

303



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DOCKETED  
USNRC

'85 MAR 29 P3:57

March 29, 1985  
OFFICE OF SECRETARY  
DOCKETING & SERVICE  
BRANCH

Memorandum for: TMI-1 Service List  
From: Mary E. Wagner  
Counsel for NRC Staff

~~DOCK~~ BY *SO-289 SA*  
~~PROD. & C. I. & C.~~

Enclosed please find Attachment 1 to "NRC Staff's Answer To The Aamodts' Motions to Address False Statements In Response to Aamodt Motion of January 15, 1985," March 28, 1985. The Attachment was inadvertently omitted from the Staff's pleading when filed. We regret any inconvenience this may have caused you.

*Mary E. Wagner*  
Mary E. Wagner  
Counsel for NRC Staff

8504010001 850329  
PDR ADOCK 05000289  
PDR  
G

*DS07*

1 Q March 27, same answer?

2 A We have always said if they had known that the core  
3 was -- if the core had been uncovered and they couldn't get it  
4 covered again, we would have evacuated people with no questions  
5 asked. But we felt if we knew the core was uncovered, they  
6 would have known the core was uncovered, and they could have  
7 gotten it covered again. It just doesn't seem reasonable to me  
8 that they knew the core was uncovered and didn't do something  
9 about covering it.

10 Q Can you recall any other information which should have  
11 been passed on to yourself or NRP on March 28, but was not?

12 A No. I really don't know how much they knew on  
13 March 28th.

14 BY MR. GAMBLE:

15 Q I have one thing, Mr. Gerusky. It is something  
16 more about the dose rate in Goldsboro. Do you know of any  
17 subsequent recollections, if not by your office, maybe by PEMA  
18 or anyone, as to the events that occurred during that early  
19 time, the first reports of the calculated dose rates, the first  
20 readings? Has anybody written any reports or recollections on  
21 that?

22 A I don't understand your question.

Q I'm talking about the --

A Did anybody get anything in between the time?

No, no, no. Subsequent, days, weeks, months later, did

1 anyone recapture the recollections of that time, review when  
2 you received the reports, and when you received the subsequent  
3 actual readings?

4 A Yeah. Well, the PEMA duty officer -- as a matter of  
5 fact, I believe in their testimony that they gave to one of  
6 the committees, gave the sequence, the time sequence of when  
7 the telephone calls were made, and when they received information  
8 for us to call to notify York County, and then when they received  
9 the -- the actual time they received the information from us.  
10 They are used to recording it on emergency log sheets. So PEMA,  
11 I'm sure, had the information.

12 There was one thing that surprised me early. I was  
13 sure that -- and I think some place in the letter I was sure  
14 there was a state police helicopter there taking people across  
15 the river. The state police records indicate that their  
16 helicopter didn't get down there until much later than I had  
17 anticipated; their records indicated something like 9:00 o'clock  
18 before the state police helicopter got there, and I was sure  
19 this all happened before 9:00 o'clock. But it's very possible  
20 it didn't. Maybe that timeframe was all condensed and things  
21 were happening so fast the time really went by and I didn't  
22 realize it.

23 MR. MOSELEY: That concludes our questioning for  
24 this time, Mr. Gerusky.

(Whereupon, at 2:40 p.m., the interview was  
adjourned.)

\* \* \* \*