## ENCLOSURE 1

## NOTICE OF VIOLATION

GPU Nuclear Corporation Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

Docket No. 50-219 License No. DPR-16

During an NRC inspection conducted June 7, 1992, through July 18, 1992, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, (1992), the violation is listed below:

Technical Specification 6.8.1 requires that written procedures shall be established, implemented, and maintained that meet or exceed the requirements of Regulatory Guide (Reg Guide) 1.33, revision 2, "Quality Assurance Program Requirements (Operation)." Reg Guide 1.33, Appendix A requires that procedures be written for surveillance testing of the containment spray system.

Station procedure 602.3.014, revision 0, "Electromatic Relief Valve (EMRV) Pressure Sensor/Pilot Valve Control Relay - Test and Calibration," step 6.3, provides guidance as to the location of the 'B' EMRV pressure sensor.

Contrary to the above, on July 5, 1992, two instrumentation and control technicians failed to properly implement procedure 602.3.014 in that a test of the 'C' EMRV pressure sensor was performed with the 'B' EMRV pressure sensor taken out of service for testing. As a result of this action, the 'C' EMRV was inadvertently opened for a period of about 8 seconds.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, GPU Nuclear Corporation is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region I, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Where good cause is shown consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at: King of Prussia, PA this /c\*day of Ave 1992