING LICENSE, December 18, 1984, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER
 - A Because a faise statement made by Applicants' witnesses and other inconsistencies in Applicants' filings, the Licensing Board permits Intervenors and Staff to file additional discovery requests relating to the credibility of Applicants' witnesses.
 - B Discovery may be reopened against Applicants as a remedy for a misrepresentation and for inconsistencies in testimony.

SSUANCES OF DIRECTORS' DECISIONS

DICESTS

DIGESTS ISSUANCES OF DIRECTORS' DECISIONS

- DD-84-15 BOSTON EDISON COMPANY (Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station), Docket No. 50-293, REQUEST FOR ACTION; July 3, 1984; FINAL DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2,206
- The Director of the Office of Inspection and Enforcement denies the remaining portion of a petition under 10 C.F.R. § 2.206 which requested that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission take action to remedy alleged serious deficiencies in the offsite emergency response plans for the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station. On February 27, 1984, the Director issued an Interim Decision, DD-84-5, 19 NRC 542, which denied relief on all issues except potential traffic bottlenecks to evacuation of the area surrounding the Pilgrim facility. The remaining issue was referred to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) for evaluation. Based on FEMA's evaluation that traffic management issues have been adequately addressed by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, the Director denies the remainder of the petition.
- B Traffic management issues related to potential bottlenecks to evacuation have been adequately addressed by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- DD-84-16 DUKE POWER COMPANY, et al. (Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2), Docket Nos. 50-413, 50-414; REQUEST FOR ACTION, July 6, 1984, DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C F R § 2.206
 - A The Director of the Office of Inspection and Enforcement denies a petition filed by the Government Accountability Project on behalf of the Palmetto Alliance which requested initiation of independent design, construction and management audits of Duke Power Company's construction of the Catawba Nuclear Station. In denying the requested relief, the Director determined that the quality assurance program for Catawba had not suffered a serious breakdown.
 - B Although licensees are not required to respond to petitions under 10 C.F.R. § 2.206 in the absence of a formal request by the staff under 10 C.F.R. § 50.54(f) of § 182 of the Atomic Energy Act, licensees may respond to such petitions at their own volition.
- C A request for an investigation, particularly for an investigation of internal NRC personnel matters, does not fall squarely within the class of requests contemplated by 10 C.F.R. § 2.206.
- D Neither the Atomic Energy Act nor the Commission's regulations mandate error-free construction. What is required is a finding of reasonable assurance that the facility, as built, can be operated without undue risk to public health and safety.
- E Acceptability of licensee's quality assurance program under 10 C.F.R. Part 50, Appendix B, is discussed.
- F A Notice of Violation under 10 C.F.R. § 2.201 is the primary enforcement tool used by the NRC to document noncompliance and to ensure corrective action and compliance with regulatory requirements. Under the enforcement policy, the Commission generally does not issue Notices of Violation in cases involving violations of lesser significance which the licensee has identified and has corrected or will correct.
- DD-84-17 CONSUMERS POWER COMPANY (Midland Plant, Units 1 and 2). Docket Nos. 50-329, 50-330: REQUEST FOR ACTION: July 24, 1984; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C F R. § 2.206
 - A The Director of the Office of Inspection and Enforcement denies a request by Billie Pirner Garde of the Government Accountability Project on behalf of the Lone Tree Council and others requesting that the Commission take action with respect to the Midland Plant.
 - The Commission requires all licensees to develop and implement a quality assurance program to be applied to the design, fabrication, construction and testing of the structures, systems and components of its facility.

DIGESTS ISSUANCES OF DIRECTORS' DECISIONS

C. The requirements imposed on licensees by Appendi. B. together with the licensee's own quality assurance program, are usually sufficient to er ... that a power reactor is constructed in accordance with NRC requirements. However, in certain cases construction quality weaknesses have been of such magnitude that the NRC has found that it needs to impose additional controls to ensure that the facility is being constructed in a quality manner. Under such circumstances, the NRC has required licensees to undertake a remedial program to ensure that the construction of the facility is in accordance with NRC requirements.

DD-84-18 METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY, et al. (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit I). Docket No. 50-289, REQUEST FOR LICENSE SUSPENSION, July 27, 1984; DIREC-

TOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206

The Director of the Office of Inspection and Enforcement denies a petition filed by the City of Harrishurg, Pennsylvania requesting the institution of proceedings pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.202 to suspend indefinitely the license of GPU Nuclear to operate the Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit No. 1.

Petitioner's request pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.206 is denied in the absence of any substantive information calling into question the adequacy of the plume exposure pathway Emergency Planning Zone (EPZ) as currently configured or the emergency evacuation planning efforts within the EPZ.

C To the extent that various municipalities located in counties involved with emergency planning have not adopted and approved emergency plans, such action on their part is not necessary for and does not constitute an impediment to adequate emergency planning.

DD-84-19 PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (Diable Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2), Docket Nos. 50-275, 50-323, REQUEST FOR ACTION, August 20, 1984; IN-

TERIM DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206

A The Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation denies a request by the Government Accountability Project on behalf of two former employees at the Diablo Canyon facility that licensing be deferred until alleged intimidation and harassment on site is neutralized. The Director concluded that, even if the two petitioners were improperly terminated, harassment and intimidation and possible coverup of deficiencies were not such substantial problems at the site as to require deferral of a licensing decision. However, the Director also determined that a final determination on the petitions will be made upon completion of investigations into the harassment and intimidation of the petitioners.

DD-84-20 PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (Diable Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1), Docket No. 50-275; REQUEST FOR ACTION, August 20, 1984. DIRECTOR'S DECI-

SION UNDER 10 C F R § 2.206

A The Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation denies a series of petitions filed by the Government Accountability Project on behalf of the San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace which requested deferral of decisions to issue low-power and full-power licenses for the Diablo Canyon Unit I facility until a series of specified actions were taken.

3D-84-21 MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY, MIDDLE SOUTH ENERGY, INC., SOUTH MISSISSIPPI ELECTRIC POWER ASSOCIATION (Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Unit 1), Docket No. 50-416, REQUEST FOR ACTION, August 31, 1984. DIRECTOR'S DECISION

UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2 206

The Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation denies a request by Cynthia Stewart on behalf of Jacksonians United for Livable Energy Policies that the Commission take action with respect to the Grand Gulf Nuclear Station. Unit 1.

B The Commission has accepted industry criteria for evaluating the adequacy of on-shift

operating experience for near-term operating license applicants.

DD-84-22 GPU NUCLEAR CORPORATION (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1), Docket No. 50-289, REQUEST FOR ACTION, September 25, 1984, DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2 206

A The Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation denies a request filed by Ellyn R. Weiss and Robert D. Pollard on behalf of the Union of Concerned Scientists requesting that the Commission initiate show-cause or further enforcement proceedings with respect to the Three Mile Island Nuclear Station Unit I Emergency Feedwater System.

DIGESTS ISSUANCES OF DIRECTORS' DECISIONS

- DD-84-23 CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY, et al. (Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2). Docket No. 50-441, REQUEST FOR ACTION, November 15, 1984, DIREC-TOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C FR \$ 2 206
 - The Deputy Director of the Office of Inspection and Enforcement dinies a petition submitted by Susan L. Hiatt on behalf of Ohio Citizens for Responsible Energy (OCRE) requesting issuance of a show-cause order to revoke or suspend Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company's construction permit for Perry Unit 2.
- NRC regulations do not require that a construction permit be revoked or suspended for slowing or stopping construction when there is no current threat to the public health and safety by the licensee's actions.
- A slowdown in construction does not itself give rise to a reporting obligation.
- DD-84-24 SHIPMENTS OF SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL: REQUEST FOR ACTION, November 30, 1984. DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C F.R. § 2.206
 - The Director of the Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards denies a petition filed by Mr. Lindsay Audin requesting that Certificates of Compliance for certain spent fuel shipping casks be modified to address oxidation phenomena and that additional analyses of transportation accident and sabotage scenarios be conducted.
 - The Director will not institute proceedings or undertake other actions in response to a petition under 10 CFR \$ 2.206 to consider an issue the Commission is treating generically
- inrough rulemaking. CONSUMERS POWER COMPANY (Big Rock Point Plant), Docket No. 50-155, RE-QUEST FOR SHOW-CAUSE ORDER. December 3, 1984, DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 CFR 9 2.206
 - The Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, denies a Petition filed by Mr. John O'Neill. II, requesting that the Commission issue a show-cause order requiring Consumers Power Company to demonstrate that it is financially qualified to operate an expanded spent fuel

DIGESTS ISSUANCE OF DENIAL OF PETITION FOR RULEMAKING

DPRM-84-2 CRITICAL MASS ENERGY PROJECT, et al., Docket No. PRM-71-6; REQUEST FOR AMENDMENT OF REGULATIONS; November 2, 1984; DENIAL OF PETITION FOR RULEMAKING

A The Commission denies a petition for rulemaking which requested that the Commission amend its regulations pertaining to emergency response and planning for transportation accidents involving radioactive materials. The petition is denied because the issues raised in the petition have been substantially resolved by subsequent Federal action.

*

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX CASES

Allied-General Nuclear Services (Barnwell Nuclear Fuel Plant Separations Facility), ALAB-296, 2 NRC 671, 680 (1975)

need for recirculation of FES because of modifications, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 553 (1984) American Farm Lines v. Black Ball Freight Service, 397 U.S. 532, 539 (1970)

Board authority to modify procedural rules, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 864 n.44 (1984)

Arizona Public Service Co. (Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3), ALAB-742, 18 NRC 380, 384 n.10 (1983)

effect of policy statement on standards for directed certification; ALAB-791, 20 NRC 1583 n.14 (1984)

Association of National Advertisers, Inc. v. FTC, 627 F.2d 1151, 1174, 1177 (D.C. Cir. ' 79), cert. denied, 447 U.S. 921 (1980)

basis for disqualification of a judge on prejudgment ground, ALAB-777, 20 NRC 35 (1984)
Baltimore Gas and Electric Co. v. Natural Resources Defense Council, 76 L. Ed. 2d 437, 446-47, 452 (1983)

scope of FES: LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 572-73 (1984)

Boring v Keller, 97 F R.D. 404 (1983)

discovery of opinion work product, LBP-84-50, 20 NRC 1474 (1984)

Boston Edison Co. (Pilgrim Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 2), LBP-77-66, 6 NRC 839 (1977), afTd, ALAB-479, 7 NRC 774 (1978)

cause for rejection of Staff alternative site analysis; LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1319 (1984)
Boston Edison Co. (Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station, Unit 2), LBP-81-3, 13 NRC 103 (1981)
identification of alternative sites for disposal of mill tailings, LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1320 (1984)
Boyle v. United States, 515 F. 2d 1397, 1402 (Ct. Ct. 1975)

responsibilities of Chief Administrative Judge of Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel. LBP-84-29A, 20 NRC 387 (1984)

Branch v. Phillips Petroleum Co., 638 F 2d 873 (5th Cir. 1981)

distinction, for appeal purposes, between order granting discovery against nonparty and order denying discovery by quashing subpoena addressed to nonparty; ALAB-780, 20 NRC 381 n.9 (1984)

Bucks County Board of Commissioners v. Interstate Energy Co., 403 F. Supp. 805, 808 (E.D. Pa. 1975)

means for Commission to fulfill purposes of NEPA; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 868 n.65 (1984) Bumpus v. Uniroyal Tire Co., 385 F. Supp. 711, 713 (1974)

reason for timeliness requirement for disqualification motions, CLI-84-20, 20 NRC 1082 (1985)
Carolina Power and Light Co. (Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2), LBP-82-119A, 16
NRC 2069, 2073 (1982)

support and particularity required of petitions for waiver of regulation LBP-84-30, 20 NRC 431 (1984)

Carolina Power and Light Co. (Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1, 2, 3 and 4), CLI-74-9, 7 AEC 197, 198 (1974)

circumstances appropriate for grant of exe ...on from regulations; LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1376 n.116 (1984)

Carolina Power and Light Co. (Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1, 2, 3 and 4). CLI-74-22.

circumstances appropriate for grant of exemption from regulations; LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1376 n.116 (1984)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX CASES

- Carolina Power and Light Co. (Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1, 2, 3, and 4), CLI-78-18, 8 NRC 293 (1978)
 - reliability of Staff affidavits, LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 148 (1984)
- Cincinnati Gas and Electric Co. (William H. Zimmer Nuclear Power Station), CLI-82-33, 16 NRC 1489 (1982)
 - NRC means for assuring quality construction of nuclear power plants, DD-84-17, 20 NRC 233 (1984)
- Cincinnati Gas and Efectric Co. (William H. Zimmer Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), ALAB-727, 17 NRC 760, 764 (1983)
 - regulatory scheme for emergency planning issues; LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 938 (1984)
- Cincinnati Gas and Electric Co. (William H. Zimmer Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), ALAB-727, 17 NRC 760, 765 (1983)
 - purpose of emergency planning zones, ALAB-781, 20 NRC 829 (1984)
- Cincinnati Gas and Electric Co. (William H. Zimmer Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), LBP-82-68, 16 NRC 741, 748 (1982)
 - prerequisite to issuance of a decision in a case where Staff review is incomplete; LBP-84-11, 20 NRC 506 (1984)
- Cincinnati Gas and Electric Co. (William H. Zimmer Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), LBP 8: 58, 18
 NRC 640, 662-63 (1983)
 - showing required on other factors when good cause is not shown for readmission; 1/2-84-54, 20 NRC 1645 (1984)
- Cinderella Career and Finishing Schools v. FTC. 425 F.2d 583 (D.C. Cir. 1970) standard for disqualificar on of a judge, CLI-84-20, 20 NRC 1078 n 46 (1985)
- Cinderella Career and Finishing Schools, Inc. v. FTC, 425 F 2d 583, 591 (D.C. Cir. 1970) allegation of prejudge ent against Chief Administrative Judge of Licensing Board Panel; LBP-84-29A, 20 N 3C 386 (1984)
- disqualification of judge on prejudgment ground, ALAB-777, 20 NRC 24 (1984)
- Citizens for Safe Power, Inc. v. NRC, 324 F 2d 1291, 1294 n.5 (D.C. Cir. 1975) modification of FES through NRC administrative adjudications. LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 553 (1984)
- Citizens for Safe Power, Inc. v. NRC, 524 F.2d 1291, 1297 (D.C. Cir 1975)
- finding required for licensing of nuclear power plants, DD-84-16, 20 NRC 166, 181 (1984)
- City of Rochester v. Postal Service, 541 F. 2d 967 (2d Cir. 1976) agency jurisdiction to consider environmental impacts over segments of a project oil. I than its
- own: ALAB-785, 20 NRC 874 n 96 (1984) Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co. (Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-298, 2 NRC 730, 736-37 (1975)
 - Board reliance on predictive findings and post-hearing verification by Staff, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 507 (1984)
- Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co. (Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-298, 2 NRC 730, 737-(1975)
 - assignment of Board responsibilities to Staff, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 506 n.8 (1984)
- Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co. (Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-443, 6 NRC
 - Board error as ground for appellate relief: ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1151 n 282 (1984)
- Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co. (Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and . ALAB-675, 15 NRC 1105, 1113 (1982).
 - effect of adverse evidentiary rulings on structure of a proceeding, ALAB-791, 20 NRC 1583 n.11 (1984).
- Coastal States Gas Corp. v. Department of Energy, 617 F.2d 854, 864 (D.C. Cir. 1980) purpose of attorney work product privilege, LBP-84-50, 20 NRC 1473 (1984)
- Committee for Auto Responsibility v. Solomon, 603 F.2d 992, 1002 n.44 (D.C. Cir. 1979), cert. denied, 445 U.S. 915 (1980)
 - agency jurisdiction to consider environmental impacts over segments of a project other than its own: ALAB-785, 20 NRC 874 n 96 (1984)

- Commonwealth Edison Co. (Zion Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-116. 6 AEC 258, 258 & n.3 (1973) distinction, for appeal purposes, between order granting discovery against nonparty and order denying discovery by quashing subpoena addressed to nonparty. ALAB-780, 20 NRC 381 nn.6-8 (1984)
- Commonwealth Edison Co. (Zion Station, Units 1 and 2), LBP-80-7, 11 NRC 245 (1980) adequacy of structural materials and components of spent fuel storage basins to function for longer than Jesign basis, CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 357 (1984)
- Consolidated Edison Co. of New York (Indian Point, Unit 2), CLI-74-23, 7 AEC 947, 951 (1974) matters left for post-hearing resolution by Staff, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1159 n.329 (1984)
- Consolidated Edison Co. of New York (Indian Point, Unit 2), CLI-74-23, 7 AEC 947, 951, 952 & n.8 (1974)
 - seriousness of environmental qualification deficiencies for purposes of allowing post-hearing resolution by Staff, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 507 (1984)
- Consolidated Edison Co. of New York (Indian Point, Unit 2), CLI-82-15, 16 NRC 27, 36-37 (1982) content of testimony on accident probability, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 586 (1984)
- Consolidated Edison Co. of New York (Indian Point, Unit 2), LBP-83-68, 18 NRC 811, 888 (1983) reasonableness of 2-hour delay time before evacuation following radiological emergency; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 570, 578 (1984)
- Consolidated Edison Co. of New York (Indian Point, Unit 2), LBP-83-68, 18 NRC 811, 891, 892 (1983)
 - factors considered in assessing societal risk of nuclear power plants; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 586 (1984)
- Consolidated Edison Co. of New York (Indian Point, Units 1, 2 and 3), ALAB-319, 3 NRC 188 (1975)
 - responsibility for resolution of uncontested issues prior to issuance of operating license. LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 58 (1984)
- Consolidated Edison Co. of New York (Indian Point, Units 1, 2 and 3), CLI-75-8, 2 NRC 173, 176 (1975)
- showing necessary for initiation of enforcement proceedings, DD-84-16, 20 NRC 181 (1984). Consumers Power Co. (Big Rock Point Plant), LBP-82-60, 16 NRC 540, 544 (1982).
- purpose of the emergency planning brochure, LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 945 (1984)
- Consumers Power Co. (Midland Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-101, 6 AEC 60, 65, 66 (1973) standards for disqualification of NRC judges, ALAB-777, 20 NRC 34 nn.54, 55 (1984)
- Consumers Power Co. Midland Plant. Units 1 and 2). ALAB-122, 6 AEC 322 (1973) appealability of order granting discovery against nonparty to a proceeding. ALAB-780, 20 NRC 381 n.7 (1984).
- Consumers Power Co. (Midland Plant, Units I and 2). ALAB-270, I NRC 473, 475 (1975) penalty for failure to brief issues on appeal. ALAB-793, 20 NRC 1619 (1984)
- Consumers Power Co. (Midland Plant, Units 1 and 2). ALAB-283, 2 NRC 11 (1975); reconsideration demed. ALAB-315, 3 NRC 101 (1976)
 - burden of proof in an enforcement proceeding, LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1304 (1984)
- Consumers Power Co. (Midland Plant, Units 1 and 2). ALAB-395, 5 NRC 772, 779 (1977). litigation expense as irreparable injury for purpose of supporting stay request; CLI-84-17, 20 NRC 804 (1984).
- Consumers Power Co. (Midland Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-458, 7 NRC 155, 159-60, 169-70 (1978) test for determining whether to impose stay of activities pending disposition of remand, LBP-84-53, 20 NRC 1543 n 36 (1984).
- Consumers Power Co. (Midland Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-458, 7 NRC 155, 161-63 (1978) materiality of economic costs of a proposed action under NEPA, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 577 n.23 (1984).
- Consumers Power Co. (Midland Plant, Units 1 and 2). ALAB-634, 13 NRC 96, 99 (1981) discovery rulings of Licensing Boards as candidates for discretionary interlocutory review; ALAB-780, 20 NRC 381 n.13 (1984).
- Consumers Power Co. (Midland Plant, Units 1 and 2). ALAB-674, 15 NRC 1101 (1982) resolution, at operating license stage, of unexpected impacts from activities authorized under construction permit. ALAB-785, 20 NRC 871 (1984)

- Consumers Power Co. (Midland Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-674, 15 NRC 1101, 1102-03 (1982). Licensing Board seriodiction to modify construction permits, DD-84-16, 20 NRC 164 g.2 (1984).
- Consumers Power Co. (Midland Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-691, 16 NRC 897, 907 (1982) policy regarding readmission to NRC proceedings, LBP-84-54, 20 NRC 1643 (1984)
- Cross-Sound Ferry Services, Inc. v. United States, 573 F.2d 725, 732-33 (2d Cir. 1978)
 - applicability of rulings of other federal agencies to NRC proceeding, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 885 n.164 (1984)
- Dairyland Power Cooperative (La Crosse Boiling Water Reactor), LBP-83-23, 17 NRC 655, 658-59, aff'd, ALAB-733, 18 NRC 9 (1983)
 - applicability of seismic design standards to plants already built and operating, LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 653 (1984)
- Delaware River Basin Commission v. Bucks County Water & Sewer Authority, 545 F. Supp. 138, 140-42 (E.D. Pa. 1982)
- principal concerns of Delaware River Basin Commission; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 868 n.65 (1984) Delaware Water Emergency Group v. Hansler, 536 F. Supp. 26, 33-34 (E.D. Pa. 1981), aff'd, 681 F.2d 805 (3d Cir. 1982)
 - need for segmented environmental impact statements for conflicting Delaware River water uses: ALAB-785, 20 NRC 857 n.11 (1984)
- Delaware Water Emergency Group v. Hansler, 536 F. Supp. 26, 42 n.25 (E.D. Pa. 1981), aff'd, 681 F.2d 805 (3d Cir. 1982)
 - need for NRC to defer to Delaware River Basin Commission findings, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 868 n.65 (1984)
- Department of Water and Power of the City of Los Angeles (Malibu Nuclear Plant, Unit 1), 3 AEC 179, 183 (1967)
 - applicability of seismic design standards to plants already built and operating, LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 653 (1984)
- Detroit Edison Co. (Enrico Fermi Atomic Power Plant, Unit 2), ALAB-730, 17 NRC 1057, 1066 (1983)
- degree of completion required of emergency plans prior to final licensing, LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 939 (1984)
- Detroit Edison Co. (Enrico Fermi Atomic Power Plant, Unit 2), ALAB-730, 17 NRC 1057, 1067 (1983)
- predictive findings as basis for Licensing Board decision, ALAB-781, 20 NRC 834 n.54 (1984). Detroit Edison Co. (Green-wood Energy Center, Units 2 and 3), ALAB-225, 8 AEC 379, 380 (1974), dental of disqualification motion for lack of proper support, ALAB-777, 20 NRC 24 n.1 (1984). Diamond v. Stratton, 95 F.R.D. 503 (1982).
 - showing necessary to obtain documents claimed as privileged under attorney work product doctrine, LBP-84-50, 20 NRC 1475 (1984)
- In re Doe, 662 F 2d 1073
 - showing necessary to obtain documents claimed as privileged under attorney work product doctrine, LBP-84-50, 20 NRC 1475 (1984)
- Duffield v. Charleston Area Medical Center, 503 F.2d 512, 515-16 (4th Cir. 1974) time for filing disqualification motions, CLI-84-20, 20 NRC 1081 (1985)
- Duke Power Co. (Amendment to Materials License SNM-1773 Transportation of Spent Fuel from Oconee Nuclear Station for Storage at McGuire Nuclear Station), ALAB-651, 14 NRC 307 (1981) test to determine if a project has been segmented for purpose of considering environmental impacts, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 872 n.88 (1984).
- Duke Power Co. (Amendment to Materials License SNM-1773 Transportation of Spent Fuel from Oconee Nuclear Station for Storage at McGuire Nuclear Station), ALAB-651, 14 NRC 307, 313 (1981)
 - separate evaluation of environmental impacts of proposed amendments for modification of spent fuel pool and spent fuel shipments, LBP-84-40A, 20 NRC 1200 n.5 (1984)
- Duke Power Co. (Transportation of Spent Fuel from Oconee Nuclear Station for Storage at McGuire Nuclear Station), ALAB-651, 14 NRC 307, 313-15 (1981)
 - need to sum the environmental effects of two proposed actions; ALAB-790, 20 NRC 1454 n.10 (1984)

Duke Power Co. (Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2). ALAB-687, 16 NRC 460 (1982), vacated in part on other grounds, CLI-83-19, 17 NRC 1041 (1983)

standards for directed certification of interlocutory ruling; ALAB-791, 20 NRC 1584 n.15 (1984)

Duke Power Co. (Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2), CLI-83-19, 17 NRC 1041 (1983) restrictions on new information as basis for late filing of contentions. LBP-84-30, 20 NRC 437 (1984)

standards for admission of reformulated contention, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 869 n.70 (1984)

Duke Power Co. (William B. McGuire Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-669, 15 NRC 453, 459-72 (1982)

hydrogen mitigation ssystem in ice condenser containments; ALAB-794, 20 NRC 1634 n.14 (1984)

Duke Power Co. (William B. McGuire Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-669, 15 NRC 453, 477 (1982)

sponsorship of evidence, ALAB-781, 20 NRC 831 n.43 (1984)

Duplan Corp. v. Deering Milliken, Inc., 400 F. Supp. 497, 510 (1975)

cumulative development of basis for recusal motion as cause for its untimeliness. CLI-84-20, 20 NRC 1082 n.52 (1985)

Duquesne Light Co. (Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit 2), LBP-84-6, 19 NRC 393, 401-03 (1984) burden on party seeking waiver of 10 C.F.R. 51.53(c); LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 893 (1984)

Duquesne Light Co. (Beaver Valley Power Station, Units I and 2), ALAB-172, 7 AEC 42, 43 n.2 (1974)

denial of disqualification motion for lack of proper support; ALAB-777, 20 NRC 23 n.1 (1984) Eastern Oil Transport Inc. v. United States, 413 F. Supp. 121 (E.D.N.C. 1976)

waiver of right to a hearing, LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1305 n.13 (1984)

Easton Utilities Commission v. AEC. 424 F. 2d 847 (D.C. Cir. 1970)

waiver of right to hearing by NRC licensee on its request for license amendment. LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1305 n.14 (1984)

Ecology Action v. AEC, 492 F 2d 998, 1001-02 (2d Cir. 1974)

modification of FES through NRC administrative adjudications, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 553 (1984) EEOC v. Bay Shipbuilding, 668 F 2d 304 (7th Cir. 1981)

waiver of right to a hearing, LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1305 n 13 (1984)

EEOC v. Neches Bulane Products Co., 704 F.2d 144, 148 (5th Cir. 1983)

distinction, for appeal purposes, between order granting discovery against nonparty and order denying discovery by quashing subpoena addressed to nonparty; ALAB-780, 20 NRC 381 n.9 (1984)

Environmental Coalition on Nuclear Power v. NRC, 524 F.2d 1403 (3d Cir. 1975)

adequacy of river follower method for providing supplementary cooling water: ALAB-785, 20 NRC 857 n.9 (1984)

Environmental Defense Fund v. Gorsuch. 713 F 2d 802, 815 (D.C. Cir. 1983)

means for repealing Commission policy expressed in its regulations; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 145 (1984)

Etelson v. Office of Personnel Management, 684 F 2d 918, 926-27 (D.C. Cir. 1982)

right of applicants to challenge Staff actions by filing contentions, LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1306 n.15 (1984)

Federal Broadcasting System v. FCC, 225 F 2d 560, 566 (D.C. Cir.) (dictum), cert, denied sub nom. WHEC v. Federal Broadcasting System, 359 U.S. 923 (1955)

decision of Board to expedite proceeding as basis for its disqualification; ALAB-777, 20 NRC 40 n.1 (1984)

Florida Power and Light Co. (St. Lucie Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2), ALAB-280, 2 NRC 3, 4 n.2 (1975)

penalty for failure to file proposed findings of fact on issues in controversy; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 61 n 3 (1984); LBP-84-47, 20 NRC 1414 (1984)

Florida Power and Light Co. (St. Lucie Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2), ALAB-579, 11 NRC 223, 224-26 (1980)

Appeal Board jurisdiction to hear issue that has been subject of final agency action but that has nexus to matter still pending, ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1588-89 (1984)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX CASES

- Florida Power and Light Co. (St. Lucie Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 21, ALAB-603, 12 NRC 30 (1980), aff'd, CLI-81-12, 13 NRC 838, 843 4+ (1981)
 - sufficiency of methodology for evaluating reliability of emergency feedwater system, CLI-84-11, 20 NRC 8, 9 (1984)
- Florida Power and Light Co. (Turkey Point Nuclear Generating Station, Units 3 and 4), 3 AEC 173 (1967)
- need for nonpower reactor licensees to protect against sabotage, LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 145 (1984). Florida Power and Light Co. (Turkey Point Nuclear Generating Station, Units 3 and 4), 4 AEC 9, 12
 - interpretation of the terms "common defense and security", LBP-84-45, 20 NRC [400 (1984) 119671
- FPC v. Hope Natural Gas Co., 320 U.S. 591 (1944) basis for granting exemption to rule barring financial qualifications review in operating license
- proceedings; LBP-84-30, 20 NRC 432 (1984) Gilligan, Will & Co v SEC, 267 F.2d 461 (2d Cir. 1959)
- allegation of prejudgment against Chief Administrative Judge of Licensing Board Panel. LBP-84-29A, 20 NRC 386 (1984)
- Gilligan, Will & Co. v. SEC, 267 F 2d 461, 469 (2d Cir.), cert. denied, 361 U.S. 896 (1959) disqualification of judges on prejudgment ground, ALAB-777, 20 NRC 24 (1984)
- Green v McElroy, 360 U.S. 474, 495-96 (1959) need for provision to all parties of reports made available to Licensing Board, LBP-84-36, 20 NRC 930 (1984)
- Gulf Oil Corp v. FPC, 563 F 2d 588, 610 (3d Cir. 1977) decision of Board to expedite proceeding as basis for its disqualification. ALAB-777, 20 NRC 40 n.l (1984)
- Gulf States Utilities Co. (River Bend Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-444, 6 NRC 760, 775 (1977) effect of pendency of generic systems analysis study on safety finding for nuclear power plant licensing. ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1135 n.187 (1984); LBP-84-53, 20 NRC 1539 n.22 (1984).
- Hall v. Small Business Administration, 695 F. 2d 175 (1983) standard for disqualification of a judge, CLI-84-20, 20 NRC 1078 n.46 (1985)
- Henry v. FPC, 513 F 2d 395, 407 n.33 (D.C. Cir. 1975)
 - scope of environmental impacts to be considered by NRC on segmented project. ALAB-785, 20 NRC 873 (1984)
- Hickman v. Taylor, 329 U.S. 495, 511-12, 675 S. Ct. 385, 393-94, 91 L. Ed. 451 (1947) materials shielded by attorney work product privilege in NRC proceedings; LBP-84-50, 20 NRC 1473-74 (1984)
- Home Box Office, Inc. v. FCC, 567 F.2d 9, 54-56 (D.C. Cir. 1977) propriety of contacts between interested parties and agency decisionmakers, LBP-84-36, 20 NRC
- 929 (1984) Houston Lighting and Power Co. (Allens Creek Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 1), ALAB-635, 13 NRC 309, 310-11 (1981)
- prohibition against interlocutory appeals; ALAB-787, 20 NRC 1101 n.11 (1984)
- Houston Lighting and Power Co. (South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-608, 12 NRC 168, 170
 - discovery rulings of Licensing Boards as candidates for discretionary interlocutory review. ALAB-780, 20 NRC 381 n 13 (1984)
- Houston Lighting and Power Co. (South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2), CLI-82-9, 15 NRC 1363,
 - 1365-67 (1982) standard for disqualification of a judge: ALAB-777, 20 NRC 34 n.54 (1984); CLI-84-20, 20 NRC 1078 n.46 (1985)
- Houston Lighting and Power Co. (South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2), CLI-82-9, 15 NRC 1263, 1366
- persons against whom motions for disqualification are directed, LBP-84-29A, 20 NRC 386 (1984) Houston Lighting and Power Co. (South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2), LBP-83-37, 18 NRC 52, 59
 - (1984) denial of rate relief as a basis for litigation of financial qualifications in operating license proceedings, LBP-84-30, 20 NRC 434 (1984)

Houston Lighting and Power Co. (South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2), LBP-84-13, 19 NRC 659 (1984)

nexus between management character and conflict of interest in Applicants' representation of party whose position of adverse to Applicant's, LBP-84-50, 20 NRC 1467 (1984).

Illinois v. NRC, 591 F 2d 12 (7th Cir. 1979).

need for hearing in response to 2.206 request; DD-84-20, 20 NRC 785, 798 n.4 (1984) J.P. Linahan, Inc., 138 F 2d 650, 651 (2d Cir. 1943)

basis for dealification of a judge on prejudgment ground; ALAB-777, 20 NRC 35 (1984)
Kansas Gas and Electric Co. (Wolf Creek Generating Station, Unit 1), ALAB-462, 7 NRC 320, 338
(1978)

burden for satisfying requirements for reopening a record: ALAB-786, 20 NRC 1090 (1984) Kansas Gas and Electric Co. (Wolf Creek Generating Station, Unit 1), ALAB-784, 20 NRC 845 (1984)

effect of initial decision on participational rights of parties, ALAB-787, 20 NRC 1100 n.9 (1984) Kansas Gas and Electric Co. (Wolf Creek Generating Station, Unit 1), ALAB-784, 20 NRC 845, 846 (1984)

authority to invalidate Commission rules or regulations, ALAB-793, 20 NRC 1614 (1984). Kerr-McGee Corp. (West Chicago Rare Earths Facility), CLI-82-2, 15 NRC 232, 247-56 (1982), aff'd City of West Chicago v. NRC, 701 F 2d 632 (7th Cir. 1983)

Licensing Board discretion to control proceedings, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1178 n.463 (1984)
Kerr-McGee Corp. (West Chicago Rare Earths Facility), CLI-82-2, 15 NRC 232, 269 (1982), aff'd sub nom. City of West Chicago v. NRC, 701 F.2d 632 (7th Cir. 1983)

applicability of rulings of other federal agencies to NRC proceeding, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 885 n.164 (1984)

Kieppe v. Sierra Club. 427 L. S. 390, 400-01, 410, 49 L. Ed. 2d 576, 585, 590 (1976) need for separate environmental impact statements for two-step approach to disposal of mill tailings, LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1312 nn.31, 32 (1984)

Kleppe v. Sierra Club. 42° U.S. 190, 410 (1976)

proper scope of an agency's environmental review under NEPA, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 874 (1984) Kleppe v. Sierra Club, 427 U.S. 390, 410, n.21 (1976)

scope of environmental impact studies, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 575 (1984)

Kleppe v. Sierra Club. 427 L. S. 390, 49 L. Ed. 2d 576 (1976)

need for consideration of alternatives to onsite storage of mill tailings; LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1311, 1312 n.31 (1984)

Lake Erie Alliance for the Protection of the Coastal Corridor v. Army Corps of Engineers, 526 F. Supp. 1063, 1081 (W.D. Pa. 1981), aff'd, 707 F.2d 1392 (3d Cir.), cert. denied, 104 S. Ct. 277 (1983)

need to obtain views of National Marine Fisheries Service on endangered species in water diversion project. ALAB-785, 20 NRC 881 n.145 (1984)

Long Island Lighting Co. (Jamesport Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-353, 4 NRC 381

effect of adverse evidentiary rulings on structure of a proceeding. ALAB-791, 20 NRC 1583 n.H (1984)

Long Island Lighting Co. (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1). ALAB-780, 20 NRC 378, 382 (1984)

effect of policy statement on standards for directed certification; ALAB-791, 20 NRC 1583 n.14 (1984)

Long Island Lighting Co. (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1). ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102, 1142

Board treatment of allegations of welding violations on nonsafety systems, LBP-84-52, 20 NRC 1488 (1984)

Long Island Lighting Co. (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1). CLI-83-17, 17 NRC 1032 (1983). Commission policy disfavoring speculation on outcome of ongoing proceedings to determine application of specific regulations, LBP-84-30, 20 NRC 433 (1984).

- Long Island Lighting Co. (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), CLI-84-21, 20 NRC 1437, 1441 (1984)
 - effect of grant of low-power license on subsequent grant of full-power license, LBP-84-53, 20 NRC 1547 n.50 (1984)
- Long Island Lighting Co. (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), CLI-84-8, 19 NRC 1154 (1984) basis for determining equipment design standards, CLI-84-11, 20 NRC 15 n.15 (1984)
- Long Island Lighting Co. (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), CLI-84-8, 19 NRC 1154 (1984) standards for granting exemptions to requirements for full-power operation and circumstances where exemptions are required; CLI-84-19, 20 NRC 1059 n.7
- Long Island Lighting Co. (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), CLI-84-9, 19 NRC 1: 3 (1984) Commission policy disfavoring speculation on outcome of ongoing proceedings to determine application of specific regulations; LBP-84-30, 20 NRC 433 (1984)
- Long Island Lighting Co. (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), CLI-84-9, 19 NRC 1323 (1984) specificity required of quality assurance contentions; CLI-84-14, 20 NRC 284 n.1 (1984)
- Long Island Lighting Co. (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), LBP-82-41, 15 NRC 1295, 1305 (1982)
 - litigability of financial qualifications issues related to construction in an operating license proceeding; LBP-84-30, 20 NRC 434 (1984)
- Long Island Lighting Co. (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), LBP-82-82, 16 NRC 1144, 1162 (1982)
- test for determining applicability of work product privilege: LBP-84-50, 20 NRC 1474 (1984)
 Long Island Lighting Co. (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), LBP-83-30, 17 NRC 1132, 1143
 (1983)
 - standards for determining admissibility of late-filed contentions based on new issues; LBP-84-30, 20 NRC 440 (1984)
- Long Island Lighting Co. (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), LBP-83-57, 18 NRC 445, 544 (1983)
- post-hearing resolution of environmental qualification deficiencies by Staff, L8P-84-31, 20 NRC 507 (1984)
- Lorion v. NRC, 712 F.2d 1472, 1474 (D.C. Cir. 1983), cert. granted on other grounds sub nom. Florida Power and Light Co. v. Lorion, 52 U.S.L.W. 3701 (U.S. 1984)
- type of requests referred to NRC Staff for consideration; DD-84-16, 20 NRC 0163(1984)
 Louisiana Power and Light Co. (Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3), ALAB-732, 17 NRC 1076,
- 1093-94 (1983)
 extent of emergency planning necessary for plant operation. LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 60 (1984)
- Louisiana Power and Light Co. (Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3), ALAB-732, 17 NRC 1076, 1096 (1983)
 - burden on party claiming prejudice from procedural rulings; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1151 n.283 (1984)
- Louisiana Power and Light Co. (Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3), ALAB-732, 17 NRC 1076, 1103 (1983)
 - Board reliance on predictive findings and post-hearing verification by Staff; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 507 (1984
- Louisiana Power and Light Co. (Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3), ALAB-732, 17 NRC 1076, 1103-04, 1106-7 (198.)
- Hitigability of it olementing procedures for emergency plans, LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 939-40 (1984)

 Louising Power and Light Co. (Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3), ALAB-732, 17 NRC 1076
- Louisiana Power and Light Co. (Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3), ALAB-732, 17 NRC 1076, 1106 (1983)
 - emergency planning details appropriate for post-hearing resolution by NRC Staff, LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 68 (1984)
- Louisiana Power and Light Co. (Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3). ALAB-732, 17 NRC 1076, 1107 (1983)
- litigability of emergency plan implementing procedures, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 516, 524 n.14 (1984) Louisiana Power and Light Co. (Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3), ALAB-753, 18 NRC 1321, 1329-30 (1983)
 - finality of an issue that has not received court review; ALAB-782, 20 NRC 841 (1984)

Louisiana Power and Light Co. (Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3), ALAB-753, 18 NRC 1321, 1331 (1983), aff'g the detailed findings of LBP-83-27, 17 NRC 949 (1983)

litigability of adequacy of emergency preparedness brochure's, LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 406 (1984) Louisiana Power and Light Co. (Waterford Steam Electric Count. Unit 3), CLI-73-25, 6 AEC 619, 622 n 3 (1971)

circumstances appropriate for grant of exempt ... from regulations, LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1376 n.115 (1984)

Louisiana Power and Light Co. (Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3), LBP-82-100, 16 NRC 1550, 1563, aff d. ALAB-732, 17 NRC 1076 (1983) degree of completion required of emergency notification and communication systems, for full-power operation; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 62 (1984) Louisiana Power and Light Co. (Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3), LBP-82-112, 16 NRC 1901 (1982)

satisfaction of conditions prior to issuance of operating license, LBP-84-27, 20 NRC 126 (1984) Louisiana Power and Light Co. (Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3), LBP-83-27, 17 NRC 949 (1981)

importance of clarity in emergency planning brochure, LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 945-46 (1984) Maine Yankee Atomic Power Co. (Maine Yankee Atomic Power Station), CLI-83-21, 18 NRC 157

support of petitions for waiver of regulations; LBP-84-30, 20 NRC 435 n.9 (1984) Maine Yankee Atomic Power Co. (Maine Yankee Atomic Power Station), DD-83-3, 17 NRC 327

need for action on safeguards concerns being considered generically in rulemaking. DD-84-24, 20 NRC 1561 (1984)

Marcus v. Director, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, 548 F.2d 1044, 1051 (D.C. Cir. 1976) need for timeliness of disqualification motions, ALAB-777, 20 NRC 32 n 43 (1984)

Metropolitan Edison Co. (Three Mile Island Nuclear Sta. on, Unit 1), ALAB-698, 16 NRC 1290, 1299 (1982), rev'd in part on other grounds, CLI-83-22, 18 NRC 299 (1983) acceptability of methods and solutions different from those set out in regulatory guides:

ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1161 n 341 (1984)

Metropolitan Edison Co. Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1). ALAB-705, 16 NRC 1733,

need for consideration of class 9 accidents for plants in a region of natural hazards: ALAB-781, 20 NRC 827 n 24 (1984)

Metropolitan Edison Co. (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1), ALAB-729, 17 NRC 814 (1983) disposition of cases pending Commission definition of the term "important to safety" ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1112 (1984)

Metropointan Edison Co. (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1), ALAB-729, 17 NRC 814, 874 n.280 (1983), aff'd in principal part, CLI-84-11, 20 NRC I (1984).

use of the terms "safety-grade" and "safety-related", ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1112 n.15 (1984) Metropolitan Edison Co. Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 11, ALAB-729, 17 NRC 814, 885-88 (1983)

Licensing Board authority to review Staff analysis before making final licensing decision; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1171 n 409 (1984)

Metropolitan Edison Co. Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1), ALAB-766, 19 NRC 981, 983

Appeal Board jurisdiction to hear issue that has been subject of final agency action but that has nexus to matter still pending. ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1588-89 (1984) termination of Appeal Board jurisdiction: ALAB-782, 20 NRC 841 n.8 (1984)

Metropolitan Edison Co. (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1), CLI-79-8, 10 NRC 141, 147

Commission authority to dispose of appeals from Licensing Board decisions: ALAB-787, 20 NRC 1100 n.7 (1984)

Metropolitan Edison Co. (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1). CLI-84-11, 20 NRC 1, 16 (1984)

preclusion of plant operation pending resolution of generic systems interaction program. ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1135 n.187 (1984)

- Metropolitan Edison Co. (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1), LBP-81-59, 14 NRC 1211, 1588
 - preselection of evacuation routes based on potential wind direction, LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 415 (1984)
- Metropolitan Edison Co. (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1), LBP-81-60, 14 NRC 1724 (1981)
 - authority of Licensing Board to admit an applicant's contentions; LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1301 n.8 (1984)
- Metropolitan Edison Co. v. People Against Nuclear Energy, 103 S. Ct. 1556, 75 L. Ed. 2d 534 (1983) psychological stress as ground for dismissal of application with prejudice. LBP-84-43, 20 NRC 1337 (1984)
- Metropolitan Edison Co. v. People Against Nuclear Energy, 460 U.S. 766, 103 S. Ct. 1556 (1983) litigability of psychological health contentions; LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 915 (1984)
- Minnesota v. NRC, 602 F 2d 412 (1979)
- initiation of waste confidence rulemaking proceeding, CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 289, 311 (1984) Mississippi Power and Light Co. (Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-130, 6 AEC 423, 426 (1973)
- need for merits review of contention for its admission, LBP-84-40A, 20 NRC 1198 n.4 (1984) Mississippi Power and Light Co. (Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Units I and 2), ALAB-704, 16 NRC 1725, 1730 (1982)
 - showing required on other factors when good cause is not shown for readmission of party; LBP-84-54, 20 NRC 1645 (1984)
- In re Murphy, 360 F 2d 326, 336 (1977)
 - showing necessary to obtain documents claimed as privileged under attorney work product doctrine: LBP-84-50, 20 NRC 1475 (1984)
- Nagel v. Department of Health and Human Services, 707 F 2d 1384, 1387 (Fed. Cir. 1983) responsibilities of Chief Administrative Judge of Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel; LBP-84-29A, 20 NRC 387 (1984)
- Nash v. Califano, 613 F.2d 10 (2d Cir. 1980)
 - decision of Board to expedite proceeding as basis for its disqualification; ALAB-777, 20 NRC 40
- National Broadcasting Co. v. United States, 319 U.S. 190 (1943)
- public interest in granting exemptions from regulations, LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1381 n.143 (1984) National Small Shipments Traffic Conference, Inc. v. ICC, 590 F.2d 345, 350 (D.C. Cir. 1978) propriety of contacts between interested parties and agency decisionmakers where a formal
- hearing is under way: LBP-84-36, 20 NRC 929-30 (1984) Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. v. NRC, 547 F.2d 633, 653 n.57 (D.C. Cir. 1976), rev'd on other grounds, sub nom. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRC, 435 U.S. 519 (1978)
- need for environmental impact statement for extended spent fuel storage, CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 312 n.8 (1984) New England Coalition on Nuclear Pollution v. NRC, 582 F.2d 87, 93-94 (1st Cir. 1978) timing of issuance of Staff environmental impact statement; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 866 n.56 (1984)
- New England Coalition on Nuclear Pollution v. NRC, 582 F.2d 87, 98 (1st Cir. 1978)
- preclusion of NRC review of EPA findings, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 869 n.67 (1984) New England Coalition on Nuclear Pollution v. NRC, 727 F.2d 1127 (D.C. Cir. 1984) cause for remand of amended financial qualifications rule; ALAB-784, 20 NRC 847 (1984) disposition of financial qualifications rule: LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 895 (1984)
 - litigability of financial qualifications contentions in operating license proceedings. LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 57 n I (1984), LBP-84-30, 20 NRC 432 (1984)
- need for financial review of licensee to determine its qualifications to operate expanded spent fuel pool. DD-84-25, 20 NRC 1704 (1984)
- New England Power Co. v. NRC, 683 F.2d 12 (1st Cir. 1982)
 - recovery of costs incurred by NRC Staff in processing application that is subsequently withdrawn; LBP-84-43, 20 NRC 1338 (1984)
- New York Shipbuilding Corp., 1 AEC 707 (1961)
 - ultimate burden of proof in an enforcement proceeding, LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1305 n.12 (1984)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX CASES

Niagara Mohawk Power Cerp. (Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 2), ALAB-264, 1 NRC 347,

right of party to appear grounds of a trial tribunal's result, ALAB-793, 20 NRC 1597 n.3 standard applicable to appellate review of Licensing Board's factual findings: ALAB-781, 20 NRC 834 n.53 (1984)

Niagara Mohawk Power Corp. (Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 2), ALAB-264, 1 NRC 347, 371-72 (1975)

need for recirculation of FES because of modifications, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 553 (1984) Nixon v. Sirica, 487 F 2d 700, 714-17 (1973)

overriding attorney work product privilege. LBP-84-50, 20 NRC 1473 (1984)

NLRB v. Monsanto Chemical Co., 205 F 2d 763, 764 (8th Cir. 1953)

Board authority to modify procedural rules: ALAB-785, 20 NRC 864 n.44 (1984)

Northern Indiana Public Service Co. (Bailly Generating Station, No. 1), ALAB-76, 5 AEC 312, 313

basis for disqualification of a judge on prejudgment ground: ALAB-777, 20 NRC 34 n 55 (1984) Northern States Power Co. (Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant, Unit 1), ALAB-611, 12 NRC 301,

standard applicable to appeillate review of Licensing Board's factual findings, ALAB-781, 20 NRC

Northern States Power Co. (Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant, Unit 1), CLI-72-81, 5 AEC 25, 26

circumstances appropriate for waiver of or exception to regulations, LBP-84-30, 20 NRC 431, 434

Northern States Power Co. (Tyrone Energy Park, Unit 1), CLI-80-36, 12 NRC 523 (1980) revocation of construction permit because of halt in construction, DD-84-23, 20 NRC 1553

Offshore Power Systems (Floating Nuclear Power Plants), ALAB-489, 8 NRC 194 (1978) propriety of hearing on environmental issues prior to issuance of final environmental statement. ALAB '85, 20 NRC 865 n 52 (1984)

Offshore Power Systems (Floating Nuclear Power Plants), ALAB-489, 8 NRC 194, 221-22 (1978) right of applicants to challenge Staff actions by filing contentions, LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1306 n.15

Offshore Power Systems (Floating Nuclear Power Plants), LBP-79-15, 9 NRC 653 (1979) need for consideration of alternatives to onsite storage of mill tailings; LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1311

Offshore Power Systems (Manufacturing License for Floating Nuclear Power Plants), ALAB-689, 16

scope of sua sponte appellate review, ALAB-793, 20 NRC 1624 n.169 (1984)

Osterneck v. E.T. Barwick Industries, 82 F.R.D. 81, 87 (N.D. Ga. 1979) scope of attorney work product privilege: LBP-84-50, 20 NRC 1473 (1984)

Pacific Gas and Electric Co. (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1), CLI-81-30, 14 NRC 950

cause for suspension of low-power operating license, ALAB-789, 20 NRC 1447 (1984) Pacific Gas and Electric Co. (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1), CLI-84-5, 19 NRC 953,

adequacy of operator experience at nuclear facility, DD-84-21, 20 NRC 793 n.3 (1984) Pacific Gas and Electric Co. (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2), ALAB-254, 8 AEC 1184

disposition of proposed findings of fact based on extra-record evidence, LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 744

Pacific Gas and Electric Co. (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-519, 9 NRC

scope of seismic design for nuclear power plants; ALAB-793, 20 NRC 1616 (1984)

- Pacific Gas and Electric Co. (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2). ALAB-598, 11 NRC 876 (1980)
 - standards to be addressed by parties commenting on need for reopening the record; CLI-84-18, 20 NRC 809 (1984)
- Pacific Gas and Electric Co. (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-644, 13 NRC 903, 909 (1981)
 - scope of seismic design for nuclear power plants; ALAB-793, 20 NRC 1616 (1984)
- Pacific Gas and Electric Co. (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-644, 13 NRC 903, 914 (1981)
 - standing to appeal; ALAB-790, 20 NRC 1453 n.9 (1984)
- Pacific Gas and Electric Co. (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-644, 13 NRC 903, 924 n.40 (1981), review declined, CLI-82-12A, 16 NRC 7 (1982)
 - definition of "design response spectra"; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1169 n.395 (1984).
- Pacific Gas and Electric Co. (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2). ALAB-644, 13 NRC 903, 937 (1981)
 - measures appropriate for achieving regulatory standards for emergency plans. LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 939 (1984)
- Pacific Gas and Electric Co. (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-728, 17 NRC 777, 810-11 (1983)
- regulatory requirements for systems interaction studies, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1128 n.131 (1984)
- Pacific Gas and Electric Co. (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-775, 19 NRC 1361, 1366-67 & n.18 (1984)
 - particularity required of material supporting motion to reopen a record; ALAB-786, 20 NRC 1090 n.4 (1984)
- Pacific Gas and Electric Co. (Dia. & Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-782, 20 NRC 838, 840-42 (1984)
 - Appeal Board jurisdiction to hear issue that has been subject of final agency action but that has nexus to matter still pending, ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1588-89 (1984)
- Pacific Gas and Electric Co. (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units I and 2), CLI-80-24, 11 NRC 775 (1980)
 - use of protective order to avoid the need for ex parte examination of reports, LBP-84-36, 20 NRC 930-31 (1984)
- Pacific Gas and Electric Co. (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2), CLI-81-6, 13 NRC 443, 446 (1981)
 - appropriate forum for addressing adequacy of diesel generator building, DD-84-17, 20 NRC 230 (1984)
- Pacific Gas and Electric Co. (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2), CLI-83-27, 18 NRC 1146 (1983)
 - materiality of onsite diesel generators to low-power operation, LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1353 n.19 (1984)
- Pacific Gas and Electric Co. (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units I and 2), LBP-81-21, 14 NRC 107, 120-23 (1981)
 - degree of protection to be afforded to the public during low-power operation; LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1350 n.14 (1984)
- PATCO v. Federal Labor Relations Authority, 685 F 2d 547, 569 n 46 (D.C. Cir. 1982)
- decision of Board to expedite proceeding as basis for its disqualification; ALAB-777, 20 NRC 40 n.1 (1984)
- Peckham v. Ronrico Corp., 288 F 2d 841, 843 (1st Cir. 1961)
- standard for finding disqualification motion untimely; CLI-84-20, 20 NRC 1082 (1985)
- Pennsylvania Hydroelectric Development Corp., 15 FERC \$ 61,152 (1981)
 - preclusion of agency consideration of an issue by Delaware River Basin Compact; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 869 n.67 (1984)
- Pennsylvania Power and Light Co. (Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-563, 10 NRC 449, 450 n.1 (1979)
 - standards applicable to lay representatives in NRC proceedings, ALAB-778, 20 NRC 47 n.4 (1984)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX CASES

Petition for Emergency and Remedial Action, CLI-78-6, 7 NRC 400, 406 (1978) responsibility for choosing remedy for a violation; DD-84-17, 20 NRC 231 (1984)

Petition for Emergency and Remedial Action, CLI-80-21, 11 NRC 707 (1980)

operation of plants with environmental qualification deficiencies; CLI-84-11, 20 NRC 3 (1984) Petition for Emergency and Remedial Action. CLI-80-21, 11 NRC 707, 714-15 (1980)

deadline for qualification of motor-operated valves, LBP-84-38, 20 NRC 1022 (1984) Petition for Shutdown of Certain Reactors, CLI-73-31, 6 AEC 1069, 1070 (1973), all'd sub nom.

Nader v. NRC, 513 F 2d 1045 (D.C. Cir. 1975)

finding required for licensing of nuclear power plants, DD-84-16, 20 NRC 166-67 (1984) Philadelphia Electric Co. (Fulton Generating Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-657, 14 NRC 967 (1981) authorization of withdrawal of application with prejudice; LBP-84-33, 20 NRC 767 (1984)

Philadelphia Electric Co. (Limerick Generating Station, Units 1 and 2), A&AB-262, 1 NRC 163, 197

basis for National Environmental Policy Act judgments for any facility, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC

Philadelphia Electric Co. (Limerick Generating Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-778, 20 NRC 42, 48

litigability of amendment of operating license application, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 884 (1984) Philadelphia Electric Co. (Limerick Generating Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-789, 20 NRC 1443,

most important factor in determining need for a stay; ALAB-794, 20 NRC 1633 n.11 (1984) Philadelphia Electric Co. (Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3), ALAB-216, 8 AEC

detail required of evidence supporting contention for its admission, LBP-84-40A, 20 NRC 1198

Philadelphia Electric Co. (Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3), ALAB-509, 8 NRC

scope of sua sponte appellate review. ALAB-793, 20 NRC 1624 n.169 (1984) Porter County Chapter of the Izaak Walton League v NRC, 606 F.2d 1363 (D.C. Cir. 1979)

need for hearing in response to 2 206 request, DD-84-20, 20 NRC 785, 798 n 4 (1984) Porter County Chapter of the Izaak Walton League. Inc. v. NRC, 606 F 2d 1363, 1370 (D.C. Cir.

risk to licensee constructing a nuclear power plant, DD-84-23, 20 NRC 1554 n.2 (1984) Potashnick v. Port City Construction Co., 609 F 2d 1101 (5th Cir.), cert. denied, 449 U.S. 820 (1980) standard for disqualification of a judge, CLi-84-20, 20 NRC 1078 n 46 (1985)

Potomac Electric Power Co. (Douglas Point Nuclear Generating Station, Units I and 2), ALAB-218, 8 AEC 79, 89-90 (1974)

authority to invalidate Commission rules of regulations. ALAB-784, 20 NRC 846 n.2 (1984); ALAB-793, 20 NRC 1614 (1984)

Potomac Electric Power Co. (Douglas Point Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2). ALAB-277,

reason for delay of environmental hearings until Final Environmental Statement is circulated: ALAB-785 20 NRC 864 n 43 (1984)

Power Reactor Development Co. v. International Union of Electrical, Radio & Machine Workers, 367

NRC distinction between construction and operational impacts of an activity: ALAB-785, 20 NRC 871 n 80 (1984)

Power Reactor Development Co. v. International Union of Electrical, Radio & Machine Workers, 367

risk to licensee constructing a nuclear power plant, DD-84-23, 20 NRC 1554 n.2 (1984) Public Service Co. of Indiana (Marble Hill Nuclear Generating Station, Units I and 2), ALAB-316, 3

authority of Licensing Board to admit an applicant's contentions, LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1301 n.8

Public Service Co. of Indiana (Marble Hill Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-405, 5 NRC 1190, 1192 (1977)

factors considered by Appeal Board in deciding whether to exercise directed certification authority; ALAB-791, 20 NRC 1582 n.8 (1984)

standard for discretionary interlocutory review of Licensing Board order, ALAB-780, 20 NRC 381 n.12 (1984)

Public Service Co. of Indiana (Marble Hill Nuclear Generating Station, Units I and 2), ALAB-437, 6
NRC 630, 632 (1977)

weight given to potential for irreparable harm, in ruling on stay requests, ALAB-789, 20 NRC [446 (1984)

Public Service Co. of Indiana (Marble Hill Nuclear Generating Station, Units I and 2), ALAB-461, 7 NRC 313, 315 (1978)

effect of failure to brief exceptions on appeal. ALAB-781, 20 NRC 824 n.4 (1984)

Public Service Co. of Indiana (Marbie Hill Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-493, 8 NRC 253, 270-71 (1978)

denial of stay motion because of failure of movant to address factors of 10 C.F.R. 2.788(e); ALAB-789, 20 NRC 1449 (1984)

Public Service Co. of Indiana (Marble Hill Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-530, 9 NRC 261, 262 (1979)

Appeal Board jurisdiction to hear issue that has been subject of final agency action but that has nexus to matter still pending, ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1588-89 (1984)

Public Service Co. of Indiana (Marble Hill Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2), CLI-80-10, 11 NRC 438, 442-43 (1980)

NRC means for assuring quality construction of nuclear power plants; DD-84-17, 20 NRC 233 (1984)

Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Unit 2), CLI-84-6, 19 NRC 975 (1984) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.j.chi.com/public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Unit 2), CLI-84-6, 19 NRC 975 (1984) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.j.chi.com/public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Unit 2), CLI-84-6, 19 NRC 975 (1984) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.j.chi.com/public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Unit 2), CLI-84-6, 19 NRC 975 (1984) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.j.chi.com/public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Unit 2), CLI-84-6, 19 NRC 975 (1984) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.j.chi.com/public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Unit 2), CLI-84-6, 19 NRC 975 (1984) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.j.chi.com/public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Unit 2), CLI-84-6, 19 NRC 975 (1984) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.j.chi.com/public Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Unit 2), CLI-84-6, 19 NRC 975 (1984) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.j.chi.com/public Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Unit 2), CLI-84-6, 19 NRC 975 (1984) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.j.chi.com/public Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Unit 2), CLI-84-6, 19 NRC 975 (1984) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.j.chi.com/public Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Unit 2), CLI-84-6, 19 NRC 975 (1984) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.j.chi.com/public Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Unit 2), CLI-84-6, 19 NRC 975 (1984) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.j.chi.com/public Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Unit 2), CLI-84-6, 19 NRC 975 (1984) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.j.chi.com/public Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Unit 2), CLI-84-6, 19 NRC 975 (1984) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.j.chi.com/public Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Unit 2), CLI-84-6, 19 NRC 975 (1984) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.j.chi.com/public Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Unit 2), CLI-84-6, 19 NRC 975 (1984) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.j.chi.com/public Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Unit 2), CLI-84-6, 19 N

Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Scabrook Station, Unit 2), CLI-84-6, 19 NRC 975, 979 (1984) specificity required of 2 206 petitions, DD-84-18, 20 NRC 244 (1984)

Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-271, 1 NRC 478, 482-83 (1975)

treatment of appeal as motion for directed certification of oral order. ALAB-780, 20 NRC 380 n.3 (1984)

Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2). ALAB-442, 6 NRC 33, 41 (1977)

Licensing Board treatment of conflicting viewpoints of expert witnesses; ALAB-781, 20 NRC 836 n.64 (1984)

Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-471, 7 NRC 477 (1978)

factors influencing selection of sites for disposal of mill tailings; LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1320 (1984)
Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2). ALAB-513, 8 NRC 694, 695 (1978)

termination of Appeal Board jurisdiction; ALAB-782, 20 NRC 841 (1984)

Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 21, ALAB-513, 8 NRC 694, 695-96 (1978)

Appeal Board jurisdiction to hear issue that has been subject of final agency action but that has nexus to matter still pending. ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1588-89 (1984)

Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-731, 17 NRC 1073, 1074-75 (1983)

description of interlocutory order: ALAB-787, 20 NRC 1100 n.8 (1984)

Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-734, 18 NRC 11, 14 n.4 (1983)

effect of failure of party to address standards for directed certification; ALAB-791, 20 NRC 1582 n.7 (1984)

Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-737, 18 NRC 168, 171 (1983).

factors considered by Appeal Board in deciding whether to exercise directed certification authority: ALAB-*91, 20 NRC 1582 n.8 (1984)

Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-749, 18 NRC 1195, 1197 n.1 (1983)

denial of disqualification motion for lack of proper support; ALAB-777, 20 NRC 24 n.1 (1984) • Public Service Co. of New Hampshice (Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-749, 18 NRC 1195, 1198 (1983)

need for timeliness of disqualification motions; ALAB-777, 20 NRC 32 n.43 (1984)

Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2), CLI-77-8, 5 NRC 503, 516 (1977)

Commission authority to determine need for and scope of further hearings, CLI-84-18, 26 NRC 810 (1984)

Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2), CLI-77-8, 5 NRC 503, 521 (1977)

test for determining whether to impose stay of activities pending disposition of remand, LBP-84-53, 20 NRC 1543 n.36 (1984)

Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2). CL1-77-8, 5 NRC 503, 524-25 (1977)

use of tainted information in selection of alternatives for mill tailings disposal; LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1322 n.75 (1984)

Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2), LBP-83-32A, 17 NRC 1170, 1177 n.5 (1983)

*eight given to emergency planning standards of NUREG-0654, LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 939 n.3 (1984)

Public Service Co. of New Hampshire v. NRC, 382 F 2d 77 (1st Cir.), cert. denied, 439 U.S. 1046 (1978)

agency jurisdiction to consider environmental impacts over segments of a project other than its own, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 874 n 96 (1984)

Public Service Co. of Oklahoma (Black Fox Station, Units 1 and 2), CLI-80-8, 11 NRC 433, 434 (1980)

Public Service Co. of Oklahoma (Black Fox Station, Units 1 and 2), LBP-83-10, 17 NRC 410 (1983) withdrawal of operating Icense application without prejudice, LBP-84-51, 20 NRC 1482 (1984)

Public Service Electric and Gas Co. (Hope Creek Generating Station, Unit 1), ALAB-759, 19 NRC 13, 20 (1964)

standards for disqualification of NRC judges: ALAB-777, 20 NRC 34 n.54 (1984)

Public Service Electric and Gas Co. (Hope Creek Generating Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-518, 9
NRC 14, 39 (1979)

basis for National Environmental Policy Act judgments for any facility: LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 552-53 (1984)

Public Service Electric and Gas Co. (Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 1), ALAB-650, 14 NRC 43, 49 (1981), all'd sub-nom. Township of Lower Alloways Creek v. Public Service Electric and Gas Co., 687 F 2d 732 (1982)

effect of failure to brief exceptions on appeal, ALAB-781, 20 NRC 824 n.4 (1984)

Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority (North Coast Nuclear Plant, Unit 1), ALAB-662, 14 NRC 1125 (1981)

authorization of withdrawal of application with prejudice; LBP-84-33, 20 NRC 767 (1984)
Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority (North Coast Nuclear Plant, Unit 1), ALAB-662, 14 NRC 1125, 1133-34 (1981)

showing necessary for successful petition for dismissal of application with prejudice, LBP-84-43, 20 NRC 1337 (1984)

Reese Sales Co. v. Hardin. 458 F.2d 183 (9th Cir. 1972)

waiver of right to a hearing, LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1305 n.13 (1984)

Renegotiation Board v. Bannercraft Co., 415 U.S. 1, 24 (1974).

litigation expense as irreparable injury for purpose of supporting stay request. CLI-84-17, 20 NRC 804 (1984)

Rockford League of Women Voters v. NRC, 679 F.2d 1218, 1222 (7th Cir. 1982)

appropriate forum for addressing adequacy of diesel generator building. DD-84-17, 20 NRC 230 (1984)

Roosevelt Campobello International Park Commission v. EPA, 684 F.2d 1041 (1st Cir. 1982) studies of endangered species required for compliance with Endangered Species Act. ALAB-785, 20 NRC 881 a.145 (1984)

Roosevelt Campobello International Park Commission v. EPA, 684 F 2d 1041, 1048-49 (1st Cir. 1982) definition of jeopardy to the existence of a species, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 881 n.147 (1984)

Sacramento Municipal Utility District (Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station), ALAB-665, 14 NRC 799, 803 (1981)

scope of sua sponte appellate review, ALAB-793, 20 NRC 1624 n.169 (1984)

Sangamon Valley Television Corp. v. United States, 269 F. 2d 221 (D.C. Cir. 1959) propriety of contacts between interested parties and agency decisionmakers. LBP-84-36, 20 NRC 929 (1984)

Siegel v. AEC, 400 F 2d 778, 784 (D.C. Cir. 1968)

interpretation of the terms "common defense and security": LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1401 (1984). Sierra Club v. Froehike, 345 F. Supp. 440, 444 (W.D. Wis. 1972), aff'd, 486 F.2d 946 (7th Cir. 1973) uses of environmental impact studies: LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 575 (1984).

Sierra Club v. Froehlike, 534 F.2d 1289 (8th Cir. 1976)

need for consideration of alternatives to onsite storage of mill tailings. LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1311 (1984)

Sierra Club v. Froehlke, 534 F.2d 1289, 1303-04 (8th Cir. 1976)

need to obtain views of National Marine Fisheries Service on endangered species in water diversion project. ALAB-785, 20 NRC 881 n.145 (1984)

Sierra Club v. Morton, 514 F 2d 856 (D.C. Cir. 1975)

need for separate environmental impact statements for two-step approach to disposal of mill tailings. LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1311 n.30 (1984)

Smith v. Danyo, 585 F.2d 83, 86 (1978)

standard for finding disqualification motion untimely; CLI-84-20, 20 NRC 1082 (1985)

South Carolina Electric and Gas Co. (Virgit C. Summer Nuclear Station, Unit 1), ALAB-642, 13 NRC 881, 895-96 (1981), aff'd sub-nom. Fairfield United Action v. NRC, 679 F 2d 261 (D.C. Cir. 1982) findings necessary prior to issuance of Part 10 license, ALAB-778, 20 NRC 48 (1984).

Southern California Edison Co. (San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3), ALAB-680, 16 NRC 127, 137 (1982)

estimation of number of contaminated injured in a nuclear accident; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 535, 536 (1984)

Southern California Edison Co. (San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3), ALAB-717, 17 NRC 346, 366-68 (1983)

sponsorship of evidence, ALAB-781, 20 NRC 831 n.43 (1984)

Southern California Edison Co. (San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3), ALAB-717, 17 NRC 346, 380 n.57 (1983)

predictive findings as basis for Licensing Board decision; ALAB-781, 20 NRC 834 n.54 (1984). Southern California Edison Co. (San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3), CLI-81-33,

14 NRC 1091 (1981)
need to consider impacts of earthquakes on emergency planning, ALAB-781, 20 NRC 824 (1984)

need to consider impacts of earthquakes on emergency planning, ALAB-781, 20 NRC 824 (1984) Southern California Edison Co. (San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3), CLI-81-33, 14 NRC 1091, 1091-92 (1981)

need for consideration of impacts on emergency planning of earthquakes occurring during accidental radiological release: CLI-84-12, 20 NRC 250, 256, 259 (1984)

Southern California Edison Co. (San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3), CLI-81-33, 14 NRC 1091, 1092 (1981)

need to consider simultaneous occurrence of a LOCA concurrent with an earthquake for plant licensing, LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1374 n.101 (1984)

- Southern California Edison Co. (San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3), CLI-83-10, 17 NRC 528 (1983).
- extent of emergency planning measures that must be taken, LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 940 (1984). Southern California Edison Co. (San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3), CLI-83-10, 17 NRC 528, 532, 533, 535-36 (1983).
 - need for provision of measures to care for contaminated injured individuals, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 531, 535, 536 (1984)
- Southern California Edison Co. (San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3), CLI-83-10, 17 NRC 528, 535-36 (1983)
 - litigability of contention questioning adequacy of medical services for contaminated injured; LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 402, 403 (1984)
- Southern California Edison Co. (San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3), LBP-82-3, 15 NRC 61, 185-97 (1982)
 - degree of protection to be afforded to the public during lox-power operation; LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1350 n.14 (1984)
- Southern California Edison Co. (San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3), LBP-82-39, 15 NRC 1163, 1181 (1982), aff d. ALAB-717, 17 NRC 346 (1983)
 - expansion of emergency planning zones beyond Commission requirements, ALAB-781, 20 NRC 831 (1984)
- Southern Pacific Communications Co. v. AT&T, 740 F 2d 980, 990-91 (D.C. Cir. 1984) basis for disqualification of a judge on prejudgment ground; ALAB-777, 20 NRC 35 n.56 (1984)
- Statement of Policy on Conduct of Licensing Proceedings, CLI-81-8, 13 NRC 452 (1981)
 expedition of licensing proceedings, ALAB-777, 20 NRC 37 n 66 (1984)
 - Licensing Board responsibility to avoid or reduce delays in licensing proceedings: LBP-84-52, 20 NRC 1486 (1984)
- Statement of Policy on Conduct of Licensing Proceedings, CLI-81-8, 13 NRC 452, 456 (1981) effect of policy statement on standards for directed certification, ALAB-791, 20 NRC 1583 n.13 (1984)
- need for relaxation of interlocutory review standard, ALAB-780, 20 NRC 382 (1984) Swain v. Brinegar, 542 F.2d 364 (7th Cir. 1976) (en banc)
 - test to determine if a project has been segmented for purpose of considering environmental impacts, ALAB-783, 20 NRC 872 n 88 (1984)
- Tennessee Valley Authority (Bellefonte Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2), LBP-74-66, 8 AEC 472, 475, 476 (1974)
- challenges to Staff determinations in an adjudication, LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1301 n.7 (1984). Tennessee Valley Authority (Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Units 1, 2 and 3), ALAB-677, 15 NRC 1387, 1391-94 (1982).
 - responsibility of parties to notify Board of significant new developments; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 884 n 163 (1984)
- Tennessee Valley Authority (Hartsville Nuclear Plant, Units 1A, 2A, 1B, and 2B), ALAB-398, 5 NRC 1152 (1977)
- ground for treatment of petition for readmission as tardy petition for intervention; LBP-84-54, 20 NRC 1642 n.9 (1984)
- Tennessee Valley Authority (Hartsville Nuclear Plant, Units IA, 2A, 1B, and 2B), ALAB-463, 7 NRC 341, 348 (1978)
 - forum for pursuing new aspect of contended issue at operating licensing stage: ALAB-785, 20 NRC 878 (1984)
- Tennessee Valley Authority (Phipps Bend Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-506, 8 NRC 533, 544-49 (1978)
- means for Commission to fulfill purposes of NEPA. ALAB-785, 20 NRC 868 n.65 (1984). Toledo Edison Co. (Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station). ALAB-300, 2 NRC 752 (1975).
- waiver of right to hearing by NRC licensee on its request for license amendment; LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1305 n.14 (1984)
- Toledo Edison Co. (Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station). ALAB-300, 2 NRC 752, 758 (1975) description of interlocutory order, ALAB-787, 20 NRC 1100 n.8 (1984)

- Toledo Edison Co. (Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Starton). AL. AB-300, 2 NRC 752, 767-68 (1975). litigability of withdrawn contention that is the sub-ct of a stipulation between parties, LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 58 n 2 (1984)
- Toledo Edison Co. (Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), ALAB-314, 3 NRC 98 (1976) effect of adverse evidentiary rulings on structure of a proceeding, ALAB-791, 20 NRC 1583 n.11 (1984)
- Trustees of Columbia University, 4 AEC 349 (1970).
 - need for nonpower reactor licensees to protect against sabotage, LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 145 (1984)
- Union Electric Co. (Callaway Plant, Unit 1), ALAB-740, 18 NRC 343, 346 (1983) means for assuring quality of nuclear power plants, DD-84-17, 20 NRC 241 (1984) quality expected of nuclear power plant construction, DD-84-16, 20 NRC 166 (1984) scope of quality assurance review; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1142 n.230 (1984) ultimate factual issue related to quality assurance to be made; LBP-84-52, 20 NRC 1488 (1984)
- Union Electric Co. (Callaway Plant, Unit 1). ALAB-750A, 18 NRC 1218, 1220 (1983) dismissal of construction permit application with a condition; ...BP-84-43, 20 NRC 1338 (1984)
- Union Electric Co. (Callaway Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-527, 9 NRC 126, 136-37 (1979) regulatory basis for NRC actions to prevent harassment and discrimination against workers at
- nuclear reactor construction sites. DD-84-16, 20 NRC 182 n.14 (1984) Union of Concerned Scientists v. NRC, 711 F.2d 370 (D.C. Cir. 1983) means for repealing Commission policy expressed in its regulations; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 145 (1984)
- Union of Concerned Scientists v. NRC, 711 F.2d 370, 377, 183 (D.C. Cir. 1983) vacation of interim environmental qualification rule for failure to provide opportunity to comment, CLI-84-11, 20 NRC 4 (1984)
- Union of Concerned Scientist, v. NRC, 735 F.2d [437 (D.C. Cir. 1984) litigability of financial qualifications contentions in op rating license proceedings: LBP-84-26, 20
 - NRC 57 n.1 (1984) litigability of issue of need for planning for earthquakes which have emergency preparedness implications, CLI-84-12, 20 NRC 265 (1984)
 - need for emergency preparedness exercises prior to initial licensing decision, ALAB-781, 20 NRC 835 (1984)
 - need for intervenors to update emergency planning contentions to reflect current state of the record. LBP-84-28, 20 NRC 132 n 5 (1984)
 - validity of 10 C.F.R. 50.47(a)(2) concerning litigability of results of emergency response exercises, LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 405 (1984)
- Union of Concerned Scientists v. NRC, 735 F 2d 1437, 1444 n 12 (D.C. Cir. 1984) Licensing Board discretion to control proceedings: ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1178 n.463 (1984)
- United States Department of Energy (Clinch River Breeder Reactor Plant), ALAB-721, 17 NRC 539, 543-44 (1983):
 - weight given to potential for irreparable harm, in ruling on stay requests; ALAB-789, 20 NRC 1446 (1984)
- United States Department of Energy (Clinch River Breeder Reactor Plant), ALAB-761, 19 NRC 487, 493 (1984)
- policy regarding readmission to NRC proceedings, LBP-84-54, 20 NRC 1642-43 (1984) United States Department of Energy (Clinch River Breeder Reactor Plant), CLI-82-4, 15 NRC 362, 373 (1982)
- history of application of 10 C.F.R. 50.12(a), LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1375 n.110 (1984)
- United States Department of Energy (Clinch River Breeder Reactor Plant). CLI-82-23, 16 NRC 412 (1982)
 - need for consideration of alternatives to onsite storage of mill tailings, LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1311
- United States Department of Energy (Clinch River Breeder Reactor Plant), CLI-83-1, 17 NRC 1, 4-6 119831
 - circumstances appropriate for grant of exemption from regulations. LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1376 n.113 (1984)

United States Energy Research and Development Administration (Clinch River Breeder Reactor Plant), CLI-76-13, 4 NRC 67, 75-76 (1976)

Commission authority to determine need for a.id scope of further hearings; CLI-84-18, 20 NRC 810 (1984)

United States v. Allegheny-Ludlum Steel Corp., 406 U.S. 742, 755 (1972)

public interest in granting exemptions from regulations: LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1381 n.143 (1984)

United States v. B&O Southeastern Railroad Co., 226 U.S. 14, 20 (1912)

reports from agency Staff as ex parte communications, LBP-84-36, 20 NRC 930 (1984). United States v. Haldeman, 559 F.2d 31, 13t n.332 (D.C. Cir. 1976) (en banc) (per curiam), cert. denied, 431 U.S. 933 (1977).

basis for disqualification of a judge on prejudgment ground: ALAB-777, 20 NRC 35 (1984) United States v. Lipshy, 492 F. Supp. 35, 44-46 (1979)

United States v. Storer Broadcasting Co., 351 U.S. 192 (1956)

public interest in granting exemptions from regulations, LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1381 n.143 (1984). Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. (Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station), ALAB-124, 6 AEC 358, 360, 361-62 & n.4 (1973).

assignment of Board responsibilities to Staff, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 506 n.8 (1984)
Virginia Electric and Power Co. (North Anna Power Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-491, 8 NRC 245

plant operation pending solution of generic unresolved safety issues, LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 59

regulatory requirements for systems interactions studies. ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1128 n.130 (1984) Virginia Electric and Power Co. North Anna Power Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-491, 8 NRC 245, 247-48 (1978)

effect of pendency of generic systems analysis study on safety finding for nuclear power plant licensing, LBP-84-53 20 NRC 1539 n.22 (1984)

preclusion of plant operation pending resolution of generic systems interaction program; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1135 n 187 (1984)

Virginia Electric and Power Co. (North Anna Power Station, Units 1 and 2). ALAB-551, 9 NRC 704, 705-09 (1979).

appellate jurisdiction over decided issue because of its nexus to pending issue; ALAB-782, 20 NRC 841 n.9 (1984), ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1588-89 (1984)

Virginia Electric and Power Co. (North Anna Power Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-551, 9 NRC 704, 708-09 (1979)

termination of Appeal Board jurisdiction: ALAB-782, 20 NRC 841 (1984)

Virginia Electric and Power Co. (North Anna Power Station, Units I and 2). ALAB-741, 18 NRC 371, 374-75 (1983)

standards for directed certification of novel or important issues. ALAB-791, 20 NRC 1583 n.12 (1984)

Virginia Electric and Power Co. (North Anna Power Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-741, 18 NRC 371, 375 (1983)

application of interlocutory review standard, ALAB-780, 20 NRC 382 n.16 (1984)

Virginia Electric and Power Co. (North Anna Power Station, Units 1 and 2), CLI-76-22, 4 NRC 480, 488-91 (1976), aff'd sub nom. VEPCO v. NRC, 571 F.2d 1289 (4th Cir. 1978)

right of licensee to determine its own rate of progress in constructing a nuclear power plant; DD-84-23, 20 NRC 1555 (1984)

Virginia Petroleum Jobbers Assin v. FPC, 259 F 2d 921 (D.C. Cir. 1958)

criteria applied in passing on stay requests. ALAB-794, 20 NRC 1632 n.7 (1984)

Virginia Petroleum Jobbers Ass n v. FPC, 295 F 2d 921, 925 (D.C. Cir. 1958)

test for determining whether to impose stay of activities pending disposition of remand: LBP-84-53, 20 NRC 1543 n.35 (1984)

Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Comm'n v. Holiday Tours, Inc., 559 F 2d 841 (D.C. Cir. 1977) criteria applied in passing on stay requests; ALAB-794 20 NRC 1632 n.7 (1984)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX CASES

- Washington Public Power Supply System (Hanford No. 2 Nuclear Power Plant), ALAB-113, 6 AEC 251, 252 (1973)
 - Board reliance on predictive findings and post-hearing verification by Staff; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 507 (1984)
- Washington Public Power Supply System (WPPSS Nuclear Project No. 2), ALAB-571, 10 NRC 687, 692 (1979)
 - scope of sua sponte appellate review; ALAB-793, 20 NRC 1624 n.169 (1984)
- Washington Public Power Supply System (WPPSS Nuclear Project No. 3), ALAB-747, 18 NRC 1167, 1177 (1983)
 - particularity required of late intervention petitioner in describing its contribution to development of a sound record; LBP-84-54, 20 NRC 1644 (1984)
- Washington Public Power Supply System (WNP Nos. 4 & 5), DD-82-6, 15 NRC 1761, 1767 (1982) halt or slowdown in construction as ground for revocation of construction permit; DD-84-23, 20 NRC 1553-54 (1984)
- Weinberger v. Catholic Action of Hawaii, 454 U.S. 139, 143 (1981)
- scope of NRC decisionmaking process; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 575 (1984)
- Westinghouse Electric Corp. (Export to the Philippines), CLI-80-14, 11 NRC 631, 662 (1980) most significant factor in deciding whether to grant stay request; CLI-84-17, 20 NRC 804 (1984)
- Wisconsin Electric Power Co. (Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-739, 18 NRC 335, 338-39 (1983)
 - litigability of functions of previously licensed systems in operating license amendment proceeding, LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 616 (1984)
- Withrow v. Larkin, 421 U.S. 35 (1975)
 - persons against whom motions for disqualification are directed, LBP-84-29A, 20 NRC 386 (1984)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX RECLEMBONS

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX REGULATIONS

10 C.F.R. 2

cost-benefit aspects of mill tailings disposal that must be dealt with under; LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1330 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 2.101

proper submission of revisions to Part 70 license application; ALAB-778, 20 NRC 49 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 2.102(a)

description of NRC Staff as a party to NRC proceedings; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 884 n.161 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2 104

need for a hearing on an operating license application in the absence of matters in controversy; LBP-84-34, 20 NRC 770 (1984)

need for hearing on operating license amendment in absence of controversy, LBP-84-39, 20 NRC 1032 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 2.104(c)

limitations on Licensing Board authority to decide issues, LBP-84-41, 20 NRC 1217 (1984) responsibility for resolution of uncontested issues prior to issuance of operating license, LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 58 (1984)

10 C F R 2 104(c)(4)

litigability of financial qualifications of electric utilities in operating license proceedings; LBP-84-30, 20 NRC 428 n.3 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 2.105

need for a hearing on an operating license application in the absence of matters in controversy, LBP-84-34, 20 NRC 770 (1984)

need for hearing on operating license amendment in absence of controversy; LBP-84-39, 20 NRC 1032 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 2.201

deficiencies requiring corrective action; DD-84-16, 20 NRC 166 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 2.202

resolution, at operating license stage, of unexpected impacts from activities authorized under construction permit, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 871 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 2.206

applicability of regulation to NRC personnel matters; DD-84-22, 20 NRC 1046 n.33 (1984) appropriate forum for addressing adequacy of diesel generator building; DD-84-17, 20 NRC 230 (1984)

denial of petition requesting independent design, construction, and management audits of Catawba facility, DD-84-16, 20 NRC 162 (1984)

denial of petition requesting modification of Certificates of Compliance for spent fuel shipping casks; DD-84-24, 20 NRC 1557 (1984)

denial of petition requesting revocation of construction permit because of slowdown in construction; DD-84-23, 20 NRC 1550 (1984)

denial of request for action on adequacy of operator qualification issue; DD-84-21, 20 NRC 789 (1984)

denial of request for action to remedy deficiencies in traffic management during evacuation; DD-84-15, 20 NRC 158 (1984)

denial of request for action with respect to Midland Plant; DD-84-17, 20 NRC 227 (1984) denial of request for deferral of licensing pending neutralization of alleged harassment and intimidation on site; DD-84-19, 20 NRC 773 (1984)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX REGULATIONS

denial of request for enforcement proceedings with respect to TMI emergency feedwater system, DD-84-22, 20 NRC 1033 (1984) denial of request for show-cause order requiring licensee to demonstrate its financial qualifications to operate an expanded spent fuel pool, DD-84-25, 20 NRC 1703 (1984) denial of request for suspension of license because of alleged inadequacies in emergency evacuation plan; DD-84-18, 20 NRC 244 (1984) denial of requests for deferral of operating licenses for Diablo Canyon; DD-84-20, 20 NRC 776 (1984) forum for presenting issues that cannot properly be raised in adjudication; ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1588 (1984)forum for pursuing concerns on seismic design issues; ALAB-782, 20 NRC 840 (1984) litigability of NRC personnel matters under: DD-84-21, 20 NRC 789 n.1 (1984) means for expressing dissatisfaction with a party's fulfillment of its commitments; ALAB-781, 20 NRC 835 n.58 (1984) means for providing information on environmental qualification deficiencies at nuclear power plants; CLI-84-11, 20 NRC 5, 6 (1984) resolution, at operating license stage, of unexpected impacts from activities authorized under construction permit; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 871 (1984) 10 C F R 2 206(a) scope of requests under: DD-84-17, 20 NRC 227 n.2 (1984) specificity required of 2.206 petitions, DD-84-18, 20 NRC 244 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.701(a) need for proof of service in filing appeal. ALAB-778, 20 NRC 47 n.4 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.704 (1984) persons against whom motions for disquilification are directed; LBP-84-29A, 20 NRC 386 (1984) responsibilities of Chief Administrative Judge of Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel; LBP-84-29A, 20 NRC 38" (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.704(c) applicability of, to Chief Administrative Judge of Licensing Board Panel; ALAB-779, 20 NRC 376 (1984) failure of petitioner to invoke prescribed procedure for filing disqualification motion; CLI-84-20, 20 grounds for denial of disqualification; ALAB-777, 20 NRC 23 n.1, 24 (1984) need for referral of denial of motion for disqualification; LBP-84-29A, 20 NRC 387 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2,707 denial of intervention because of a party's failure to appear; LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 917 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.708(e) need for parties to inform Commission secretary of change of address, LBP-84-54, 20 NRC 1642 (1984)10 C.F.R. 2.710 importance of timeliness of request for stay of agency action. ALAB-789, 20 NRC 1448 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.712(b) need for parties to inform Commission secretary of change of address, LBP-84-54, 20 NRC 1642 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.712(d)(3) completion of service of documents: LBP-84-54, 20 NRC 1642 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.712(e), 2.701(b) need for proof of service in filing appeal: ALAB-778, 20 NRC 46 n.4 (1984) 10 CFR. 2.713 Licensing Board authority over NRC Staff; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 147 n.46 (1984) 10 C F.R. 2.713(5) need for parties to inform Commission secretary of change of address. LBP-84-54, 20 NRC 1642 (1984)

htigability of financial qualifications contentions in operating license proceeding; LBP-84-30, 20

10 C.F.R. 2.714

NRC 428 (1984)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX REGULATIONS

need for a hearing on an operating license application in the absence of matters in controversy: LBP-84-34, 20 NRC 70 (1984) need for hearing on operating license amendment in absence of controversy, LBP-84-39, 20 NRC 10 C.F.R. 2.714(a) Board jurisdiction to hear contentions submitted by an applicant; LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1303 (1984) right of an applicant to file contentions, LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1306 (1984) specificity required of quality assurance contentions, CLI-84-14, 20 NRC 284 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.714(a)(1) admission requirements for refiled contentions based on previously unavailable emergency plans; LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 910 (1984) criteria for admission of contentions outside the scope of revisions to Part 70 application; ALAB-778, 20 NRC 51 (1984) factors to be addressed by request for readmission to proceeding, LBP-84-54, 20 NRC 1640, 1643 showing necessary in filing an amplification of the bases of contentions; LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 914 n.3 (1984) standards for admission of reformulated contention; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 869 n.70 (1984) standards for determining admissibility of late-filed contentions: LBP-84-30, 20 NRC 436 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.714(a+(1), (b) requirements to be satisfied in amending and expanding contentions; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 878 n.119 10 C.F.R. 2.714(b) cause for Licensing Board dismissal of contentions, ALAB-778, 20 NRC 45, 50 (1984) contention requirement for intervention, LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 888, 916 (1984) dismissal of contention for lack of specificity: LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 912 (1984) particularity required of material supporting motion to reopen a record, ALAB-786, 20 NRC 1090 particularization required of contentions; LBP-84-28, 20 NRC 131 (1984) purposes of the basis-for-contention requirement, LBP-84-40A, 20 NRC 1197 (1984) remedy for paring down a broad contention; LBP-84-28, 20 NRC 129 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.714a appeal of denial of intervention; ALAB-790, 20 NRC 1451 (1984) exception to prohibition against interlocutory appeals; ALAB-780, 20 NRC 380 n.1 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.715 (1981) participation by a State as both a party and as an interested State: LBP-84-51, 20 NRC 1479 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.715(c) rights of parties participating under, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 515 (1984) designation of State party as "intervenor", LBP-84-30, 20 NRC 428 n.2 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.715a consolidation of intervenors' efforts; LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 916 (1984) 10 C F.R. 2.718 authority of presiding officer to dismiss operating license amendment proceeding in absence of issues in controversy, LBP-84-39, 20 NRC 1032 (1984) authority to dismiss a proceeding in the absence of issues in controversy; LBP-84-34, 20 NRC 770 denial of petition for certification of financial qualifications contentions to Commission: LBP-84-30, 20 NRC 429, 442 (1984) Licensing Board discretion to control proceedings; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1178 n 463 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.718(1) applicability of, to interlocutory rulings; ALAB-791, 20 NRC 1582 n.5 (1984) Commission authority to direct certification on its own motion; ALAB-781, 20 NRC 825 n.10 (1984) treatment of appeal as motion for directed certification of oral order. ALAB-780, 20 NRC 380 n.3

10 C.F.R. 2.720(h)(2)(i)

responsibility for determining which Staff personnel testify at hearings; ALAB-786, 20 NRC 1095 n.13 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.721 (1984) persons against whom motions for disqualification are directed; LBP-84-29A, 20 NRC 386 (1984) responsibilities of Chief Administrative Judge of Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel; LBP-84-29A, 20 NRC 387 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.722 (1984) persons against whom motions for disqualification are directed, LBP-84-29A, 20 NRC 386 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.730 denial of petition for certification of financial qualifications contentions to Commission; LBP-84-30, 20 NRC 429, 442 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.730(f) appealability of order denying discovery; ALAB-780, 20 NRC 382 (1984) prohibition against interlocutory appeals; ALAB-780, 20 NRC 380 (1984); ALAB-787, 20 NRC 1100 n.10 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.740(b)(2) materials shielded by attorney work product privilege in NRC proceedings; LBP-84-50, 20 NRC 1473-75 (1984) 10 C F R 2.743(c) particularity required of material supporting motion to reopen a record; ALAB-786, 20 NRC 1090 n.4 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.751a purpose of special prehearing conference; LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 888 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.754 penalty for failure by intervenors to file proposed findings of fact on issues in controversy; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 61 n.3 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.758 denial of petition for waiver of need-for-power rule; LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 424 (1984) expansion of emergency planning zones beyond Commission requirements; ALAB-781, 20 NRC jurisdiction over petition for waiver of financial qualifications rule; LBP-84-30, 20 NRC 430 (1984) need for consideration of impacts on emergency planning of earthquakes occurring during accidental radiological release; CLI-84-12, 20 NRC 253 (1984) petition for waiver of § 51.53(c), LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 890-92 (1984) Staff position on protection of nonpower reactors against sabotage as an attack on regulations. LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 149 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.758(a) authority of Boards to entertain challenges to regulations; ALAB-784, 20 NRC 846 n.2 (1984) authority to invalidate Commission rules or regulations, ALAB-793, 20 NRC 1614 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.758(b) circumstances appropriate for waiver of prohibition against litigation of need for power and alternative energy source issues; ALAB-793, 20 NRC 1614 (1984) denial of petition for exception to regulation barring litigation of financial qualifications contention in operating license proceeding; LBP-84-30, 20 NRC 429, 430, 442 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.758(b) and (c) litigability of financial qualifications in operating license proceedings; ALAB-793, 20 NRC 1628 n.4 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.758(c) responsibility of presiding officer where intervenor fails to show cause for waiver of regulation; LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 892, 894 (1984) 10 C F R 2.758(d) failure of intervenor to make prima facie showing that regulation should be waived, LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 892 (1984)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX REGULATIONS

litigability of financial qualifications in operating license proceedings, ALAB-793, 20 NRC 1629 n.5

(1984)

treatment of successful petition for waiver of regulation; LBP-84-30, 20 NRC 431, 442 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.760a authority for making findings necessary for issuance of operating license; LBP-84-41, 20 NRC 1217 (1984) responsibility for resolution of uncontested issues prior to issuance of operating license, LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 58 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.761a authorization for site preparation activities prior to completion of environmental review, LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1313 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.762(a) timeliness of appeal from oral order; ALAB-780, 20 NRC 381 n.11 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.764 limitations on authority for making findings necessary for issuance of operating license; LBP-84-41, 20 NRC 1217 (1984) relationship of effectiveness decision to pending appeals and petitions; CLI-84-13, 20 NRC 268 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.764(f) authority to approve operation of nuclear power plants above low power; ALAB-794, 20 NRC 1632 (1984)effectiveness of low-power decisions without Commission review; CLI-84-21, 20 NRC 1440 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2 764(D(1) circumstances appropriate for Commission immediate effectiveness review of Licensing Board initial decision; ALAB-787, 20 NRC 1099 n.3 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.764(f)(2) finality of supplemental initial decision; LBP-84-41, 20 NRC 1295 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2 764(g) effect of Commission immediate effectiveness review of Licensing Board initial decision on appellate jurisdiction: ALAB-787, 20 NRC 1100 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.771 applicability of, to interlocutory rulings; ALAB-791, 20 NRC 1582 n.5 (1984) 10 C F R 2 780 definition of jeopardy to the existence of a species; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 881 n.147 (1984) description of ex parte contacts; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 883 (1984) reports from agency Staff as ex parte communications, LBP-84-36, 20 NRC 930 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.785(a) effect of Commission immediate effectiveness review of Licensing Board initial decision on appellate jurisdiction: ALAB-787, 20 NRC 1100 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.785(b)(1) applicability of, to interlocutory rulings, ALAB-791, 20 NRC 1582 n.5 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.786 triggers for Commission review of a decision; CLI-84-18, 20 NRC 815 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.787(b) authority of Appeal Panel Chairman to terminate appellate jurisdiction; ALAB-783, 20 NRC 844 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.788 application for stay of license authorization, ALAB-794, 20 NRC 1632 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.788(a) importance of timeliness of request for stay of agency action; ALAB-789, 20 NRC 1448 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.788(b) restriction on length of stay application; ALAB-794, 20 NRC 1633 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.788(e) content of written views on whether Licensing Board order may serve as basis for license issuance; CLI-84-16, 20 NRC 800 (1984) criteria applied in determining whether to grant a stay, CLI-84-21, 20 NRC 1440 (1984)

criteria applied in passing on stay requests; ALAB-794, 20 NRC 1632 n.7 (1984) factors considered in determining whether to grant stay request; CLI-84-17, 20 NRC 803 n.3 (1984) factors considered in ruling on stay requests; ALAB-789, 20 NRC 1446 (1984) need for party requesting stay to address factors of, ALAB-789, 20 NRC 1448 (1984) test for determining whether to impose stay of activities pending disposition of remand; LBP-84-53, 20 NRC 1543 n.35 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2.788(h) effect of a remand on issuance of an operating license; LBP-84-53, 20 NRC 1548 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2. Appendix A. V. use of Board powers to control hearings; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1152 n.291 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2, Appendix A. V(f)(4) issues appropriate for directed certification; ALAB-791, 20 NRC 1583 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2. Appendix A. VIII litigability of financial qualifications of electric utilities in operating license proceedings, LBP-84-30, 20 NRC 429 n.3 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2. Appendix A. VIII(b) responsibility for resolution of uncontested issues prior to issuance of operating license; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 58 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2, Appendix C description of NRC enforcement program; DD-84-16, 20 NRC 184 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2. Appendix C. as revised, 49 Fed. Reg. 8583 (March 8, 1984) revocation of construction permit for material false statement; DD-84-23, 20 NRC 1554 (1984) 10 CFR 2, Appendix C. 1E(4) description of Confirmatory Action Letters: ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1144 n.244 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2, Appendix C. III Staff method for defining QA violations, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1143 n.238 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2, Appendix C. IV (1983), as revised, 49 Fed. Reg. 8583 (1984) purpose of Notice of Violation, DiJ-84-16, 20 NRC 180 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 2. Appendix C. IV A Commission enforcement practice for violation of QA implementing manuals or procedures: ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1143 n.237 (1984) 10 C F R. 2. Appendix C. IV A. IV B I and 2 NRC enforcement policy towards licensee identification and correction of problems, DD-84-16, 20 NRC 207 (1984) 10 C F R. 2. Appendix C. IV E means for enforcing licensee adherence to its obligations and commitments; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1126 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 19 regulatory basis for NRC actions to prevent harassment and discrimination against workers at nuclear reactor construction sites: DD-84-16, 20 NRC 181 n 14 (1984) 10 C F R 20 calculation of shielding capability of spent fuel pool wall, LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 667 (1984) degree of hazard from destruction of ceramic uranium dioxide fuel pellets, ALAB-778, 20 NRC 50 (1984) operating limits on Trojan Plant radiological releases; LBP-84-52A, 20 NRC 1513 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 20 1(e) standard for protecting workers modifying spent fuel pool; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 666 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 20.106(a) basis for protective action guides for drinking water; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 595 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 20, Appendix B. Table II calculation of potential consequences of radiological release to Philadelphia water supply; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 591, 595 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 21 adequacy of means for controlling field variations between specific design and as-built construction of Catawba facility, DD-84-16, 20 NRC 168, 190, 196 (1984)

description of specialized inspections performed at Catawha; DD-84-16, 20 NRC 187, 204 (1984)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX REGULATIONS

10 C.F.R. 40. Appendix A identification of alternative sites for disposal of mill tailings, LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1320 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 40, Appendix A, Criterion I overriding consideration in disposal of mill tailings, LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1323 n.81 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 40. Appendix A. Criterion 3 consideration of Title I UMTRCA site for disposal of mill tailings. LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1323 n.79 (1984) 10 CFR 50 adequacy of structural materials and components of spent fuel storage basins to function for longer than design basis; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 357, 364 (1984) amendments to; CL1-84-15, 20 NRC 292-93, 353 (1984) application for Part 70 license prior to receipt of license to operate facility; ALAB-778, 20 NRC 45 assurance of continuity of safe management of spent fuel; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 351 (1984) consideration of nonradiological environmental impacts of construction of spent fuel storage facilities; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 367 (1984) interim means of waste storage pending completion of DOE waste repositories, CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 350-51, 353 (1984) licensing of facilities for reprocessing of high-level nuclear waste; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 353 (1984) need for pressure-operated relief valves to be designated safety-grade; CLI-84-11, 20 NRC 11 (1984) operating limits on Trojan Plant radiological releases, LBP-84-52A, 20 NRC 1513 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50 2(1) interpretation of the terms "common defense and security"; LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1400 (1984) 10 C F R 50 7 regulatory basis for NRC actions to prevent harassment and discrimination against workers at nuclear reactor construction sites, DD-84-16, 20 NRC 182 n.14 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.10(c) authorization for site preparation activities prior to completion of environmental review; LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1313 (1984) 10 C F R 50.12 standards for granting exemptions to requirements for full-power operation; CLI-84-19, 20 NRC standards for granting exemptions under. LBP-84-35A, 20 NRC 923 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.12(a) history of application of: LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1375-76 (1984) exemption from GDC 17 requirements during low-power operation; ALAB-777, 20 NRC 27 n.16 (1984); ALAB-787, 20 NRC 1099 (1984); LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1352 (1984) interpretation of the terms "common defense and security"; LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1400 (1984) means for seeking low-power license in the nice of onsite source of emergency power, LBP-84-35A, 20 NRC 922 (1984) showing necessary for grant of exemption from regulations, LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1361 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.33(f) litigability of financial qualifications issue, DD-84-25, 20 NRC 1704, 1705 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.33(f)(1) limitation on issues litigable in operating license proceedings; LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 895 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.34(a)(7) adequacy of Staff verification of Shoreham QA program implementation; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1137 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.34(b)(6)(ii) compliance of description of Shoreham's operational quality assurance program with; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1137 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.40(b) limitation on issues litigable in operating license proceedings; LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 895 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.46 risk of excessive fuel cladding temperatures during low-power operation, LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1355

(1984)

10 C.F.R. 50.46(b)

functional requirements for safety equipment, LBP-84-45, 70 NRC 1360-61 (1984) limits that must be satisfied to mitigate loss-of-coolant accidents; LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1365-66, 1387

(1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.47

degree of completion required of emergency notification and communication systems for full-power operation, LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 62 (1984)

reasonableness of 2-hour delay time before evacuation following radiological emergency; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 570 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50.47(a)

degree of completion required of emergency notification and communication systems for reasonable assurance finding; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 61, 62 n.4, 63, 67, 86 (1984)

extent of availability of radiation monitoring equipment necessary for reasonable assurance finding. LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 78 (1984)

extent of completion of emergency worker training program necessary for reasonable assurance finding, LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 84-86 (1984)

extent of evacuation planning for persons without private transportation necessary for reasonable assurance finding, LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 73 (1984)

extent of planning for emergency worker protective clothing necessary for reasonable assurance finding, LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 87 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50.47(a) and (b)

need for accommodation of seasonal conditions in emergency planning, LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 690, 696 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50.47(a)(1)

adequacy of Catawba means for preventing contaminated persons from entering noncontaminated zones, LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 959 (1984)

finding necessary prior to issuance of operating license; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 60 (1984) need for assessment of public response to a radiological emergency; ALAB-781, 20 NRC 835 (1984) need for completion of emergency planning at time of hearing; ALAB-781, 20 NRC 834 nn.55-57

(1984)
NRC emergency planning findings necessary for operating license issuance, LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 938 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50.47(a)(1) (1982)

contrast between post-hearing resolution of environmental qualification deficiencies and emergency planning deficiencies. LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 508 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50.47(a)(2)

basis for NRC findings on adequacy of emergency planning; LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 938 (1984) higability of adequacy of implementing procedures for emergency response plans; LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 408 (1984)

litigability of results of emergency response exercises; LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 405 (1984) need for issuance of final FEMA findings on emergency planning prior to authorization for full power operation; ALAB-781, 20 NRC 828 (1984)

need to test emergency communications equipment during emergency preparedness exercises; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 63 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50.47(b)

adequacy of offsite planning within Diablo Canyon emergency planning zones; ALAB-781, 20 NRC 830 (1984)

area encompassed by emergency planning, ALA3-781 20 NRC 829 (1984) extent of emergency planning necessary for plant operation; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 60 (1984) result of failure to meet offsite emergency planning standards; LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 940 (1984)

standards applicable to offsite emergency response plans; LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 939 (1984) 10 C FR 50 47(b)(1)

staffing requirements for offsite emergency response organizations; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 89, 111 (1984)

standard applicable to offsite emergency planning; LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 962 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 30 47(b)(4) finality of emergency plans, LBP-84-28, 20 NRC 131 n.4 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50 47(b)(5) adequacy of Catawba means for notification of public of a radiological emergency; LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 970 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.47(b)(6) adequacy of Diablo Canyon emergency communications systems, ALAB-781, 20 NRC 833 n.50 (1984)adequacy of offsite Diablo Canyon emergency communications systems, ALAB-781, 20 NRC 833 (1984)effect of power outage on Catawba's ability to notify public of a radiological emergency; LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 971 (1984) requirements for offsite emergency communications, LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 88 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.47(b) (7) adequacy of Catawba public education and information efforts on emergency planning; LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 942 (1984) adequacy of emergency response information programs for Diablo Canyon, ALAB-781, 20 NRC 832 n.47 (1984) adequate means for dissemination of emergency planning information to transients, LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 68, 96 (1984) need for verification of public understanding of emergency preparedness information; LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 406 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.47(b)(8) adequacy of Catawba emergency facilities and equipment, LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 954, 955 n.3 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50,47(b)(9) adequacy of Big Rock monitoring systems, LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 763 (1984) scope of monitoring required for assessing offsite consequences of radiological emergency; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 678 (1984) 10 C F.R. 50.47(b)(10) adequacy of evacuation time estimates for Big Rock Point evacuation plans, LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 764 (1984) criteria for special evacuation measures for children and pregnant women; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 597 (1984) need for facilities for special populations to have their own evacuation transportation resources; LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 396 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50 47(b)(12) need for provision of measures to care for contaminated injured individuals. LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 531, 535, 536 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.47(b)(13) scope of reentry and recovery requirements of, LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 399 (1984) 10 C F R 50 47(b)(15) adequacy of training of Limerick offsite emergency support personnel; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 550 (1984) deadline for completion of training of emergency response personnel, LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 404 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.47(b)(16) conformance of emergency response plans with requirements for updating plans, LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 410 (1994) 10 C.F.R. 50.47(c)(1) result of failure to meet offsite emergency planning standards, LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 940 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.47(e)(2) description of emergency planning zones; ALAB-781, 20 NRC 829 n.33 (1984) establishment of larger-than-required emergency planning zones, ALAB-781, 20 NRC 829-30 (1984) factors determining size of piume exposure pathway emergency planning zone; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC

674, 675 (1984)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX REGULATIONS

litigability of adequacy of plume exposure pathway emergency planning zone; DD-84-18, 20 NRC 245 (1984) litigability of contentions calling for evacuation of populations outside plume EPZ: LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 394, 419 (1984) litigability of need for expansion of plume EPZ, LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 75 (1984) need for expansion of Catawba plume EPZ, LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 979, 984, 987, 989 (1984) purpose of emergency planning zones: ALAB-781, 20 NRC 829 n.32 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.47(d) occurrence of offsite consequences from radiological emergency during operation at low power; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 538 (1984) satisfaction of conditions prior to issuance of operating license; LBP-84-27, 20 NRC 126 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.48 adequacy of fire protection at Vogtle Plant; LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 906 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.49 adequacy of environmental qualification of electric equipment at Limerick facility; LBF-84-31, 20 NRC 493, 498, 505, 506, 507, 508-09 (1984) compliance of Limerick component classification program with requirements of; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 501 (1984) deadline for environmental qualification of electric equipment at Limerick; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 499 (1984) deadline for qualification of motor-operated valves; LBP-84-38, 20 NRC 1022 (1984) need for environmental qualification of emergency feedwater system; DD-84-22, 20 NRC 1039, 1041, 1044 (1984) scope of, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 502, 504 (1984) systems excluded from environmental qualification program at Limerick; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 501 (1984)10 C.F.R. 50.49(a) environmental qualification program required for nuclear power plant licensing, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 498 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.49(b) type of nuclear power plant equipment that must be environmentally qualified, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 498 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.49(5)(1) definition of safety-related structures, systems and components; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1113 n.19 (1984) documentation of equipment requiring environmental qualification; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 499 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.49(b)(1)(i)-(iii) need for environmental qualification of emergency lighting system; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 501 (1984) need for environmental qualification of in-plant communications systems, process computer system, feedwater control system, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 502 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.49(b)(2) environmental qualification of nonsafety-related electrical equipment; LBP-84-53, 20 NRC 1533, 1535-38 (1984) equipment which must be environmentally qualified under; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1157-60 (1984) need for environmental qualification of equipment other than safety-related; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 499, 500, 503, 505 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.49(b)(3) : ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1100 (1984) categories of equipment requiring environmental qualification; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 500 (1984) need for environmental qualification of post-accident monitoring equipment; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 503 (1984)

post-accident monitoring equipment requiring environmental qualification; ALAB-788, 20 NRC

need for analysis of program for environmental qualification of electric equipment at Limerick;

1160 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.49(i)

LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 493, 505, 508-09 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50.54(f) need for licensee or applicant response to 2.206 petitions; DD-84-16, 20 NRC 163 n.1 (1984); DD-84-21, 20 NRC 789 (1984), DD-84-22, 20 NRC 1034 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.54(t) applicability of, LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 410 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.54(aa) scope of plans necessary for extended storage of spent fuel; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 308 n.7 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.55(b) obligation of licensee to report slowdown in construction; DD-84-23, 20 NRC 1553, 1555 (1984). 10 C.F.R. 50.55(e) adequacy of means for controlling field variations between specific design and as-built construction of Catawba facility. DD-84-16, 20 NRC 168, 190, 196 (1984) adequacy of training of Catawba staff to meet reporting requirements of, DD-84-16, 20 NRC 205 description of specialized inspections performed at Catawba, DD-84-16, 20 NRC 187 (1984) means for determining reportability of items under, DD-84-16, 20 NRC 204 (1984) purpose of construction completion program at Midland: DD-84-17, 20 NRC 233 (1984) responsibility for identifying and evaluating nuclear power plant problems. DD-84-16, 20 NRC 207 result of inadequate procedures for identifying and evaluating deficiencies. DD-84-17, 20 NRC 239 (1984)10 C.F.R. 50.55a testing required for passive mechanical valves; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1162 (1984) 10 C F R 50 55a(a)(2) acceptability of deviations from Code requirements for valve testing, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1162 n.348 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.57(a) findings necessary for authorization of license to load fuel and conduct precritical testing. LBP-84-30A, 20 NRC 444 (1984) findings necessary for issuance of operating licenses; LBP-84-41, 20 NRC 1217 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.57(a)(3) safety of overhead crane at Big Rock Point Plant: LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 743 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.57(a)(3)(i) evaluation of seismic structural adequacy of overhead crane in facility licens. It before promulgation of seismic design standards; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 654, 665 (1984) means for assessing reliability of makeup line; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 614 (1984). 0 C.F.R. 50.57(a)(4) litigability of financial qualifications issue: DD-84-25, 20 NRC 1°04 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.57(c) activities licensed under, LBP-84-30A, 20 NRC 444 (1984) applicability of GDC 17 to low-power operation, ALAB-277, 20 NRC 27 (1984); LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1351, 1353, 1356 (1984) entitlement of applicant to low-power license prior to resolution of emergency power source issue; ALAB-777, 20 NRC 25, 26, 29 (1984) exemption to allow low-power operation pending resolution of diesel generator issue. ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1110 (1984) level of operation authorized by, LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1349 n.7 (1984) means for obtaining authorization for low-power license; LBP-84-27, 20 NRC 126 (1984) methods for meeting standards of, CLI-84-20, 20 NRC 1067 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50.58(b) need for a hearing on an operating license application in the absence of matters in controversy, LBP-84-34, 20 NRC 770 (1984) need for hearing on operating license amendment in absence of controversy; LBP-84-39, 20 NRC 1032 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50.80, 50.82 10 C.F.R. 50.90-50.92

assurance that safe storage conditions will be maintained until nuclear waste disposal facilities are available: CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 352 (1984)

litigability of financial qualifications issue in operating license amendment proceedings, DD-84-25, 20 NRC 1705 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50.91

need for a hearing on an operating license application in the absence of matters in controversy; LBP-84-34, 20 NRC 770 (1984)

need for hearing on operating license amendment in absence of controversy; LBP-84-39, 20 NRC 1032 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50.100

construction slowdown as cause for revocation of construction permit; DD-84-23, 20 NRC 1551, 1553 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix A

applicability of, to Big Rock Point spent fuel pool; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 742 (1984) definition of single failure, LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 613 (1984)

function of onsite emergency diesel generators at a nuclear power plant; LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1350 n.12 (1984)

guide for assessing reliability of makeup line; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 613, 625, 741 (1984) regulatory requirements for systems interactions studies; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1128 (1984) structures, systems, and components for which quality assurance program is applicable; CLI-84-14. 20 NRC 284 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix A, n.2

scope of design of passive components in fluid systems; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 613 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix A, Introduction

interpretation of the term "important to safety"; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1113 n 20 (1984)

10 F.R. 50. Appendix A. Definitions and Explanations

application of single failure criterion to passive mechanical valves; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1163 n.352, 1164 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50. Appendix A. GDC 1

interpretation of the terms "important to safety" and "safety-related", ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1111, 1115 (1984)

quality assurance program applicable to "important to safety" items; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1117-18 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix A, GDC 1, 2 and 4 scope of, LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 627 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix A. GDC 2

adequacy of design of overhead crane at Big Rock Point Plant, LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 743 (1984) natural hazards which nuclear power plants must be designed to withstand; ALAB-781, 20 NRC 827 n.25 (1984)

proximity to region of known seismicity as cause for consideration of class 9 accidents. ALAB-781. 20 NRC 827 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix A, GDC 4

need for environmental qualification of emergency feedwater system, DD-84-22, 20 Min 2 1039 n.10 (1984)

operation of nuclear power plants with environmental qualification deficiencies; CLI-84-11, 20 NRC n.4 (1984)

reliability of emergency feedwater system at FMI-1; CLI-84-11, 20 NRC 9, 10 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix A, GDC 17

applicability of, to low-power operation; ALAB-777, 20 NRC 26 n.11 (1984); ALAB-787, 20 NRC 1099 (1984); CLI-84-21, 20 NRC 1439-40 (1984); LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1348, 1352, 1356, 1359, 1367, 1370, 1382 (1984)

necessity for onsite diesel generators at nuclear power plant; LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1350, 1361 (1984) need for onsite source of emergency power for low-power operation; LBP-84-35A, 20 NRC 921 n.3 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix A, GDC 20

need for compensation for lack of standby liquid control system; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1165 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix A, GDC 44

ability of TMI-1 emergency feedwater system to meet single failure criterion: DD-84-22, 20 NRC 1051 n.40 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix A. GDC 61

evaluation of consequences of spent fuel pool accidents, LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 637, 741 (1984) need for licensee to demonstrate integrity of reinforced concrete spent fuel pool; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 627 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix A, GDC 61 and 62

purpose of remotely actuated makeup line; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 613 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix B

adequacy of Staff verification of Shoreham QA program implementation; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1137 (1984)

appropriateness of using quality assurance standards retroactively. LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 662 (1984) derivation of the term "safety-related", ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1112, 1115 (1984)

description of NRC review of Catawba deficiency control systems; DD-84-16, 20 NRC 202 (1984) distinction between deficiencies and significant deficiencies, DD-84-16, 20 NRC 200 (1984)

improper documentation of repair welds at Comanche Peak as a violation of; LBP-84-55, 20 NRC 1689 (1984)

interpretation of the terms "important to safety" and "safety-related"; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1113 (1984)

lack of onsite AC power system as violation of; CLI-84-21, 20 NRC 1439 n.3 (1984) need for turbine building piping to comply with requirements of, DD-84-16, 20 NRC 219 (1984) quality of welding at Limerick, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 512 (1984)

scope of applicability of, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1113, 1117, 1118 (1984)

scope of nuclear power plant quality assurance program, DD-84-17, 20 NRC 233 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix B. Introduction applicability of quality assurance requirements; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1112 n.16, 1118 n.51 (1984) definition of quality assurance: DD-84-17, 20 NRC 233 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix B, 1

default by Applicant in oversight of contractor quality assurance program; LBP-84-41, 20 NRC 1275 (1984)

regulatory basis for NRC actions to prevent harassment and discrimination against workers at nuclear reactor construction sites, DD-84-16, 20 NRC 182 n.14 (1984)

scope of activities verified by a quality assurance program; ALAB-793, 20 NRC 1598 n.5 (1984) separation and freedom of persons and organizations performing quality assurance functions; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1150 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix B. I and II

independence and organizational freedom of Catawba quality assurance program, DD-84-16, 20 NRC 170, 197 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix B, II

housekeeping requirements during nuclear power plant construction; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1144

housekeeping requirements for nuclear power plants; LBP-84-53, 20 NRC 1534 n.3 (1984) timely implementation of QA program; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1149 n.267 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix B, III

use of Variation Notice procedures for controlling field variations between specific design and as-built construction of nuclear power plants; DD-84-16, 20 NRC 167, 169, 188, 194 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix B, V

adequacy of Shoreham program for data traceability, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1147 (1984)

10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix B, VIII and IX

adequacy of Catawba measures to provide material traceability, DD-84-16, 20 NRC 178, 216, 217 (1984)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX

10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix B. X. adequacy of Catawba's procedures for responding to nonconforming conditions; DD-84-16, 20 NRC 173, 174 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix B. X and XVI allegations of increase in violations of, at Catawba; DD-84-16, 20 NRC 174 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix B, XVI description of requirements of: DD-84-16, 20 NRC 200, 201, 204 (1984) means for assuring quality of nuclear power plants; DD-84-17, 20 NRC 241 (1984, means for correcting deficiencies that do not rise to the level of nonconforming items; DD-84-16, 20 NRC 176, 177 (1984) treatment of significant deficiencies in QA program; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1142, 1143 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix B. XVIII methodology for selecting QA items to be audited; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1138, 1140 (1984) reaudition of QA deficiency areas, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1143 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix E content of emergency plans; LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 939 (1984) extent of emergency planning necessary for plant operation; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 60, 87 (1984) litigability of adequacy of plume exposure pathway emergency planning zone; DD-84-18, 20 NRC 245 (1984) need for emergency preparedness exercises prior to initial licensing decision; ALAB-781, 20 NRC 835 (1984) purpose of emergency planning zones, ALAB-781, 20 NRC 829 n.32 (1984) reasonableness of 2-hour delay time before evacuation following radiological emergency; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 570 (1984) time limit for notifying State and local governments of declaration of an emergency; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 525 (1984) 10 C F R. 50. Appendix E. n.2 litigability of need for expansion of plume EPZ; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 75 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50. Appendix E. IV purposes of evacuation time estimates; LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 992 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50. Appendix E. IV, n.4 weight given to emergency planning standards of NUREG-0654; LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 939 n.3 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix E. IV.D.2 adequacy of Catawba public education and information efforts on emergency planning, LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 942 (1984) responsibility for emergency plans; LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 953 (1984) 10 CFR 50, Appendix E. IV.E.4 litigability of adequacy of training of physicians who will perform medical services during radiological emergencies, LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 411 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix E. IV.E.6 extent of planning necessary for medical services arrangements for contaminated injured individuals; LBP-84-29B. 20 NRC 402 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix E. IV.F.1.5 degree of completion required of emergency notification and communication systems for full-power operation, LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 61-62 (1984) extent of testing necessary for emergency response plans; LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 405 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix E. IV G. applicability of LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 410 (1984) finality of emergency plans, LBP-84-28, 20 NRC 131 n.4 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50. Appendix E. V. separation of implementing procedures from emergency response plans; LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 408 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 50, Appendix K. length of time core could be without cooling before peak cladding temperature was exceeded;

LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1388 (1984)

10 C F.R. 50, Appendix R

nonsafety systems requiring upgraded quality assurance, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1116 n.37 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 51 amendment of: ALAB-785, 20 NRC 864 n.43 (1984); LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 553 (1984). Commission response to public comments on proposed amendments to, CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 292-93, responsibility for making a plant's environmental assessments and cost-benefit balancing; LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 912 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 51.1(a) and (b) responsibility for consideration of environmental aspects of a planned action; LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 913 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 51 5(a)(2) (1982) need for environmental impact statement for operating license issuance; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 866 n 57 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 51.14(b) definition of time when a proposal exists and of the scope of an environmental impact statement; LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1316 nn. 42, 43 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 51.20 environmental report required of applicant for a construction permit or operating license; LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 913 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 51.40 need to consider alternative disposal sites for mill tailings; LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1321 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 51.45(c) responsibility for identification of alternative sites for disposal of mill tailings; LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1321 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 51 52(a) (1982) time allowed for public inspection of draft environmental impact statement before litigation; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 863 n.42 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 51.52(b) litigability of contentions submitted by an applicant; LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1303 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 51.53(c) burden on party seeking waiver of, LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 893 (1984) consideration of need for power and alternative energy sources at operating licensing stage; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 575 (1984) litigability of need for power and alternative energy source issues in operating license proceedings. ALAB-793, 20 NRC 1614-15 (1984) litigability of need for power and alternative energy source issues in operating license proceedings; LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 890, 894 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 51.60 responsibility for identification of alternative sites for disposal of mill tailings; LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1321 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 51.102(c) material constituting record of decision, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 553 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 51 104 litigability of contentions submitted by an applicant; LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1303 n.10 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 51 104(a)(1) restrictions on placing FES in evidence; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 864 n.43 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 55 mitigation of spent fuel storage accidents caused by human error, CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 365 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 60 areas of ambiguity with respect to availability and timing of a nuclear waste repository; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 297 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 60, Subpart E. timely development of nuclear waste packages. CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 337 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 61 identification of alternative sites for disposal of mill tailings; LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1320 (1984)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX

10 CFR 70 application for license under, prior to receiving Part 50 license to operate facility; ALAB-778, 20 NRC 45 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 70.5, 70.21 proper submission of revisions to Part 70 license application; ALAB-778, 20 NRC 49 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 70.21(d) need to provide notice of materials license applications; ALAB-778, 20 NRC 49 n.9 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 70.23, 70.31 findings necessary prior to issuance of Part 70 license; ALAB-778, 20 NRC 48 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 71 NRC responsibilities for transportation of hazardous materials; DPRM-84-2, 10 NRC 1567 (1984) scope of accident scenarios considered for spent fuel casks; DD-84-24, 20 NRC 1560 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 71.31 extent of NRC oversight of packaging for transport of spent fuel; DD-84-24, 20 NRC 1558 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 71.51(a) and 71.71 standards for packages used to transport spent fuel; DD-84-24, 20 NRC 1558 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 71.73 standards for packages used to transport spent fuel; DD-84-24, 20 NRC 1558 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 71 101 quality assurance standards applicable to packaging for spent fuel shipments; DD-84-24, 20 NRC 1558 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 72 adequacy of structural materials and components of spent fuel storage basins to function for longer than design basis; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 357, 364 (1984) consideration of nonradiological environmental impacts of construction of spent fuel storage facilities: CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 367 (1984) interim means of waste storage pending completion of DOE waste repositories, CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 350 (1984) licensing of fuel storage pools after expiration of reactor operating license; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 356 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 72. Subpart I mitigation of spent fuel storage accidents caused by human error: CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 365 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 72.21c) safety of extended dry storage of spent fuel; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 359 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 73 level of protection required for nonpower reactor. LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 135, 143 (1984) scope of protection afforded to transportation of radioactive materials, DD-84-24, 20 NRC 1559 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 73.2 treatment of power enhancement equipment as vital for purpose of assessing security risk during low-power operation. LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1357 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 73 40 chronology of Staff consideration of sabotage at nonpower reactors; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 151 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 73 40(a) chronology of Staff consideration of sabotage at nonpower reactors; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 155 (1984) level of protection required for UCLA research reactor, LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 135, 143, 144 (1984) need for rulemaking to address inconsistent treatment of regulation by Staff, LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 149, 150 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 73.40(b), (c), and (d) categories of protection required of nonpower reactors; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 135 n.4 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 73 47

chronology of Staff consideration of sabotage at nonpower reactors, LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 151 (1984)

chronology of Staff consideration of sabotage at nonpower reactors; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 152-53

(1984) 10 C.F.R. 73.50

10 C.F.R. 73.60 categories of protection required of nonpower reactors; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 135 n.4 (1984) chronology of Staff consideration of sabotage at nonpower reactors; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 151 (1984) exemption from requirements for protection of nonpower reactors; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 137 (1984) misrepresentation of amount of special nuclear material on hand at research reactor; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 136, 137 (1984) nonpower reactor licensees exempt from requirements for protection against sabotage; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 135 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 73.67 categories of protection required of nonpower reactors; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 135 n.4 (1984) chronology of Staff consideration of sabotage at nonpower reactors; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 152-53 misrepresentation of amount of special nuclear material on hand at research reactor; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 136 (1984) need for nonpower reactor licensee to protect against sabotage; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 144, 147 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 73.67(b)(1)(i) nonpower reactor licensees exempt from requirements for protection against sabotage; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 135 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 73.67(d) categories of protection required of nonpower reactors; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 135 n.5 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 73.67(f) categories of protection required of nonpower reactors; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 135 n.6 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 73.71(b) chronology of Staff consideration of sabotage at nonpower reactors; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 155 (1984) 10 CFR 100 accidents which need not be considered in reactor design; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 641 (1984) adequacy of Trojan expanded spent fuel storage facility to prevent excessive offsite radiation releases in case of accidents, LBP-84-52A, 20 NRC 1520, 1523, 1528 (1984) basis for accuracy of probabilistic analyses; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 645 (1984) calculation of dose from postulated cask drop accident in spent fuel pool; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 638 (1984) evaluation of consequences of spent fuel pool accidents; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 637 (1984) offsite radiation dose consequences of core melt accident at Big Rock Point Plant: LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 720 (1984) radiological hazard from top nozzle failure of spent fuel assembly; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 307 (1984) type of nuclear power plant equipment that must be environmentally qualified; LBP-84-31. 20 NRC 499 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 100 11 accidents encompassed by: LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 641 (1984) criteria for determining acceptability of engineered safety features for spent fuel pool, LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 638, 640 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 100, Appendix A alternative methodology to determining design basis earthquake; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 653, 728 (1984) appropriateness of comparing site-specific SSE spectra with Regulatory Guide spectra; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1170 (1984) calculation of safe shutdown earthquake for Shoreham under existing standard procedures; LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1397 (1984) derivation and scope of the term "safety-related"; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1112, 1113 (1984) evaluation of seismic structural adequacy of overhead crane in facility licensed before promulgation of seismic design standards: LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 656 (1984)

requirements for qualification of systems not performing safety-related functions, ALAB-788, 20

NRC 1122 (1984)

10 C F R 100, Appendix A, III(c), (d) events against which a nuclear power plant must be designed to withstand, ALAB-793, 20 NRC 1515 (1984) 10 C F.R. 100, Appendix A, III(c), VI(a)(1), VI(b)(3) definition of safety-related structures, systems and components, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1113 nn.18, 19 (1984) 10 € F R. 100, Appendix A. III(g) definition of "old", ALAB-793, 20 NRC 1617 (1984) description of a capable fault. ALAB-793, 20 NRC 1617 n.119 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 100, Appendix A, III(1) means for determining effects of earthquake motions on a nuclear power plant; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1169 n.394 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 100, Appendix A. IV scope of geologic investigation for nuclear power plant site; ALAB-793, 20 NRC 1616-17 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 100, Appendix A, IV(a)(7) and (8) accounting for capable faults in establishing safe shutdown earthquake; ALAB-793, 20 NRC 1617 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 100, Appendix A, IV(a)(7) n.3 means for determining age of a fault; ALAB-793, 20 NRC 1619 n.134 (1984) 10 C.F.R. 100, Appendix A. V(a)(2) calculation of vibratory ground acceleration for operating basis earthquake; ALAB-793, 20 NRC 1516 n.114 (1984)

10 C F R. 100, Appendix A. VI(a)(1) seismic considerations in design of nuclear power plants; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1169 n.393 (1984) 40 C F R. 192.32(b)

requirements to to addressed in determining means of dealing with mill tailings, LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1325 (1984)

40 C F R. 1500 1(b)

uses of environmental impact studies, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 575 (1984)

40 C F.R. 1502 and 1503

cure of defects in Final Environmental Statement, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 552 (1984)

40 C F R. 1502 9(c)

failure to consider consequences of class 9 accidents for Diablo as a violation of: ALAB-781, 20 NRC 828 n 28 (1984)

40 C F R 1502 22/5/

scope of Board consideration of accident scenarios, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 575 (1984).

40 C.F.R. 1508 23, 1508 25

definition of the scope of an environmental impact statement; LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1316 (1984)

44 C.F.R. 350 need for completion of formal FEMA review of adequacy of State emergency plan in order for Board to authorize operating license, CLI-83-13, 20 NRC 269 n.1 (1984)

responsibility for review and approval of nuclear power plant emergency plans. DD-84-18, 20 NRC 246 (1984)

49 C.F.R. 172.203

information required to accompany radioactive materials shipments; DPRM-84-2, 20 NRC 1571 (1984)

49 C.F.R. 172.204

responsibilities of shippers of radioactive materials. DPRM-84-2, 20 NRC 1569 (1984)

49 C F R. 177.861

responsibilities of highway carrier in case of transportation accidents involving radioactive materials; DPRM-84-2, 20 NRC 1569 (1984)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX

Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 551 et seq. procedural ground rules for licensing hearings; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1178 (1984)

Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 551-559

means for repealing Commission policy expressed in its regulations; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 145 (1984)

Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 556(d)

Licensing Board authority to require submission of evidence in written form, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1178 n.465 (1984)

Administrative Procedure Act. 5 U.S.C. 557(d)

description of ex parte contacts; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 883 (1984)

Atomic Energy Act, 11g, 42 U.S.C. 2014(g)

interpretation of the terms "common defense and security"; LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1400 (1984)

Atomic Energy Act, 11(cc)

definition of utilization facility; LBP-84-33, 20 NRC 766 (1984)

Atomic Energy Act, 83(b)(1)(A)(ii)

factors relevant to safe management of mill tailings; LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1328 n.96 (1984)

Atomic Energy Act, 84(a), 42 U.S.C. 2114(a)

level of protection provided by EPA standards governing mill tailings; LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1327 n.95 (1984)

Atomic Energy Act. 102(2)(C), 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C).

need for separate environmental impact statement on proposed shipment of spent fuel assemblies; ALAB-790, 20 NRC 1452 n.5 (1984)

Atomic Energy Act, 103, 104b, 42 U.S.C. 2133, 2134(b))

scope of Commission findings in waste confidence rulemaking proceeding, CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 293 n.2 (1984)

Atomic Energy Act, 104(c), 42 U.S.C. 2134(c)

amount of regulation imposed on nonpower reactor licensees; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 142 (1984)

Atomic Energy Act, 161(i), 42 U.S.C. 2201(i)

Commission authority to regulate items contained in a nuclear power plant, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1126 (1984)

Atomic Energy Act, 170

liability for damages from shipping accident involving radioactive materials dispersal; DPRM-84-2, 20 NRC 1570 (1984)

Atomic Energy Act, 181, 42 U.S.C. 2231

procedural ground rules for licensing hearings; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1178 (1984)

Atomic Energy Act, 182

need for licensees to respond to 2 206 petitions; DD-84-16, 20 NRC 163 n.1 (1984)

need for operating license applicant to respond to 2.206 petition; DD-84-21, 20 NRC 789 (1984)

Atomic Energy Act, 186c, 188, 42 U.S.C. 2236, 2238

assurance that safe storage conditions will be maintained until nuclear waste disposal facilities are available: CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 352 (1984)

Atomic Energy Act, 189, 42 U.S.C. 2239

need for oral presentation at hearings; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1178 (1984)

Atomic Energy Act, 189(a)(1), 42 U.S.C. 2239(a)(1)

need for emergency preparedness exercises prior to initial licensing decision; ALAB-781, 20 NRC 835 (1984)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX STATUTES

Atomic Energy Act, 189a

designation of authorization for full-power operation as license amendment; CLI-84-19, 20 NRC 1059 (1984)

Atomic Energy Act, 189a(1), 42 U.S.C. 2239a(1)

right of interested parties to a hearing on revisions to Part 70 license application; ALAB-778, 20 NRC 48 n.7 (1984)

Atomic Energy Act, 274, 42 U.S.C. 2021

existence of Board error in failure to give effect to larger-than-required emergency planning zones; ALAB-781, 20 NRC 830, 831 (1984)

Atomic Energy Act, 42 U.S.C. 2011 (1982), as amended

responsibilities of Chief Administrative Judge of Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel; LBP-84-29A, 20 NRC 387 (1984)

E elaware River Basin Compact, 1.3, 1961 U.S. Code Cong. & Ad. News at 776 function of Delaware River Basin Commission, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 868 n.64 (1984)

Delaware River Basin Compact, 15.1(s)1, 1961 U.S. Code Cong. & Ad. News at 807-08 preclusion of NRC reevaluation of DRBC water allocation decision, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 858 (1984) restrictions on federal agencies concerning uses of Delaware River Basin; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 856 n.6, 867 n.62 (1984)

Delaware River Basin Compact, Pub. L. No. 87-328, 1961 U.S. Code Cong. & Ad. News (75 Stat. 688) 775

description of Delaware River Basin Commission; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 865 n.5 (1984)

Endangered Species Act, 16 U.S.C. 1532(16)

smallest units of species afforded protection; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 881 n.146 (1984)

Endangered Species Act, 7, as amended in 1979, 16 U.S.C. 1536(a)(2)

compliance of NRC with respect to shortnose sturgeon; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 880 (1984) Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, 202

DOE exemption from NRC licensing requirements; DD-84-24, 20 NRC 1561 (1984)

Energy Reorganization Act, 210

regulatory basis for NRC actions to prevent harassment and discrimination against workers at nuclear reactor construction sites; DD-84-16, 20 NRC 182 n.14 (1984)

Ethics in Government Act, 18 U.S.C. 207(a)

restriction on testimony by former NRC Commissioners in NRC proceedings; ALAB-791, 20 NRC 1582 (1984)

Federal Water Pollution Control Act. 401

preclusion of NRC review of EPA findings, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 869 n.67 (1984)

Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, 16 U.S.C. 662(a)

need for NRC to obtain Fish & Wildlife Service comments on proposed diversion of waterway; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 878 n.125 (1984)

Motor Carrier Act of 1980, 30, Pub. L. 96-296, as amended by 406 of Pub. L. 97-424 responsibility for establishing regulations on levels of liability for damages from shipping accident involving radioactive materials dispersal; DPRM-84-2, 20 NRC 1570 (1984)

National Environmental Policy Act, 42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.

failure to consider consequences of class 9 accidents for Diablo Canyon as a violation of: ALAB-781, 20 NRC 828 n.28 (1984)

legality of prohibition against litigation of need for power and alternative energy source issues; ALAB-793, 20 NRC 1616 (1984)

psychological stress as ground for dismissal of application with prejudice; LBP-84-43, 20 NRC 1337 (1984)

scope of environmental review required at operating license stage; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 858 (1984) National Environmental Policy Act, 42 U.S.C. 4332

means for Commission to fulfill purposes of, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 868 n.65 (1984)

National Environmental Policy Act, 102, 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)

major federal actions requiring consideration of alternatives; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 879 n.131 (1984) National Environmental Policy Act, 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(E)

need to consider dry cask storage as alternative to spent fuel shipments; LBP-84-40A, 20 NRC 1198, 1199 (1984)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX STATUTES

National Historic Preservation Act, 16 U.S.C. 470a(a) distinction between National Historic Landmarks and areas listed in the National Register; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 877 n.17 (1984)

National Historic Preservation Act, 106, 16 U.S.C. 470f

need for consideration of effect of nuclear power plant operation on Historic District; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 875-76 (1984)

National Historic Preservation Act, 110(f), 16 U.S.C. 470h-2(f)

Licensing Board responsibility to protect Delaware Canal by complying with: ALAB-785, 20 NRC 877 (1984)

NRC Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1980, 2(b)

responsibilities of NRC Chairman; CLI-84-20, 20 NRC 1071 (1985)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, Title II

authority granted by, relevant to nuclear waste repositories, CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 298, 338 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 8(c)

scope of Commission findings in waste confidence rulemaking proceeding, CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 293 n.2 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 111(a)(2)

effect of waste form on DOE waste repository program schedule; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 325 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 111(b)(1)

primary purpose of NWPA; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 302, 346 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 111-125

schedule for availability of nuclear waste repository; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 301 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 112(b)(B) and (C), 114(a)(2)(A)

deadline for recommendations for nuclear waste repository sites; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 341 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 112(b), 112(b)(1)(B), 114(a)(2)(A), 114(b), 115(b), 115(c), (116)(b)(2), 118(a)

steps necessary prior to NRC authorization for construction of nuclear waste repository; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 347 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 112(f)

areas of ambiguity with respect to availability and timing of a nuclear waste repository; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 297 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 112, 114

schedule for sinking exploratory shaft and completion of site characterization for nuclear waste repository; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 335 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 113(c), (d); 114(a), (f); 119(a); 121(c)

conformance of DOE waste repository program with National Environmental Policy Act; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 348 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 114

deadline for NRC authorization for construction of nuclear waste repository; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 347 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 114(a)

areas of ambiguity with respect to availability and timing of a nuclear waste repository; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 297 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 114(d)

rate of waste emplacement in nuclear repository; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 350-51 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 114(e)(2)

mitigation of delay in complying with waste repository deadlines; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 348 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 115

authority to veto nuclear waste repository siting; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 299 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 115(c)

means for overriding veto of nuclear waste repository site; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 341 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119

resolution of institutional problems related to nuclear waste repository site selection, CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 336, 340 (1984)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX STATUTES

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 116, 116(a), 117,117(a)(1) and (2), 117(b), 117(c) resolution of institutional uncertainties regarding nuclear waste repository siting; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 299 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 116(b)(2)

deadline for veto of nuclear waste repository site; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 341 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 116, 117, 118

financial assistance to enable State or Indian tribal participation in nuclear waste repository site review and approval activities; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 341-42 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 123

interim means of waste storage pending completion of DOE waste repositories; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 351 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 131(a), 135(a)(1), 135(b), 135(e), 136(a)(1), 136(d) responsibility for safe interim storage of spent fuel pending availability of waste repository; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 368-69 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 131-137

assurance that safe storage conditions will be maintained until nuclear waste disposal facilities are available; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 352, 368-69 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 135(a)(1)

NRC finding necessary for interim storage of nuclear waste at a federally owned facility, CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 352 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 135(a) (4)

assurance of safe management of nuclear waste during interim storage at a licensee's site; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 352 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 135(b)

time allowed for interim storage of nuclear waste; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 351 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 211(2)(B) authority of Secretary of Energy concerning dry storage of spent nuclear fuel; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 363 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 215

availability of nuclear waste disposal facilities, CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 298 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 217

schedule for in situ testing of waste disposal program; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 298 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 218(a), (b), and (c)

responsibility for safe interim storage of spent fuel pending availability of waste repository; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 369 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 301(a) and (b)

requirements for approval of report on waste repository program; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 344 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 301(a)(8)

provisions for timely development of nuclear waste packaging; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 338 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 302(a)(2), 302(b)(2), 302(b)(1)(B), 302(e)(5)

provisions for continued funding of nuclear waste management program; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 345 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 302(a)(5)(B)

deadline for DOE to begin disposal of high-level nuclear waste and spent fuel; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 348 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 302, 303

funding of nuclear waste repository site selection; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 336 (1984)

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 304

continuity of management of nuclear waste program; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 343 (1984)

Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act, 203

failure of site-selection process to comply with; LBP-84-12, 20 NRC 1324 (1984)

OTHERS

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX OTHERS

35 Ad. L. Rep. 3d 412, 526

burdens on parties where attorney work product privilege is contested; LBP-84-50, 20 NRC 1474 (1984)

Conflict of Interest and Impermissible Representation, Rule 1.7(b)(1)

representation by Applicants' attorneys of party whose position is adverse to Applicant's, LBP-84-50, 20 NRC 1468 (1984)

Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(3)

materials shielded by attorney work product privilege in NRC proceedings; LBP-84-50, 20 NRC 1473-75 (1984)

H.R. Rep. No. 1457, 96th Cong., 2d Sess. 38, reprinted in 1980 U.S. Code Cong. & Ad. News 6378, 6401

standard of government action to minimize adverse impacts to National Historic Landmarks; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 877 n.16 (1984)

Model Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 1.7

representation by Applicants' attorneys of party whose position is adverse to Applicant's; LBP-84-50, 20 NRC 1468 (1984)

Weinstein's Evidence, ¶ 503(b)[03]

applicability of attorney-client privilege to notes prepared by an individual for his private use; LBP-84-50, 20 NRC 1472 (1984)

8 Wright & Miller, Federal Practice and Procedure § 2024 (1970) scope of attorney work product privilege, LBP-84-50, 20 NRC 1473 (1984)

ACCIDENT(S) adequacy of spectrum of, envisioned in emergency plans for Limerick; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984)assessment capabilities, continuing adequacy of, during radiological emergency; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC at spent fuel storage facilities, risk of; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 288 (1984) class 9, need for consideration of, where FES has already been issued; ALAB-781, 20 NRC 819 (1984)class 9, need to consider for Diablo Canyon; CLI-84-13, 20 NRC 267 (1984) control rod drop, mitigation of effects of; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) involving dispersal of radioactive materials, liability for; DPRM-84-2, 20 NRC 1563 (1984) loss-of-coolant, mitigation of, in case of loss of offsite power; LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1343 (1984) natural gas and petroleum pipeline, potential for damage to Limerick facility from; LEP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) preventing entry to containment for extended period, reliability of makeup water system in the event of, LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984) scenario for drop of spent fuel assembly into spent fuel pool; LBP-84-52A, 20 NRC 1509 (1984) scenarios during transportation of spent fuel, need to consider, DD-84-24, 20 NRC 1557 (1984) TMI-2, adequacy of studies of health effects from radioactive releases from; CLI-84-22, 20 NRC 1573 (1984) pransportation, involving radioactive materials, adequacy of emergency planning regulations for; DPRM-84-2, 20 NRC 1563 (1984) ADJUDICATORY BOARDS authority of, over NRC Staff action; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984) authority of, to entertain challenges to legality of a Commission regulation; ALAB-784, 20 NRC 845 (1984) authority of, to invalidate its own rules or regulations, ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984) delegated authority of, to assess health and safety risks, CLI-84-11, 20 NRC 1 (1984) discretion of, to modify procedural rules; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984) effect of other proceedings on determinations of: ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984) resolution of issues by, pending conformatory Staff analyses; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) responsibilities of, in examining claims of quality assurance deficiencies; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) scope of authority of; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984) AFFIDAVITS executed by NRC Staff, Licensing Board concerns with; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 133 (1984) AIRCRAFT carburetor icing caused by emissions from cooling towers; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) crash into containment housing expanded fuel pool, risks to public from: LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984) concerns during Big Rock Point spent fuel pool modification; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984) ALERTING

public, during radiological emergency at Wolf Creek, adequacy of siren system for; LBP-84-26, 20

NRC 53 (1984) See also Notification

ALTERNATIVE ENERGY SOURCES

consideration of, at operating license stage, LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) litigability of, in operating license proceedings; ALAB-793, 20 NRC 1585 (1984) ALTERNATIVE(S) NRC actions requiring consideration of, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984) to onsite mill tailings storage, meaningful consideration of: LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1296 (1984) to spent fuel shipments, consideration of dry cask storage facility as; LBP-84-40A, 20 NRC 1195 AMENDMENT of 10 C F.R. § 73.40(a), need for: LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 133 (1984) of bases for contention predicated on newspaper articles; LBP-84-49, 20 NRC 1457 (1984) of Part 70 license application, rights and duties of parties regarding; ALAB-778, 20 NRC 42 (1984) of regulations concerning emergency response to transportation accidents involving radioactive materials, denial of petition for; DPRM-84-2, 20 NRC 1563 (1984) to operating license, treatment of full-power license as, CLI-84-19, 20 NRC 1055 (1984) See also Operating License Amendment AMERICAN SHAD impacts of Limerick facility on, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984) ANTICIPATED TRANSIENTS WITHOUT SCRAM adequacy of Shoreham measures for mitigating; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) plants required to have automated standby liquid control systems for mitigation of, LBP-84-40, 20 NRC 1181 (1984) APPEAL disposition of issues raised on: ALAB-778, 20 NRC 42 (1984) finality of discovery orders for purpose of; ALAB-780, 20 NRC 378 (1984) interlocutory, exception to prohibition of, ALAB-780, 20 NRC 378 (1984) interlocutory, of evidentiary rulings; ALAB-791, 20 NRC 1579 (1984) interlocutory, prohibition of, ALAB-787, 20 NRC 1097 (1984) of grounds for trial tribunal's result; ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984) standing to: ALAB-790, 20 NRC 1450 (1984) APPEAL BOARD(S) authority to remove licensee employee from supervisory duties; CLI-84-18, 20 NRC 808 (1984) factors considered by, in deciding whether to exercise directed certification authority; ALAB-791, 20 NRC 1579 (1984) jurisdiction, effect of Commission immediate effectiveness review of Licensing Board initial decision on. ALAB-787, 20 NRC 1097 (1984) jurisdiction over construction permit proceedings, termination of, ALAB-783, 20 NRC 843 (1984) jurisdiction over motion to propen on issue where its prior determination amounted to final agency action: ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984) jurisdiction to entertain new matters, when all issues are not final; ALAB-782, 20 NRC 838 (1984) jurisdiction when agency action is final with respect to an issue; ALAB-782, 20 NRC 838 (1984) APPLICANTS for license amendment, admissibility of contentions filed by: LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1296 (1984) near-term operating license, criteria for evaluating operating experience for, DD-84-21, 20 NRC 788 (1984) responsibility of, to inform Boards of significant new developments, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984) ATOMIC ENERGY ACT obligation under, to report slowdown in construction; DD-84-23, 20 NRC 1549 (1984) ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD PANEL authority of Chief Administrative Judge of, LBP-84-29A, 20 NRC 385 (1984) of Byron reinspection program, specifics of; LBP-84-41, 20 NRC 1203 (1984) requirements for verifying regulatory compliance of quality assurance program, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) BARRIERS engineered, for isolating wastes from biosphere, development of, CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 288 (1984)

BOARD NOTIFICATION

responsibilities of NRC Staff in submission of; ALAB-786, 20 NRC 1087 (1984) BOARDS See Adjudicatory Boards, Appeal Board, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, Licensing Board(s) BORON equipment, adequacy of, for low-power operation of Comanche Peak, LBP-84-30A, 20 NRC 443 (1984) CARLE in multiconductor configurations, performance of; LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) CABLE TRAY HANGERS applicant's program for verifying the adequacy of: ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984) CASK DROP safety of Big Rock Point spent fuel pool from, LBP-84-38, 20 NRC 1019 (1984) CASK LOADING PIT adequacy of cleanup system for expanded spent fuel pool to decontaminate, LBP-84-52A, 20 NRC 1509 (1984) CERTIFICATES OF COMPLIANCE for spent fuel shipping casks, request for modification of, DD-84-24, 20 NRC 1557 (1984) CHAIRMAN NRC, responsibilities of, CLI-84-20, 20 NRC 1061 (1984) CHARACTER management, nexus between conflict of interest in Applicants' representation of party whose position of adverse to Applicant's and; LBP-84-50, 20 NRC 1464 (1984) CHLORINE GAS releases from Vogtle cooling towers, environmental and agricultural effects of, LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984). CLAMS, ASIATIC description of threat to nuclear power plants from; LBP-84-51, 20 NRC 1478 (1984) **CLASSIFICATION** of equipment for purpose of compliance with quality assurance requirements; CLI-84-14, 20 NRC 285 (1984) of quality assurance deficiencies, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) CLASSIFICATION, SAFETY of reactor core isolation cooling system; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) of reactor vessel high water level trip system: ALAB-798, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) of reactor water cleanup system; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) of rod block monitor, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) of standby liquid control system: ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) of turbine bypass system: ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) COMMISSIONERS NRC, responsibilities of CLI-84-20, 20 NRC 1061 (1984) COMMUNICATIONS during Wolf Creek radiological emergency, adequacy of staffing for; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984) equipment for Wolf Creek emergency, post-hearing confirmation by Staff of availability of, LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984) with transportation-dependent persons; LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 933 (1984) See also Ex Parte Communications CONCRETE structure of spent fuel pool, possibility of failure of, due to boiling of pool water; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984) CONFLICT OF INTEREST in attorney-client relationship, applicability of privilege in light of, LBP-84-50, 20 NRC 1464 (1984) CONSTRUCTION Completion Program at Midland, need to include all ongoing activity under; DD-84-17, 20 NRC 226 (1984) error-free, of nuclear power plants, need for, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984)

impacts, distinction between operational impacts of construction changes and; ALAB-785, 20 NRC procedure violations, independent significance of, LBP-84-55, 20 NRC 1646 (1984) quality mandated by Atomic Energy Act, degree of; DD-84-16, 20 NRC 161 (1984) revocation of construction permit for slowing or stopping, DD-84-23, 20 NRC 1549 (1984) CONSTRUCTION PERMIT(S) application, dismissal of, with or without prejudice; LBP-84-43, 20 NRC 1333 (1984) revocation of, for slowing or stopping construction; DD-84-23, 20 NRC 1549 (1984) CONTAINMENT Mark II, at Shoreham, adequacy of: ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) pressurization, reliability of motor-operated valves to control; LBP-84-38, 20 NRC 1019 (1984) radiation monitors, at Big Rock Point, adequacy of; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984) risks to public from crash of B-52 bomber into; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984) CONTAMINATION of groundwater below Vogtle site, potential for; LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) of Philadelphia water supplies from postulated severe accident at Limerick; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984). sulfate, at pressurized water reactors; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 288 (1984) CONTENTIONS amendment of bases for, predicated on newspaper articles; LBP-84-49, 20 NRC 1457 (1984) broad, later particularization of, LBP-84-28, 20 NRC 129 (1984) detail required for supporting evidence, for admission of; LBP-84-40A, 20 NRC 1195 (1984) filed by applicant for a license amendment, admissibility of: LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1296 (1984) good cause for late filing of, LBP-84-30, 20 NRC 426 (1984) late-filed, standard for determining ability of, to assist in developing a sound record, LBP-84-30, 20 litigable, request for investigation as basis for broadening; L." 7-84-49, 20 NRC 1457 (1984) Landards for admissibility of, in materials license amendment proceeding, ALAB-778, 20 NRC 42 (1984) CONTROL SYSTEMS interactions at Shoreham, impact of: LBP-84-53, 20 NRC 1531 (1984) COOLING SYSTEM drywell at Grand Gulf, adequacy of design and construction of, DD-84-21, 20 NRC 788 (1984) See also Reactor Coolant System, Reactor Core COOLING TOWER'S aircraft carburetor icing caused by emissions from; LEP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) collapse at Limerick, postulated, discussion of effects of; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) environmental and agricultural effects of releases of salt and chlorine gas from: LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) plumes, behavior of, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) COOLING WATER supplementary, river-follower method as alternative for providing; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984) CORE COOLING during low-power operation, requirement for; LBP-84-35A, 20 SRC 930 (1984) See also Reactor Core CORE DRILLING as means for determining capability of a fault, adequacy of, ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984) CORROSION of steam generator tubes at TMI-1, tests for: LBP-84-47, 20 NRC 1405 (1984) COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS scope of, for long-term maintenance and monitoring of mill tailings, LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1296 (1984) COSTS incurred by NRC Staff, in reviewing an application subsequently withdrawn, recovery of; LBP-84-43, 20 NRC 1333 (1984) to applicant of protracted litigation, consideration of, in operating license proceedings: LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1343 (1984)

CROSS-EXAMINATION limitations on rights of parties to conduct: ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) written, adoption of procedures for, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) DECISION See also Initial Decision DECONTAMINATION centers, siting of, LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 389 (1984) during radiological emergency, adequacy of staffing for; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984) evacuee, need for accounting of materials available for, in emergency plans; LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 389 (1984) of site evacuees, extent of provisions necessary for; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) DEFICIENCIES quality assurance, classification of, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) quality assurance, responsibilities of Boards in examining claims of; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984)DEFINITION of harsh environment, DD-84-22, 20 NRC 1033 (1984) DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMMISSION description of, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984) DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMPACT effect of, on Federal actions. ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984) DELAYS in licensing proceedings, Licensing Board responsibility to avoid or reduce; LBP-84-52, 20 NRC 1484 (1984) licensing, congressional disapproval of, CLI-84-20, 20 NRC 1061 (1984) DEPRESSURIZATION under inadequate core cooling conditions, use of PORV for, CLI-84-11, 20 NRC 1 (1984) DESIGN criteria, showing necessary for exemption from; LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1343 (1984) margin, description of, LBP-84-41, 20 NRC 1203 (1984) seismic, scope of and means for achieving, for nuclear power plants: ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 DIESEL GENERATOR(S) building at Midland, litigability of integrity of, under 2,206 petition; DD-84-17, 20 NRC 226 (1984) manufactured by TDI for Vogtle, reliability of, LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) DIRECTED CERTIFICATION failure of a party to address standards for; ALAB-791, 20 NRC 1579 (1984) DISCOVERY orders, finality of, for purpose of appeal; ALAB-780, 20 NRC 378 (1984) reopening of, as remedy for misrepresentation by Applicant; LBP-84-56, 20 NRC 1696 (1984) DISMISSAL of construction permit application with or without prejudice; LBP-84-43, 20 NRC 1333 (1984) of operating license application with prejudice: LBP-84-33, 20 NRC 765 (1984) of operating license proceeding in absence of matters in controversy; LBP-84-34, 20 NRC 770 (1984) of party for failure to respond to Board order reactivating a proceeding. LBP-84-54, 20 NRC 1637 DISPOSAL SITES for mill tailings, cost of long-term maintenance and monitoring of, LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1296 (1984) ownership of: LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1296 (1984) DISQUALIFICATION motion not addressed to presiding officer or member of licensing board, need for referral of ruling on; ALAB-779, 20 NRC 375 (1984) motions, timeliness requirements for, CLI-84-20, 20 NRC 1061 (1984) of adjudicatory board member, support required for motion for; ALAB-777, 20 NRC 21 (1984) of NRC Chairman, denial of request for: CLI-84-20, 20 NRC 1061 (1984) standards for; ALAB-777, 20 NRC 21 (1984)

```
timeliness requirement for motion for: ALAB-777, 20 NRC 21 (1984)
   See also Recusal
 DOCUMENT SERVICE
   completion of, LBP-84-54, 20 NRC 1637 (1984)
 DOCUMENTATION
  of QA inspection procedures and results at Byron facility; LBP-84-41, 20 NRC 1203 (1984)
 DOSE(S)
  control for emergency workers; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984)
   methodology for projecting, when instrumentation is inoperable: LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984)
  offsite, adequacy of applicant's means for calculation and monitoring of: LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446
     (1984)
   radiological, from disposal or storage of mill tailings, estimation of, LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1296 (1984)
   See also Radiation Dose
 DOSIMETERS
  distribution of, to emergency workers, LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984)
 DRY CASK STORAGE FACILITY
  as alternative to spent fuel shipments, need for consideration of, LBP-84-40A, 20 NRC [195 (1984)
 EARTHQUAKE(S)
  Morgan Hill, effect of, on seismic design of Diablo Canyon; CLI-84-13, 20 NRC 267 (1984)
  need for consideration of impacts of, on emergency planning; ALAB-781, 20 NRC 819 (1984);
     CLI-84-12, 20 NRC 249 (1984)
  potential for movement of Trojan spent fuel pool racks during, LBP-84-52A, 20 NRC 1509 (1984)
   See also Safe Shutdown Earthquake, Seismicity
ECONOMIC ISSUES
  litigability of, in operating license proceedings; ALAB-789, 20 NRC 1443 (1984)
EDDY CURRENT
  tests at TMI-1, requirements for; LBP-84-47, 20 NRC 1405 (1984)
EFFECTIVENESS
  of Licensing Board order, delay of: CLI-84-21, 20 NRC 1437 (1984)
ELECTRIC POWER
  offsite, result of loss of, during low-power operation; LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1343 (1984)
  onsite emergency AC, exemption from requirement for, during low-power operation, LBP-84-45, 20
     NRC 1343 (1984)
ELECTRICAL CABLES
  overtensioning of, at Byron facility; LBP-84-41, 20 NRC 1203 (1984)
  sufficiency of separation of, at Shoreham: ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984)
ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT
  at Shoreham, adequacy of environmental qualification of; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984)
  at TMI, environmental qualification of; DD-84-22, 20 NRC 1033 (1984)
  compliance of, with January 1983 environmental qualification rule; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984)
  environmental qualification of, CLI-84-11, 20 NRC 1 (1984)
  nonsafety-related, need for environmental qualification of; LBP-84-53, 20 NRC 1531 (1984)
  required to operate in harsh environment: DD-84-22, 20 NRC 1032 (1984)
ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS
  at Byron, evaluation of discrepancies in: LBP-84-41, 20 NRC 1203 (1984).
EMERGENCY BROADCASTING SYSTEM
  effectiveness of, during a power outage, LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 933 (1984)
EMERGENCY FEEDWATER
  effect of inadvertent initiation of, at TMI-1, LBP-84-47, 20 NRC 1405 (1984)
EMERGENCY FEEDWATER SYSTEM
 at TMI-1, reliability of, CLI-84-11, 20 NRC 1 (1984)
 at TMI-1, upgrading of environmental and seismic qualification of; DD-84-22, 20 NRC 1033 (1984)
  response during high-energy line breaks and seismic events at TMI-1, adequacy of: DD-84-22, 20
    NRC 1033 (1984)
EMERGENCY OPERATIONS CENTER
  need to provide for relocation of; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984)
```

adequacy of Big Rock Point radiation monitoring in the context of, LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984)

EMERGENCY PLANNING

```
admission of broad contention on, subject to later particularization, LBP-84-28, 20 NRC 129 (1984)
   conduct of cross-examination, redirect examination and recross-examination on, through
      depositions. ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984)
   defects, operating license authorization in light of; ALAB-781, 20 NRC 819 (1984)
   effect of failure of local municipalities to adopt emergency plans on adequacy of, DD-84-18, 20
      NRC 243 (1984)
   for transportation accidents involving radioactive materials, adequacy of regulations for;
     DPRM-84-2, 20 NRC 1563 (1984)
   guidance for satisfying regulatory standards for: LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 933 (1984)
   information, provision of, to transients, LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984)
   issues, Licensing Board responsibility in deciding. LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 933 (1984)
   nature of findings on adequacy of, LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984)
   need for consideration of impacts of earthquakes on; ALAB-781, 20 NRC 819 (1984)
   need for final FEMA findings on, prior to license authorization; ALAB-781, 20 NRC 819 (1994)
   need to consider complicating effects of earthquakes on; CLI-84-12, 20 NRC 249 (1984)
  pamphlet for Big Rock Point, distribution of, to residents and transients, LBP-84-38, 20 NRC 1019
     119841
   predictive nature of findings on adequacy of; ALAB-781, 20 NRC 819 (1984)
  provisions for food, clothing, bedding, and shelters, adequacy of; LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 933 (1984).
  regulations governing, ALAB-781, 20 NRC 819 (1984)
  regulations, exceptions to, ALAB-781, 20 NRC 819 (1984)
  regulations, reconsideration of, following TMI accident; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984)
   requirements for reentry and recovery, scope of, LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 389 (1984)
EMERGENCY PLANNING ZONE(S)
  adequacy of staffing to ensure security of, during radiological emergency, LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53
     (1984)
  configuration of sub-areas of, LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 389 (1984).
  discussion of concept of, ALAB-781, 20 NRC 819 (1984)
  expansion of, because of increased inventory of the spent fuel pool; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984)
  factors determining size and configuration of, ALAB-781, 20 NRC 819 (1984); DD-84-18, 20 NRC
     243 (1984)
  need for written justification of boundary-making for, LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 389 (1984)
  plume exposure pathway. limits of; LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 933 (1984)
  preclusion of licensing decision on basis of State enlargement of, ALAB-781, 20 NRC 819 (1984)
  radiological, meteorological, and demographic reasons for expansion of, LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 933
    (1984)
EMERGENCY PLANISI
  accounting of materials available for evacuee decontamination in; LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 389 (1984)
  deficiencies in, requiring license condition, LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 933 (1984)
  for Limerick, adequacy of spectrum of accidents encompassed by; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984)
  for transportation accidents involving radioactive materials, need for; DPRM-84-2, 20 NRC 1563
    (1984)
  implementing procedures, litigability of adequacy of: LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 389 (1984)
  inclusion of supporting reference documents in: LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 389 (1984)
  mair tenance and updating of, LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 389 (1984)
  post-hearing resolution of minor details of, LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984)
  requirements for information brochures, warning signs, and decals to advise the public: LBP-84-37,
    20 NRC 933 (1984)
  separate, for summer and winter, need for: LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984)
EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS
  brochures, need for verification of public understanding of, LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 389 (1984)
  exercises, deadlines for, and conditions to be conducted under; LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 389 (1984)
EMERGENCY RESPONSE
  activities, Catawba plans for coordination of, LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 933 (1984)
```

EMERGENCY WORKERS basis for response rate in emergency plans, LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 389 (1984) dose control for: LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984) sufficiency of information on radiation risks to; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) **EMISSIONS** from cooling towers, aircraft carburetor icing caused by; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) **ENDANGERED SPECIES** smallest units of, afforded protection; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984) See also American Shad, Shorinose Sturgeon ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT effect of, on NRC licensing activities; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984) ENFORCEMENT actions, issue being addressed in ongoing operating license proceeding as the subject of, DD-84-17, 20 NRC 226 (1984) of licensee obligations and commitments; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) tool used by NRC to document noncompliances and ensure corrective action; DD-84-16, 20 NRC 161 (1984) **ENFORCEMENT POLICY** NRC, description of: DD-84-17, 20 NRC 226 (1984) ENVIRONMENT harsh, definition of, DD-84-22, 20 NRC 1033 (1984) **ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS** due to proximity of Vogtle Plant to DOE L-reactor, need for assessment of, LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 88" (1984) ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT of a project attributable to entity unassociated with nuclear plant, NRC responsibility to consider, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984) of class 9 accidents, need for consideration of, where FES has already been issued. ALAB-781, 20 NRC 819 (1984) of extended storage of spent fuel; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 288 (1984) **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT** availability of, prior to hearing, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984) for transport of spent fuel assemblies, need for separate, ALAB-790, 20 NRC 1450 (1984) need for preparation of, for operating license amendment; LBP-84-40A, 20 NRC 1195 (1984) need for, to consider addition of material to mill tailings which are the subject of the proceeding; LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1296 (1984) timing required by NEPA by. ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984) to consider impacts of spent fuel storage at reactor sites beyond expiration dates of reactor licenses, need for: CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 288 (1984) See also Final Environmental Statement ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION contention, lack of support for petition for reconsideration of: LBP-84-49, 20 NRC 1457 (1984) of electric equipment at Limerick, compliance of, with January 1983 rule; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 of electrical equipment at TMI-1: DD-84-22, 20 NRC 1033 (1984) of electrical equipment, compliance of Shoreham with regulatory requirements for; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) of electrical equipment, effect of, on adjudication; CLI-84-11, 20 NRC 1 (1984) of nonsafety-related electrical equipment, need for; LBP-84-53, 20 NRC 1531 (1984) of safety-related equipment and components at Vogile, adequacy of: LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) EQUIPMENT, SAFETY-RELATED at Vogtle Plant, adequacy of environmental qualification of: LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) ETHICS IN GOVERNMENT ACT

restrictions against former federal officials trying to influence their former agencies, ALAB-791, 20

NRC 1579 (1984)

EVACUATION adequacy of provisions for traffic control and access control during, LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984) because of Wolf Creek emergency, denial of contention citing inadequate staffing for; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984) delay time of 2 hours, assumption in emergency response model of; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) during radiological emergency, risk of people declining, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) measures for children and pregnant women, adequacy of Big Rock Point plans for; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984) of Catawba EPZ, minimum time for, LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 933 (1984) of health care facilities and residents needing special transportation assistance; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984) of persons without private transportation, LBP-' 4-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984) of populations from Carowinds and Heritage U.S.A., adequacy of plans for; LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 933 (1984) of pregnant women and small children, LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984) of recreational, mobility-impaired, and school populations during Shearon Harris radiological emergency, LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 389 (1984) of schools, adequacy of training of appropriate individuals for; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984) resolution of traffic management issues related to potential bottlenecks to: DD-84-15, 20 NRC 157 (1984) routes, overestimation of flow of traffic on; LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 933 (1984) routes, preselection of, on basis of potential wind direction; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984); LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 389 (1984) speed, backups and bad weather, adequacy of calculations for, in Limerick emergency plan; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) time estimates for individuals who do not have their own automobiles, LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984) use of school buses for, LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 933 (1984) EVIDENCE cause for discounting credibility of: LBP-84-55, 20 NRC 1646 (1984) effect on a proceeding of determinations regarding evidentiary admissions, ALAB-791, 20 NRC 1579 (1984) rebuttal, limitations on rights of parties to submit; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) submission of, in written form, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS prohibition against, AL AB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984) EX PARTE CONTACTS use of protective order to avoid, LBP-84-36, 20 NRC 928 (1984) EXCEPTION(S) to initial decision that are not briefed on appeal, waiver of: ALAB-781, 20 NRC 819 (1984) to prohibition of interlocutory appeals. ALAB-780, 20 NRC 378 (1984) EXEMPTIONS from design criteria, showing necessary for; LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1343 (1984) FAULT(S) definition of, and means for determining capability of, ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984) means of determining age of, ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984) FAULT, HOSGRI effect of, on design of Diablo Canyon; CLI-84-13, 20 NRC 267 (1984) proximity to, as unique circumstance warranting consideration of class 9 accidents; ALAB-781, 20 NRC 819 (1984) FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY final findings on emergency planning, need for, prior to license authorization; ALAB-781, 20 NRC review by, of traffic management issues related to potential bottlenecks to evacuation; DD-84-15, 20 NRC 157 (1984) review of emergency plans, need for completion of, for issuance of operating license; CLI-84-13, 20 NRC 267 (1984)

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT content of, concerning accident risks; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) need for environmental hearing to await preparation and circulation of, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 FINALITY of discovery orders for purpose of appeal, ALAB-780, 20 NRC 378 (1984) FINANCIAL QUALIFICATIONS of Licensee to operate spent fuel pool, need to consider, DD-84-25, 20 NRC 1703 (1984) of utilities, basis for waiver of regulation precluding: LBP-84-30, 20 NRC 426 (1984) of utilities, consideration of, at operating license stage, ALAB-784, 20 NRC 845 (1984); LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) FINDINGS OF FACT penalty for failure to file; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984) proposed, penalty for failure to file; LBP-84-47, 20 NRC 1405 (1984) FIRE-FIGHTING capabilities on site, need to provide for offsite augmentation of, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) FIRE PROTECTION of new fuel at the reactor site; ALAB-778, 20 NRC 42 (1984) regulatory requirements for testing program for; LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) FUEL handling equipment, adequacy of, for low-power operation of Comanche Peak, LBP-84-30A, 20 NRC 443 (1984) loading and precritical testing, findings necessary for license authorizing, LBP-84-30A, 20 NRC 443 new, handling and storage of, at reactor site; ALAB-778, 20 NRC 42 (1984) See also Spent Fuel GENERAL DESIGN CRITERIA application of, according to rule of reason; LBP-84-35A, 20 NRC 920 (1984) GENERATORS diesel, enhancement of offsite power system at Shoreham with; LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1343 (1984) See Diesel Generators, Steam Generator Tube GEOLOGY of Vogtle site, adequacy of applicant's assessment of, LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) site, scope of assessment of, for nuclear power plant construction; ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984) GROUNDWATER contamination below Vogtle site, potential for; LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) HARASSMENT alleged, at Diablo Canyon, denial of request for deferral of licensing pending neutralization of; DD-84-19, 20 NRC 773 (1984) at Diablo Canyon, status of investigations of; CLI-84-13, 20 NRC 267 (1984) HEALTH AND SAFETY regulations, applicability of, to low-power operating licenses, CLI-84-21, 20 NRC 1437 (1984) risks, authority of adjudicatory boards to assess, CLI-84-11, 20 NRC I (1984) standard for issuance of operating licenses; CLI-84-12, 20 NRC 249 (1984) See also Psychological Health HEALTH EFFECTS due to proximity of Vogtle Plant to DOE L-reactor, need for assessment of, LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) from radiological emergency, assessment of cost of medical treatment for, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) latent, from Limerick radiological emergency, adequacy of FES consideration of, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) of TMI-2 accident, adequacy of studies of; CLI-84-22, 20 NRC 1573 (1984) HEARING(S) adjudicatory, right of parties to challenge newly amended portions of applications in: ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984) environmental, need for preparation and issuance of FES, prior to, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984)

in response to 2.206 petition, need to hold; DD-84-20, 20 NRC 776 (1984); DD-84-21, 20 NRC 788 (1984) means for expediting, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) on materials licenses under Part 70, right to; ALAB-778, 20 NRC 42 (1984) on operating license amendment in absence of controversy, need for; LBP-84-39, 20 NRC 1031 (1984) on operating license application, need for, in absence of issues in controversy, LBP-84-34, 20 NRC 770 (1984) operating license, issues for consideration in; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984) waiver of right to: LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1296 (1984) written cross-examination in; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) HOUSEKEEPING at Shoreham, adequacy of, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) problems at Shoreham, resolution of, LBP-84-53, 20 NRC 1531 (1984) HYDROGEN recombiners for Vogtle, adequacy of qualification of, LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) IMPORTANT TO SAFETY distinction between "safety-related" and; CLI-84-14, 20 NRC 285 (1984) interpretation of, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) INITIAL DECISION failure to brief exceptions to, on appeal; ALAB-781, 20 NRC 819 (1984) immediate effectiveness review of; ALAB-787, 20 NRC 1097 (1984) INSPECTION program, NRC, scope of, for Catawba; DD-84-16, 20 NRC 161 (1984) INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT NRC program, for plants under construction, DD-84-16, 20 NRC 161 (1984) INTERDICTION crop, milk, and population, adequacy of FES consideration of; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) INTERPRETATION of inconsistencies in regulations; LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1343 (1984) INTIMIDATION alleged, at Diablo Canyon, denial of request for deferral of licensing pending neutralization of: DD-84-19, 20 NRC 773 (1984) at Diablo Canyon, status of investigations of; CLI-84-13, 20 NRC 267 (1984) **INVESTIGATION** of foreman override at Catawba, Applicants' methodology for, LBP-84-52, 20 NRC 1484 (1984) request for, as basis for broadening a litigable contention; LBP-84-49, 20 NRC 1457 (1984) IODINE monitors, in-plant, at Big Rock Point, adequacy of, LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984) JURISDICTION Appeal Board, over motion to reopen on issue where its prior determination amounted to final agency action, ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984) appellate, effect of Commission immediate effectiveness review of Licensing Board initial decision on: ALAB-787, 20 NRC 1097 (1984) appellate, termination of, ALAB-783, 20 NRC 843 (1984) appellate, to entertain new matters, when all issues are not final; ALAB-782, 20 NRC 838 (1984) appellate, when agency action is final with respect to an issue; ALAB-782, 20 NRC 838 (1984) over issues that cannot properly be raised in adjudication; ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984) KRYPTON gaseous releases of, from failed spent fuel in spent fuel pool; LBP-84-52A, 20 NRC 1509 (1984) LEAK RATE MEASUREMENTS at TMI-1, reliability of; LBP-84-47, 20 NRC 1405 (1984) LETTERS OF AGREEMENT for emergency services, need for delineation of authority in; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) with host health care facilities to accept patients during emergency evacuation, scope of; LBP-84-27, 20 NRC 125 (1984)

LIABILITY for damages from shipping accidents involving dispersal of radioactive materials, DPRM-84-2, 20 NRC 1563 (1984) LICENSEE obligations and commitments. Board enforcement of, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984). response to 2.206 petitions, need for; DD-84-16, 20 NRC 161 (1984) LICENSING denial of request for deferral of, pending neutralization of alleged harassment and intimidation at Diablo Canyon, DD-84-19, 20 NRC 773 (1984) of nuclear power plants, safety findings required by Atomic Energy Act for, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) LICENSING BOARD(S) authority of, to accept contentions filed by an applicant; LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1296 (1984) authority over NRC Staff, LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 133 (1984) delegation of matters to Staff for resolution; LBP-84-41, 20 NRC 1203 (1984) error as cause for appellate relief, ALAB 788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) limitations on authority of: LBP-84-41, 20 NRC 1203 (1984). operating license authorization in light of emergency planning defects as abuse of discretion by: ALAB-781, 20 NRC 819 (1984) order, delay of effectiveness of: CLI-84-21, 20 NRC 1437 (1984) question, refusal of Licensee to respond to; LBP-84-46, 20 NRC 1403 (1984) resolution of issues by: ALAB-"81, 20 NRC 819 (1984) responsibilities for expedition and thoroughness of proceedings, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) responsibilities for resolution of issues, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) responsibility in deciding emergency planning issues; LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 933 (1984) responsibility to avoid or reduce delays in licensing proceedings, LBP-84-52, 20 NRC 1484 (1984) review of investigative reports, propriety of, LBP-84-36, 20 NRC 928 (1984) LICENSING PROCEEDINGS responsibility to avoid or reduce delays in, LBP-84-52, 20 NRC 1484 (1984) LITIGATION EXPENSE as irreparable injury for purpose of grant of stay request; CLI-84-17, 20 NRC 801 (1984) LOW POPULATION ZONE evacuation, resolution of traffic management issues related to, DD-84-15, 20 NRC 157 (1984) MAIN STEAM LINE RUPTURE DETECTION SYSTEM delegation of responsibility to NRC Staff for approval of solution to problem of, CLI-84-11, 20 NRC 1 (1984) MAINTENANCE of mill tailings disposal sites, cost of, LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1296 (1984) MAKELP WATER . STEM reliability of, in event of accident preventing entry to containment for extended period, LBP-84-32. 20 NRC 601 (1984) operations and ingestion pathway, need for inclusion of, in offsite Shearon Harris emergency plans; LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 389 (1984) MATERIAL FALSE STATEMENT failure to inform NRC of cessation of work and investment in nuclear power plant unit as: DD-84-23, 20 NRC 1549 (1984) MATERIALS LICENSE Part 70, amendment of application for, ALAB-178, 20 NRC 42 (1984) under Part 70, NRC Staff responsibilities regarding; ALAB-778, 20 NRC 42 (1984) under Part 70, scope of, ALAB-778, 20 NRC 42 (1984) MATERIALS TRACEABILITY at Catawba, adequacy of measures for, DD-84-16, 20 NRC 161 (1984) MECHANICAL SYSTEMS at Byron, evaluation of discrepancies in; LBP-84-41, 20 NRC 1203 (1984)

MEDICAL SERVICES for contaminated injured individuals, litigability of contentions on: LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 389 (1984) for contaminated injured, need for emergency planning for, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) for treating radiation victims from Shearon Harris radiological emergency, adequacy of: LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 389 (1984) MILL TAILINGS disposal sites, cost of long-term maintenance and monitoring of, LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1296 (1984) proposal for onsite storage of, as segmentation prohibited by NEPA; LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1296 (1984) storage on site, alternatives to: LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1296 (1984) MISREPRESENTATION by Applicant, reopening of discovery as remedy for; LBP-84-56, 20 NRC 1696 (1984) by NRC Staff of regulatory requirements concerning protection of nonpower reactor against sabotage, LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 133 (1984) MISS:SSIPPI RIVER Old River Control Structure, effect of failure of, on River Bend Station; LBP-84-51, 20 NRC 1478 (1984) MONITORING equipment, neutron, adequacy of, for low-power operation of Comanche Peak, LBP-84-30A, 20 NRC 443 (1984) of mill tailings disposal sites, cost of, LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1296 (1984) of Shearon Harris evacuees for radioactive contamination, adequacy of plans for, LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 389 (1984) post-accident, adequacy of environmental qualification of Shoreham equipment for, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) radiation, at Big Rock Point Plant, adequacy of, in context of emergency planning; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984) radiation, of Trojan spent fuel pool, means for and adequacy of, LBP-84-52A, 20 NRC 1509 (1984) systems on site at Limerick used to initiate emergency action levels, adequacy of, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) MONITORS rod block, function and safety classification of: ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) water level, at Big Rock Point, qualification of, for high temperature and humidity; LBP-84-38, 20 NRC 1019 (1984) MOTION to reopen on issue where Appeal Board's prior determination amounted to final agency action, jurisdiction over, ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984) NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT effect of, on NRC licensing activities; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984) NATIONAL WILDLIFE FISHERIES SERVICE requirements for NRC consultation with; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984) NATURAL GAS pipeline accidents near Limerick, potential for damage to facility from, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) NATURAL HAZARDS proximity to, as grou ds for consideration of class 9 accidents; ALAB-781, 20 NRC 819 (1984) NEED FOR POWER consideration of, at operating license stage; LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) litigability of, in operating license proceedings: ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984) NOBLE GAS effluent monitors at Big Rock Point, description and adequacy of, LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984) NONCONFORMANCES at Catawba, adequacy of controls to process and respond to; DD-84-16, 20 NRC 161 (1984) NONSAFETY SYSTEMS

purposes of, and circumstances appropriate for issuance of, DD-84-16, 20 NRC 161 (1984)

treatment of welding violations on, LBP-84-52, 20 NRC 1484 (1984)

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

of emergency response personnel, adequacy of telephone system for; LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 933 (1984) of governmental agencies of Wolf Creek evacuation decision, adequacy of means for, LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984) of Limerick emergency response organizations, existence of mutually agreeable bases for; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) of offsite authorities of Limerick emergency, deadline for, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) of public of radiological emergency, adequacy of Catawba plans for: LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 933 (1984) of transients in reservior area, of radiological emergency, means for; LBP-84-27, 20 NRC 125 (1984) See also Alerting, Board Notification NRC PROCEEDINGS applicability to, of allegations made in other litigation against licensees. DD-84-17, 20 NRC 226 (1984) responsibilities of lay representatives in; ALAB-778, 20 NRC 42 (1984) NRC STAFF as witnesses, responsibility for assignment of; ALAB-786, 20 NRC 1087 (1984) authority of adjudicatory boards over, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984); LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 133 costs incurred in reviewing an application subsequently withdrawn, recovery of, LBP-84-43, 20 NRC 1333 (1984) inspection personnel, litigability of 2,206 request to augment, DD-84-17, 20 NRC 226 (1984) Licensing Board delegation of matters for resolution by: LBP-84-41, 20 NRC 1203 (1984) Licensing Board delegation of responsibility to, for approving solution to Main Steam Line Rupture Detection System problem, CLI-84-11, 20 NRC 1 (1984) litigability of adequacy of performance of, under 2,206 petition; DD-84-16, 20 NRC 161 (1984) misrepresentations by, of regulatory requirements concerning protection of nonpower reactor against sabotage; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 133 (1984) obligation of, to bring relevant and material information to the attention of Boards, LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 133 (1984) obligation regarding unresolved safety issues. ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) oversight of implementation of Byron reinspection program, LBP-84-41, 20 NRC 1203 (1984) position of, in NRC proceedings, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984) post-hearing resolution of emergency planning details by; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984) post-hearing resolution of issues by: ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) responsibilities for findings on Part 70 materials license, ALAB-778, 20 NRC 42 (1984) responsibilities in submitting Board Notification, ALAB-786, 20 NRC 1087 (1984) review of allegations of misconduct against; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 133 (1984) NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS authority to regulate items contained in: ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) required to have automated standby liquid control systems to mitigate anticipated transients without scram; LBP-84-40, 20 NRC 1181 (1984) safety findings required for licensing of: ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION authority to choose a remedy for a violation; DD-84-17, 20 NRC 226 (1984) authority to regulate items contained in a nuclear power plant; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) Chairman, denial of request for disqualification of; CLI-84-20, 20 NRC 1061 (1984) immediate effectiveness review of Licensing Board initial decision by: ALAB-787, 20 NRC 1097 inspection and enforcement program for plants under construction, DD-84-16, 20 NRC 161 (1984) personnel matters, consideration of, under 2.206 petitions; DD-84-16, 20 NRC 161 (1984) responsibilities under NEPA; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984) responsibility of, for timely processing of license requests; CLI-84-21, 20 NRC 1437 (1984) responsibility to consider environmental impacts of a project attributable to entity unassociated with nuclear plant: ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984) NUCLEAR WASTE POLICY ACT effect of, on Commissions waste confidence decision; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 288 (1984)

geologic, for high-level radioactive waste, safety of, CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 288 (1984)

NUCLEAR WASTE REPOSITORY

```
OLD RIVER CONTROL STRUCTURE
  on Mississippi River, effect of failure of, on River Bend Station; LBP-84-51, 20 NRC 1478 (1984)
OPERATING BASIS EARTHQUAKE
  description of, and means for calculating vibratory ground acceleration assigned to, ALAB-792, 20
     NRC 1585 (1984)
OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT
  need for hearing on, in absence of controversy, LBP-84-39, 20 NRC 1031 (1984)
  need to prepare environmental impact statement for, LBP-84-40A, 20 NRC 1195 (1984)
  revising technical specifications to recognize steam generator tube repair technique other than
     plugging, LBP-84-47, 20 NRC 1405 (1984)
OPERATING LICENSE PROCEEDINGS
  consideration of cost to applicant of protracted litigation; LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1343 (1984)
  consideration of need for power, alternative energy sources, and financial qualifications of utilities
    in: LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984)
  litigability of economic issues in: ALAB-789, 20 NRC 1443 (1984)
  scope of impacts considered in; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984)
OPERATING LICENSE(S)
  applicants, near-term, criteria for evaluating operating experience for, DD-84-21, 20 NRC 788
    (1984)
  application dismissal with prejudice; LBP-84-33, 20 NRC 765 (1984)
  application, need for hearing on, in absence of issues in controversy. LBP-84-34, 20 NRC 770 (1984)
  application, withdrawal of, without prejudice; LBP-84-51, 20 NRC 1478 (1984)
  authorization in light of emergency planning defects as abuse of discretion by Licensing Board,
    ALAB-781, 20 NRC 819 (1984)
 condition requiring adoption of Board definition of "important to safety" classification, ALAB-788,
    20 NRC 1102 (1984)
  full-power and low-power, treatment to be given relationship between; CLI-84-19, 20 NRC 1055
 full-power, treatment of, as amendment to; CLI-84-19, 20 NRC 1055 (1984)
  health and safety standard for issuance of, CLI-84-12, 20 NRC 249 (1984)
  hearings, issues for consideration in; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984)
  low-power, applicability of health and safety regulation to; CLI-84-21, 20 NRC 1437 (1984)
 low-power, cause for suspension of, ALAB-789, 20 NRC 1443 (1984)
 low-power, effect of issuance of, on full-power license; ALAB-789, 20 NRC 1443 (1984)
 low-power, effect of remand on issuance of; LBP-84-53, 20 NRC 1531 (1984)
  low-power, findings necessary for issuance of: LBP-84-30A, 20 NRC 443 (1984)
  NRC responsibility for timely processing of, CLI-84-21, 20 NRC 1437 (1984)
 remedy to emergency planning deficiency as condition to; LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 933 (1984)
  satisfaction of conditions prior to issuance of; LBP-84-27, 20 NRC 125 (1984)
OPERATION, LOW POWER
 authorization of exemption to GDC 17 for; LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1343 (1984)
  need for onsite emergency AC power for; LBP-84-35A, 20 NRC 920 (1984)
ORDER
 Licensing Board, delay of effectiveness of, CLI-84-21, 20 NRC 1437 (1984)
OVERHEAD CRANE
 at Big Rock Point, seismic stability of, LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984)
PENALTY
 for failure to file proposed findings of fact, LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984); LBP-84-47, 20 NRC
    1405 (1984)
PERSONNEL
 on site at time of emergency, ability of applicant to account for, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984)
PETROLEUM
 pipeline accidents near Limerick, potential for damage to facility from; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446
    (1984)
```

PHYSICAL SECURITY levels required for nonpower reactors, LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 133 (1984) PIPELINE natural gas and petroleum, potential for damage to Limerick facility from accidents involving; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) and piping supports, adequacy of Diablo Canyon design of; CLI-84-13, 20 NRC 267 (1984) POINT PLEASANT HISTORIC DISTRICT impacts of Limerick facility on, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984) POLICY See Enforcement Policy POLYMERS adequacy of testing of, for low-level radiation damage, LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) onsite emergency AC, need for, for low-power operation, LBP-84-35A, 20 NRC 920 (1984) outage, effectiveness of emergency broadcasting system during, LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 933 (1984) See also Need for Power PREJUDGMENT basis for disqualification on grounds of, ALAB-777, 20 NRC 21 (1984) PREJUDICE dismissal of operating license application with; LBP-84-33, 20 NRC 765 (1984) to parties by Licensing Board review of investigative reports, avoidance of; LBP-84-36, 20 NRC 928 PRESSURE-OPERATED RELIEF VALVES use of, during low-temperature operation and inadequate core cooling conditions, CLI-84-11, 20 NRC 1 (1984) PRIVILEGE attorney-client, applicability of, where conflict exists in attorney-client relationship, LBP-84-50, 20 NRC 1464 (1984) work product, circumstances for overriding; LBP-84-50, 20 NRC 1464 (1984) PROBABILISTIC RISK ANALYSIS need to identify or assess adverse systems interactions as part of; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) PROTECTIVE ORDER use of, to avoid exparte contacts, LBP-84-36, 20 NRC 928 (1984) PSYCHOLOGICAL HEALTH htigability of issue of, LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) PSYCHOLOGICAL STRESS as basis for petition for dismissal of construction permit application with prejudice, LBP-84-43, 20. NRC 1333 1,984) PYROPHORICITY of zircaloy cladding after extended storage: CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 288 (1984) QUALIFICATION(S) environmental and seismic, of emergency feedwater system at TMI-1, upgrading of, DD-84-22, 20 NRC 1033 (1984) of Diablo Canyon operators and shift supervisors, adequacy of, CLI-84-13, 20 NRC 267 (1984) of hydrogen recombiners for Vogile, adequacy of, LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) of QC inspectors at Byron, verification of, LBP-84-41, 20 NRC 1203 (1984) of quality assurance inspectors, proof of, AL. 4-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984) seismic, of equipment at Vogtle, need for reassessment of, LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) seismic, of offsite emergency power sources, need for, LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1343 (1984) See also Environmental Qualification, Financial Qualifications QUALITY ASSURANCE applicability of 10 C F.R. Part 50, Appendix B requirements; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) at Shoreham, adequacy of, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) deficiencies, classification of, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) deficiencies, responsibilities of Boards in examining claims of, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) delegation of responsibility for: ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984)

functions, requirements of persons and organizations performing, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) inspector qualifications, requirements for, ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984) need for removal of Midland licensee from managerial responsibility for: DD-84-17, 20 NRC 226 of construction of Vogile plant, adequacy of program for: LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) of construction, remedial programs to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements for, DD-84-17, 20 NRC 226 (1984) of welding at Limerick, adequacy of, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) program at Byron, effectiveness of, LBP-84-41, 20 NRC 1203 (1984) program at Catawba, acceptability of, DD-84-16, 20 NRC 161 (1984) program, audit requirements for verifying compliance with, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) reinspection program at Byron Station, scope of, ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984) review, scope of, ALAB-188, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) scope of program required for nuclear power plants: ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984) standards for radioactive materials packaging, DD-84-24, 20 NRC 1557 (1984) violations of implementing procedures or manuals as violations of Part 50, Appendix B QUALITY ASSURANCE INSPECTORS at Byron facility, verification of effectiveness of, LBP-84-41, 20 NRC (203 (1984) QUALITY CONTROL of systems relevant to low-power operation, need for assurance of adequacy of, prior to license authorization, LBP-84-30A, 20 NRC 443 (1984) RADIATION electromagnetic, from Vogile transmission lines, health effects of, LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) ionizing, adequacy of Vogele assessment of effects of, LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) monitoring at Big Rock Point Plant, adequacy of, in context of emergency planning, LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984) monitoring during radiological emergency, adequacy of staffing for; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984) risks, sufficiency of information for emergency workers on, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) risks to general public and workers from radiation shine through thinnest wall of expanded spent fuel pool. LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984) RADIATION DOSE calculations for thinnest wall of expanded spent fuel pool; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984) from postulated drop of spent fuel assembly into spent fuel pool; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984) to Philadelphia from postulated severe accidents at Limerick, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) See also ALARA RADIOACTIVE MATERIALS shipments, adequacy of regulations for driver information on: DPRM-84-2, 20 NRC 1563 (1984) RADIOACTIVE RELEASES during movement of mill 12 lings to permanent disposal sites, estimation of, LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1296 (1984) effect of expansion of spent fuel pool on ability of cleanup system to maintain levels of, within licensed limits, LBP-84-52A, 20 NRC 1509 (1984) from TMI-2 accident, adequacy of studies of health effects of; CLI-84-22, 20 NRC 1573 (1984) RADIOACTIVE WASTE high-level, feasibility of safe storage of, in mined geologic repositories, CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 288 RADIOACTIVITY in spent fuel pool water, source of, LBP-84-52A, 20 NRC 1509 (1984) RADIONUCLIDES adequacy of Vogile assessment of potential for release of, LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) RADWASTE DEMINERALIZER extent of use of, to attenuate radiation from expanded spent fuel pool, LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984) REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM use of innovative design in LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984)

damage during accident, ability of Big Rock Point to assess degree of, LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601

REACTOR CORE

isolation cooling system, function and safety classification of, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) See also Core Cooling REACTOR OPERATOR qualifications at Grand Gulf, falsification of, DD-84-21, 20 NRC 788 (1984). REACTOR VESSEL function of safety relief valves in: ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) high water level trip function and safety classification of, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) REACTOR(S) nonpower, chronology of NRC Staff consideration of sabotage at; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 133 (1984) nonpower, levels of protection required for, LBP-84-29 20 NRC 133 (1984) protection systems, adequacy of, for low-power operation of Comanche Peak, LBP-84-30A, 20 NRC 443 (1984) water cleanup system, function and safety classification of, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) RECONSIDERATION of environmental qualification contention, lack of support for petition for; LBP-84-49, 20 NRC 1457 (1984) RECORD(S) burden of satisfying requirements for reopening, ALAB-786, 20 NRC 1087 (1984) newspaper article as basis for reopening, ALAB-786, 20 NRC 1087 (1984) particularity required of material supporting a motion to reopen; ALAB-786, 20 NRC 1087 (1984) quality assurance requirements for traceability of: ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) requirements for successful motion to reopen. ALAB-786, 20 NRC 1087 (1984) standards for reopening, CLI-84-18, 20 NRC 808 (1984) RECUSAL of adjudicatory board member, support required for motion for, ALAB-777, 20 NRC 21 (1984) of Chief Administrative Judge of Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, LBP-84-29A, 20 NRC 185 (1984) requests, timeliness requirements for, CLI-84-20, 20 NRC 1061 (1984) timeliness requirement for motion for: AL AB-777, 20 NRC 21 (1984) REENTRY AND RECOVERY scope of emergency planning requirements for, LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 389 (1984). REFERRAL OF RULING on disqualification motion not addressed to presiding officer or member of licensing board, need for: ALAB-779, 20 NRC 375 (1984) REGULATION(S) applicability of General Design Criteria to low-power operations. LBP-84-35A, 20 NRC 920 (1984) Board authority to invalidate, ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984) Commission, authority of adjudicatory boards to entertain challenges to: ALAB-784, 20 NRC 845 (1984) concerning emergency response to transportation accidents involving radioactive materials, need for amendment of, DPRM-84-2, 20 NRC 1563 (1984) design, showing necessary for exemption from, LBP-84-45, 20 NRC [343 (1984) emergency planning, exceptions to; ALAB-781, 20 NRC 819 (1984) examination of inconsistencies in: LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1343 (1984) health and safety, applicability of, to low-power operating licenses, CLI-84-21, 20 NRC 1437 (1984) precluding consideration of need for power at operating license stage, waiver of, LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) promulgated under Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Protection Act, level of protection afforded by: LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1296 (1984) standard for grant of petition for waiver of, LBP-84-30, 20 NRC 426 (1984) REGULATORY GUIDES use of methods and solutions different from, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) REINSPECTION program for Byron facility, description of, LBP-84-41, 20 NRC 1203 (1984)

```
RELATIVE AGE DATING
  of faults to determine their capability, technical description of, ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984)
 RELOCATION
  of people beyond 10 miles from Limerick during radiological emergency, plausibility of, LBP-84-31,
     20 NRC 446 (1984)
 REMAND
   effect of, on issuance of low-power license, LBP-84-53, 20 NRC 1531 (1984)
   test for determining whether to impose stay pending disposition of; LBP-84-53, 20 NRC 1531 (1984)
REPRESENTATION
   by Applicants' attorneys, of party whose position is adverse to Applicants', LBP-84-50, 20 NRC
     1464 (1984)
   lay, in NRC proceedings, standards for; ALAB-778, 20 NRC 42 (1984)
REPROCESSING
  effect of, on radioactive waste form and waste package, CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 288 (1984)
RESTART
  of TMI Unit 1, denial of motion to defer decision on; CLI-84-22, 20 NRC 1573 (1984)
REVIEW
  appellate, Licensing Board error as cause for, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984)
  appellate, of grounds for trial tribunal's result, ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984)
  appellate, of Licensing Board's factual findings, standard applicable to: ALAB-781, 20 NRC 819
    (1984)
  appellate, sua sponte, scope of, ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984)
  discretionary interlocutory. Licensing Board ruling qualifying for; ALAB-780, 20 NRC 378 (1984)
  ex parte, by Licensing Board of investigative reports, propriety of, LBP-84-36, 20 NRC 928 (1984)
  immediate effectiveness, of Licensing Board initial decision by Commission, ALAB-787, 20 NRC
    1097 (1984)
  independent, of construction, design and management of Catawba, denial of petition for; DD-84-16,
    20 NRC 161 (1984)
  quality assurance, scope of, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984)
RISKS
  accident, content of FES concerning, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984)
  from radiation exposure to emergency workers, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984)
  health and safety, authority of adjudicatory boards to assess; CLI-84-11, 20 NRC 1 (1984)
  of accidents and acts of sabotage at spent fuel storage facilities: CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 288 (1984)
  to public from crash of B-52 bomber into containment housing spent fuel pool; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC
    601 (1984)
  See Probabilistic Risk Analysis
RULEMAKING
  effect of, on adjudication; CLI-84-11, 20 NRC 1 (1984)
  on need to consider complicating effects of earthquakes on emergency planning, focus of:
    CLI-84-12, 20 NRC 249 (1984)
  to amend regulations concerning emergency response to transportation accidents involving
    radioactive materials, denial of petition for, DPRM-84-2, 20 NRC 1563 (1984)
  waste confidence, initiation of: CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 288 (1984)
RULES
  ex parte, meeting to discuss licensing status of plants as violation of, CLI-84-20, 20 NRC 1061
  procedural, discretion of adjudicatory boards to modify; ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984)
RULES OF PRACTICE
  admissibility of contentions filed by applicant for a license amendment; LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1296
  admissibility of contentions in materials license amendment proceeding: ALAB-778, 20 NRC 42
    (1984)
  Appeal Board jurisdiction over motion to reopen on issue where its prior determination amounted to
    final agency action; ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984)
  appeal of grounds for trial tribunal's result; ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984)
```

```
applicability of attorney-client privilege where conflict exists in attorney-client relationship;
  LBP-84-50, 20 NRC 1464 (1984)
burden of satisfying requirements for reopening a closed record; ALAB-786, 20 NRC 1087 (1984)
challenges to stipulations, LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984)
completion of service of documents; LBP-84-54, 20 NRC 1637 (1984)
consideration of NRC personnel matters under 2,206 petitions, DD-84-16, 20 NRC 161 (1984)
criteria applied in passing on stay requests, ALAB-794, 20 NRC 1630 (1984)
criteria used in determining whether to grant stay of agency action, CLI-84-21, 20 NRC 1437 (1984)
denial of motion for stay of agency action; ALAB-789, 20 NRC [443 (1984)
denial of motion for summary disposition, LBP-84-40, 20 NRC 1181 (1984)
detail required of evidence supporting contentions, for admissibility purposes, LBP-84-40A, 20 NRC
dismissal of construction permit application with or without prejudice, LBP-84-43, 20 NRC 1333
   1195 (1984)
   (1984)
effect of a party's failure to brief issues adequately. ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984)
exception to prohibition of interlocutory appeals, ALAB-780, 20 NRC 378 (1984)
factors considered by Appeal Board in deciding whether to exercise directed certification authority;
   ALAB-791, 20 NRC 1579 (1984)
 factors considered in ruling on stay request: ALAB-789, 20 NRC 1443 (1984)
 factors to be considered in deciding whether to grant stay request; CLI-84-17, 20 NRC 801 (1984)
 failure of a party to address standards for directed certification, ALAB-791, 20 NRC 1579 (1984)
 finality of discovery orders for purpose of appeal: ALAB-780, 20 NRC 378 (1984)
 immediately appealable actions; ALAB-778, 20 NRC 42 (1984)
 importance of timeliness of request for stay of agency action, ALAB-789, 20 NRC 1443 (1984)
 institution of show-cause proceedings, ALAE-782, 20 NRC 838 (1984)
 institution of show-cause proceedings on issues being treated generically through rulemaking;
   DD-84-24, 20 NRC 1557 (1984)
 interlocutory appeals of evidentiary rulings; ALAB-791, 20 NRC 1579 (1984)
 issues on appeal. ALAB-**8, 20 NRC 42 (1984)
 jurisdiction over issues that cannot properly be raised in adjudication; ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585
 length of request for stay of agency action, restrictions on; ALAB-794, 20 NRC 1630 (1984)
 licensee response to 2 206 petitions, need for: DD-84-16, 20 NRC 161 (1984)
 Licensing Board error as cause for appellate relief: ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984)
 litigation expense as irreparable injury for purpose of grant of stay request, CLI-84-17, 20 NRC 801
  most important factor applied in determining the need for a stay. ALAB-794, 20 NRC 1630 (1984)
 need for referral of ruling on disqualification motion not addressed to presiding officer or member
    of licensing board, ALAB-779, 20 NRC 375 (1984)
  newspaper article as a basis for reopening a record; ALAB-786, 20 NRC 1087 (1984)
 particularity required of material supporting a motion to reopen a record: ALAB-786, 20 NRC 1087
    (1984)
  particularization of broad contentions: LBP-84-28, 20 NRC 129 (1984)
  penalty for failure to file proposed findings of fact, LBP-84-47, 20 NRC 1405 (1984)
  penalty for failure to file required findings of fact, LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984)
  penalty for party's failure to respond to Board order reactivating a proceeding, LBP-84-54, 20 NRC
     1637 (1984)
  prohibition against ex parte communications, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984)
  prohibition of interlocutors appeal; ALAB-787, 20 NRC 1097 (1984)
  proof of service with all filings with the Commission, need for, ALAB-778, 20 NRC 42 (1984)
  recusal of Chief Administrative Judge of Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, LBP-84-29A, 20
     NRC 385 119841
  reopening of discovery as remedy for misrepresentation by Applicant, LBP-84-56, 20 NRC 1696
  requirements for successful motion to reopen a record, ALAB-786, 20 NRC 1087 (1984)
  responsibilities of lay representatives in NRC proceedings, ALAB-778, 20 NRC 42 (1984)
```

responsibility for determining which NRC Staff personnel testify at hearings, ALAB-786, 20 NRC responsibility of applicant to inform Boards of significant new developments. ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 : . 9841 responsibility of party appearing pro-se to notify secretary of change of address. LBP-84-54, 20 NRC 1637 - 1984+ revocation of construction permits: DD-84-23, 20 NRC 1549 (1984) scope of sua sponte appellate review; ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984) significance of irreparable injury in deciding stay requests, CLI-84-17, 20 NRC 801 (1984) specificity required of 2 206 requests; DD-84-18, 20 NRC 243 (1984) standard for grant of petition for waiver of regulation LBP-84-30, 20 NRC 426 (1984) standards for grant of discretionary interlocutory review of licensing board rating; ALAB-780, 20 NRC 378 (1984) standing to appeal: ALAB-790, 20 NRC 1450 (1984) support required for motions for disqualification of adjudicatory board member, ALAB-777, 20 NRC 21 (1984) test for determining whether to impose a stay pending disposition of a remand, LBP-84-53, 20 NRC 1531 (1984) timeliness requirement for motions for disqualification, ALAB-777, 20 NRC 21 (1984) untimeliness of intervenors in challenging applicant's studies; LBP-84-53, 20 NRC 1531 (1984) use of protective order to avoid ex parte contacts; LBP-84-36, 20 NRC 928 (1984) waiver of exceptions to initial decision that are not briefed on appeal, ALAB-781, 20 NRC 819 (1984) waiver of regulation to permit consideration of need for power issue at operating license stage; LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) weight given to "irreparable harm" factor in ruling on stay requests, ALAB-789, 20 NRC 1443 (1984) work product privilege, circumstances for overriding, LBP-84-50, 20 NRC 1464 (1984) RULINGS evidentiary, interlocutory appeal of, ALAB-791, 20 NRC 1579 (1984) Licensing Board, qualifying for discretionary interlocutory review; ALAB-780, 20 NRC 378 (1984) procedural, showing of prejudice necessary to demonstrate error in: ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) See also Referral of Ruling SABOTAGE at nonpower reactors, chronology of NRC Staff consideration of, LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 133 (1984) of spent fuel storage facilities, risk of: CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 288 (1984) scenarios during transportation of spent fuel, need to consider, DD-84-24, 20 NRC 1557 (1984) SAFE SHUTDOWN EARTHQUAKE at TMI-), emergency feedwater system response following, DD-84-22, 20 NRC 1033 (1984) description of, and means for calculating; ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984) SAFETY findings required by Atomic Energy Act for licensing of nuclear power plant, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) findings required by Atomic Energy Act for nuclear facility operation; DID-84-16, 20 NRC 161 (1984) of dry storage of nuclear wastes, CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 288 (1984) of welding at Byron facility: LBP-84-41, 20 NRC 1203 (1984) See also Health and Safety, Important to Safety SAFETY-GRADE classification of pressure-operated relief valves as, CLI-84-11, 20 NRC 1 (1984) SAFETY-RELATED distinction between "important to safety" and; CLI-84-14, 20 NRC 285 (1984) SAFETY ISSUE(S) A-17 and A-47, need to preclude plant operation pending completion of study of, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) unresolved. NRC Staff coligation regarding: ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984)

SAFETY STANDARDS for low-power testing, comphance with, LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1343 (1984) SAFETY SYSTEMS requirement for studying interactions between; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) SALT releases from Vogtle cooling towers, environmental and agricultural effects of, LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) SECURITY of emergency planning zone during radiological emergency, adequacy of staffing to ensure; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984) See also Physical Security SECURITY ISSUES guidance on litigability of, LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1343 (1984) SECURITY PLAN emergency power sources treated as vital in, LBP-84-45, 20 NRC [343 (1984) SEGMENTATION Staff proposal to license onsite storage of mill tailings as; LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1296 (1984) SEISMIC DESIGN response spectra, site-specific tailoring of; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) SEISMIC REFRACTION as means for determining capability of a fault, adequacy of; ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984) SEISMICITY proximity to region of, as a natural hazard; ALAB-781, 20 NRC 819 (1984) SERVICE need for proof of, with all filings with the Commission; ALAB-778, 20 NRC 42 (1984) SHELTERING during radiological emergency at Wolf Creek, adequacy of facilities and services for; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984) of milk animals during a site emergency, need for plans for; LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 389 (1984) SHIELDING of expanded spent fuel pool, adequacy of: LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984) SHORTNOSE STURGEON impacts of Limerick facility on, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984) SHOW-CAUSE PROCEEDINGS institution of, to amend or revoke a nuclear power plant operating license; ALAB-782, 20 NRC 838 (1984) on issues being treated generically through rulemaking. DD-84-24, 20 NRC 1557 (1984) SINGLE FAILURE CRITERION application of, at Shoreham, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) application of, to multiple separate power sources, LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1343 (1984) SIREN SYSTEMS for alerting public of radiological emergency at Catawba, adequacy of, LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 933 (1984) SITES for mined geologic repositories for radioactive waste storage, identification of; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 288 (1984) SPECIAL NUCLEAR MATERIAL that is exempt from physical security requirements; LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 133 (1984) SPENT FUEL assemblies, need for separate environmental impact statement for transport of; ALAB-790, 20 NRC assembly, impact of postulated drop of, LBP-84-52A, 20 NRC 1509 (1984) environmental aspects of extended storage of, CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 288 (1984) feasibility of safe storage of, in mined geologic repositories; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 288 (1984) safety of dry storage of; Cl.1-84-15, 20 NRC 288 (1984) shipments, construction of dry cask storage facility as alternative to; LBP-84-40A, 20 NRC 1195 (1984)

storage, nonradiological consequences of, CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 288 (1984) stored, with failed cladding, effects of, on capacity of Trojan spent fuel pool cleanup system. LBP-84-52A, 20 NRC 1509 (1984) underwater storage conditions, long-term integrity of, CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 288 (1984) SPENT FUEL ASSEMBLY drop into fuel pool, radiation dose from, LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984) SPENT FUEL POOL effect of expansion of, on ability of cleanup system to maintain radiation levels within licensed limits, LBP-84-52A, 20 NRC 1509 (1984) effect of maximum localized temperatures in: LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984) expanded, adequacy of shielding of, LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984) expansion of emergency planning zone because of increased inventory of; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984) expansion of, with high-density fuel racks; ALAB-790, 20 NRC 1450 (1984) failure of concrete structure of, due to pool boiling during postulated accident; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984) modification, ALARA concerns during, LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984) need to consider Licensee's financial qualifications to operate; DD-84-25, 20 NRC 1703 (1984) possibility of zircaloy/steam reaction in: LBP-84-32, 20 NPC 601 (1984) radiation risks to general public and workers from radiation shine through thinnest wall of, LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984) safety of structure and components of, for extended facility operations for storage of spent fuel in; CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 288 (1984) water level monitors at Big Rock Point, qualification of, for high temperature and humidity, LBP-84-38, 20 NRC 1019 (1984) SPRAY POND potential for destruction of, from missiles from an explosion or cooling tower collapse; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) STANDBY GAS TREATMENT SYSTEM need for, during low-power testing, LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1343 (1984) STANDBY LIQUID CONTROL SYSTEM automated, plants required to have, LBP-84-40, 20 NRC 1181 (1984) function and safety classification of, ALAB-788, 26 NRC 1102 (1984) STANDING to appeal; ALAB-790, 20 NRC 1450 (1984) STAY demal of motion for, because of failure to address criteria of 10 C.F.R. 2.788(e); ALAB-789, 20 NRC 1443 (1984) factors considered in deciding whether to grant request for, CLI-84-17, 20 NRC 801 (1984) factors to be addressed by movants for, CLI-84-13, 20 NRC 267 (1984) most important factor applied in determining need for: ALAB-794, 20 NRC 1630 (1984) of agency action, criteria applied in passing on request for; ALAB-794, 20 NRC 1630 (1984) of agency action, criteria used in determining whether to grant, CLI-84-21, 20 NRC 1437 (1984) of agency action, factors considered in ruling on request for: ALAB-789, 20 NRC 1443 (1984) pending remand, test for determining whether to impose, LBP-84-53, 20 NRC 1531 (1984) restrictions on length of request for; ALAB-794, 20 NRC 1630 (1984) STEAM GENERATOR TUBE(S) adequacy of Vogtie measures for protecting against degradation of, LBP-84-49, 20 NRC 1457 (1984) failures at Vogtle, potential for radiation releases from, LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) repair by kinetic expansion technique; LBP-84-47, 20 NRC 1405 (1984) repaired, at TMI-1, hardness tests on, LBP-84-47, 20 NRC 1405 (1984) STEEL A36 and A307, composition of, LBP-84-56, 20 NRC 1696 (1984) STIPULATIONS challenges to: LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984)

STORAGE

dry, of nuclear wastes, safety of, CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 288 (1984). extended, of spent fuel, environmental aspects of, CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 288 (1984) SUMMARY DISPOSITION denial of motion for, LBP-84-40, 20 NRC 1181 (1984) SUSPENSION of low-power operating license, cause for, ALAB-789 20 NRC 1443 (1984) SYNERGISM between radiation, heat, and oxygen, need for consideration of, at Vogtle Plant, LBP-84-35, 20. NRC 887 (1984) SYSTEMS INTERACTIONS adequacy of Shoreham methodology for analyzing impacts of: LBP-84-53, 20 NRC 1531 (1984) between safety and nonsafety systems, requirements for studying. ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) study for TMI-1, need for, CLI-84-11, 20 NRC 1 (1984) TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS compliance of Grand Gulf surveillance procedures with: DD-84-21, 20 NRC 788 (1984) revision of, to recognize steam generator tube repair technique other than plugging; LBP-84-47, 20 NRC 1405 (1984) TERMINATION of limited appellate jurisdiction over construction permit proceedings; ALAB-783, 20 NRC 843 (1984) TESTING low-power, compliance with safety standards for, LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1343 (1984) methods for components at Vogtle containing polymers, adequacy of: LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) of gas turbines at Shoreham, adequacy of; LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1343 (1984) of passive mechanical valves, requirements for; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) precritical, finding necessary for license authorizing; LBP-84-30A, 20 NRC 443 (1984) TESTS eddy current, at TMI-1, requirements for, LBP-84-47, 20 NRC 1405 (1984) for steam generator tube corrosion at TMI-1: LBP-84-47, 20 NRC 1405 (1984) THERMAL SHOCK effects on Vogtle reactor vessel, denial of contention on, for lack of specificity, LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) TRAINING and experience of emergency planners, litigability of, LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 389 (1984) of Diablo Canyon operators and so-ft supervisors, adequacy of; CLI-84-13, 20 NRC 267 (1984) of individuals for evacuation of schools; LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984) of physicians handling radiation emergencies, need for, LBP-84-29B, 20 NRC 389 (1984). of temporary workers for work on spent fuel pool expansion; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984) programs for personnel with emergency responsibilities, need for finalization of, for operating icense issuance. LBP-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984) TRANSMISSION LINES health effects of electromagnetic radiation from; LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) TRANSPORTATION accident and sabotage scenarios for spent fuel shipments, need to address, DD-84-24, 20 NRC 1557 (1984) accidents involving radioactive materials, adequacy of emergency planning regulations for; DPRM-84-2, 20 NRC 1563 (1984) for contaminated injured during radiological emergency, adequacy of Limerick provision for: LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984) of radioactive materials, need for use of special routes for, DPRM-84-2, 20 NRC 1563 (1984) TURBINE BYPASS SYSTEM function and safety classification of, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984) TURBINES gas, at Shoreham, adequacy of testing of, LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1343 (1984)

```
U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE
  requirements for NRC consultation with: ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984)
URANIUM MILL TAILINGS RADIATION PROTECTION ACT
  level of protection afforded by regulations promulgated under, LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1296 (1984)
VACULM BREAKERS
  description of, and problems associated with: ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984)
VALVES
  motor-operated, reliability of, to control containment pressurization, LBP-84-38, 20 NRC 1019
    (1984)
  passive mechanical, possibility of failure of, at Shoreham, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984)
  safety relief, tests and challenges, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984)
  solenoid, qualification of, at Vogile, LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984)
  See also Pressure-Operated Relief Valves
VENDORS
  adequacy of Catawba quality assurance program for: DD-84-16, 20 NRC 161 (1984)
VIOLATION(S)
  at Diablo Canyon. NRC Staff program for evaluation of allegations of, DD-84-20, 20 NRC 776
    (1984)
  NRC authority to choose a remedy for: DD-84-17, 20 NRC 226 (1984)
  of construction procedures, independent significance of, LBP-84-55, 20 NRC 1646 (1984)
 of ex parte rules, meeting to discuss licensing status of plants as; CLI-84-20, 20 NRC 1061 (1984)
 of interpass temperature limit for welding on stainless steel; LBP-84-52, 20 NRC 1484 (1984)
 of quality assurance implementing procedures or manuals as violations of Part 50, Appendix B
  of welding procedures under foreman direction; LBP-84-52, 20 NRC 1484 (1984)
 quality assurance defining; ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984)
  welding, on nonsafety systems, treatment of, LBP-84-52, 20 NRC 1484 (1984)
WAIVER
 of exceptions to initial decision that are not briefed on appeal; ALAB-781, 20 NRC 819 (1984)
 of regulation to permit consideration of need for power issue at operating license stage; LBP-84-35,
    20 NRC 887 (1984)
 of regulation, standard for grant of petition for; LBP-84-30, 20 NRC 426 (1984)
WASTE PACKAGES
 for long-term storage of radioactive wastes, development of, CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 288 (1984)
WATER
 level monitors, at Big Rock Point, qualification of, for high temperature and humidity, LBP-84-38,
    20 NRC 1019 (1984)
  spent fuel pool, source of radioactivity in: LBP-84-52A, 20 NRC 1509 (1984)
 supplies for Philadelphia, contamination of, from postulated severe accident at Limerick facility;
    LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984)
 See also Cooling Water, Groundwater, Makeup Water System
WATER HAMMER
 mitigation of, at Shoreham, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984)
WEATHER
 bad, time estimates of evacuation during; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984)
  worst case, need to consider in evacuation time estimates; LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 933 (1984)
WELDING
 adequacy of Limerick quality assurance for, LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984)
 downhill and weave, at Comanche Peak, technical discussions of allegations of; LBP-84-55, 20 NRC
    1646 (1984)
 flare-bevel groove, procedures for production of, LBP-84-41, 20 NRC 1203 (1984)
 in violation of procedures, under direction of foreman, LBP-84-52, 20 NRC 1484 (1984)
 of misdrilled holes without appropriate authorization or inspection, allegations of, at Comanche
    Peak: LBP-84-55, 20 NRC 1646 (1984)
 on stainless steel, violations of interpass temperature limit for; LBP-84-52, 20 NRC 1484 (1984)
 quality of, at Byron, LBP-84-41, 20 NRC 1203 (1984)
 use of "hand warm" test to determine adequacy of preheat, LBP-84-55, 20 NRC 1646 (1984)
 violations on nonsafety systems, treatment of, LBP-84-52, 20 NRC 1484 (1984)
```

WELD(S)

in reactor coolant and containment systems of Vogtle, safety of, LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984) repair hold point, inadequate response to Board question on, LBP-84-46, 20 NRC 1403 (1984) rod control violations at Comanche Peak, allegations of, LBP-84-55, 20 NRC 1646 (1984)

WITHDRAWAL

of operating license application without prejudice; LBP-84-51, 20 NRC 1478 (1984) WITNESSES

cause for discounting credibility of, LBP-84-55, 20 NRC 1646 (1984) responsibility for assignment of NRC Staff as, ALAB-786, 20 NRC 1087 (1984)

YELLOWCAKE

analyses of emergency response to transportation accidents involving spills of; DPRM-84-2, 20 NRC 1563 (1984)

ZIRCALOY

pyrophoricity of, after extended storage, CLI-84-15, 20 NRC 288 (1984) reaction with steam | spent fuel pool, possibility of, LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984)

noncontaminated. Catawba plans for preventing contaminated persons from entering, LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 933 (1984)

Sandwich Fault and Plum River Fault, capability of, relative to Byron Station; ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984)

See also Emergency Planning Zone(s), Low Population Zone

BIG ROCK POINT PLANT; Docket No. 50-155

OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT, September 25, 1984; SUPPLEMENTAL INITIAL DECISION: LBP-84-38, 20 NRC 1019 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT: August 29, 1984; INITIAL DECISION; LBP-84-32, 20 NRC 601 (1984)

REQUEST FOR SHOW-CAUSE ORDER: December 3, 1984; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; DD-84-25, 20 NRC 1703 (1984)

BYRON NUCLEAR POWER STATION, Units 1 and 2; Docket Nos. STN 50-454, STN 50-455 OPERATING LICENSE: October 16, 1984; SUPPLEMENTAL INITIAL DECISION; LBP-84-41, 20 NRC 1203 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE, December 20, 1984; DECISION; ALAB-793, 20 NRC 1591 (1984)

CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, Units I and 2: Docket Nos. 50-413, 50-414

OPERATING LICENSE; September 18, 1984, SUPPLEMENTAL PARTIAL INITIAL DECISION ON EMERGENCY PLANNING; LBP-84-37, 20 NRC 933 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE; November 27, 1984; PARTIAL INITIAL DECISION; LBP-84-52, 20 NRC 1484 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE, December 24, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; ALAB-794, 20 NRC 1630 (1984)

FACILITY INDEX

REQUEST FOR ACTION; July 6, 1984, DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2,206, DD-84-16, 20 NRC 161 (1984)

COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, Units 1 and 2; Docket Nos. 50-445, 50-446
OPERATING LICENSE, August 24, 1984; MEMORANDUM; LBP-84-30A, 20 NRC 443 (1984)
OPERATING LICENSE; September 17, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; LBP-84-36, 20
NRC 928 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE, October 25, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; LBP-84-44, 20 NRC 1340 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE; October 29, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; LBP-84-46, 20 NRC 1403 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE: November 2, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER, LBP-84-48, 20 NRC 1455 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE; November 16, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; LBP-84-50, 20 NRC 1464 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE: December 18, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; LBP-84-55, 20 NRC 1646 (1984); LBP-84-56, 20 NRC 1696 (1984)

DIABLO CANYON NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, Unit 1: Docket No. 50-275

REQUEST FOR ACTION, August 20, 1984; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. 6 2,206; DD-84-20, 20 NRC 776 (1984)

DIABLO CANYON NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, Units 1 and 2; Docket Nos. 50-275, 50-323 OPERATING LICENSE; August 10, 1984, DECISION; CLI-84-12, 20 NRC 249 (1984) OPERATING LICENSE; August 10, 1984, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; CLI-84-13, 20

OPERATING LICENSE, August 10, 1984, MEMORANDON AND ORDER, CLI-84-13, 20 NRC 267 (1984) OPERATING LICENSE, August 20, 1984; ORDER, CLI-84-14, 20 NRC 285 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE: September 6, 1984; DECISION, ALAB-781, 20 NRC 819 (1984)
OPERATING LICENSE: September 6, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; ALAB-782, 20
NRC 838 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE; September 12, 1984; ORDER; CLI-84-13A, 20 NRC 283 (1984)

REQUEST FOR ACTION, August 20, 1984, INTERIM DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206, DD-84-19, 20 NRC 773 (1984)

FULTON GENERATING STATION, Units 1 and 2, Docket Nos. 50-463-CP, 50-464-CP (ASLBP No. *6-300-01-CP)

CONSTRUCTION PERMIT, October 23, 1984; INITIAL DECISION; LBP .4-43, 20 NRC 1333

GETR VALLECITOS, Docket No. 50-70-OLR (ASLBP No. 83-481-01-OLR)

OPERATING LICENSE RENEWAL, December 17, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER,

LBP-84-54, 20 NRC 1637 (1984)

GRAND GULF NUCLEAR STATION, Unit 1; Docket No. 50-416

OPERATING LICENSE, October 25, 1984; ORDER; CLI-84-19, 20 NRC 1055 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT, September 28, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; LBP-84-39, 20 NRC 1031 (1984)

REQUEST FOR ACTION: August 31, 1984; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. 6 2 206; DD-84-21, 20 NRC 788 (1984)

HARTSVILLE NUCLEAR PLANT, Units 1A and 2A; Docket Nos. STN 50-518, STN 50-520 CONSTRUCTION PERMIT, September 11, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; ALAB-783, 20 NRC 843 (1984)

LIMERICK GENERATING STATION, Units 1 and 2, Docket Nos. 50-352, 50-353 OPERATING LICENSE: August 29, 1984; SECOND PARTIAL INITIAL DECISION; LBP-84-31, 20 NRC 446 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE. September 26, 1984; DECISION, ALAB-785, 20 NRC 848 (1984)
OPERATING LICENSE. November 5, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; ALAB-789, 20
NRC 143 (1984)

PART 70 LICENSE: July 23, 1984, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER, ALAB-778, 20 NRC 42 (1984)

MIDLAND PLANT, Units 1 and 2: Docket Nos. 50-329, 50-330

REQUEST FOR ACTION, July 24, 1984, DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206;

DD-84-17, 20 NRC 226 (1984)

NORTH ANNA POWER STATION, Units 1 and 2. Docket Nos 50-338-OLA-1, 50-339-OLA-1 (ASLBP No. 83-481-01-LA), Docket Nos 50-338-OLA-2, 50-339-OLA-2 (ASLBP No. 83-482-02-LA)

OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT; October 15, 1984, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; LBP-84-40A, 20 NRC 1195 (1984)

NORTH ANNA POWER STATION, Units 1 and 2: Docket Nos. 50-338-OLA-2, 50-339-OLA-2 OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT, November 20, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER, ALAB-790, 20 NRC 1450 (1984)

PERRY NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, Unit 2, Docket No. 50-441
REQUEST FOR ACTION: November 15, 1984, DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2 206 DD-84-23, 20 NRC 1549 (1984)

PERRY NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, Units 1 and 2; Docket Nos. 50-440-OL, 50-441-OL OPERATING LICENSE, July 26, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER, LBP-84-28, 20 NRC 129 (1984)

OPERATING LICENSE, October 4, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; LBP-84-40, 20 NRC 1181 (1984)

PILGRIM NUCLEAR POWER STATION: Docket No. 50-293
REQUEST FOR ACTION, July 3, 1984, FINAL DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2,206; DD-84-15, 20 NRC 157 (1984)

R E GINNA NECLEAR PLANT, Unit 1. Docket No. 50-244-OLA (ASLBP No. 79-427-07-OLA)

OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT. August 30, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER;

LBP-84-34, 20 NRC 769 (1984)

RIVER BEND STATION, Units 1 and 2, Docket Nos. 50-458-OL, 50-459-OL (ASLBP No. 82-468-01-OL)

OPERATING LICENSE: November 20, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; LBP-84-51, 20 NRC 1478 (1984)

- SHEARON HARRIS NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, Units I and 2, Docket Nos. 50-400, 50-401 (ASLBP No. 82-4*2-03-0L)
 - OPERATING LICENSE. August 3, 1984. FINAL SET OF RULINGS ON ADMISSIBILITY OF OFFSITE EMERGENCY PLANNING CONTENTIONS, RULING ON PETITION FOR WAIVER OF NEED-FOR-POWER RULE, AND NOTICE OF UPCOMING TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL; LBP-84-29B. 20 NRC 389 (1984)
- SHOREHAM NUCLEAR POWER STATION. Unit 1: Docket No. 50-322-OL
 - DISQUALIFICATION: August 1, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; LBP-84-29A, 20 NRC 385 (1984)
 - DISQUALIFIC ATION: August 3, 1984, MEMORANDUM: ALAB-779, 20 NRC 375 (1984) DISQUALIFIC ATION: September 21, 1984, MEMORANDUM; CLI-84-20, 20 NRC 1061 (1984)
 - OPERATING LICENSE, July 20, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; ALAB-777, 20 NRC 21 (1984)
 - OPERATING LICENSE: August 13, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER: LBP-84-30, 20, NRC 426 (1984)
 - OPERATING LICENSE: August 15, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER, ALAB-780, 20 NRC 378 (1984)
 - OPERATING LICENSE, September 5, 1984, ORDER, LBP-84-35A, 20 NRC 920 (1984)
 - OPERATING LICENSE. September 7, 1984; ORDER: CLI-84-16, 20 NRC 799 (1984)
 - OPERATING LICENSE: October 5: 1984: MEMORANDUM AND ORDER, ALAB-787, 20 NRC 1097 (1984)
 - OPERATING LICENSE, October 29, 1984; INITIAL DECISION; LBP-84-45, 20 NRC 1343 (1984)
 - OPERATING LICENSE, October 31, 1984, DECISION, ALAB-788, 20 NRC 1102 (1984)
 - OPERATING LICENSE, November 21, 1984, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER, CLI-84-21, 20 NRC 1437 (1984)
 - OPFICATING LICENSE, November 30, 1984, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER RULING ON REMAND ISSUES, LBP-34-53, 20 NRC 1531 (1984)
- THREE MILE ISLAND NUCLEAR STATION. Unit 1. Docket No. 50-289
 - OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT, October 31, 1984, INITIAL DECISION, LBP-84-47, 20 NRC 1405 (1984)
 - REQUEST FOR ACTION: September 25, 1984, DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. 2, 206; DD-84-22, 20 NRC 1033 (1984)
 - REQUEST FOR LICENSE SUSPENSION. July 27, 1984; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2 206; DD-84-18, 20 NRC 243 (1984)
 - SPECIAL PROCEEDING. July 26, 1984. DECISION, CLI-84-11, 20 NRC 1 (1984)
 - SPECIAL PROCEEDING, September 11, 1984, ORDER; CLI-84-17, 20 NRC 801 (1984); CLI-84-18, 20 NRC 808 (1984)
 - SPECIAL PROCEEDING. December 3, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; ALAB-791, 20 NRC 1579 (1984)
- SPECIAL PROCEEDING. December 13: 1984; ORDER: CLI-84-22; 20 NRC 1573 (1984)
 TROJAN NUCLEAR PLANT. Docket No. 50-344-OLA (ASLBP No. 84-498-05-OLA) (SFP Amendment)
 - OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT: November 28, 1984; INITIAL DECISION, LBP-84-52A, 20 NRC 1509 (1984)
- UCLA RESEARCH REACTOR: Docket No. 50-142-OL
 - FACILITY LICENSE RENEWAL, July 17, 1984; MEMORANDUM, LBP-84-29, 20 NRC 133 (1984)
- VOGTLE ELECTRIC GENERATING PLANT. Units 1 and 2: Docket Nos. 50-424-OL, 50-425-OL (ASLBP No. 84-499-01-OL)
 - OPERATING LICENSE: September 5, 1984, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER, LBP-84-35, 20 NRC 887 (1984)
 - OPERATING LICENSE, November 5, 1984, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER, LBP-84-49, 20 NRC 1457 (1984)

- WATERFORD STEAM ELECTRIC STATION. Unit 3: Docket No. 50-382-OL OPERATING LICENSE. October 2: 1984: MEMORANDUM AND ORDER: ALAB-786. 20 NRC 1087 (1984)
 - OPERATING LICENSE, December 12, 1984; MEMORANDUM, ALAB-792, 20 NRC 1585 (1984)
- WEST CHICAGO RARE EARTHS FACILITY: Docket No. 40-2061-ML (ASLBP No. 83-495-01-ML)
 MATERIALS LICENSE AMENDMENT; October 19, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER;
 LBP-84-42, 20 NRC 1296 (1984)
- WILLIAM H. ZIMMER NUCLEAR POWER STATION, Unit 1: Docket No. 50-358-OL (ASLBP No. 76-317-01-OL)
 - WITHDRAWAL OF OPERATING LICENSE APPLICATION, August 29, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER, LBP-84-33, 20 NRC 765 (1984)
- WOLF CREEK GENERATING STATION, Unit 1; Docket No. 50-482-OL OPERATING LICENSE, July 2, 1984; INITIAL DECISION, L8P-84-26, 20 NRC 53 (1984) OPERATING LICENSE, July 26, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER, LBP-84-27, 20 NRC
- OPERATING LICENSE: September 13, 1984; DECISION; ALAB-784, 20 NRC 845 (1984)
 YELLOW CREEK NUCLEAR PLANT, Units 1 and 4; Docket Nos. STN 50-566, STN 50-567
 CONSTRUCTION PERMIT; September 11, 1984; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER;
 ALAB-783, 20 NRC 843 (1984)