INT. EXH. 76A TAPE #246 DATE: 8-13-90 GPC/NECUSMACSION

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

1.9

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Segement 1 (NRC Staff Insert)

'95 OCT 20 P5:18

Tr. 8-11 [Static.] OFFICE OF SECRETARY DOCKETING & SERVICE BRANCH

[Inaudible voices, background noise.]

BOCKHOLD: On each one of these previews that we have, and I guess I have seventeen entries when I wrote down as fast as I could write them, you need a leader. That leader has to have certain specific responsibilities. The one goal is the responsibility of the leader. On each issue needs to, you know, summarize the issue but then for detail write down what the issue is in the inspector's mind, establish the NRC's tentative position on the issue, then establish our position on the issue, if it's different than the NRC. Okay?

If we might change a position that we took in the past, and it becomes reportable, okay, we have to obviously make the reports that we would make.

If we disagree with their position, and as long as we do not have to immediately manipulate controls of the plant, we can disagree with their position. If we are in a condition in the plant where we might have to manipulate controls where its different from their position, that needs to be immediately brought to my attention. It -- what we have physically done is in the past is the NRC has identified an issue to us as required to control manipulations, let me take an example, a, the hydrogen monitors. We went ahead and temporarily changed the procedure last week, so we would not specifically manipulate controls counter to their

NUCLEAR REGU! ATORY COMMISSION

Docket No. 50-424/425-OLA-3 EXHIBIT NO. I Go

In the matter of Georgia Power Co. et al., Vogtle Units 1 & 2

Staff Applicant Intervenor Other

Identified Received Reporter Sp

Date 9/12/95 Witness

position, although our position, is and probably remains, that we can test the hydrogen monitoring system the way previously we have tested it, and that is desirable and technically correct.

So, again, let me summarize.

We need to know the NRC's position. It needs to be in writing. You as the leader would have to put it in writing. Okay. And then we need to put our position in writing, and the facts that the NRC has and the facts that we have, to make sure that the issue is real clear. And I think, with the flavor of this team, and with the flavor of interviews, we all have to realize that each one of these is a potential enforcement item, escalated enforcement potential, and each one is a potential OI investigation item.

And there's nothing different here than any way we normally talk to the NRC. You know, we're always truthful and honest. Tell the truth I always say. And that's what you've got to do. The thing you need to be real careful with, on these items, is speculation. You always need to be real careful with speculation. But sometimes the NRC will ask you and you'll want to answer, and you won't be real sure. Make sure you say I think, I'm not really sure. I believe its this way, you know, and this is what my belief is. Make sure you use those words, because otherwise you get yourself in trouble.

Does anybody want to add anything to the general stuff? Tom?

GREENE: My suggestion was give each person somebody to go with them and write them up. As soon as they have chance, they've got an interview with the inspector. So don't, you've got to do

this [inaudible]. Once you think you have an understanding of where he's coming from and you've explained our position to him, 2 you need in that discussion to see -- ask him to [inaudible], put 3 him a little bit on the other side of the table [inaudible] questions to find out how deep [inaudible], how strong they are, 5 you know, why they feel that way. Don't be hesitant to asking some 6 hard questions while you're talking about it to get to the bottom 7 of it. Once we have that down in writing [inaudible], you need to come to me and George and we'll go through it piece by piece and 9 make sure we understand exactly where we are. 10

VOICE: George, if the NRC asks that we not [inaudible] make phone calls first [inaudible].

BOCKHOLD: Yes, well, they obviously have to coordinate, but we need to get started today. Okay. And we need to have NRC's position down pretty much today, if we can, so we can get our position down. And then we'll have an opportunity to change their mind or at least to clarify what the positions are so we know what we're going to fight on and what we're going to give in on.

Okay. Let's go through the list. And there's a lot of things that would fall on Jim Swartzwelder's shoulders and for obvious reasons I need to spread the workload around so it doesn't fall on Jim Swartzwelder's shoulders. I need some help [inaudible].

[INAUDIBLE VOICES]

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

26

24 SWARTZWELDER: [Inaudible] That's fine. I don't have any 25 trouble with that. Okay.

BOCKHOLD: Let's start out with the diesel generator starts in

- the LERs. My reaction to that, and Tom and Jim and anybody else,
- chime in, is I would give that to you, George Frederick. You
- 3 probably get involved in a lot in the PRB, you were out here the
- 4 weekend that I was getting prepared to give the presentation.
- 5 Okay. That kind of stuff.
- 6 FREDERICK: I'll interface with them. I think we'll be
- 7 [persuasive] on the [inaudible].
- 8 BOCKHOLD: Okay. Let me ask the question, where's the
- 9 transparency?
- 10 FREDERICK: Where the numbers come from.
- BOCKHOLD: Well, where do the numbers come from? Jimmy Paul
- 12 Cash is the inswer, okay?
- 13 FREDERICK: Okay.
- 14 [Pause]
- BOCKHOLD: Well, along that line, that interview, you know,
- 16 you should, you should know if there is any other technical issues
- 17 out there.
- 18 FREDERICK: Okay.
- 19 VOICE: [Inaudible]
- 20 BOCKHOLD: Yes, we counted them seven different ways
- 21 [inaudible] position [inaudible] in the final revised LER, and
- 22 hopefully that is correct. We've got a QA report that reports it,
- 23 you know, is correct -- as good as the sequence of events, you
- 24 can't answer that, they have to talk to the people involved.

1 Segment 2 2 Tr. 16-17

Again, a word of caution, a word of, you know, BOCKHOLD: 3 we're trying to get our attorneys and that type of stuff and, but, 4 tell them the truth. Okay. Be real careful with that. Don't 5 speculate. Speculation's when you get really in trouble. You 6 don't, you know, you start thinking it could be this and could be 7 that. I know you've all heard my words before. But needless to 8 say, I've been to too many of these already, okay, and especially 9 with the OI people, they will put you, try to push you all over the 10 place. 11

VOICE: They will badger you, they will really badger you.

12 VOICE: They will badger you, they
13 BOCKHOLD: They will badger you and try to push you all over
14 the place. Be honest and don't speculate. If you don't know, just
15 say "don't know," "don't remember", too bad. That's what you know
16 or you remember.

17

[[]penlant1] wpdocs\tlp\license.pro\tapestip.nrc\246-ex.76a

INT. EXH. 76A TAPE #246 DATE: 8-13-90 GPC/NEC Version

95 OCT 20 P4:25

Segement 1 (NRC Staff Insert)

Tr. 8-11

[Static.]

[Inaudible voices, background noise.]

BOCKHOLD: On each one of these previews that we have, and I guess I have seventeen entries when I wrote down as fast as I could write them, you need a leader. That leader has to have certain specific responsibilities. The one goal is the responsibility of the leader. On each issue needs to, you know, summarize the issue but then for detail write down what the issue is in the inspector's mind, establish the NRC's tentative position on the issue, then establish our position on the issue, if it's different than the NRC. Okay?

If we might change a position that we took in the past, and it becomes reportable, okay, we have to obviously make the reports that we would make.

If we disagree with their position, and as long as we do not have to immediately manipulate controls of the plant, we can disagree with their position. If we are in a condition in the plant where we might have to manipulate controls where its different from their position, that needs to be immediately brought to my attention. It -- what we have physically done is in the past is the NRC has identified an issue to us as required to control manipulations, let me take an example, a, the hydrogen monitors. We went ahead and temporarily changed the procedure last week, so we would not specifically manipulate controls counter to their

NUCLEAR REGULATO	DRY COMMISSION
Docket No. 50-424/425-OLA-3	EXHIBITNO. IF 67
n the matter of Georgia Power Co. et	al., Vogtle Units 1 & 2
Staff Applicant Intervenor	
☐ Identified ☐ Reject	
Date 9 21 95 Witness	

position, although our position, is and probably remains, that we can test the hydrogen monitoring system the way previously we have tested it, and that is desirable and technically correct.

So, again, let me summarize.

We need to know the NRC's position. It needs to be in writing. You as the leader would have to put it in writing. Okay. And then we need to put our position in writing, and the facts that the NRC has and the facts that we have, to make sure that the issue is real clear. And I think, with the flavor of this team, and with the flavor of interviews, we all have to realize that each one of these is a potential enforcement item, escalated enforcement potential, and each one is a potential OI investigation item.

And there's nothing different here than any way we normally talk to the NRC. You know, we're always truthful and honest. Tell the truth I always say. And that's what you've got to do. The thing you need to be real careful with, on these items, is speculation. You always need to be real careful with speculation. But sometimes the NRC will ask you and you'll want to answer, and you won't be real sure. Make sure you say I think, I'm not really sure. I believe its this way, you know, and this is what my belief is. Make sure you use those words, because otherwise you get yourself in trouble.

Does anybody want to add anything to the general stuff? Tom?

GREENE: My suggestion was give each person somebody to go with them and write them up. As soon as they have chance, they've got an interview with the inspector. So don't, you've got to do

this [inaudible]. Once you think you have an understanding of 1 where he's coming from and you've explained our position to him, 2 you need in that discussion to see -- ask him to [inaudible], put 3 him a little bit on the other side of the table [inaudible] questions to find out how deep [inaudible], how strong they are, 5 you know, why they feel that way. Don't be hesitant to asking some 6 hard questions while you're talking about it to get to the bottom of it. Once we have that down in writing [inaudible], you need to 8 come to me and George and we'll go through it piece by piece and 9 make sure we understand exactly where we are. 10

VOICE: George, if the NRC asks that we not [inaudible] make phone calls first [inaudible].

BOCKHOLD: Yes, well, they obviously have to coordinate, but we need to get started today. Okay. And we need to have NRC's position down pretty much today, if we can, so we can get our position down. And then we'll have an opportunity to change their mind or at least to clarify what the positions are so we know what we're going to fight on and what we're going to give in on.

Okay. Let's go through the list. And there's a lot of things that would fall on Jim Swartzwelder's shoulders and for obvious reasons I need to spread the workload around so it doesn't fall on Jim Swartzwelder's shoulders. I need some help [inaudible].

[INAUDIBLE VOICES]

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

26

24 SWARTZWELDER: [Inaudible] That's fine. I don't have any 25 trouble with that. Okay.

BOCKHOLD: Let's start out with the diesel generator starts in

- the LERs. My reaction to that, and Tom and Jim and anybody else,
- 2 chime in, is I would give that to you, George Frederick. You
- 3 probably get involved in a lot in the PRB, you were out here the
- 4 weekend that I was getting prepared to give the presentation.
- 5 Okay. That kind of stuff.
- 6 FREDERICK: I'll interface with them. I think we'll be
- 7 [persuasive] on the [inaudible].
- BOCKHOLD: Okay. Let me ask the question, where's the
- 9 transparency?
- 10 FREDERICK: Where the numbers come from.
- 11 BOCKHOLD: Well, where do the numbers come from? Jimmy Paul
- 12 Cash is the answer, okay?
- 13 FREDERICK: Okay.
- 14 [Pause]
- BOCKHOLD: Well, along that line, that interview, you know,
- 16 you should, you should know if there is any other technical issues
- 17 out there.
- 18 FREDERICK: Okay.
- 19 VOICE: [Inaudible]
- 20 BOCKHOLD: Yes, we counted them seven different ways
- 21 [inaudible] position [inaudible] in the final revised LER, and
- 22 hopefully that is correct. We've got a QA report that reports it,
- 23 you know, is correct -- as good as the sequence of events, you
- 24 can't answer that, they have to talk to the people involved.

Segment 2 Tr. 16-17

BOCKHOLD: Again, a word of caution, a word of, you know, we're trying to get our attorneys and that type of stuff and, but, tell them the truth. Okay. Be real careful with that. Don't speculate. Speculation's when you get really in trouble. You don't, you know, you start thinking it could be this and could be that. I know you've all heard my words before. But needless to say, I've been to too many of these already, okay, and especially with the OI people, they will put you, try to push you all over the place.

VOICE: They will badger you, they will really badger you.

BOCKHOLD: They will badger you and try to push you all over the place. Be honest and don't speculate. If you don't know, just say "don't know," "don't remember", too bad. That's what you know or you remember.

pen arti wpdocs/tlp/license.pro/tapestip.nrc/246-ex.76a