(\$-83)									API	CLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION PPROVED OMS NO. 3150-0104 XPIRES: 8/31/86													
FACILITY	NAME (1		-		_					-	_				Te	OCKET	NUM	BER (2)		Т	PAC	E (3)
PACILITY	NAME II		arin	n Nuc	clear	r Po	we	r Sta	ation	Uni	t N	lo.	1		- 11					191	3	1 OF	012
TITLE (4)		-	-					Test		Ь.													
EVE	NT DATE	(8)	_		ER NUM	BER (5)		RE	PORT DA	ATE (7	,		01	HER	FACILI	TIES I	WVOLV	(ED (B)				
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEA	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION				REVISION	MONTH	DAY	DAY YE		FACILITY NAMES			1	DOCKET NUMBER(S)						
								- Incamper										-	0 15	101	01	0	
0 2	211	8 5	81	5 -	q) 5	-	0 0	0 3	2 2	8	5		15.1					0 5	101	0 1	0 1	LL
OPE	RATING		THIS	REPORT	15 BUB	MITTE	D PUR	REUANT	TO THE R	EQUIRE	MENT	OF 10	CFR 8: 10	Check one or	mare o	of the fo	//awin	-	_				
MODE (9) N				20.405(a)(1)(f) 20.405(a)(1)(H) 20.405(a)(1)(H) 20.405(a)(1)(H) 20.405(a)(1)(Iv) 20.405(a)(1)(v)				20.405(c) 50.36(c)(1) 50.35(c)(2) 50.73(c)(2)(i) 60.73(c)(2)(ii) 50.73(c)(2)(iii)				90.73(a)(2)(w) 50.73(a)(2)(vii) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B) 80.73(a)(2)(x)				73.71(a) 73.71(c) OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form 366A)							
								L	ICENSEE	CONTAC	CT FO	R THIS	LER (12)										
NAME	0-					· c	oni	on D	lant	Engi	noc	n				AR	EA CO	-	ELEPHO	ONE NL	MBE	R	
	Pat	11 J.	. Ha	ımılt	on	- 3	emi	UI r	Tanc	Liigi	IIIC	_					-		714	16.1	-1	719	1010
			_		COMP	LETE	ONE	INE FOR	EACH C	OMPONE	NT FA	ILURE	DESCRIBE	D IN THIS R	EPOR	T (13)			_		_		
CAUSE	SYSTEM	СОМР	ONEN	NEN MANUFAC- TURER			REPORTABLE TO NPROS					CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT		MANUFAC- TURER			REPORTABLE TO NPROS		1	•	
	1	1	1 1		1.1	1									1		1	_					
						1									1			_		1	L		
		_			SUP	PLEME	NTAL	REPORT	EXPECT	ED (14)						1		ECTED		MON	ТН	DAY	YEAR
YES (If yee, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-space type					X NO						DATE (15)			-1		-1-	-1-						

On 2/21/85, the Rod Block Monitor Functional and Calibration surveillance tests required by the Technical Specifications (T.S.) were identified as having exceeded the required test interval.

Cause was due to non-licensed, utility personnel error which was influenced by a misinterpretation of surveillance report information and the complexity of starting up from a 13-month refueling and recirculation pipe replacement outage.

Corrective action was to perform the tests and counsel personnel.

This event did not impact the health and safety of the public.

8504030108 850322 PDR ADOCK 05000293 S PDR TESO

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIO APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104

EAPINES: 8/31/85									
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)						
Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station		YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER							
Unit No. 1	0 5 0 0 0 2 9 3	815 - 01015 - 010	012 0 012						

and NRC Form 3864's (17)

On 2/21/85, during a review of surveillance tracking reports, it was determined that the Rod Block Monitor Functional and Calibration surveillance tests had not been performed as required by the Technical Specifications (T.S.). Reactor power level was approximately 100% at the time of discovery.

Both tests were performed immediately, but should have been done in mid-January 1985 when a reactor power level of 30% (required by procedure for completion of the tests) was achieved after starting up from Refueling Outage No. 6.

The problem occurred when the responsible person did not utilize all three reports issued by the surveillance tracking system. Instead, priority was put on one report, a list of tests within the +25% allowable time frame. The two tests had been incorrectly listed as overdue and appeared on another report.

Investigation determined that both tests had been rescheduled for startup since it was impossible to complete the tests during the refueling outage. Normally, this would have been appropriate. However, the plant was brought critical on 12/24/84, but 30% power was not achieved until mid-January 1985, due to complex testing required as a result of the recirculation piping replacement. This unusually long time frame between startup and 30% power resulted in the two surveillance tests being incorrectly categorized as overdue and prevented them from being listed on the priority report at the appropriate time.

Corrective action was to initiate a review of the remaining overdue tests. T.S. tests were identified. In addition, the responsible individual now realizes the importance of all three reports issued by the surveillance tracking program.

This event did not impact the health and safety of the public.

A previous LER, 84-003, describes a similar occurrence.

BOSTON EDISON COMPANY

800 BOYLSTON STREET BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02199

WILLIAM D. HARRINGTON BENIDR VICE PRESIDENT NUCLEAR

> March 22, 1985 BECo Ltr. #85-059

Document Control Desk U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

> Docket Number 50-293 License D∂R-35

Dear Sir:

The attached Licensee Event Report 85-005-00, "Missed Surveillance Test," is hereby submitted in accordance with the requirements of 10CFR50.73.

If there are any questions on this subject, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Respectfully submitted,

W. D. Harrington

PH:caw

Enclosure: LER 85-005-00

cc: Dr. Thomas E. Murley

Regional Administrator, Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

631 Park Avenue

King of Prussia, PA 19406

Standard BECo LER Distribution

TELL