THE CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY
WESTERN MASSACHUSETTS ELECTRIC COMPANY
HOLVOKE WATER OWER COMPANY
NORTHEAST UTILITIES SERVICE COMPANY
NORTHEAST UTILITIES SERVICE COMPANY

General Offices . Selden Street, Berlin, Connecticut

P.O. BOX 270 HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT 06141-0270 (203) 666-6911

March 22, 1985

Docket No. 50-423 B11490

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Mr. B. J. Youngblood, Chief Licensing Branch No. 1 Division of Licensing U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Reference:

B. J. Youngblood to W. G. Counsil, Safety Evaluation Report (SER) Related to the Operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 3 (NUREG-1031), dated July, 1984.

Dear Mr. Youngblood:

Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 3 Responses to SER Confirmatory Item

Enclosed is Northeast Nuclear Energy Company's response to SER Confirmatory Item 15 concerning thermal-hydraulic analysis to support N-1 loop operation. This response should fully resolve the Staff's concern regarding this item.

If you have any questions, please contact our licensing representative directly.

Very truly yours,

NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY COMPANY et. al.

BY NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY COMPANY Their Agent

& Counsil

W. G. Counsil

Senior Vice President

9504010013 850322 PDR ADOCK 05000423

1300

STATE OF CONNECTICUT)
) ss. Berlin
COUNTY OF HARTFORD)

Then personally appeared before me W. G. Counsil, who being duly sworn, did state that he is Senior Vice President of Northeast Nuclear Energy Company, an Applicant herein, that he is authorized to execute and file the foregoing information in the name and on behalf of the Applicants herein and that the statements contained in said information are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.

My Commission Expires March 31, 1988

Arraini J. Almico Notary Public

Millstone Unit No. 3 Confirmatory Items Core Performance Branch

SER 15 - Thermal-Hydraulic Analyses to Support N-1 Loop Operation

In a letter dated April 9, 1984, the applicant expressed the intent to operate in the N-1 mode. However, the applicant has not yet provided core thermal-hydraulic analyses taking into account the effect of partial loop operation on core inlet flow distribution and minimum DNBR or Technical Specifications including the appropriate provisions to ensure that this type of operation is within acceptable limits.

Resolution of this issue will be included in a supplement to this SER.


Response (3/85)

The core inlet flow distribution criteria used in the THINC analyses (DNBR evaluation) are based on 1/7 scale hydraulic reactor model tests (References I and 2). THINC analyses using this data have indicated that a conservative design basis is to consider a five percent (5%) reduction in the flow of the hot assembly. Studies made with the improved THINC model (Reference 3) show that it is appropriate to use the 5% reduction in inlet flow to the hot assembly for one loop out of service based on the experimental data in Reference I and 2.


The inlet flow distributions (from Ref. 2) for three and four loops in operation have been provided for comparison.

References

- G. Hestroni, "Hydraulic Tests of the San Onofre Reactor Model," WCAP-3269-8, June, 1964.
- 2. G. Hestroni, "Studies of the Connecticut Yankee Hydraulic Model," WCAP-2761, June, 1965.
- 3. L. E. Hochreiter, "Application of the THINC IV Program to PWR Design," WCAP-8054, October, 1973 (proprietary), and WCAP-8195 October, 1973 (non-proprietary).



Normalized flow distribution with four loops operating without the dummy instrumentation tubes.



Normalized flow distribution with three loops operating (equal flows), without dummy instrumentation tubes.