

RECEIVED

Arizona Nuclear Power Project

P.O. BOX 52034 . PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85072-2034 81 NOV 19 FK 1:11

November 15, 1984 ANPP-31128-TDS/TRB

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region V 1450 Maria Lane - Suite 210 Walnut Creek, California 94596-5368

Attention: Mr. D. F. Kirsch

Subject: Final Report - DER 84-55 A 50.55(e) Reportable Condition Relating To Unqualified Foxboro Modules. File: 84-019-026; D.4.33.2

Reference: A) Telephone Conversation between P. Narbut and T. Bradish on August 17, 1984

- B) ANPP-30536, dated September 17, 1984 (Interim Report)
- C) ANPP-30872, dated October 17, 1984 (Time Extension)
- D) ANPP-31052, dated November 5, 1984 (Time Extension)

Dear Sir:

Attached is our final written report of the Reportable Deficiency under 10CFR50.55(e) referenced above.

Very truly yours,

E. E. Van Brunt, Jr. APS Vice President Nuclear Production ANPP Project Director

EEVB/TRB/nj Attachment

cc: See Page Two

B412010037 B41115 PDR ADDCK 05000528 PDR ADDCK 05000528

05000528

Mr. D. F. Kirsch DER 84-55 Page Two

cc:

Richard DeYoung, Director Office of Inspection and Enforcement U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

T. G. Woods, Jr. D. B. Karner W. E. Ide D. B. Fasnacht A. C. Rogers L. A. Souza D. E. Fowler T. D. Shriver C. N. Russo B. S. Kaplan J. R. Bynum J. M. Allen A. C. Gehr W. J. Stubblefield W. G. Bingham R. L. Patterson R. W. Welcher H. D. Foster D. R. Hawkinson R. P. Zimmerman L. Clyde M. Woods T. J. Bloom D. N. Stover J. D. Houchen J. E. Kirby D. Canady

Records Center Institute of Nuclear Power Operations 1100 Circle 75 Parkway, Suite 1500 Atlanta, GA 30339

FINAL REPORT - DER 84-55 DEFICIENCY EVALUATION 50.55(e) ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY (APS) PVNGS UNIT 1

I. Description of Deficiency

As a result of an inventory investigation performed by the startup engineers against the Combustion Engineering (C-E) serial number list, IEC-84-675, there appeared to be four (4) Foxboro modules, (serial numbers 3647589, 3647581, 4712336, and 4712337) installed in Unit 2 instead of Unit 1, and a total of six (6) Foxboro modules are installed in Unit 2 which were not on the C-E serial number list. This raised the suspicion that some of the Foxboro modules have been commingled, i.e., some non-Class IE modules have been installed in Class IE cabinets.

Evaluation

This problem was evaluated by investigating: 1) the identification systems used by Foxboro; 2) the actual conditions at the site; and 3) evaluation of material control procedures and practices including quality-related procurement activities.

 Foxboro has supplied Spec. 200 instrumentation to PVNGS under several purchase orders including C-E, Bechtel JM-111, subsuppliers through Zurn Industries (Auxiliary Steam Supply) and Water Reclamation Facility (WRF).

When initial deliveries were being made to PVNGS, Foxboro had two categories of instruments, qualified (Quality Class Q) and nonqualified (non-Quality Class Q). They differentiated between the two by marking the qualified units with serial numbers for traceability and by not marking with serial numbers the nonqualified units. Unit 1 modules were Q Class and WRF modules were non-Q.

Later, Foxboro recognized a requirement for instrumentation which had to maintain structural integrity, but was not required to function during a DBE. At this time, Foxboro initiated another program for identification of qualified equipments; Class I, Class II (structural integrity only) and nonqualified instrumentation.

The Class I instrumentation is rully qualified environmentally for operation during and after a DBE. These units are each identified with a serial number and a classification code number CS-N/SRC. The Class II instrumentation is qualified to maintain only structural integrity during a DBE. These units are each identified with a serial number and a classification code number CS-N/SRD. Nonqualified instrumentation have neither the CS-N/SRC nor the CS-N/SRD code numbers and are not stamped with serial numbers. Equipment deliveries from Foxboro for Units 2 and 3 are in the latter category. Final Report DER 84-55 Page Two

> All Spec. 200 instrumentation classified by Foxboro as Class I and Class II are fabricated in accordance with the same QA procedures. The only difference is the documentation supporting the qualification of a given module. In fact, Class II modules can be upgraded to Class I by Foxboro such that a given serialized module can have the supporting qualification documentation backup to qualify it for Class IE service.

- As the second part of the problem evaluation, investigations were initiated and as a result of the investigations completed to date, it is concluded that material control procedures were not adequately implemented.
- The third facet of the evaluation is covered in detail in DER 83-73.

Summary

This evaluation indicates that the root cause to these conditions is due to inadequate implementation of material control procedures which resulted in commingled modules.

II. Analysis of Safety Implications

This condition is evaluated as safety significant. Commingled modules could result in failure of safety-related systems under a DBE.

This condition is evaluated as reportable under 10CFR50.55(e) since it represents a significant breakdown in the Quality Assurance Program. This condition is evaluated as not reportable under 10CFR Part 21 since the affected cabinets had not been released to the client for use in operations.

III. Corrective Action

A. Remedial Action

Final disposition of NCRs SJ-4607 and SM-4778 requires investigation to determine the full extent of the problem in Units 1 and 2. The modules that were originally shipped for use in non-class IE cabinets and are installed in QIE cabinets will be documented and replaced with modules intended for IE service. Additionally, the non-nuclear grade modules installed in nuclear service cabinets, Class QIE or otherwise, will be removed and each will be replaced with the correct modules as required. This action will also be documented on the applicable NCRs. Since Unit 3 is still in the construction phase, any nonconformance will be addressed by a Nonconformance Report (NCR) with specific reference to this Deficiency Evaluation Report. Final Report DER 84-55 Page Three

B. Action to Freclude Recurrence

To address the root cause generically and to ensure that proper material control procedures and practices are implemented, Construction, Startup, and APS Maintenance have issued and implemented procedures for more direct control of materials.

All the above organizations are currently reviewing and monitoring these procedures to ensure that adequate material control requirements are implemented. Procedural changes are being made and training is being conducted on an on-going basis to ensure proper control and implementation.

Further detailed action to preclude recurrence is contained in the Corrective Action of DER 83-73.