Mr. C. Lance Terry Group Vice President, Nuclear TU Electric Energy Plaza 1601 Bryan Street, 12th Floor Dallas, TX 75201-3411

SUBJECT: EVALUATION OF THE FIRST 10-YEAR INTERVAL INSPECTION REQUESTS FOR

RELIEF FOR COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2

(TAC NOS. M93333 AND M93334)

Dear Mr. Terry:

The NRC staff, with technical assistance from its contractor, the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL), reviewed and evaluated the information provided by Texas Utilities Electric in its letters dated March 6, 1995 (Unit 2), and July 27, 1995 (Unit 1), related to the first 10-year interval inservice inspection program plan requests for relief for the Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2.

The NRC staff's evaluation and conclusions are contained in the enclosed Safety Evaluation (SE). Based on the information submitted, the staff adopts its contractor's conclusions and recommendations as presented in the attachment to the enclosure, the Technical Letter Report. Therefore, relief is granted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(6)(i) for Relief Requests B-6 (Rev. 1) and B-8 for Unit 1, and B-1, B-2, and C-1 for Unit 2. With respect to Relief Request B-7 (Rev. 1), the staff has determined that revised information is not significant, and does not disturb the approval of Relief Request B-7 in an SE, dated February 2, 1995. Thus, the relief remains granted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(6)(i).

The relief granted is authorized by law, will not endanger life, property, or the common defense and security and is otherwise in the public interest, giving due consideration to the burden upon the licensee that could result if the requirements were imposed on the facility.

> Sincerely. ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:

William D. Beckner, Director Project Directorate IV-1 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-445 and 50-446

Enclosure: Safety Evaluation

cc w/encl: See next page

9601030373 951228 PDR ADDCK 05000445 PDR

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File PUBLIC WRussell. RSpessard JRoe PNoonan(2) G. Hill (2) ACRS JDyer, RIV OGC

PDIV-1 r/f **FMiraglia** RZimmerman WBeckner TPolich (2) EJordan JMitchell

T. McLellan

Document Name: G:\CP93333.LTR \*See previous concurrence

OFC	LA/PD4-1	PM/PD4-1-08	OGC*	PD4-1 0B	
NAME	PNoonan !	TPolich:sw	MYoung	WBeckner	
DATE	12/28/95	(2.28/95	12/22/95	12/28/95	
COPY	(YES) NO	YES/NO	YES/NO	YES/NO	_(

030059



## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

December 28, 1995

Mr. C. Lance Terry Group Vice President, Nuclear TU Electric Energy Plaza 1601 Bryan Street, 12th Floor Dallas, TX 75201-3411

SUBJECT: EVALUATION OF THE FIRST 10-YEAR INTERVAL INSPECTION REQUESTS FOR

RELIEF FOR COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2

(TAC NOS. 493333 AND M93334)

Dear Mr. Terry:

The NRC staff, with technical assistance from its contractor, the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL), reviewed and evaluated the information provided by Texas Utilities Electric in its letters dated March 6, 1995 (Unit 2), and July 27, 1995 (Unit 1), related to the first 10-year interval inservice inspection program plan requests for relief for the Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2.

The NRC staff's evaluation and conclusions are contained in the enclosed Safety Evaluation (SE). Based on the information submitted, the staff adopts its contractor's conclusions and recommendations as presented in the attachment to the enclosure, the Technical Letter Report. Therefore, relief is granted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(6)(i) for Relief Requests B-6 (Rev. 1) and B-8 for Unit 1, and B-1, B-2, and C-1 for Unit 2. With respect to Relief Request B-7 (Rev. 1), the staff has determined that revised information is not significant, amd does not disturb the approval of Relief Request B-7 in an SE, dated February 2, 1995. Thus, the relief remains granted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(6)(i).

The relief gramted is authorized by law, will not endanger life, property, or the common defense and security and is otherwise in the public interest, giving due consideration to the burden upon the licensee that could result if the requirements were imposed on the facility.

Sincerely,

William D. Beckner, Director Project Directorate IV-1

William D. Becken

Project Directorate IV-1 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-445 and 50-446

Enclosure: Safety Evaluation

cc w/encl: See next page

Mr. C. Lance Terry TU Electric Company

cc: Senior Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P. O. Box 1029 Granbury, TX 76048

Regional Administrator, Region IV U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, TX 76011

Mrs. Juanita Ellis, President Citizens Association for Sound Energy 1426 South Polk Dallas, TX 75224

Mr. Roger D. Walker, Manager Regulatory Affairs for Nuclear Engineering Organization Texas Utilities Electric Company 1601 Bryan Street, 12th Floor Dallas, TX 75201-3411

Texas Utilities Electric Company c/o Bethesda Licensing 3 Metro Center, Suite 610 Bethesda, MD 20814

George L. Edgar, Esq. Morgan, Lewis & Bockius 1800 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5869 Comanche Peak, Units 1 and 2

Honorable Dale McPherson County Judge P. O. Box 851 Glen Rose, TX 76043

Office of the Governor
ATTN: Susan Rieff, Director
Environmental Policy
P. O. Box 12428
Austin, TX 78711

Arthur C. Tate, Director Division of Compliance & Inspection Bureau of Radiation Control Texas Department of Health 1100 West 49th Street Austin, TX 78756-3189