PACILITY NAME (1)       DOCKET NUMBER (2)       PACE T2         Washington Nuclear Plant - Unit 2       0'5 0 0 0 3 9 7 1 0 F0         TTLE (#)       TEchnical Specification Valve Closing Times Not Met         EVENT DATE (#)       LER NUMBER (#)       REPORT DATE (7)       OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (#)         MONTH       DAY       YEAR       PACILITY NAME       OCKET NUMBER (#)         MANNE       DAY       YEAR       PACILITY NAMER       DOTALITY NAMER (#)	NRC Form 9-83)	366							agenet. et a	LIC	ENSI	EE EVE	INT RE	PORT	(LER	)		U	AP EX	PRO	R REGI	ULATO	31 50-0	104	
Washington Nuclear Plant - Unit 2       0'15 0 0 0 13 19 17 1 0F 0         TERE IM         Technical Specification Valve Closing Times Not Met         EVENt call         MONTH Dar VEAN VEAN         MONTH Dar VEAN VEAN         OCIS 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	ACILITY	NAME	(1)							-			-				DOCK	ET NUI	ABER	2)			PA	GEIS	
TITLE (4)           Technical Specification Valve Closing Times Not Met           EVENT DATE (8)         OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)           OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)           ONTH DAY YEAR         MONTH DAY YEAR         OCKET NUMBER (8)           OCKET NUMBER (8)         OCKET NUMBER (8)         OCKET NUMBER (8)           OCKET NUMBER (8)         OCKET NUMBER (8)         OCKET NUMBER (8)           OCKET NUMBER (8)         OCKET NUMBER (8)           OCKET NUMBER (8)         OCKET NUMBER (8)           OCKET NUMBER (8)         OCKET NUMBER (8)           OCKET NUMBER (8)         OCKET NUMBER (8)           OCKET NUMBER (8)         OCKET NUMBER (8)           OCKET NUMBER (8)         OCKET NUMBER (8)           OCKET NUMBER (8)           OCKET NUMER (8)           OCKET NUMBER (8)           OCKET NUMBER (8)           OCKET NUMER (8)           OCKET NUMER (8)           OCKET NUMER (8)           OCKET NUMER (8)           OCKET	Wash	ningt	ton	Nu	clea	rP	lant	- U	Init	2							0.1	5   0	101	0	3 19	17	1 0	FOI	
Technical Specification Valve Closing Times Not Met           EVENT DATE IN         OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED IN           EVENT DATE IN         OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED IN           EVENT DATE IN         OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED IN           POWER         OCCLET NUMBER IS           OPTER FACILITIES INVOLVED IN           OPTER FACILITIES INVOLVED INFORMATION IN FACILITIES INVOLVED INTO INFORMATION IN FACILITIES INVOLVED INFORMATION INFORMATION IN FACILITIES INVOLVED INFORMATION INFO	TITLE (4)	1																							
EVENT DATE (8)         LER NUMBER (8)         ARPORT DATE (7)         OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)           MONTH         DAY         YEAR         YEAR         PROVENT AT (7)         OTHER FACILITY NAMES         DOCKET NUMBER(5)           MONTH         DAY         YEAR         YEAR         PROVENT AT (7)         OTHER FACILITY NAMES         DOCKET NUMBER(5)           0         9         1         9         8         4         1         0         0         1         1         2         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         0	Tech	nica	1 :	Spe	cifi	cat	ion V	alv	e C	105	ing	Times	Not M	let											
NONTH         Day         YEAR         YEAR         NUMBER         NONTH         Day         YEAR         ACULITY NAMES         DOCLET NUMBERISI           0 9 1 9 8 4         4         1 0 0 0 0 1         0         1         1         21         16         4         0         5         0         0         0         1         0         5         0         0         0         1         0         1         1         21         16         4         0         5         0         0         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         0         1         0	EVE	INT DAT	E (8)	-		L	ER NUMBE	A (6)			R	PORT DA	TE (7)			OTHE	R FACIL	TIES	INVOL	VED	(8)				
O         9         1         9         4         8         4         1         0         0         1         1         2         1         1         2         1         1         2         1         1         2         1         1         2         1         1         2         1         1         2         1         1         2         1         1         2         1         1         2         1 <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""></th1<></th1<></th1<></th1<>	MONTH	DAY	YE	AR	YEAR	T	SEQUENT	ALT	R	MBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR		FAC	ILITY N	AMES			poc	KET NU	MBER	(\$)		
OI         9         1         9         4         8         4         1         0         0         1         1         2         1         1         2         1         1         2         1			1			-	10.000	1	-		1	1								0	5 0	10	0	11	
O         9         1         9         8         4         1         0         0         1         1         2         1         1         2         1         1         2         1 <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""></th1<></th1<></th1<></th1<>					1.			1																	
OPERATING MODE (B)         THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR §: (Onec on a or more of the following) (11)         73.71(b)           POWER (10)         20.402(b)         20.406(a)(110)         50.36(a)(2)         50.73(a)(2)(b)         50.73(a)(2)(b)         73.71(b)           POWER (10)         01.5         5         20.406(a)(110)         50.36(a)(2)         50.73(a)(2)(b)	0 9	1 9	8	4	8 4		10	0	0	1	1	21	84							0 1	510	10	101	11	
MODE (#)         10         20         402(b)         20	OPE	RATING			THIS R	LPORT	15 SUBMI	TTED	PURS	UANT	TO THE	REQUIREM	ENTS OF 1	O CFR §: /	Check on	e or mor	e of the	foilow	ng) (11)	1					
POMER         20.405(a)(1)(i)         80.35(a)(1)(i)         80.35(a)(2)(i)         80.73(a)(2)(ii)         72.71(a)           CEVEL         0.15.5         20.405(a)(1)(ii)         50.35(a)(2)(i)         50.73(a)(2)(iii)         36.73(a)(2)(iii)         36.73(a)(2)(iiii)         36.73(a)(2)(iiii)         36.73(a)(2	MC	HOE (8)		1	20	403(8	<b>1</b>			-	20.406(c) 50.73(a)(2)(iv)									73.71(b)					
Lite         Socialization         Socialization <td>POWE</td> <td>A</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>21</td> <td colspan="3">20.406(a)(1)(i)</td> <td colspan="3">50.36(e)(1) 50</td> <td colspan="3">50.73(a)(2)(v)</td> <td>-</td> <td colspan="4">73,71(e)</td>	POWE	A			21	20.406(a)(1)(i)			50.36(e)(1) 50			50.73(a)(2)(v)			-	73,71(e)									
20.406(a)(1)(iii)         X         50.73(a)(2)(ii)         50.73(a)(2)(iii)         30.73(a)(2)(iii)         30.73(a)(2)(a)	(10)	- 10	)15	15	2	0.4061	12572568			_	50.36	e)(Z)		-	50.73	(a)(2)(vii)			-	_	OTHE	and in	City in Al Text, NA	C Form	
20.406(a)(1)(iv)         80.73(a)(2)(iv)         80.73(a)(2)(iv)           20.406(a)(1)(v)         80.73(a)(2)(iv)         80.73(a)(2)(iv)           LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)           TELEPHONE NUMBER           AREA CODE           R. L. Koenigs, Compliance Engineer           COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILUAE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)         Ext. 2279           CAUSE SYSTEM         COMPONENT         MANUFAC         REPORTABLE           CAUSE SYSTEM         COMPONENT         MANUFAC         REPORTABLE           SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14):         ExpECTED         MONTH         MONTH         DAY           YEE If complement EXPECTED (14):         ExpECTED         MONTH         DAY           YEE If complement EXPECTED (14):           SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14):         EXPECTED           YEE If complement EXPECTED (14):         EXPECTED         MONTH         DAY           YEE If complement EXPECTED (14):         EXPECTED         MONTH         DAY <th colspan<="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2</td><td>40614</td><td>(1)(1)(36)</td><td></td><td></td><td>X</td><td>50.73</td><td>a)(2)(i)</td><td></td><td></td><td>50.73</td><td>(a)(2)(viii</td><td>1(A)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>366A/</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th>	<td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2</td> <td>40614</td> <td>(1)(1)(36)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>X</td> <td>50.73</td> <td>a)(2)(i)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>50.73</td> <td>(a)(2)(viii</td> <td>1(A)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>366A/</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					2	40614	(1)(1)(36)			X	50.73	a)(2)(i)			50.73	(a)(2)(viii	1(A)				366A/			
20 408(#)(11(#)         50.73(#)(2)(#)         50.73(#)(2)(#)           LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)         TELEPHONE NUMBER           AREA CODE         TELEPHONE NUMBER           CAUSE         SU 01 91 31 7.71 - 1 21 51 0.1           COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)         EXt. 2279           CAUSE         SYSTEM         COMPONENT           MANUFAC         REPORTABLE         CAUSE           TURER         TO NPRDS         CAUSE           SUSPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)         EXPECTED           SUSPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)         EXPECTED           SUSPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)         EXPECTED           VES (// vis compleme EXPECTED SUGMISSION CATE)         X NO					2	0.4064	(*i(*)iiv)				60.73	*)(2)(=)		-	50.73	(a) (Z) (viii	)(8)								
LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER [12]         TELEPHONE NUMBER         AREA CODE         R. L. Koenigs, Compliance Engineer         COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)       Ext. 2279         CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC TURER       REPORTABLE TO NPROS         CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC TURER       REPORTABLE TO NPROS         A A D - HI S IV BI3I5 0 N         SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)         EXPECTED SUBMISSION CATE/         YO         MONTH DAY YEA         YO         ABSTRACT LOTIO 1400 (2000) 120 (120 (2000) 120 (120 (2000) 120 (120 (120 (120 (120 (120 (120 (120					2	0 406 1	#)(*)(¥)				80.73	a)(2)(iii)			50.73	(a)(Z)(x)				_					
NAME     TELEPHONE NUMBER       R. L. Koenigs, Compliance Engineer     5101931771-12510       complete one Line for Each component Pailure Described in this REPORT (13)     Ext. 2279       cause system     component     Manufac       TURER     TO NPRDS     cause system     component       A A D - II S IV BI315 0     N     I       SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED 1140       Expected 1140       Expected 1140       Expected 1140       Expected 1140       Expected 1140       Expected 50/8MISSION CATE/       YES (drum complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION CATE)     NO       NO     NO     I											ICENSE	CONTACT	FOR THIS	LER (12)									**		
R. L. Koenigs, Compliance Engineer       5101931771-12510         COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)         CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC TO NPRDS         MANUFAC TO NPRDS         CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC TO NPRDS         MANUFAC TO NPRDS         CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC TO NPRDS         MANUFAC TO NPRDS         A ALD - HIS IV BI315   O         SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED 1140         EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE!         YEE (If yes complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE!	NAME																-	BEA C	ODET	ELE	PHONE	NUME	EH		
R. L. KOENTIGS, COMPTENDED ENGINEER       DUT SILATE 2100         COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)       Ext. 2279         CAUSE SYSTEM       COMPONENT       MANUFAC       REPORTABLE       CAUSE SYSTEM       COMPONENT       MANUFAC       REPORTABLE       TO NPRDS         A       A       D - II S IV BI3I5ION       N       I	0 1	V		iac	Co	mol	ianco	5.0	ain	000							ſ	FLO	10	21		71	2 5	101	
CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC TURER REPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC TURER TO NPROS	R. L	. NI	Jen	195	, 00	mpi	COMPLE	TEO	NELI	NE FOF	EACH	OMPONEN	T FAILURE	DESCRIBE	D IN TH	IS REPO	AT (13)	F	v t	22	79		_ <u>A</u> =	uur-	
CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT TURER TO NPROS A A D - II S IV BI315 0 N SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14) YES (If vit) cumplem EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE/ YES (If vit) cumplem EXPE			1			1.		1			1		1	1	1		T	ANIE	A 344	6.	OFTA	1			
A     A     D     - II S IV BI3 5 0 N       BUDPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)       EXPECTED SUBMISSION DAY       VES (If vm. cumpleme EXPECTED SUBMISSION DAYE)     NO       VES (If vm. cumpleme EXPECTED SUBMISSION DAYE)     NO	CAUSE	SYSTEM	1 0	OMPS	NENT	1	TURER	Ē	TON	PRDS			CAUSE	SYSTEM	COM	PONENT		TURE	R	T	O NPRC	S			
A     A     D     - II S     V     B 3 5 0     N       SUDPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)       EXPECTED       VES (If vit) cumpleme EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)       YES (If vit) cumpleme EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)     NO     DATE (15)     1       VES (If vit) cumpleme EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)     NO     DATE (15)     1     1						1														Т					
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)     EXPECTED SUBMISSION DAY       YES (If yes sumpleme EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)     NO       YES (If yes sumpleme EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)     NO	A	AC	) -	I	SIV	B	13151	0		N			1	11-		11		1	1	+		-			
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14) VES (//ves complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) VES (//ves complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) ABSTRACTS 400 (Deces e approx ate, free unperspace typewritten unes (16)			1			1																			
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)  EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE  YES (If vm complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)  ABSTRACT 400 (pace , e approx,		1	1	1	1	-	1.1.1	1					1			11	-		1	1		1			
YES (If ver. cumplete EXPECTED SUBMISSION GATE)     X     NO       ABSTRACT     Date (15)     1							SUOPL	MEN	TAL	EPORT	EXPECT	ED (14)					-	EX	ECTE	2	MO	DNTH	DAY	YEAR	
ABSTRACT 400 paces a approx ata, freen ungle-space typewritten lines (16)	YES	And the second	cumpi	+74 E)	PECTE	suar	vission a	n TE)				X NC						DA	TE 115			1	1		
	ABSTRAC	T	51.14	00 104	c#1 #	\$00.00	#18.y 1.6	947 L	100-11-10	ece typ	ewritten 5	net: (16)												1.1	

Following an apparent failure of two isolation valves to meet the closing time criteria of a surveillance test, previous surveillance tests of these isolation valves were reviewed. It was found that the closing time criteria was not satisfied or noted as unacceptable on one previous test. At the time of discovery, recent changes to Plant Technical Specifications made the observed values acceptable.

Further review identified six additional surveillance procedures which had not been performed in accordance with Technical Specification time requirements.

B412030525 B41121 PDR ADOCK 05000397 PDR 5

LICENSEE	EVENT	REPORT	(LER)	TEXT	CONTINUATION
----------	-------	--------	-------	------	--------------

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

EXPIRES 8/31/85

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)
	한 김 김 김 씨는 것 같아.	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION	
Washington Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	0 15 10 10 10 13 19 1	7 814 - 1 10 1 0 - 01 1	01200001

Plant Conditions

a) Power Level - 55%

b) Plant Mode - 1

#### Event

Two Reactor Recirculation (RRC) system primary containment valves (RRC-V-16A and RRC-V-16B, control rod drive seal purge to RRC pumps) failed to meet the specified maximum closing time during a 9/11/84 surveillance test.

This generated a review of the Technical Specification (T.S.) requirements and previous test data. The following observations were made during this review.

- The Technical Specification was changed by an August 1984 ammendment such that observed closing times were now acceptable.
- o This surveillance test had been previously performed on 6/6/84 and the valves also failed to meet specified closing times. The surveillance procedure review cycle did not note this failure, Plant operation continued without any corrective action being taken and RRC-V-16A and -16B were not declared inoperable. This was technically not in compliance with Technical Specifications. However, the valves were functional during the entire period and met the amended T.S. 3.6.3 closing time requirement.

#### Immediate Corrective Action

A procedure deviation was processed to bring the acceptance criteria of surveillance procedure 7.4.0.5.9 into agreement with T.S. Table 3.6.3-1.

### Further Corrective Action

A further review of available data revealed:

o These particular valves had not been tested during the period of time from 12/25/83 to 6/5/84. The reason for this is twofold. 1) In November, 1983, Plant personnel identified the absence of RRC-V-16A and -16B from surveillance procedure 7.4.0.5.9. A procedure revision was initiated at that time and incorporated on 2/9/84. This was after the surveillance was performed on 1/27/84. 2) In June of 1984 it was discovered that the Scheduled Maintenance System (SMS) did not automatically schedule performance of cold shutdown surveillances for the Operations Department. Thus procedure 7.4.0.5.9, which is required to be done on a quarterly basis in cold shutdown, was not performed between 1/27/84 and 6/6/84.

LICENSEE EVENT REP	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION								GULATORY COMMISSION OMB NO 3150-0104 /31/85				
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	1	LEP	NUMBE	R (8)			T	Pi		3:		
		YEAR		NUME	ER		NUMBER						
Washington Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	0 15 0 0 0 3 9 7	8 14	_	101	10		011	0	13	OF	0	4	

TEXT (If more apace is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

The June SMS review identified a total of 6 additional surveillance procedures which were not being scheduled for performance by SMS during cold shutdown (CSD) conditions. This was in violation of T.S. 4.0.5. These surveillance procedures were immediately performed and satisfactory results obtained. SMS was modified and these surveillances are all now scheduled for quarterly performance, identified as requiring cold shutdown and are tracked until completion. These surveillances are not required on a rigid 90 day, or quarterly, basis. ASME code specifies that 1) when the duration between CSD periods exceeds 3 months, the valves are to be stroked when the next CSD period is attained, and 2) when the interval between CSD periods is less than 3 months, no full stroke valve tests are required.

It has been verified that all valves listed in T.S. Table 3.6.3-1 are included in Plant procedures such that surveillance test requirements are identified by plant surveillance procedures. As stated above, these procedures are currently being scheduled on a quarterly basis. In addition, PPM 1.5.1 will be changed to indicate how the CSD frequency surveillance requirements are being evaluated for performance. PPM 3.2.1, Normal Shutdown to Cold Shutdown, has been changed such that each CSD identified surveillance is evaluated for current completion status during the shutdown process.

When the SMS scheduling of CSD surveillances was modified in June, it was not recognized that any surveillance had been missed, no record search was conducted at that time. Thus no LER report was generated at that time.

In November, 1983, when RRC-V-16A and -16B were identified as missing from the surveillance procedure, WNP-2 had not yet received its operating license. There was no need for an immediate procedure change and primary containment integrity was not required until the week of April 10, 1984. The current system for procedure deviations and revisions is judged adequate to preclude having long time delays in the revision process leading to non-compliance with T.S. surveillance requirements. Plant personnel associated with surveillance procedures are aware that procedure "deviations" are processed with minimal delay and should be used in situations such as this.

It was also noted that the August 1984 T.S. ammendment was initiated in January, 1984. This provided for new closing time requirements for RRC-V-16A and -16B, since they could not meet existing closure requirements. Operations personnel did not recognize this hardware limitation and thus did not take the T.S. required corrective action.

The Plant now has a position of Compliance Engineer. One function of this position is to review T.S. change requests for impact on Plant operations such that this breakdown in communication should not occur in the future.

NRC FORM 3864

0

LICENSEE EVENT REP	ORT (LER) TEXT CONTINU	UATION	U.S. NUCLEAR RE APPROVED EXPIRES 8/	LEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION PROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 PIRES 8/31/85						
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	T	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)						
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL MEVISION NUMBER NUMBER							
Washington Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	0 15 0 10 0 319 7	814	- 11010- 011	0 4 0 0 0 4						

o A further review of procedure 7.4.0.5.9 noted that the closing time requirement for RRC-V-16A and -16B were not identified as T.S. limits. Upon issuance of the August 1984 T.S. ammendment, procedure 7.4.0.5.9 was evaluated for effect. 7.4.0.5.9 was judged to require no change since it was thought that the procedure closing time requirement for RRC-V-16A and -16B was based on a more stringent ASME requirement. A notation will be included in 7.4.0.5.9 indicating that these times are T.S. limits.

 A letter was issued to all Department Managers which reinforces the legal requirements associated with T.S. surveillance procedure performance.

## Safety Significance

TEXT (If more search is required, use additional MRC form MRA's) (17)

ANSI N271-1976, Containment Isolation Provisions for Fluid Systems, which is referenced by Regulatory Guide 1.141, states that for valves 3" in diameter or less, valve closure times provided by standard commercial valve operators are adequate to limit radioactivity release from the containment. The rationale behind this statement is that the release of contaminants from failed fuel, post-LOCA, does not occur mechanistically until something on the order of one minute. RRC-V-16A and -16B are 3/4" gate valves and were operational during the entire period with closing times well below the currently allowed 15 seconds.

The remaining five procedures which were not being scheduled for cold shutdown by SMS identified applicable CSD testing for various valves. The valves in these procedures were tested, as a minimum, in the Dec. 83/Jan. 84 time period and in June of 1984. The closing times, in all cases, were acceptable initially and again in June. It can therefore be concluded that these valves were operating within T.S. required times during this entire period.

There were no safety consequences associated with this event. Although WNP-2 was technically not in compliance with T.S. requirements for 1) the frequency of testing certain valves between December 1983 and June 1984 and 2) specific closing times of RRC-V-16A and -16B, there was no hazard created for either Plant personnel or the public. All valves were operational within T.S. required times, with the exception of RRC-V-16A and -16B which were within presently specified T.S. limits. RRC-V-16A and -16B are motor-operated valves which receive no automatic close signals.

# Washington Public Power Supply System

P.O. Box 968 3000 George Washington Way Richland, Washington 99352 (509) 372-5000

Docket No. 50-397

November 21, 1984

Document Control Desk U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. . 20555

Subject: NUCLEAR PLANT NO. 2 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT NO. 84-100-01

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith is Licensee Event Report No. 84-100-01 for WNP-2 Plant. This report provides supplemental information to LER 84-100 and provides additional information on actions taken to preclude recurrence.

Very truly yours,

J. D. Martin (M/D 927M) WNP-2 Plant Manager

JDM:mm

Enclosure: Licensee Event Report No. 84-100-01

cc: Mr. John B. Martin, NRC - Region V Mr. A. D. Toth, NRC - Site (901A) Ms. Dottie Sherman, ANI INPO Records Center - Atlanta, GA

(£22