IRC Form	384			LIC	ENSEE E	VENT RE	PORT	(LER)			ATORY COMMISSIO NO. 3150-0104 6
ACILITY									DOCKET NUMBER	(2)	PAGE (3)
	lle C	ounty Sta	ation Unit	2					0 5 0 0	10137	4 1 OF 0
ADDM	Utau	i Scram									
	NT DATE		LER NUMBER I		REPORT	DATE (7)		OTHER	FACILITIES INVO	.VED (8)	
AONTH DAY YEAR YEAR SEQUENTIAL AEVISION			MONTH DAY YEAR FACILITY NA				WES	DOCKET NUM	OCKET NUMBERIS		
									0 5 0 0 0 1		
10	27	8 4 8 4	071	00	113	684				0 15 10	0 0 1
	ATING DE IN	1	EPORT IS SUBMITTE	D PURSUANT 1		REMENTS OF 1	O CFR & I		of the following) (1	+	
POWER LEVEL (10)	1	20).402(b)).406(a)(1)(i)).408(a)(1)(ii)	=	20.405(c) 60.36(c)(1) 60.36(c)(2)		×	50.73(a)(2)(iv) 50.73(a)(2)(v) 50.73(a)(2)(vii)			(Specify in Abstract
		20	.406(a)(1)(iii)		60.73(a)(2)(i)			50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)	below a 366A/	nd in Text, NRC For
).405(a)(1)(iv)		60.73(a)(2)(ii	1		80.73(a)/2)(vili)(
		20).406(a)(1)(v)		50.73(a)(2)(ii		1.5.5 (12)	50.73(a)(2)(x)		1	
NAME					CENSEE CONT	TACT FOR THIS	SEA (12)		1	TELEPHONE N	UMBER
									AREA CODE	1	
Ja	mes J	. Hietala					1.1.1		8115	315 17	- 6 7 6
			1	1	EACH COMPO	NENT FAILURI	DESCRIBE	D IN THIS REPOR	T	1	1
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC. TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS		CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC TURER	REPORTABI	
Х	ALD	XIFIMIR	S101512	Y		x	AID	ICIBI	51951	2 Y	
Х	ALD	XIXIXIX	S101512	Y			1	LIFE	1111		
			SUPPLEME	NTAL REPORT	EXPECTED II	4)			EXPECT	ED MO	NTH DAY YE
VE	111	Unniate EXPECTE	D SUBMISSION DATE		h.	NO			SUBMISS DATE (10N 15)	
On ("Hi- caus Flow (sto the	Detob -Hi" sed b w Con eady prob	er 27, 1 flux sig y a vess trol Val state) wi lem was	984, at 04 nal on Rea el level t ve lost it hen the sc transient on feedbac	50, Uni ctor Pr ransien s posit ram occ in natu	t 2 scr otectio t, whic ion fee urred. re, the	ammed du n System h occurn dback an All sys problem	n chan red wh nd rar stems n coul	nels A an nen the "h nped open responded ld not be	nd B. Th B" Reacto . The Un i as requ isolated	e flux s r Recirc it was s ired. 1 to one	signal was culation at 99% pow Because compon-
	BPS	4120101 DR ADOCI	23 84112 K 050003 PI	574 DR							

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 8/31/85

ACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)		
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		
LaSalle County Station Unit 2	0 15 10 10 10 1 317 14	814	-017 p	- 010	0 2 OF	9

I. EVENT DESCRIPTION

On October 27, 1984, at 0450, Unit 2 scrammed due to an Average Power Range Monitor (IG) "HI-HI" flux signal on Reactor Protection System (JC) channels A and B. Just prior to the event, a transient in vessel level occurred, due to the "B" Reactor Recirculation (AD) Flow Control Valve (FCV) losing its position feedback and ramping open. All systems operated as expected after the "Hi-Hi" flux signals occurred.

II. CAUSE

The source for the event was an erroneous feedback signal of the "B" Reactor Recirculation Loop, Flow Control Valve position. The feedback signal is provided by a Linear Variable Differential Transformer (LVDT), fed through a cable to a signal conditioner. Because the erroneous signal was of a transitory nature, the cause could not be verified to any one of the possible components: LVDT, cable, or signal conditioner. The LVDT and signal conditioner are manufactured by Schaevitz.

The plant was at 99% power (steady state) when the event occurred. The alarm typer was used to verify the initial cause of the event. No Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) response was required, and none occurred. Primary Containment Isolation System (PCIS) Group 6 and 7 valves were closed prior to the event. No PCIS (JM) response was required, and none occurred. The Reactor Protection System operated as required during the event.

III. PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES OF THE OCCURRENCE

All systems operated as designed. Minimum Reactor Level was -35 inches; minimum Reactor pressure was 860 pounds; maximum pressure after the decrease was 920 pounds. Safe plant operating conditions were maintained at all times.

IV. CORRECTIVE ACTION

Investigation of the possible components for failure did not reveal the component at fault. The components were all checked and found to work satisfactorily after the event. Therefore, the LVDT, the cable from the LVDT to a drywell junction box, and the signal conditioner were all replaced, per Werk Request L43044.

V. PREVIOUS OCCURRENCES

There have been previous operational problems with the position feedback circuit, however, this is the first time a scram has occurred due to the feedback circuit ... problems.

IC Form Dean							GULATORY COMMISSION OMB NO 3150-0104 /31/85		
FACILITY NAME (1)		DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3			
			-	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	NUMPER				
LaSalle County Station Unit 2		0 15 10 10 10 13 17 14	814	- 01711	_ 010	013 OF	01		

VI. NAME AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF PREPARER

James J. Hietala, 815/357-6761, extension 499.



•

Commonwealth Edison LaSalle County Nuclear Station Rural Route #1, Box 220 Marseilles, Illinois 61341 Telephone 815/357-6761

November 26, 1984

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Sir:

Reportable Occurrence Report #84-071-00, Docket #050-374 is being submitted to your office in accordance with 10CFR 50.73.

Dudiet Go J. Diederich "hapy

Superintendent LaSalle County Station

GJD/MLD/kg

Enclosure

xc: NRC, Regional Director INPO-Records Center File/NRC

I.E22 '/1