

NOV 7 1984

Docket No. 50-373
Docket No. 50-374

Commonwealth Edison Company
ATTN: Mr. Cordell Reed
Vice President
Post Office Box 767
Chicago, IL 60690

Gentlemen:

This refers to the routine safety inspection conducted by Mr. T. Ploski and others of this office on October 9-11, 1984, of activities at the LaSalle County Station, Units 1 and 2, authorized by NRC Operating Licenses NPF-11 and NPF-18, and to the discussion of our findings with Messrs. D. Scott, R. Bishop, and others of your staff at the conclusion of the inspection.

The enclosed copy of our inspection report identifies areas examined during the inspection. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of a selective examination of procedures and representative records, observations, and interviews with personnel.

Within the course of this inspection, no items of noncompliance with NRC requirements were identified. However, weaknesses were identified which will need corrective action by your staff. These weaknesses are summarized in the appendix to this letter. As required by 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E (IV.F), any weaknesses that are identified must be corrected. Accordingly, please advise us within 45 days of the date of this letter of the corrective action you have taken or plan to take, showing the estimated date of completion with regard to these exercise weaknesses.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790(a), a copy of this letter and the enclosures will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room unless you notify this office, by telephone, within ten days of the date of this letter and submit written application to withhold information contained therein within thirty days of the date of this letter. Such application must be consistent with the requirements of 2.790(b)(1). If we do not hear from you in this regard within the specified periods noted above, a copy of this letter, the enclosures, and your response to this letter will be placed in the Public Document Room.

The responses directed by this letter are not subject to the clearance procedures of the Office of Management and Budget as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, PL 96-511.

8411290654 841107
PDR ADOCK 05000373
Q PDR

IE: 35
||

NOV 7 1984

We will gladly discuss any questions you have concerning this inspection.

Sincerely,

L. R. Greger, Chief
Emergency Preparedness and
Radiological Protection Branch

Enclosures:

- 1. Appendix, Exercise Weaknesses
- 2. Inspection Reports No. 50-373/
84-18(DRSS) and No. 50-374/
84-24(DRSS)

cc w/encls:

- D. L. Farrar, Director
of Nuclear Licensing
- G. J. Diederich, Station
Superintendent
- DMB/Document Control Desk (RIDS)
- Resident Inspector, RIII
- Phyllis Dunton, Attorney
General's Office, Environmental
Control Division
- D. Matthews, EPB, OIE
- W. Weaver, FEMA, RV

RIII

P
Ploski/rr
11/05/84

RIII

WKS
Snell
11/5/84

RIII

Smith
Smith

RIII

MRW
Williamsen
11-5-84

RIII

MM
Marabito
11/5/84

RIII

Phillips
Phillips
11/6/84

RIII

Chissotimos
Chissotimos
11-6-84

RIII

Greger
Greger

Appendix

Exercise Weaknesses

1. There was a lack of procedural guidance regarding the formulation and documentation of adequately detailed followup messages to offsite authorities, per the commitment in Section 6-1 of the GSEP. (Paragraph 5a) (373/84-18-01 and 374/84-24-01)
2. Procedure LZP 1310-1, Paragraph F.1, contained incorrect and misleading guidance regarding how declaration time is reported and elapsed time is measured on occasions when personnel recognize events which warrant an emergency declaration. (Paragraph 5a) (373/84-18-02 and 374/84-24-02)
3. The licensee failed to meet the exercise objective of adequately demonstrating post-accident sample collection and analysis capabilities utilizing the High Range Sampling System (HRSS). (Paragraph 5c) (373/84-18-03 and 374/84-24-03)
4. The overall performance of the licensee's technical spokespersons in the Joint Public Information Center was poor, while the press releases were inadequately detailed. (Paragraph 5e) (373/84-18-04 and 374/84-24-04)
5. Personnel assigned to the dedicated GSEP Van were unfamiliar with operating some of its equipment. Replacement environmental TLDs were not available to the monitoring team utilizing this vehicle. (Paragraph 5f) (373/84-18-05 and 374/84-24-05)