

office

FEB 28 1985

Florida Power Corporation
ATTN: Mr. W. S. Wilgus
Vice President Nuclear Operations
P. O. Box 14042, M.A.C. H-2
St. Petersburg, FL 33733

Gentlemen:

SUBJECT: MEETING SUMMARY - CRYSTAL RIVER 3, DOCKET NO. 50-302

This refers to the management meeting conducted at your request in the NRC Region II office on February 1, 1985. The meeting was held to discuss the actions you have taken/planned in response to the NRC findings during a recent training assessment at Crystal River 3. The details of the meeting are provided in Enclosure 1. A list of attendees at the meeting is shown in Enclosure 2.

It is our opinion that this meeting was beneficial in that it provided us with additional information concerning several areas in which findings were made during the training assessment, as well as enabling you to ensure that planned corrective actions are appropriately directed.

In accordance with Section 2.790 of NRC's "Rules of Practice", Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, a copy of this letter and enclosures will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room.

Should you have any questions concerning these matters, we will be pleased to discuss them.

Sincerely,

Original Signed by
Roger D. Walker
Roger D. Walker, Acting Director
Division of Reactor Projects

Enclosures:

- 1. Meeting Summary
- 2. Meeting Attendees

cc w/encls:

- E. M. Howard, Director
Site Nuclear Operations
- P. F. McKee, Nuclear Plant Manager
- G. R. Westafer, Manager
Nuclear Operations Licensing
and Fuel Management

bcc w/encls: (See page 2)

8503200469 850228
PDR ADOCK 05000302
V PDR

1/1 IE31

FEB 28 1985

Florida Power Corporation

2

bcc w/encls:
NRC Resident Inspector
Document Control Desk
State of Florida

RII *AC*
RCarroll:ht
2/15/85

RII *[Signature]*
VWanciera
2/15/85

RII *B*
VBrownlee
2/20/85

RII *B*
PBemis
2/21/85

*Rec'd on
2/26*

FEB 28 1985

ENCLOSURE 1

MEETING SUMMARY

On February 1, 1985, representatives of Florida Power Corporation (FPC) met with the NRC at FPC's request, in the NRC Regional Office in Atlanta, Georgia. The topic of discussion was the actions taken/planned by FPC in response to the NRC findings during a recent training assessment at Crystal River 3.

FPC stated that the main reason they requested this meeting was to categorically address the NRC findings and discuss the training related concepts and views of both the NRC and FPC. In so doing, they could ensure that planned corrective actions are appropriately directed. Three of the four categories under which the licensee grouped the NRC training assessment findings concerned records; their quality, retrievability and the keeping thereof. The licensee explained that their training records grew in an informal fashion, making it difficult to not only retrieve records, but also to verify the status of personnel training requirements as well. To alleviate the situation, FPC plans to utilize a computer information and tracking system, as well as closely monitoring the microfilming of records to ensure good quality. In addition, the grading of examinations is to be double verified and reasons for any subsequent changes (i.e., regrading, elimination of questions, etc.) are to be documented on the examination. The licensee's quality assurance (QA) group has obtained two people with senior reactor operator qualifications to assist in auditing the training program in order to ensure such audits are meaningful.

The fourth category of findings encompassed the appropriateness of FPC's operator license examination request submittals in light of a group of ungraded "pre-NRC" licensee final examinations. FPC stated that a subsequent set of licensee final examinations had been graded prior to the candidates taking the NRC operator licensing exam. The licensee also pointed out that putting someone up for the NRC operator licensing examination is not strictly based on grades, but also depends on the readiness evaluation of the candidate by his supervisors. Additionally, FPC expressed a high degree of confidence in their operators. They also felt that their training program (which had just been changed prior to the NRC assessment) met the requirements of 10 CFR, Part 55, Appendix A.

The two Confirmation of Action letters issued to FPC on January 22 and January 29, 1985, were discussed. Based on the recent high pass rate of FPC candidates on NRC examinations, and no observed repetitive operator errors at FPC, the NRC concurs that recent inspection findings in the training area pose no immediate safety concern over the on-shift operators' qualifications. However, it was pointed out that 10 CFR, Part 55, Appendix A, merely gives the training guidelines which are to be implemented by the licensee's own training program. Since their program is approved by the NRC, all changes must also be approved by the NRC.

FEB 28 1985

ENCLOSURE 2

MEETING ATTENDEES

NRC Attendees: P. R. Bemis, Director, Division of Reactor Safety (DRS)
V. L. Brownlee, Chief, Reactor Projects Branch 2,
Division of Reactor Projects (DRP)
A. F. Gibson, Chief, Operations Branch, DRS
B. Wilson, Chief, Operator Licensing Section, DRS
C. A. Julian, Chief, Operations Programs Section, DRS
T. F. Stetka, Senior Resident Inspector, Crystal River
R. E. Carroll, Project Engineer, DRP
S. D. Stadler, Reactor Engineer, DRS

Licensee Attendees: E. M. Howard, Director, Site Nuclear Operations
J. Alberdi, Nuclear Operations Training Manager
T. Telford, Director of Quality Assurance
R. Bright, Manager, Nuclear Licensing

Distribution: V. Stello, Jr., DEDROGR
J. Lieberman, Director, ROED
J. Taylor, Director, IE
J. Axelrad, Director, Enforcement
J. Stolz, Branch Chief, ORB4, NRR
H. Silvers, LPM, ORB4, NRR

Distribution List C
DRP Project Coordinator
Receptionist