



NUREG/CR-3481, Vol. 1  
ORNL/TM-9308/V1

OAK RIDGE  
NATIONAL  
LABORATORY

**MARTIN MARIETTA**

**Nuclear Power Plant Personnel  
Qualifications and Training:  
TSORT — An Automated Technique  
to Assign Tasks to Training Strategies**

C. C. Jorgensen

This Work Performed For  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Under  
DOE Interagency Agreement 40-550-75

OPERATED BY  
MARTIN MARIETTA ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC.  
FOR THE UNITED STATES  
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

8411290173 841031  
PDR NUREG  
CR-3481 R PDR

## NOTICE

### Availability of Reference Materials Cited in NRC Publications

Most documents cited in NRC publications will be available from one of the following sources:

1. The NRC Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20555
2. The NRC/GPO Sales Program, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555
3. The National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161

Although the listing that follows represents the majority of documents cited in NRC publications, it is not intended to be exhaustive.

Referenced documents available for inspection and copying for a fee from the NRC Public Document Room include NRC correspondence and internal NRC memoranda; NRC Office of Inspection and Enforcement bulletins, circulars, information notices, inspection and investigation notices; Licensee Event Reports; vendor reports and correspondence; Commission papers; and applicant and licensee documents and correspondence.

The following documents in the NUREG series are available for purchase from the NRC/GPO Sales Program: formal NRC staff and contractor reports, NRC-sponsored conference proceedings, and NRC booklets and brochures. Also available are Regulatory Guides, NRC regulations in the *Code of Federal Regulations*, and *Nuclear Regulatory Commission Issuances*.

Documents available from the National Technical Information Service include NUREG series reports and technical reports prepared by other federal agencies and reports prepared by the Atomic Energy Commission, forerunner agency to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Documents available from public and special technical libraries include all open literature items, such as books, journal and periodical articles, and transactions. *Federal Register* notices, federal and state legislation, and congressional reports can usually be obtained from these libraries.

Documents such as theses, dissertations, foreign reports and translations, and non-NRC conference proceedings are available for purchase from the organization sponsoring the publication cited.

Single copies of NRC draft reports are available free, to the extent of supply, upon written request to the Division of Technical Information and Document Control, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555.

Copies of industry codes and standards used in a substantive manner in the NRC regulatory process are maintained at the NRC Library, 7920 Norfolk Avenue, Bethesda, Maryland, and are available there for reference use by the public. Codes and standards are usually copyrighted and may be purchased from the originating organization or, if they are American National Standards, from the American National Standards Institute, 1430 Broadway, New York, NY 10018.

## Notice

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

NUREG/CR-3481, Vol. 1  
ORNL/TM-9308/V1  
Distribution Category RX

Engineering Physics and Mathematics Division

**NUCLEAR POWER PLANT PERSONNEL QUALIFICATIONS AND TRAINING:  
TSORT — AN AUTOMATED TECHNIQUE TO ASSIGN TASKS  
TO TRAINING STRATEGIES**

C. C. Jorgensen

NRC Monitor: J. Lowry  
Human Factors and Safeguards Branch  
Division of Risk Analysis and Operations

Program Manager: P. M. Haas  
Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Principal Investigator: C. C. Jorgensen  
Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Manuscript Completed: July 1984  
Date Published: October 1984

This Work Performed For  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Under  
DOE Interagency Agreement 40-550-75  
NRC FIN No. B0466

Work Performed Under  
ORNL Subcontract No. 40X-70527

Prepared by the  
Oak Ridge National Laboratory  
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831  
operated by  
Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc.  
for the  
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY  
under Contract No. DE-AC05-84OR21400

## FOREWORD

This report is part of a research program that was initiated in March of 1982 and was designed to provide a technical basis for the implementation of a systems approach to training (SAT) in the nuclear industry. The work previously completed in this program is described in NUREG/CR-3414, entitled "Evaluation of Training Programs and Entry Level Qualifications for Nuclear Power Plant Control Room Personnel Based on the Systems Approach to Training" (P. M. Haas, D. L. Selby, M. J. Hanley, and R. T. Mercer, 1983). Previous work includes a review of taxonomies of human performance and the identification of likely performance shaping factors to be considered in entry level and training requirements for nuclear power plant (NPP) control room personnel. Also, a proposed structure was produced based on the systems approach to training which used guided rating forms to evaluate each element of training system design and a technique developed to rank plant malfunctions for their importance in training.

The current effort was initiated in July 1983. In contrast to the 1982 work, this program was oriented toward the development of a series of tools which could be used to operationalize NRC-directed portions of the SAT structure developed by ORNL. Four research products were originally specified:

1. A methodology for identification of NPP operator characteristics.
2. A general descriptive model for training performance measurement.
3. A methodology to evaluate training effectiveness of NPP control room simulators.
4. A methodology to select tasks for training.

The orientation of the 1983 work was to demonstrate feasibility of the methods. In 1984 the methods were to be refined and tested in realistic field situations. At the later direction of NRC, task 2 (which shared common elements with another NRC effort) was omitted. Task 3 was redirected to focus on the use of simulators as a testing device rather than as a training device. Therefore, three tasks were left for ORNL development as follows:

1. "Development of a methodology for identification of NPP control room operator characteristics." The emphasis of this task was on the generation of a technique to link descriptions of in-plant task behaviors to potential measurement instruments suitable for entry level personnel. This goal was accomplished through the development of an automated task analysis tool called TAPS (the task analysis profiling system) which outputs skills, knowledges, abilities, and attitudes when plant job descriptions are typed in. In addition, TAPS lists potential measurement tests which can be used to measure operator abilities.
2. "Develop a methodology for evaluation of simulation facilities." Developed under subcontract by ORNL to Micro Analysis and Design, the purpose of this task was to assess the acceptability of simulation facilities for use in the simulator-based portion of the licensing examination. This task has been



addressed through the generation of a users handbook for the evaluation of NPP simulators.

3. The final task and subject of the present report was "Develop a methodology for training task selection." The purpose of this task was twofold. The first was to provide NRC with a standardized method to select tasks for use in NRC-sponsored training research. The second was to develop a method to aid NRC in the assessment of whether or not plant training developers were allocating the training of individual tasks to appropriate training methods. These purposes were addressed through the development of a computer-based task sorting program (TSORT) which provides a scientific basis for task-allocation decisions and at the same time reduces NRC manpower work loads.

The NRC training research program covers a wide range of technical areas, including the systems approach to training, qualifications, licensing, simulator evaluation, and operational performance measurement. Each area has implications for supporting research methodologies which must be developed as well as the selection of reasonable problem areas against which a new methodology can be evaluated. TSORT was initially visualized primarily as an NRC methodology to assist in the subjective selection of sample tasks from NRC data bases. It was intended that the tasks would then later be used for research efforts in the above areas. Because the reasons for task selection could be quite varied, it was decided to select a single application problem against which the overall TSORT logic would be demonstrated. The result is the present volume which emphasizes one problem in task allocation that will be encountered by NRC regulatory groups during future evaluation of SAT based NPP training programs.

Development of TSORT occurred in three steps outlined in the contract statement of work:

1. Development of an approach to sort tasks into training strategies
2. Development of a user guide for the approach selected, and
3. Illustration of the feasibility of the concept through a complete example.

The parallel development and application of TAPS is described in Volume 2 of this report "Nuclear Power Plant Personnel Qualifications and Training: TAPS — the Task Analysis Profiling System," (C. C. Jorgensen). The methodology for simulator evaluation will be described in a separate report which is expected to be published as an ORNL/TM.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
FOREWORD .....	iii
ABSTRACT .....	vii
LIST OF FIGURES .....	ix
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	xi
1.0 INTRODUCTION .....	1
2.0 PROCESS OVERVIEW .....	1
2.1 Training Categories .....	2
2.1.1 Certification Training .....	4
2.1.2 Qualification Training .....	4
2.1.3 Refresher Training .....	4
2.1.4 Elimination from Training .....	5
2.1.5 On the Job Training .....	5
2.1.6 Candidate Tasks for Less Training .....	5
2.1.7 Candidate Tasks for More Training .....	5
2.1.8 Simulator Training .....	5
2.1.9 Formal Training .....	6
2.2 Task Information Extraction .....	6
2.2.1 Difficulty in Acquiring Skills .....	6
2.2.2 Skill Performance Difficulty .....	7
2.2.3 Immediate Performance .....	7
2.2.4 Poor Performance Consequences .....	7
2.2.5 Previous Experience .....	7
2.2.6 Usage in Normal Operations .....	7
2.2.7 Usage in Emergency Operations .....	8
2.2.8 Response Delay Tolerance .....	8
2.2.9 Regulatory Requirement .....	8
2.2.10 Potential Cost Impacts of Poor Performance .....	8
2.3 Metric Development .....	8
2.3.1 Metric Types .....	10
2.4 Implementation .....	11
2.5 User Guide .....	12
2.5.1 Available Options .....	12
2.5.2 Initial Information Input .....	12
2.5.3 Option Menus .....	13

2.6 Future Directions .....	19
3.0 REFERENCES .....	20
APPENDIX 1: A COMPLETE EXAMPLE OF TSORT .....	23
APPENDIX 2: TASK RATING SCALES .....	79
APPENDIX 3: SAMPLE TASK DATA SHEET USED TO SCORE EXAMPLE FOR 20 TASKS IN APPENDIX 1 .....	91
APPENDIX 4: FORMATTED CODE LISTING .....	95

## ABSTRACT

This report discusses TSORT, a technique to assist the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) in two areas: the first is to provide a standardized method to select tasks for use in NRC-sponsored training research and the second is to evaluate whether training program developers have allocated nuclear power plant tasks to appropriate training strategies. The TSORT structure is presented, including training categories selected, dimensions of task information considered, measurement metrics used, and a guide to application. TSORT is implemented as an automated software tool for an IBM-PC. It uses full color graphics and interactive menu selection to provide NRC with a variety of evaluation options including: rank ordering of training strategies reasonable for each task, rank ordering of tasks within strategies, and a variety of special analyses. The program code is also presented along with a comprehensive example of 20 realistic tasks illustrating each of 17 options available.

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Ten Task Dimensions by Nine Training Strategy Categories .....	3
Figure 2. Establishing Whether One Task "Fits" a Category Better Than Another .....	4
Figure 3. Sample Rating Sheet for Skill Performance Difficulty .....	10
Figure 4. Data Input Questions for the Task Descriptive Dimension Along with Hypothetical Numerical Scores .....	13
Figure 5. The Main Sorting Program Menu .....	14
Figure 6. A Sample Task Sort Using the Main Menu, Option Number One .....	15
Figure 7. A Sort Showing the Ranks of Three Sample Tasks Within Categories (Page 1) .....	16
Figure 8. A Recommendation of Where the Three Sample Tasks Should Be Trained .....	18
Figure 9. A Listing of Special Menu Options .....	18
Figure 10. A Sample Special Economic Analysis .....	20



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Mrs. Gail Stewart for her assistance in the preparation of the appendices to this report. Her helpful comments provided a user perspective on the TSORT user guide and aided significantly in the development of a friendly user environment. I would also like to acknowledge Mr. Randy Bovell for his expert advice in selecting sample power plant tasks and the development of the rater scales in Appendix 2, Mr. Edward Dawdy whose seminal ideas in training analysis inspired the present approach, and Mrs. Katie Ingersoll for her help in formatting the final document.

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

During the implementation of a systems approach to training (SAT), a variety of analyses must be performed which require the subjective expertise of a training developer.<sup>1</sup> One major analysis is the determination of where individual job tasks should be trained and how they should be ranked relative to different instructional aids and approaches.<sup>2</sup> For example, when a course of instruction is produced, a training developer needs to decide whether a task should be trained in an on-the-job setting or in a formal classroom environment. In another situation a trainer may need to identify which tasks should be given extra training if resources permit. A third situation might be the determination of which tasks are logical candidates for mandatory testing or which tasks could be eliminated from training altogether.

Due to a lack of standardized SAT support tools, such decisions are often made in a very subjective manner during the course of training development. Depending upon the skill of training development personnel, the resulting allocation of tasks to training strategies may or may not be properly made. In a SAT the kinds of courseware developed, the media and methods used, and the types of performance evaluations made are directly influenced by decisions based on the general training strategy for tasks. There is thus a "ripple effect" from poor decisions which may have been made early in the process. Because NRC is faced with evaluating many different plant training programs, it becomes important to have an objective basis to determine whether industry selections are reasonable within a SAT framework.

Selection evaluation is a slightly different problem than checklisting whether training processes are used during a SAT development such as that described in Haas, Selby, Hensley, and Mercer (1983). In the present case the process used is not as much the focus as the reasonableness of the product resulting from that process. The distinction is reflected by two dimensions of training evaluation. Haas *et al.* emphasize whether or not a plant training developer has provided a process for each of the required SAT developmental steps. Emphasis is not on whether a particular method used meets SAT content objectives. The present effort stresses the latter aspect, namely, was the *output* of the process reasonable. Because similar end objectives in SAT may be met in more than one way, an independent basis is needed by which NRC could compare the result of task allocation decisions to a standard logic of allocation. If the deviation is extremely large, NRC thus has reasonable grounds to examine the training program further or to require justification from a utility as to why a particular approach was used.

## 2.0 PROCESS OVERVIEW

To select which training strategy should be applied to a given task, it was necessary to develop a method to relate requirements of tasks to the various potential training approaches. To attain that goal, both the possible training strategies and the dimensions that describe task information had to be specified. Specification of *training strategies* resulted in nine types which might occur during a SAT implementation in the nuclear industry (although the method developed need not be limited by those selections). The strategies used were drawn from DOE and NRC sources, as well as from previous field experience in non-nuclear SAT training developments, including the military.<sup>3,4</sup>

Specification of *task information* resulted in ten dimensions that correspond to decision-making criteria frequently applied by expert training developers when they allocate tasks to different training strategies. In arriving at a decision, not all dimensions are of equal importance for each strategy. Therefore, it was also necessary to develop a weighting scheme which added decision-making importance to dimensions. The result of this process was a ten by nine matrix whose cell values represent rating ranges above or below which a training developer would generally agree that a training dimension should play a significant role in a particular task allocation decision (see Figure 1).

After a matrix of task-sorting decision criteria had been generated (through interviews with an industry trainer), it was then possible to rate plant tasks by the same set of dimension values. By comparing the rated values for a particular task to the criteria values defined for each strategy, it became possible to numerically determine whether a task "fit" better in one category than another (see Figure 2). To make the fitting process mathematically rigorous, it was also necessary to determine what kind of numeric score or "metric" should be produced to describe the fit. A well defined metric was important because a training developer is interested not only in which category to place a task but also how to shift tasks among different categories as resources and time constraints change training priorities.

A numerical analysis of large numbers of tasks implied that manual procedures would be cumbersome and subject to frequent user errors. This has previously been the case with many otherwise worthwhile rating approaches. As a result, it was decided from the onset of the contract to computerize the sort methodology in a form that required the least possible investment of NRC time and effort. The result was a completely automated, menu-driven task sort procedure which is capable of making recommendations for individual task allocations during training and rank ordering sets of tasks within and between allocation categories.

An analyst sometimes requires information that goes deeper than a training strategy selection. Therefore the program was later expanded to include independent rank ordering processes for each task dimension individually. The expansion resulted in ten new menu options over and above the six options previously available. A special eleventh option was also added to demonstrate the feasibility of an automated SAT analysis that includes cost/benefit tradeoffs to assess the utility of training. Due to extremely high costs of safety related nuclear accidents, this capability provides both NRC and industry insight into the cost avoidance benefits of increasing plant safety through better training.

In the remaining sections, each of the above steps is described in detail. Following a technical discussion of the method, a user guide is presented along with a comprehensive example (Appendix 1) which illustrates every feature of the program through a printout of a complete task sorting session using 20 realistic tasks. Appendix 2 provides the scales used to rate tasks. Appendix 3 gives a task data sheet. Finally, Appendix 4 provides a formatted listing of the BASIC code that implements the program on an IBM-PC.

## 2.1 Training Categories

This section discusses the nine training categories that were chosen to be addressed by the sorting program.

Dimensions*	Training Strategy Categories								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	Qualification Training	Certification Training	Refresher Training	Elimination Candidate	On-the-Job Training	Candidate Less Training	Candidate More Training	Candidate Simulator Training	Candidate Formal Training
1 Skill Acquisition Difficulty	N/A	N/A	>3	<3	<3	<3	>7	>5	>7
2 Skill Performance Difficulty	N/A	N/A	>3	<3	<3	<3	>7	>5	>7
3 Immediate Performance Need	>3	>5	>8	N/A	<3	<3	>7	N/A	>5
4 Safety Consequences	>3	>7	>3	<3	<3	<2	>7	N/A	>7
5 Previous Nuclear Experience	N/A	<2	<3	>7	>7	>5	<5	<3	<5
6 Normal Operation Performance	>3	N/A	<3	N/A	>5	>7	<3	<3	<3
7 Emergency Operation Performance	>5	N/A	>5	<3	<3	<3	>3	>5	>3
8 Plant Delay Tolerance	<2	>7	>7	<3	<3	<3	>7	>5	N/A
9 Regulatory Requirement	>7	>3	>3	<1	N/A	N/A	N/A	>3	N/A
10 Economic Consequences	>5	N/A	N/A	<2	<2	N/A	>7	>5	>3

\*N/A means the dimension was rated not relevant by nuclear training personnel based on the scale criteria used. Scale values range from 0 to 9 — < means less than and > means greater than.

Figure 1. Ten Task Dimensions by Nine Training Strategy Categories.



Sample Task Rating		Refresher Training		Formal Training	
Dimension	Actual Task Rating	Ideal Criteria	Deviation	Ideal Criteria	Deviation
1	3	<3	+0 (true)	>7	-4 (false)
2	7	>3	+4 (true)	>7	0 (false)
3	1	>8	-7 (false)	>5	-4 (false)
		Total ( $\Sigma$ )	-3		-8

*Result:* Category 1 (refresher training) fits the sample task better than Category 2 (formal training) because the total sum of deviations for Category 1 was less negative than for Category 2.

Figure 2. Establishing Whether One Task "Fits" a Category Better Than Another (Two Categories with Three Dimensions Each Taken from the First Three Dimensions of Figure 1).

### 2.1.1 Certification Training

This category is used to determine tasks whose performance is so crucial to system operation that each operator must be certified as having the ability to perform them prior to being permitted to operate in the NPP environment. Tasks that meet this category should be seriously considered for inclusion in an NRC evaluative examination. The criteria used to select it are shown in Figure 1 in the appropriate column. The same holds true for categories 2.1.2 — 2.1.10.

### 2.1.2 Qualification Training

This category refers to tasks that contribute to safe plant operation but are not so critical that specific training or testing is required; rather either can be accomplished through representative task samples to assure that the plant training program develops necessary skill levels. These tasks would generally require training to a clearly specified standard of performance before a trainee would be considered as having successfully passed a course module prior to plant operation but could be tested by NRC on a recurring basis or through representative sampling techniques.

### 2.1.3 Refresher Training

Because some tasks contain skills that tend to degrade quickly, i.e., show poorer performance over time, tasks can require periodic retraining to assure that performance would not be compromised in a plant environment. These tasks can also include qualification or certification tasks that are seldom used but must be capable of immediate performance should emergency needs dictate. Tasks falling in this category are generally included in institutional training as well as requalification testing.



#### **2.1.4 Elimination from Training**

These are tasks with a high probability that trainees have already been exposed to similar task demands through previous experience in NPP environments or through previous exposure such as Navy experience or academic course-work. As a result, if time or budget pressures require tradeoffs in a plant training program, these tasks would be logical first candidates for omission. They are also tasks which NRC would not normally need to evaluate.

#### **2.1.5 On the Job Training**

These tasks require site specific training or can readily be learned after an operator leaves formal training. Often the tasks involve simple skills and can be quickly learned through demonstration or verbal instructions. In some cases they may be difficult tasks that require close monitoring and are not amenable to standard classroom instructional methods. An example is an apprenticeship situation where slowly developed motor skills are involved, such as the case of a journeyman machinist where a "feel" of the equipment is important to achieving close tolerances in machine tool fabrications. These are tasks which NRC may want to evaluate if a plant shows high turnover rates of experienced personnel. NPP personnel could be undertrained if the informal on-the-job apprenticeship is not functioning well.

#### **2.1.6 Candidate Tasks for Less Training**

These tasks have a high probability of previous exposure as a result of normal plant operations. They are liable to be both familiar and well practiced. They differ from "Elimination from Training" tasks in that they must still be included in training programs due to their importance to plant operations but can receive less emphasis should an instructor need to reallocate training time to areas that had not originally been planned. NRC should consider these tasks as in a "grey area" where considerable variability from plant to plant is still acceptable.

#### **2.1.7 Candidate Tasks for More Training**

These are tasks which are so important that if any extra time is available, an instructor would want the trainee to repeat and reemphasize the task to assure that the subtask steps have been thoroughly practiced. Tasks for certification or qualification are often appropriate for this type of emphasis but are not the only cases that can occur. For example, a manual task with a rapid rate of skill decay can often be improved by "overtraining" to change the forgetting curve. NRC should check the program of instruction to assure the tasks are being considered and be alert to cases where insufficient instruction emphasis may be occurring.

#### **2.1.8 Simulator Training**

Tasks recommended for simulator training comprise a special instance because simulators have some unique advantages over less complex forms of training equipment such as slide projectors or mock-ups. Simulator tasks are best suited for situations that require *dynamic*

behavior or real time performance with heavy interactions among plant systems and operators. Simulator tasks are also often tasks where static test score measurements are difficult to generalize to dynamic emergency or accident scenarios. This category is designed to assist an NRC evaluator in differentiating between tasks better suited for performance-based tests in a simulator and tasks reasonable for paper-and-pencil tests such as more traditional platform instruction.

### **2.1.9 Formal Training**

This category can include dynamic tasks but is particularly sensitive to special task requirements including high skill-acquisition difficulties and knowledge acquisition. Formal training tasks can require a large knowledge base which must be drawn upon during plant operation. Math skills are one example. NRC evaluators should be aware that these tasks can be very sensitive to factors such as instructor involvement, morale, or speaking and delivery skills.

## **2.2 Task Information Extraction**

The previous section considered task-training strategies. This section considers the information requirements that lead to these selections. A systematic method is needed to relate a training developer's subjective judgements about task content to the requirements of the nine strategies described in Section 2.1. The method called TSORT accomplishes the linkage by using a table of rating-criteria ranges (Figure 1) within which a rating must fall to be considered acceptable. Ten information dimensions have been given numeric values for all nine strategies. A user of the task sort program is asked to input individual dimension ratings for each task considered when the program is first run. The ten dimensions selected for the TSORT are described below. The complete rating scales are presented in Appendix 2. Appendix 3 shows how 20 tasks were rated for each of the ten dimensions. These tasks are the ones used in the comprehensive example presented in Appendix 1.

### **2.2.1 Difficulty in Acquiring Skills**

The first rating dimension concerns the difficulty a student would have in *acquiring* the basic skills required for performing a task. The skills used by a task may be drawn from either in-plant experience or from SAT analysis procedures, the TAPS methodology discussed in Volume 2 of this contract, or NRC and INPO task analysis data bases. For each dimension a user must rate a task on a scale from 0 to 9 where 0 represents the easiest possible skill acquisition and 9 the most difficult. Or, if the rating is a question of frequency (see, for example, Section 2.2.6), 0 represents never and 9 represents frequently. To assist the user, anchored rating scales were developed (see Figure 3). The type of information required of a rater is stated at the top of the form and three anchoring tasks are listed at the bottom left-hand side that are drawn from plant situations which correspond to low, medium, and high numeric levels of skill acquisition difficulty.

### **2.2.2 Skill Performance Difficulty**

This dimension differs from skill acquisition in that the skills for some tasks may be very easy to acquire but very difficult to *perform*. For example, manual control of the feedwater system during a reactor startup is easily learned but requires skillful manipulation of controls. The operator is told to monitor steam flow, feedwater flow, and reactor water level. The objective is to match feedwater flow with steam flow while maintaining reactor water level in a narrow band. Adjustments to feedwater flow are accomplished by manually adjusting feedwater pump turbine speed. An operator that has not practiced this task would have some difficulty in maintaining a constant reactor water level because of a tendency to "overshoot" in either direction.

### **2.2.3 Immediate Performance**

Although it is not likely that a new operator will face a critical safety-related situation without supervision, it is possible, and special consideration should be given in the training program to such tasks. Such tasks should be evaluated based on the potential need for immediate performance shortly after training. Typically, these are tasks that emphasize safety-related actions in response to plant transients. This dimension can also be useful to NRC in identifying tasks that may have safety implications depending upon manning and crew structures.

### **2.2.4 Poor Performance Consequences**

The task dimension of "Poor Performance Consequences" is closely related to safety. This dimension assesses the potential impact of poor task performance in terms of radiation release to the public. An example is a failure to perform preventive maintenance scheduled for reactor scram signal relays that trigger rod drop into the core (as occurred at the Salem II Power Plant in 1983). In this case severe consequences could have resulted. Scale number 4 in Appendix 2 details the safety criteria utilized.

### **2.2.5 Previous Experience**

The fifth dimension is the amount of previous task experience which an anticipated training population is likely to have. In some cases, such as former nuclear Navy personnel, there may be considerable general experience but with the wrong type of procedures for large commercial reactors. In this case an experience score would be low since retraining would be needed. In other cases, the task may involve the use of common equipment such as pocket dosimeters which would have a high probability of previous utilization and would be rated high. NRC could use this dimension to rank order tasks in a job that may require special training.

### **2.2.6 Usage in Normal Operations**

Some tasks may occur frequently in normal operations and hence are likely to be well practiced by operators even without requalification training. Other tasks may occur seldom or only in emergency operations. This dimension aids in identifying tasks which will

be well practiced and hence less subject to "skill decay" discussed in Section 2.1.3. Tasks occurring more frequently would receive higher ratings than those occurring less frequently.

### **2.2.7 Usage in Emergency Operations**

In contrast to "Usage in Normal Operations," some tasks occur frequently in both normal and emergency operations so that in an emergency an operator would probably already be familiar with the tasks. Others occur only in emergency operations (e.g., manual valve opening during operation of ECCS) and are infrequent. Thus, normal and emergency operations need to be rated separately since different emergency task familiarity can be important during training strategy selections.

### **2.2.8 Response Delay Tolerance**

Another dimension is how tolerant a plant is to an operator response delay. Plant sensitivity to some tasks such as repair of systems backed up with redundant circuitry may be very low. Others, however, may require rapid operator decisions. Such a task within a particular plant must be well practiced in order to provide quick operator responses. Thus response speed and other associated variables have plant specific implications for how the task should be practiced in the training program. NRC should be alert to whether operators possess the decision making skills needed by tasks with low plant delay tolerances.

### **2.2.9 Regulatory Requirement**

The ninth dimension is whether or not a task is mandated for training through regulatory requirement. This dimension by itself could require the equivalent of test certification training if its rating level is high enough. The numeric values are determined through the rating scales mentioned earlier and shown in Appendix 2. This dimension may change with NRC policy. Users of the sort program should periodically check the rating scale for validity.

### **2.2.10 Potential Cost Impacts of Poor Performance**

Dollar cost must also be considered in determining training tradeoffs. Although dollar cost will often correlate with safety consequences, the two can diverge dramatically depending upon whether or not poor performance would cause radioactive release from the plant containment. The sort program therefore has a special module to expand cost analysis beyond subjective rating should detailed examination of cost-related training impacts be desired by NRC in the course of assessing whether cost/risk tradeoffs by a plant were reasonable. That expansion will be addressed in Section 2.4.

## **2.3 Metric Development**

Section 2.0 stated that in order to compare how well a given task "fits" in a sort category it was necessary to develop a method to capture task information and relate that information



to the processes involved in selecting a training strategy. Although decision making is still a subjective process in the systems approach to training, it is evident upon close examination that expert training developers actually apply a multivariable logic in their decisions. One technique that has received attention as an appropriate method to capture such judgments is called Multiattribute Utility Theory (MUT).<sup>4</sup> MUT has as an underlying principle, the idea that complex subjective decisions can be considered as an additive series of value judgements which when taken in total comprise an overall measure of the desirability of one course of action over another. Because each element of a decision may have differential importance, some schemes also add weighting values to increase the sensitivity of the process.

In the present method, the ten dimensions described in Section 2.2 correspond to the decision elements with one training category preferred over another based on a total score achieved across all dimensions. Such rating decisions are not usually boolean, i.e., all or none, so a mechanism had to be created to account for the partial applicability of a dimension. For example, a task could have low skill-performance difficulty but still have enough to be potentially important if performance occurs in a situation with severe safety consequences. Therefore it was necessary to expand the ten dimensions along a *scale* of ratings rather than a single value. Nine rating levels were selected to provide adequate range for subjective differences although the choice is arbitrary and could be more or less if warranted by predefined criteria such as maintenance intervals or cost accounting categories.

Raters frequently differ as to a correct subjective value to assign. Psychologists traditionally deal with that problem by providing a series of realistic examples for number ratings (usually top, midpoint, and bottom) called "anchors." TSORT also has developed anchored scales for each of the ten dimensions. These scales and their associated examples are presented in Appendix 2. Figure 3 shows a sample rating sheet for "Skill Performance Difficulty." By using the rating scales it becomes possible to assign ten values to each task, one for each of the ten decision dimensions. Before that information can be applied to choose a particular training category, each task's values must be compared to an appropriate acceptability criteria represented in the matrix that defines the categories (Figure 1). If a dimension falls into the acceptable range (above or below the criteria value) it must be considered in the final training decision; otherwise it should be omitted because its inclusion would bias the final number used to rate all categories against each other. These cases are shown by the letters N/A in Figure 1. If NRC later wishes to change the criteria, what needs to be done is change the cell values. The most recent cell values are listed in Appendix 4 in lines 2130—2200 of the BASIC program code. Each line of code represents a row in Figure 1 starting with sort strategy 1 and ending with number 9. The listed numbers correspond to rating criteria values. If the desired relationship is "not applicable," a—1 is entered. A criteria relationship is actually coded as a pair of numbers. The first number is the value, and the second number the relationship. For example, a 3,1 means a criterion of >3. A 3,0 means <3. To change a criterion, the corresponding numbers in statements 2130—2200 are changed. For example to change the rating criterion for "skill acquisition difficulty" from N/A for "qualification training" to greater than nine, line 2130 would be changed to: 9, 1, -1, 1, 3, 1, 3, 0, 3, 0, 3, 0, 7, 1, 5, 1, 7, 1.



### Skill Performance Difficulty

Defined in terms of physical and cognitive effort or degree of precision required

VALUE	CRITERIA
0	Easily performed with trivial effort ( > 99% can perform)
1	Easily performed with little precision
2	Easily performed with some precision
3	Some performance difficulty, no decision making
4	Some performance difficulty, occasional decision making
5	Requires some physical effort or cognitive effort with decision making
6	Definite physical effort or cognitive effort with decision making
7	Same as #6 with some precision
8	Heavy cognitive and/or physical effort with precision
9	Extended physical effort, heavy decision making, and stringent performance requirements

  

VALUE ANCHORS	SAMPLE TASK
0	Read a digital water level meter out loud
5	Determine that a reactor scram was caused by a normal turbine trip
9	Align fire system for core cooling following a LOCA and loss of all normal and ECS makeup

Figure 3. Sample Rating Sheet for Skill Performance Difficulty.

#### 2.3.1 Metric Types

One general metric to measure the "fit" of a given task to a training strategy might be the sum of all acceptable dimension values divided by the total number of values appropriate for a particular sort category, i.e., a percentage of maximum acceptability. Such a metric however makes a hidden assumption. It is that a training analyst is not interested in how "close" a task came to fitting into a category, only that it had a large enough average value to be acceptable. Initially a very stringent form of this metric was developed, referred to in Jorgensen, Haas, Selby, and Lowry<sup>5</sup> as an *absolute* metric because a task was deemed acceptable for a sort category only if it was in an acceptable range for all dimensions. In practice, such a criterion proved to be much too severe that many tasks ended up never being placed in any category. As a result, this metric was relaxed so that it instead counted how *many* of the criteria were acceptable. This relaxed metric represents a total of how many task ratings fell within an acceptable range (adjusted for inapplicable cases). In the terminology of statistics, the metric is a form of non-parametric score in that it makes no assumption about rating distributions. Such a value is more appropriate when

confidence in the stability of the rater scores is too low to assign parametric confidence intervals. A "count metric" is then the first type of metric which can be used in TSORT for producing category recommendations.

A count metric works well when the primary concern is about a training strategy choice for individual tasks. When the topic of interest is rank order between tasks or ranking of possible strategies relative to each other, the all-or-none nature of a count metric can result in a loss of useful numeric information. That is, the metric will not record how far a task was from meeting a criterion. Thus, a task might be a single rating point below cutoff and be missed as a potential category candidate. For that reason, a second metric was developed which keeps track of the positive and negative deviations from the cutoff score for each dimension. The result is a metric whose value is zero if there is a perfect fit, positive if the majority of values exceeded the minimum cutoff criterion for the decision, and negative if the majority of values fell below the criterion. Using this metric it then becomes possible to rank order sets of tasks and categories in descending order from the best choice to the worst. It is the most powerful metric to sort tasks but also makes more parametric assumptions about the nature of the rating data. The choice of which metric to select in a given situation is left up to the analyst and operationally corresponds to selecting an appropriately named menu item on the computer CRT. All internal logic and calculations are handled by the program and are transparent to the user.

#### **2.4 Implementation**

NRC required an analysis tool that minimized the time and resource load placed on an evaluator when determining whether or not tasks had been reasonably allocated to training strategies by a plant. At the same time, it was necessary to assure that realistic subtleties associated with the decisions required for training development be taken into account. The numeric ranking method described in the previous section is capable of handling extremely complicated decision processes but does so at the price of computational complexity. For example, the analysis of 50 tasks would require 50 times 9 times 10 or 4500 logical decisions. In addition, desiring to see all the task sort combinations would result in nine sets of 50 ranked tasks (one for each possible task category) and ten other sets of 50 ranked tasks corresponding to each dimension should the user be interested in the relative ranking of all categories (one for each task). Even that formidable work load does not take into account a special econometric analysis that might be required during task tradeoff decisions.

It seemed evident that the most efficient manner to handle the level of effort problem was to computerize the entire process and let a computer handle numeric computations and sorts. Because the purpose of this contract effort was only to develop a sort *methodology*, a full scale mainframe implementation was deemed beyond the contract scope. Consequently, a compromise was chosen for the demonstration which illustrated all features of a full scale version but on an IBM-PC, the primary difference being in execution speed and in the number of tasks which can be evaluated at any given time. The present scaled down version enters tasks one at a time during a session, whereas a full-scale version could draw subjective rating information from larger data bases. Other than speed and number of tasks, this initial product has gone considerably beyond what was originally anticipated and represents a very usable training analysis tool even in its IBM-PC demonstration

mode. The smaller version also has some advantages in that it is highly portable, has lower costs, and is extremely easy to use. It takes maximum advantage of the IBM color graphics capabilities to prompt, emphasize information, and summarize results.

## **2.5 User Guide**

The IBM-PC program has been designed so that user interaction is based on a turn-key system. That is, the user has only to place a program disc in the IBM-PC and turn the machine on. From that point on, the user is prompted step by step through data input to the results. (See the complete example in Appendix 1.) For an entire session, or at any point in a session, the user can generate a simultaneous hard copy printout of all questions asked, answers given, and results. This is a valuable feature since typographical errors in entry can be noted and changed. Hard copy also provides a permanent record for NRC files without requiring any additional effort on the analyst's part.

### **2.5.1 Available Options**

All options in the sort program are presented to the user as either questions or menus. In the case of questions, a necessary response is indicated and is entered by typing appropriate text or numbers followed by hitting the RETURN key. For menus, the correct response is to type the number of a desired menu element followed by a RETURN. In the other cases, text such as task sentences is expected. In order to reduce user fatigue and highlight different segments of the program, extensive utilization has been made of color graphics. This feature, of course, requires a color monitor.

### **2.5.2 Initial Information Input**

After an introductory screen is presented, the program will ask how many tasks need to be entered. The user should respond with a numeric value and a RETURN. The program then prompts the user for the first task's name. The name can be up to 256 characters but should normally be abbreviated or recorded as a short task code for reference use. There will follow a prompt line which presents the highlighted name of the task that was typed. The program will then sequentially question the user for a rating on the ten task-descriptive dimensions discussed in Section 2.2. Each rating should be a numeric value between 0 and 9 based on the rating scales in Appendix 2. Figure 4 shows the interactive questions along with some hypothetical numeric scores after the "number of tasks?" question has been answered and the operator is coding the third task in a series of some arbitrary number.

After the user has been prompted for rating values, the program will ask whether a hard copy of the information is desired. A "yes" answer is recommended so that a printed copy is printed for proofreading; this enables the user to detect typographical or rating errors that may have been made. TSORT currently has no retroactive editing option beyond the normal IBM-PC screen editor; therefore, users are cautioned to proof and change typed input before hitting the return key. Once the return has been pressed, the task data is stored in memory and the user must end the current session and reinitialize to input and correct errors.

WHAT IS THE NAME OF TASK NUMBER 3

? remove primary transformer coil assembly

PROVIDE A NUMERIC RATING FOR EACH QUESTION ABOUT:

TASK NUMBER 3

NAME—remove primary transformer coil assembly

SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ? 3

SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY ? 2

NEED FOR IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE ? 3

POOR PERFORMANCE CONSEQUENCES ? 2

PREVIOUS NUCLEAR EXPERIENCE ? 4

TASK PERFORMANCE FREQUENCY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS ? 1

POTENTIAL FOR PERFORMANCE IN EMERGENCY OPERATIONS ? 2

PLANT PERFORMANCE DELAY TOLERANCE ? 3

REGULATORY REQUIREMENT ? 4

ECONOMIC IMPACT OF POOR PERFORMANCE ? 4

DATA ENTRY FOR TASK 3 IS NOW COMPLETE

Figure 4. Data Input Questions for the Task Descriptive Dimension Along with Hypothetical Numerical Scores.

### 2.5.3 Option Menus

Following the entry of task data, the program will prompt the user with the main menu, which is shown in Figure 5. This menu presents several analysis options. The first two analysis options are ranked categories for each *task* using either the first count metric called "*match values*" or the second stringent metric called "*average values*."

For the purpose of menu selection, "match values" should be used when there is a high degree of rater variability, for instance, insufficient task information (such as a new plant) or ratings from different individuals (e.g., more than one rater may have generated the data coded into the program at entry). Such a case could create high rater variability.

Alternatively, "average values" should be chosen when increased precision is needed. "Average" analysis would let the user know how closely a task fits into a category and whether all rating criteria were met. Figure 6 shows the screen after calculations have been completed for a "match" sort. The numbers in the left-hand column correspond to the desirability of placing the task in a particular category. For example, number one means that for the task "control reactivity level" the first choice for training should be "qualification training." However, if that is not feasible, the next best choice would be "certification training," and so on. In the last column, under *sort value*, a value is presented which shows the relative magnitude of the differences in desirability between



WHAT KIND OF ANALYSIS DO YOU WISH TO PERFORM?

TYPE 1 RANKED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING MATCH VALUES

TYPE 2 RANKED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING AVERAGE VALUES

TYPE 3 RANKED TASKS FOR EACH CATEGORY USING MATCH VALUES

TYPE 4 RANKED TASKS FOR EACH CATEGORY USING AVERAGE VALUES

TYPE 5 RECOMMENDED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING MATCH VALUES

TYPE 6 RECOMMENDED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING AVERAGE VALUES

TYPE 7 SPECIAL INPUT DATA SORTS

PLEASE SELECT A NUMBER FROM THE ABOVE LIST? 1

Figure 5. The Main Sorting Program Menu.

categories; the larger the number, the better the category "fit." On the other hand, if the numbers are identical, the ranking might show a preference. Practically, however, the categories should be considered tied.

Whereas menu items one and two ranked training strategies for each *task*, menu items three and four rank tasks for each *strategy* using match or average values, respectively. Here, all the tasks are ranked ordered relative to each other within a *single* strategy. An example of a sort for three tasks ranked relative to each other is shown in Figure 7. This information is useful in determining which tasks (in order of preference) should be selected, or eliminated from a particular strategy (e.g., which task should be the number one selection, the number two, and so on.) For example, the first task to be *removed* from category 7, "potential for more training" (see block in Figure 7 Continued) would be the last task in the ranked list (control reactivity level) (the one with the rank number 3 in the left-hand margin and a sort value of 0.77).

Menu items five and six correspond to what is anticipated to be the most common situation — one in which an NRC evaluator has no preconceived constraint about which task to place in which category. The evaluator wants the program to do all the work, i.e., make a specific recommendation about where each task should be trained. The result of selecting either of these options (see Figure 8) is a specific statement such as "task one (with its associated name) goes to category four (with its associated name)." Once again, the same logic for selection of either the match or average metrics applies.

Menu item seven in the main menu presents the user with a new menu containing 11 other options. These options are designed to provide special sorts of the task *dimension* information; i.e., only the task dimension values input during the entry process are considered, not the simultaneous criteria required to allocate a task to one of the nine major training strategies. Figure 9 shows the menu for these special options, which are designed to permit a user to quickly determine tasks which have unusual values along dimensions. Selection of a single option such as number one, "rank ordered list of tasks based on skill acquisition difficulty," will output a ranking which permits selection of those tasks most difficult to acquire. Similar rankings could be generated to identify other tasks such as those used



**BEGINNING TASK SELECTION LOGIC  
THE RANK ORDERED CATEGORIES FOR:**

control reactivity level

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	.85
2	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	.8
3	REFRESHER TRAINING	.77
4	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	.77
5	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	.75
6	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	.75
7	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	.37
8	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	.33
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	.25

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE—THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATEGORIES FOR:

regulate boration

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	REFRESHER TRAINING	.88
2	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	.88
3	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	.87
4	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	.87
5	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	.85
6	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	.8
7	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	.37
8	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	.33
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	.25

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE—THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATEGORIES FOR:

remove primary transformer coil assembly

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	1
2	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	1
3	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	1
4	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	.88
5	REFRESHER TRAINING	.88
6	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	.87
7	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	.85
8	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	.8
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	.75

**Figure 6. A Sample Task Sort Using the Main Menu, Option Number One.**

TYPE 1 RANKED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING ABSOLUTE VALUES  
 TYPE 2 RANKED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING RELATIVE VALUES  
 TYPE 3 RANKED TASKS FOR EACH CATEGORY USING ABSOLUTE VALUES  
 TYPE 4 RANKED TASKS FOR EACH CATEGORY USING RELATIVE VALUES  
 TYPE 5 RECOMMENDED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING ABSOLUTE VALUES  
 TYPE 6 RECOMMENDED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING RELATIVE VALUES  
 TYPE 7 SPECIAL INPUT DATA SORTS

PLEASE SELECT A NUMBER FROM THE ABOVE LIST? 4

NUMERIC CALCULATIONS INITIATED

THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATEGORY 1 QUALIFICATION TRAINING

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1 TASK 1	control reactivity level	.85
2 TASK 2	regulate boration	.85
3 TASK 3	remove primary transformer coil assembly	.85

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING—WAIT FOR RESPONSE

THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATEGORY 2 CERTIFICATION TRAINING

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1 TASK 1	control reactivity level	.8
2 TASK 2	regulate boration	.8
3 TASK 3	remove primary transformer coil assembly	.8

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING—WAIT FOR REPOSE

THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATEGORY 3 REFRESHER TRAINING

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1 TASK 2	regulate boration	.88
2 TASK 3	remove primary transformer coil assembly	.88
3 TASK 1	control reactivity level	.77

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINE LISTING—WAIT FOR RESPONSE

THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATEGORY 4 ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1 TASK 3	remove primary transformer coil assembly	.75
2 TASK 2	regulate boration	.25
3 TASK 1	control reactivity level	.25

Figure 7. A Sort Showing the Ranks of Three Sample Tasks Within Categories (Page 1).

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING—WAIT FOR RESPONSE		
THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATEGORY 5 ON THE JOB CANDIDATE		
ARE		SORT VALUE
1	TASK 3	remove primary transformer coil assembly .88
2	TASK 2	regulate boration .33
3	TASK 1	control reactivity level .33
PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING—WAIT FOR RESPONSE		
THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATEGORY 6 POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING		
ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	TASK 3	remove primary transformer coil assembly 1
2	TASK 2	regulate boration .37
3	TASK 1	control reactivity level .37
PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING—WAIT FOR RESPONSE		
THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATEGORY 7 POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING		
ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	TASK 3	remove primary transformer coil assembly 1
2	TASK 2	regulate boration .88
3	TASK 1	control reactivity level .77
PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING—WAIT FOR RESPONSE		
THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATEGORY 8 POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK		
ARE		SORT VALUE
1	TASK 2	regulate boration .87
2	TASK 3	remove primary transformer coil assembly .87
3	TASK 1	control reactivity level .75
PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING—WAIT FOR RESPONSE		
THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATEGORY 9 POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING		
ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	TASK 3	remove primary transformer coil assembly 1
2	TASK 2	regulate boration .87
3	TASK 1	control reactivity level .75

Figure 7. Continued.

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING—WAIT FOR RESPONSE  
 WOULD YOU LIKE ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS?  
 IF YES TYPE 'Y' IF 'NO' HIT RETURN? Y  
 WHAT KIND OF ANALYSIS DO YOU WISH TO PERFORM?

TYPE 1 RANKED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING ABSOLUTE VALUES  
 TYPE 2 RANKED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING RELATIVE VALUES  
 TYPE 3 RANKED TASKS FOR EACH CATEGORY USING ABSOLUTE VALUES  
 TYPE 4 RANKED TASKS FOR EACH CATEGORY USING RELATIVE VALUES  
 TYPE 5 RECOMMENDED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING ABSOLUTE VALUES  
 TYPE 6 RECOMMENDED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING RELATIVE VALUES  
 TYPE 7 SPECIAL INPUT DATA SORTS

PLEASE SELECT A NUMBER FROM THE ABOVE LIST? 6  
 NUMERIC CALCULATIONS INITIATED  
 TASK 3 GOES TO: POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING  
 THE TASK NAME IS: remove primary transformer coil assembly  
 TASK 2 GOES TO: REFRESHER TRAINING  
 THE TASK NAME IS: regulate boration  
 TASK 1 GOES TO: QUALIFICATION TRAINING  
 THE TASK NAME IS: control reactivity level

Figure 8. A Recommendation of Where the Three Sample Tasks Should Be Trained.

TYPE 7 SPECIAL TASK RATING SORTS  
 PLEASE SELECT A NUMBER FROM THE ABOVE LIST? 7  
 SPECIAL OPTIONS ARE:

- 1 A RANKED ORDERED LIST OF SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ON TASKS
- 2 A SIMILAR LIST OF SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY
- 3 A RANK ORDERED LIST BASED ON IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE
- 4 A SIMILAR LIST BASED ON PUBLIC SAFETY RISK
- 5 A RANK ORDER OF TASKS BASED ON PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE LIKELIHOOD
- 6 A RANKED LIST OF TASK PROBABILITY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS
- 7 A SIMILAR LIST FOR EMERGENCY OPERATIONS
- 8 A RANKED LIST OF TASKS BASED ON PLANT DELAY TOLERANCE
- 9 TASKS RANKED IN TERMS OF REGULATORY REQUIREMENT CONSTRAINTS
- 10 TASKS RANKED ON TERMS OF POTENTIAL ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES
- 11 SPECIAL COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS MODULE

PLEASE CHOOSE A NUMBER OR USE 12 TO QUIT?

Figure 9. A Listing of Special Menu Options.



only in "Emergency Operations" and with the highest values along that dimension. Rankings can also be generated to pinpoint tasks with very low plant delay tolerances or tasks with severe economic consequences. In the latter case, the program uses a special analysis for economic consequences. When the user selects Option 11, the "SPECIAL ECONOMIC ANALYSIS" heading appears along with a series of questions regarding plant operating costs. The full set of current questions are shown in Figure 10. One example is the "number of mills profit per kilowatt hour." Although certainly reflecting realistic concerns about costs, it is not intended that the present TSORT cost analysis be more than illustrative of possible factors which could be included. There are many types of "costs" other than dollars that can enter into a task ranking equation. For example the "morale factor" (e.g., requiring increased examination and training loads on experienced operators) is just as real a cost as dollars because poor morale leads to higher job turnover rates. As a first cut, however, "SPECIAL ECONOMIC ANALYSIS" uses two kinds of questions: questions about each task and general questions about the plant environment. Task specific questions are designed to consider information likely to have high variability from task to task, such as potential equipment damage or repair times. General questions concern how global plant conditions may interact with tasks to produce cost impacts. Two examples are the average cost per hour of maintenance personnel or the number of dollars lost in income for every hour the plant is not operating. It is anticipated that TSORT users may later want to add or delete cost equation factors, depending upon the degree of specificity required and which type of "costs" may be the most important. Figure 10, however, presents the result of a simple economic consequence analysis. In this example three tasks are rank ordered based on the dollar impact of a series of questions from the greatest potential impact to the least. What is most interesting about such a ranking is that the true *potential* costs of poor performance become evident when lost profits are taken into account. In a large plant, even a small number of hours of downtime can be extremely expensive. So expensive in fact that the cost of training pales in comparison. The key issue is not whether a specific numeric factor relating hours of training to individual task performance can be created (it would be nice if it were possible). Rather, it is more useful to think of training much like preventative medicine where the intent is *cost avoidance*. Identifying tasks which could have the most severe potential cost impacts forms a natural way to assist the trainer in selecting tasks for additional emphasis.

## 2.6 Future Directions

In conclusion, this report discusses a tool called TSORT designed to assist an NRC analyst in the complicated job of training program assessment. The emphasis of the methodology has been on determining whether NPP training developers allocated tasks to reasonable training strategies given the general requirements of a system approach to training. TSORT is an automated tool to provide an independent basis for such an assessment. The conceptual ideas used in TSORT are very flexible, however, and the applications of the technique need not be confined to the current examples presented in Appendix 1.

TSORT embodies a highly general method for capturing a subjective judgement process by breaking a decision into a series of dimensions. The dimensions need not only be for training. In the future, NRC may desire to change the dimensions, add new strategies, or

```

ENTER AVERAGE PLANT POWER KILOWATT HOURS/DAY? 500
ENTER AVERAGE DOLLAR PROFIT PER KILOWATT HOUR? 2.30
ENTER AVERAGE PER HOUR COST OF MAINTENANCE? 23.
FOR THIS TASK:
control generator loading rate
MAXIMUM HARDWARE DAMAGE COST INCLUDING REPLACEMENT COST? 900000.
ESTIMATED NUMBER OF DAYS TO REPAIR IF MAX DAMAGE? 180
FOR THIS TASK:
regulate boration
MAXIMUM HARDWARE DAMAGE COSTS INCLUDING REPLACEMENT COST? 87000
ESTIMATED NUMBER OF DAYS TO REPAIR IF MAX DAMAGE? 35
FOR THIS TASK:
remove primary transformer coil assembly
MAXIMUM HARDWARE DAMAGE COSTS INCLUDING REPLACEMENT COST? 1762
ESTIMATED NUMBER OF DAYS TO REPAIR IF MAX DAMAGE? 3
THE TASKS RANKED BY DOLLAR IMPACT ARE:
RANK      TASK NUMBER      NAME
1          1          control generator loading rate
           THE DOLLAR COST OF POOR TRAINING IS $1,275,360
2          2          regulate boration
           THE DOLLAR COST OF POOR TRAINING IS $128,055
3          3          remove primary transformer coil assembly
           THE DOLLAR COST OF POOR TRAINING IS $5,281
DO YOU WISH ANOTHER ANALYSIS?
TYPE S FOR SPECIAL SORTS, R FOR REGULAR SORTS, OR N FOR NO? N
SORT PROGRAM COMPLETED

```

Figure 10. A Sample Special Economic Analysis.

change rating values. The latter was discussed in Section 2.3 and is easily performed with the present code. To reach its full potential, the TSORT code should be made completely flexible. Flexibility could be accomplished by making dimensions, strategies, rating criteria, and rating logic all interactively defined. TSORT could then be customized quickly to a host of new problems. For example, TSORT might be used to rank order training scenarios rather than tasks or applied to subjective estimation of NPP risks by analyzing the dimensions experts use. Regardless of the implementation selected, new TSORT applications should be based upon carefully agreed upon criteria and dimensions. Consequently, the next logical step in expanding the present effort would be to assemble a group of NRC users to formally define and agree upon rating values for the matrix in Figure 1 and to add, subtract, or modify dimensions and categories if appropriate. Each new dimension should include a rating scale such as those developed in the present report. Before undertaking such a task, it is highly recommended that users become familiar with this report's demonstration and concepts. The best way to absorb the concepts is to actually exercise the program by placing a disk in an IBM-PC and following the program prompts. If a computer is not available, Appendix 1 provides a potential user with a complete example, including user responses. The example presents everything a user would actually see on the screen during a realistic application of 20 tasks.

### 3.0 REFERENCES

1. P. M. Haas, D. L. Selby, M. J. Hanley, and R. T. Mercer, "Evaluation of Training Programs and Entry Level Qualifications for Nuclear Power Plant Control Room Personnel Based on the Systems Approach to Training," NUREG/CR-3414, ORNL/TM-8848, September 1983.
2. E. D. Dawdy and J. K. Hawley, "A Forecasting Method for Training Effectiveness Analysis," *Proceedings of the Human Factors Society 26th Annual Meeting*, Seattle, Washington, October 1982.
3. *Handbook for Designers of Instructional Systems*, (AFP50-58), Vol. I—IV, Department of the Air Force, 1974.
4. J. G. Greeno and F. Restle, *Introduction to Mathematical Psychology*, Addison-Wesley, 1970.
5. C. C. Jorgensen, P. M. Haas, D. L. Selby, J. Lowry, "Nuclear Power Plant Entry Level Qualifications and Training," Presented at the 11th American Water Reactor Safety Meeting, Washington, D. C., October 24-28, 1983.

**APPENDIX 1**

**A COMPLETE EXAMPLE OF TSORT**



This appendix presents a complete sample exercise of TSORT. This exercise introduces 20 realistic power plant tasks into the program and follows those tasks through every option and printed output possible in this version of TSORT.

At any time during program execution, a user can obtain a printed copy of everything written on the screen. On the IBM-PC, this is accomplished by holding down the "CTRL" key on the left half of the keyboard and simultaneously pressing the key marked "Pr+Sc" on the right. Nothing will happen immediately, but when the next lines are typed on the CRT, everything that is written on the screen will be echoed to the line printer. When a hard copy is no longer needed, the same sequence of key presses will reverse the process and return to a screen-only mode of operation.

BEGINNING DATA ENTRY PROCESS

HOW MANY TASKS HAVE BEEN RATED?? 20

HOW MANY CATEGORIES HAVE BEEN USED?? 10

WHAT IS THE NAME OF TASK NUMBER 1

? REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION

PROVIDE A NUMERIC RATING FOR EACH QUESTION ABOUT:

TASK NUMBER 1

NAME---REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION

SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ? 4

SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY ? 2

NEED FOR IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE ? 5

POOR PERFORMANCE CONSEQUENCES ? 3

PREVIOUS NUCLEAR EXPERIENCE ? 3

TASK PERFORMANCE FREQUENCY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS ? 5

POTENTIAL FOR PERFORMANCE IN EMERGENCY OPERATIONS ? 0

PLANT PERFORMANCE DELAY TOLERANCE ? 5

REGULATORY REQUIREMENT? 8

ECONOMIC IMPACT OF POOR PERFORMANCE? 3

DATA ENTRY FOR TASK 1 IS NOW COMPLETE

WHAT IS THE NAME OF TASK NUMBER 2

? SMALL BREAK LOCA

PROVIDE A NUMERIC RATING FOR EACH QUESTION ABOUT:

TASK NUMBER 2

NAME---SMALL BREAK LOCA

SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ? 3

SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY ? 4

NEED FOR IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE ? 1

POOR PERFORMANCE CONSEQUENCES ? 6

PREVIOUS NUCLEAR EXPERIENCE ? 0

TASK PERFORMANCE FREQUENCY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS ? 0

POTENTIAL FOR PERFORMANCE IN EMERGENCY OPERATIONS ? 6

PLANT PERFORMANCE DELAY TOLERANCE ? 6

REGULATORY REQUIREMENT? 8

ECONOMIC IMPACT OF POOR PERFORMANCE? 9

DATA ENTRY FOR TASK 2 IS NOW COMPLETE

WHAT IS THE NAME OF TASK NUMBER 3

? LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING  
PROVIDE A NUMERIC RATING FOR EACH QUESTION ABOUT:  
TASK NUMBER 3  
NAME---LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING  
SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ? 2  
SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY ? 4  
NEED FOR IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE ? 5  
POOR PERFORMANCE CONSEQUENCES ? 4  
PREVIOUS NUCLEAR EXPERIENCE ? 3  
TASK PERFORMANCE FREQUENCY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS ? 6  
POTENTIAL FOR PERFORMANCE IN EMERGENCY OPERATIONS ? 0  
PLANT PERFORMANCE DELAY TOLERANCE ? 7  
REGULATORY REQUIREMENT? 6  
ECONOMIC IMPACT OF POOR PERFORMANCE? 5  
DATA ENTRY FOR TASK 3 IS NOW COMPLETE  
WHAT IS THE NAME OF TASK NUMBER 4

? LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM  
PROVIDE A NUMERIC RATING FOR EACH QUESTION ABOUT:  
TASK NUMBER 4  
NAME---LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM  
SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ? 2  
SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY ? 4  
NEED FOR IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE ? 6  
POOR PERFORMANCE CONSEQUENCES ? 1  
PREVIOUS NUCLEAR EXPERIENCE ? 6  
TASK PERFORMANCE FREQUENCY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS ? 6  
POTENTIAL FOR PERFORMANCE IN EMERGENCY OPERATIONS ? 0  
PLANT PERFORMANCE DELAY TOLERANCE ? 7  
REGULATORY REQUIREMENT? 6  
ECONOMIC IMPACT OF POOR PERFORMANCE? 4  
DATA ENTRY FOR TASK 4 IS NOW COMPLETE  
WHAT IS THE NAME OF TASK NUMBER 5

? HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE  
PROVIDE A NUMERIC RATING FOR EACH QUESTION ABOUT:  
TASK NUMBER 5  
NAME---HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE  
SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ? 1  
SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY ? 1  
NEED FOR IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE ? 5  
POOR PERFORMANCE CONSEQUENCES ? 1  
PREVIOUS NUCLEAR EXPERIENCE ? 5  
TASK PERFORMANCE FREQUENCY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS ? 4  
POTENTIAL FOR PERFORMANCE IN EMERGENCY OPERATIONS ? 0  
PLANT PERFORMANCE DELAY TOLERANCE ? 5  
REGULATORY REQUIREMENT? 1  
ECONOMIC IMPACT OF POOR PERFORMANCE? 3  
DATA ENTRY FOR TASK 5 IS NOW COMPLETE  
WHAT IS THE NAME OF TASK NUMBER 6

? HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP  
PROVIDE A NUMERIC RATING FOR EACH QUESTION ABOUT:  
TASK NUMBER 6  
NAME---HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP  
SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ? 2  
SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY ? 4  
NEED FOR IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE ? 2  
POOR PERFORMANCE CONSEQUENCES ? 7  
PREVIOUS NUCLEAR EXPERIENCE ? 4  
TASK PERFORMANCE FREQUENCY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS ? 1  
POTENTIAL FOR PERFORMANCE IN EMERGENCY OPERATIONS ? 5  
PLANT PERFORMANCE DELAY TOLERANCE ? 4  
REGULATORY REQUIREMENT? 3  
ECONOMIC IMPACT OF POOR PERFORMANCE? 7  
DATA ENTRY FOR TASK 6 IS NOW COMPLETE  
WHAT IS THE NAME OF TASK NUMBER 7

? ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE  
PROVIDE A NUMERIC RATING FOR EACH QUESTION ABOUT:  
TASK NUMBER 7  
NAME---ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE  
SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ? 1  
SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY ? 2  
NEED FOR IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE ? 4  
POOR PERFORMANCE CONSEQUENCES ? 2  
PREVIOUS NUCLEAR EXPERIENCE ? 6  
TASK PERFORMANCE FREQUENCY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS ? 5  
POTENTIAL FOR PERFORMANCE IN EMERGENCY OPERATIONS ? 0  
PLANT PERFORMANCE DELAY TOLERANCE ? 1  
REGULATORY REQUIREMENT? 3  
ECONOMIC IMPACT OF POOR PERFORMANCE? 1  
DATA ENTRY FOR TASK 7 IS NOW COMPLETE  
WHAT IS THE NAME OF TASK NUMBER 8

? CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE  
PROVIDE A NUMERIC RATING FOR EACH QUESTION ABOUT:  
TASK NUMBER 8  
NAME---CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE  
SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ? 3  
SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY ? 1  
NEED FOR IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE ? 3  
POOR PERFORMANCE CONSEQUENCES ? 3  
PREVIOUS NUCLEAR EXPERIENCE ? 4  
TASK PERFORMANCE FREQUENCY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS ? 2  
POTENTIAL FOR PERFORMANCE IN EMERGENCY OPERATIONS ? 4  
PLANT PERFORMANCE DELAY TOLERANCE ? 2  
REGULATORY REQUIREMENT? 5  
ECONOMIC IMPACT OF POOR PERFORMANCE? 2  
DATA ENTRY FOR TASK 8 IS NOW COMPLETE  
WHAT IS THE NAME OF TASK NUMBER 9



? LOSS OF FEEDWATER

PROVIDE A NUMERIC RATING FOR EACH QUESTION ABOUT:

TASK NUMBER 9

NAME---LOSS OF FEEDWATER

SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ? 4

SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY ? 6

NEED FOR IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE ? 2

POOR PERFORMANCE CONSEQUENCES ? 7

PREVIOUS NUCLEAR EXPERIENCE ? 1

TASK PERFORMANCE FREQUENCY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS ? 0

POTENTIAL FOR PERFORMANCE IN EMERGENCY OPERATIONS ? 5

PLANT PERFORMANCE DELAY TOLERANCE ? 6

REGULATORY REQUIREMENT? 7

ECONOMIC IMPACT OF POOR PERFORMANCE? 8

DATA ENTRY FOR TASK 9 IS NOW COMPLETE

WHAT IS THE NAME OF TASK NUMBER 10

? LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER

PROVIDE A NUMERIC RATING FOR EACH QUESTION ABOUT:

TASK NUMBER 10

NAME---LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER

SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ? 5

SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY ? 5

NEED FOR IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE ? 1

POOR PERFORMANCE CONSEQUENCES ? 7

PREVIOUS NUCLEAR EXPERIENCE ? 1

TASK PERFORMANCE FREQUENCY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS ? 0

POTENTIAL FOR PERFORMANCE IN EMERGENCY OPERATIONS ? 5

PLANT PERFORMANCE DELAY TOLFRANCE ? 6

REGULATORY REQUIREMENT? 7

ECONOMIC IMPACT OF POOR PERFORMANCE? 9

DATA ENTRY FOR TASK 10 IS NOW COMPLETE

WHAT IS THE NAME OF TASK NUMBER 11

? MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM

PROVIDE A NUMERIC RATING FOR EACH QUESTION ABOUT:

TASK NUMBER 11

NAME---MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM

SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ? 4

SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY ? 2

NEED FOR IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE ? 6

POOR PERFORMANCE CONSEQUENCES ? 8

PREVIOUS NUCLEAR EXPERIENCE ? 6

TASK PERFORMANCE FREQUENCY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS ? 2

POTENTIAL FOR PERFORMANCE IN EMERGENCY OPERATIONS ? 5

PLANT PERFORMANCE DELAY TOLERANCE ? 6

REGULATORY REQUIREMENT? 9

ECONOMIC IMPACT OF POOR PERFORMANCE? 7

DATA ENTRY FOR TASK 11 IS NOW COMPLETE

WHAT IS THE NAME OF TASK NUMBER 12

? MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP  
PROVIDE A NUMERIC RATING FOR EACH QUESTION ABOUT:  
TASK NUMBER 12  
NAME---MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP  
SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ? 7  
SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY ? 6  
NEED FOR IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE ? 5  
POOR PERFORMANCE CONSEQUENCES ? 2  
PREVIOUS NUCLEAR EXPERIENCE ? 2  
TASK PERFORMANCE FREQUENCY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS ? 6  
POTENTIAL FOR PERFORMANCE IN EMERGENCY OPERATIONS ? 0  
PLANT PERFORMANCE DELAY TOLERANCE ? 3  
REGULATORY REQUIREMENT? 6  
ECONOMIC IMPACT OF POOR PERFORMANCE? 4  
DATA ENTRY FOR TASK 12 IS NOW COMPLETE  
WHAT IS THE NAME OF TASK NUMBER 13

? SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS  
PROVIDE A NUMERIC RATING FOR EACH QUESTION ABOUT:  
TASK NUMBER 13  
NAME---SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS  
SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ? 2  
SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY ? 2  
NEED FOR IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE ? 7  
POOR PERFORMANCE CONSEQUENCES ? 2  
PREVIOUS NUCLEAR EXPERIENCE ? 8  
TASK PERFORMANCE FREQUENCY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS ? 7  
POTENTIAL FOR PERFORMANCE IN EMERGENCY OPERATIONS ? 0  
PLANT PERFORMANCE DELAY TOLERANCE ? 1  
REGULATORY REQUIREMENT? 6  
ECONOMIC IMPACT OF POOR PERFORMANCE? 2  
DATA ENTRY FOR TASK 13 IS NOW COMPLETE  
WHAT IS THE NAME OF TASK NUMBER 14

? MAIN TURBINE STARTUP  
PROVIDE A NUMERIC RATING FOR EACH QUESTION ABOUT:  
TASK NUMBER 14  
NAME---MAIN TURBINE STARTUP  
SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ? 6  
SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY ? 8  
NEED FOR IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE ? 5  
POOR PERFORMANCE CONSEQUENCES ? 5  
PREVIOUS NUCLEAR EXPERIENCE ? 3  
TASK PERFORMANCE FREQUENCY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS ? 6  
POTENTIAL FOR PERFORMANCE IN EMERGENCY OPERATIONS ? 0  
PLANT PERFORMANCE DELAY TOLERANCE ? 5  
REGULATORY REQUIREMENT? 6  
ECONOMIC IMPACT OF POOR PERFORMANCE? 9  
DATA ENTRY FOR TASK 14 IS NOW COMPLETE  
WHAT IS THE NAME OF TASK NUMBER 15

? LARGE LOCA

PROVIDE A NUMERIC RATING FOR EACH QUESTION ABOUT:

TASK NUMBER 15

NAME---LARGE LOCA

SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ? 1

SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY ? 1

NEED FOR IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE ? 1

POOR PERFORMANCE CONSEQUENCES ? 9

PREVIOUS NUCLEAR EXPERIENCE ? 0

TASK PERFORMANCE FREQUENCY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS ? 0

POTENTIAL FOR PERFORMANCE IN EMERGENCY OPERATIONS ? 9

PLANT PERFORMANCE DELAY TOLERANCE ? 1

REGULATORY REQUIREMENT? 8

ECONOMIC IMPACT OF POOR PERFORMANCE? 9

DATA ENTRY FOR TASK 15 IS NOW COMPLETE

WHAT IS THE NAME OF TASK NUMBER 16

? REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP

PROVIDE A NUMERIC RATING FOR EACH QUESTION ABOUT:

TASK NUMBER 16

NAME---REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP

SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ? 2

SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY ? 2

NEED FOR IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE ? 6

POOR PERFORMANCE CONSEQUENCES ? 3

PREVIOUS NUCLEAR EXPERIENCE ? 5

TASK PERFORMANCE FREQUENCY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS ? 4

POTENTIAL FOR PERFORMANCE IN EMERGENCY OPERATIONS ? 7

PLANT PERFORMANCE DELAY TOLERANCE ? 2

REGULATORY REQUIREMENT? 6

ECONOMIC IMPACT OF POOR PERFORMANCE? 6

DATA ENTRY FOR TASK 16 IS NOW COMPLETE

WHAT IS THE NAME OF TASK NUMBER 17

? REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)

PROVIDE A NUMERIC RATING FOR EACH QUESTION ABOUT:

TASK NUMBER 17

NAME---REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)

SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ? 2

SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY ? 2

NEED FOR IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE ? 4

POOR PERFORMANCE CONSEQUENCES ? 3

PREVIOUS NUCLEAR EXPERIENCE ? 3

TASK PERFORMANCE FREQUENCY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS ? 3

POTENTIAL FOR PERFORMANCE IN EMERGENCY OPERATIONS ? 1

PLANT PERFORMANCE DELAY TOLERANCE ? 2

REGULATORY REQUIREMENT? 6

ECONOMIC IMPACT OF POOR PERFORMANCE? 3

DATA ENTRY FOR TASK 17 IS NOW COMPLETE

WHAT IS THE NAME OF TASK NUMBER 18

? MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP  
PROVIDE A NUMERIC RATING FOR EACH QUESTION ABOUT:  
TASK NUMBER 18  
NAME---MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP  
SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ? 2  
SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY ? 2  
NEED FOR IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE ? 6  
POOR PERFORMANCE CONSEQUENCES ? 5  
PREVIOUS NUCLEAR EXPERIENCE ? 6  
TASK PERFORMANCE FREQUENCY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS ? 0  
POTENTIAL FOR PERFORMANCE IN EMERGENCY OPERATIONS ? 3  
PLANT PERFORMANCE DELAY TOLERANCE ? 3  
REGULATORY REQUIREMENT? 9  
ECONOMIC IMPACT OF POOR PERFORMANCE? 8  
DATA ENTRY FOR TASK 18 IS NOW COMPLETE  
WHAT IS THE NAME OF TASK NUMBER 19

? FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)  
PROVIDE A NUMERIC RATING FOR EACH QUESTION ABOUT:  
TASK NUMBER 19  
NAME---FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)  
SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ? 3  
SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY ? 5  
NEED FOR IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE ? 2  
POOR PERFORMANCE CONSEQUENCES ? 8  
PREVIOUS NUCLEAR EXPERIENCE ? 1  
TASK PERFORMANCE FREQUENCY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS ? 0  
POTENTIAL FOR PERFORMANCE IN EMERGENCY OPERATIONS ? 5  
PLANT PERFORMANCE DELAY TOLERANCE ? 3  
REGULATORY REQUIREMENT? 9  
ECONOMIC IMPACT OF POOR PERFORMANCE? 6  
DATA ENTRY FOR TASK 19 IS NOW COMPLETE  
WHAT IS THE NAME OF TASK NUMBER 20

? NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE  
PROVIDE A NUMERIC RATING FOR EACH QUESTION ABOUT:  
TASK NUMBER 20  
NAME---NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE  
SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ? 1  
SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY ? 1  
NEED FOR IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE ? 8  
POOR PERFORMANCE CONSEQUENCES ? 1  
PREVIOUS NUCLEAR EXPERIENCE ? 7  
TASK PERFORMANCE FREQUENCY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS ? 6  
POTENTIAL FOR PERFORMANCE IN EMERGENCY OPERATIONS ? 1  
PLANT PERFORMANCE DELAY TOLERANCE ? 1  
REGULATORY REQUIREMENT? 6  
ECONOMIC IMPACT OF POOR PERFORMANCE? 3  
DATA ENTRY FOR TASK 20 IS NOW COMPLETE  
YOUR DATA HAS NOW BEEN ENTERED, DO YOU WISH A HARD COPY?  
IF SO TYPE 'Y' OTHERWISE HIT ANY OTHER KEY 'Y

### Printout of the 20 Input Tasks

TASK NUMBER 1  
REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION

SK AD	SK PR	IM PR	SAFE	NU EX	NR OP
4	2	5	3	3	5
EM OP	DE TL	REG R	ECON		
0	5	8	3		

TASK NUMBER 2  
SMALL BREAK LOCA

SK AD	SK PR	IM PR	SAFE	NU EX	NR OP
3	4	1	6	0	0
EM OP	DE TL	REG R	ECON		
6	6	8	9		

TASK NUMBER 3  
LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING

SK AD	SK PR	IM PR	SAFE	NU EX	NR OP
2	4	5	4	3	6
EM OP	DE TL	REG R	ECON		
0	7	6	5		

TASK NUMBER 4  
LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM

SK AD	SK PR	IM PR	SAFE	NU EX	NR OP
2	4	6	1	6	6
EM OP	DE TL	REG R	ECON		
0	7	6	4		

TASK NUMBER 5  
HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE

SK AD	SK PR	IM PR	SAFE	NU EX	NR OP
1	1	5	1	5	4
EM OP	DE TL	REG R	ECON		
0	5	1	3		

TASK NUMBER 6  
HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP

SK AD	SK PR	IM PR	SAFE	NU EX	NR OP
2	4	2	7	4	1
EM OP	DE TL	REG R	ECON		
5	4	3	7		

TASK NUMBER 7  
ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE

SK AD	SK PR	IM PR	SAFE	NU EX	NR OP
1	7	4	2	6	3
EM OP	DE TL	REG R	ECON		
0	1	3	1		



TASK NUMBER 8  
CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE

SK AD	SK PR	IM PR	SAFE	NU EX	NR OP
3	1	3	3	4	2
EM OP	DE TL	REG R	ECON		
4	2	5	2		

TASK NUMBER 9  
LOSS OF FEEDWATER

SK AD	SK PR	IM PR	SAFE	NU EX	NR OP
4	6	2	7	1	0
EM OP	DE TL	REG R	ECON		
5	6	7	8		

TASK NUMBER 10  
LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER

SK AD	SK PR	IM PR	SAFE	NU EX	NR OP
5	5	1	7	1	0
EM OP	DE TL	REG R	ECON		
5	6	7	9		

TASK NUMBER 11  
MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM

SK AD	SK PR	IM PR	SAFE	NU EX	NR OP
4	2	6	8	6	2
EM OP	DE TL	REG R	ECON		
5	6	9	7		

TASK NUMBER 12  
MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL N STARTUP

SK AD	SK PR	IM PR	SAFE	NU EX	NR OP
7	6	5	2	2	6
EM OP	DE TL	REG R	ECON		
0	3	6	4		

TASK NUMBER 13  
SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS

SK AD	SK PR	IM PR	SAFE	NU EX	NR OP
2	2	7	2	8	7
EM OP	DE TL	REG R	ECON		
0	1	6	2		

TASK NUMBER 14  
MAIN TURBINE STARTUP

SK AD	SK PR	IM PR	SAFE	NU EX	NR OP
6	8	5	5	3	6
EM OP	DE TL	REG R	ECON		
0	5	6	9		

TASK NUMBER 15  
LARGE LOCA

SK AD	SK PR	IM PR	SAFE	NU EX	NR OP
1	1	1	9	0	0
EM OP	DE TL	REG R	ECON		
9	1	8	9		

TASK NUMBER 16  
REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP

SK AD	SK PR	IM PR	SAFE	NU EX	NR OP
2	2	6	3	5	4
EM OP	DE TL	REG R	ECON		
7	2	6	5		

TASK NUMBER 17  
REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)

SK AD	SK PR	IM PR	SAFE	NU EX	NR OP
2	2	4	3	3	3
EM OP	DE TL	REG R	ECON		
1	2	6	3		

TASK NUMBER 18  
MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP

SK AD	SK PR	IM PR	SAFE	NU EX	NR OP
2	2	6	5	6	0
EM OP	DE TL	REG R	ECON		
3	3	9	8		

TASK NUMBER 19  
FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)

SK AD	SK PR	IM PR	SAFE	NU EX	NR OP
3	5	2	8	1	0
EM OP	DE TL	REG R	ECON		
5	3	9	6		

TASK NUMBER 20  
NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE

SK AD	SK PR	IM PR	SAFE	NU EX	NR OP
1	1	8	1	7	6
EM OP	DE TL	REG R	ECON		
1	1	8	3		

YOU ARE NOW IN THE ANALYSIS MODE  
WHAT KIND OF ANALYSIS DO YOU WISH TO PERFORM?

TYPE 1 RANKED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING MATCH VALUES  
 TYPE 2 RANKED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING AVERAGE VALUES  
 TYPE 3 RANKED TASKS FOR EACH CATEGORY USING MATCH VALUES  
 TYPE 4 RANKED TASKS FOR EACH CATEGORY USING AVERAGE VALUES  
 TYPE 5 RECOMMENDED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK-USING ABSOLUTE VALUES  
 TYPE 6 RECOMMENDED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK-USING RELATIVE VALUES  
 TYPE 7 SPECIAL INPUT DATA SORTS

PLEASE SELECT A NUMBER FROM THE ABOVE LIST? 1

MATCH CALCULATIONS INITIATED

BEGINNING TASK SELECTION LOGIC

THE RANK ORDERED CATEGORIES FOR:

REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION

ARE:

		SORT VALUE
1	REFRESHER TRAINING	.8888889
2	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	.8883889
3	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	.875
4	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	.875
5	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	.8571429
6	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	.8
7	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	.5555556
8	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	.5
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	.5

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE

THE RANK ORDERED CATEGORIES FOR:

SMALL BREAK LOCA

ARE:

		SORT VALUE
1	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	1
2	REFRESHER TRAINING	1
3	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	1
4	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	1
5	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	1
6	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	.8571429
7	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	.5
8	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	.4444445
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	.25

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE

THE RANK ORDERED CATEGORIES FOR:

LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING

ARE:

		SORT VALUE
1	REFRESHER TRAINING	.8888889
2	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	.8888809
3	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	.875
4	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	.875
5	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	.8571429
6	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	.8
7	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	.5
8	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	.4444445
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	.375

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
 THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
 LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	.8571429
2	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	.8
3	REFRESHER TRAINING	.7777778
4	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	.7777778
5	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TAS,	.75
6	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	.75
7	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	.625
8	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	.5555556
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	.5

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
 THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
 HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	.8888889
2	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	.875
3	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	.8571429
4	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	.8
5	REFRESHER TRAINING	.7777778
6	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	.75
7	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	.75
8	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	.75
9	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	.6666667

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
 THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
 HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	1
2	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	1
3	REFRESHER TRAINING	.8888889
4	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	.875
5	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	.8571429
6	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	.8
7	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	.5
8	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	.4444445
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	.25

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
 THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
 ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	1
2	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	.8888889
3	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	.875
4	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	.875
5	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	.8
6	REFRESHER TRAINING	.7777778
7	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	.7777778
8	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	.75
9	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	.75

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
 THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
 CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	1
2	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	1
3	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	1
4	REFRESHER TRAINING	.8888889
5	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	.8888889
6	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	.875
7	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	.8
8	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	.75
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	.75

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
 THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
 LOSS OF FEEDWATER

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	1
2	REFRESHER TRAINING	1
3	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	1
4	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	1
5	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	1
6	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	.8571429
7	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	.375
8	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	.3333334
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	.125

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
 THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
 LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	1
2	REFRESHER TRAINING	1
3	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	1
4	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	1
5	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	1
6	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	.8571429
7	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	.375
8	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	.3333334
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	.125

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
 THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
 MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	REFRESHER TRAINING	.8888889
2	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	.8888889
3	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	.875
4	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	.875
5	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	.8571429
6	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	.8
7	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	.375
8	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	.3333334
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	.25



PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	1
2	REFRESHER TRAINING	.8888889
3	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	.8888889
4	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	.875
5	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	.875
6	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	.8571429
7	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	.625
8	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	.5555556
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	.5

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	1
2	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	.8888867
3	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	.875
4	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	.875
5	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	.8
6	REFRESHER TRAINING	.7777778
7	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	.7777778
8	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	.75
9	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	.75

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
MAIN TURBINE STARTUP

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	REFRESHER TRAINING	.8888889
2	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	.8888889
3	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	.875
4	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	.875
5	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	.8571429
6	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	.8
7	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	.375
8	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	.3333334
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	.25

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
LARGE LOCA

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	1
2	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	1
3	REFRESHER TRAINING	1
4	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	1
5	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	1
6	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	1
7	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	.75
8	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	.6666667
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	.5

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	1
2	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	.8888889
3	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	.875
4	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	.8
5	REFRESHER TRAINING	.7777778
6	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	.75
7	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	.6666667
8	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	.625
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	.625

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	1
2	REFRESHER TRAINING	1
3	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	1
4	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	1
5	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	1
6	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	.8
7	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	.7777778
8	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	.75
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	.75

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	REFRESHER TRAINING	.8888889
2	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	.8388889
3	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	.875
4	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	.875
5	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	.8571429
6	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	.8
7	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	.75
8	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	.6666667
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	.625

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	1
2	REFRESHER TRAINING	1
3	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	1
4	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	1
5	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	1
6	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	.8571429
7	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	.625
8	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	.5555556
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	.375

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
 THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
 NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	1
2	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	.875
3	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	.8
4	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	.7777778
5	REFRESHER TRAINING	.7777778
6	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	.7777778
7	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	.75
8	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	.75
9	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	.75

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
 WOULD YOU LIKE ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS?  
 IF YES TYPE 'Y' IF 'NO' HIT RETURN? Y  
 WHAT KIND OF ANALYSIS DO YOU WISH TO PERFORM?

- TYPE 1 RANKED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING MATCH VALUES
- TYPE 2 RANKED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING AVERAGE VALUES
- TYPE 3 RANKED TASKS FOR EACH CATEGORY USING MATCH VALUES
- TYPE 4 RANKED TASKS FOR EACH CATEGORY USING AVERAGE VALUES
- TYPE 5 RECOMMENDED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK-USING ABSOLUTE VALUES
- TYPE 6 RECOMMENDED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK-USING RELATIVE VALUES
- TYPE 7 SPECIAL INPUT DATA SORTS

PLEASE SELECT A NUMBER FROM THE ABOVE LIST? 2

AVERAGE CALCULATIONS INITIATED  
 BEGINNING TASK SELECTION LOGIC  
 THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
 REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDIIION

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	-1.111111
2	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	-1.25
3	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	-1.4
4	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	-1.428572
5	REFRESHER TRAINING	-1.444444
6	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	-1.625
7	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	-1.875
8	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	-2.125
9	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	-2.777778

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
 THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
 SMALL BREAK LOCA

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	-.375
2	REFRESHER TRAINING	-.8888889
3	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	-1.2
4	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	-1.285714
5	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	-1.5
6	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	-1.666667
7	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	-2.875
8	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	-3.222222
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	-3.875

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	-.8
2	REFRESHER TRAINING	-1.333333
3	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	-1.5
4	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	-1.5
5	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	-1.571429
6	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	-1.666667
7	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	-2.125
8	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	-2.25
9	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	-2.333333

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	-1.125
2	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	-1.222222
3	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	-1.625
4	REFRESHER TRAINING	-1.777778
5	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	-2
6	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	-2
7	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	-2
8	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	-2.625
9	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	-2.777778

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	-.625
2	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	-.875
3	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	-.888889
4	REFRESHER TRAINING	-2.333333
5	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	-2.5
6	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	-2.571429
7	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	-2.6
8	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	-2.75
9	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	-3.333333

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	-.75
2	REFRESHER TRAINING	-1.222222
3	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	-1.285714
4	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	-1.375
5	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	-1.6
6	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	-1.777778
7	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	-2
8	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	-2.222222
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	-2.25

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	-.2222222
2	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	-.375
3	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	-.375
4	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	-2
5	REFRESHER TRAINING	-2.666667
6	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	-3.125
7	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	-3.125
8	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	-3.2
9	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	-4.111111

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	-.7777778
2	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	-1
3	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	-1
4	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	-1
5	REFRESHER TRAINING	-1.555556
6	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	-1.75
7	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	-2.125
8	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	-2.6
9	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	-3.111111

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
LOSS OF FEEDWATER

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	-.125
2	REFRESHER TRAINING	-.7777778
3	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	-.8
4	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	-.875
5	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	-1.111111
6	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	-1.142857
7	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	-3.125
8	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	-3.333333
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	-3.875

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	1.75
2	REFRESHER TRAINING	-.8888889
3	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	-1
4	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	-1
5	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	-1.222222
6	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	-1.285714
7	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	-3.125
8	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	-3.444445
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	-4



PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	-.7142858
2	REFRESHER TRAINING	-.7777778
3	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	-.875
4	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	-1
5	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	-1.125
6	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	-1.222222
7	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	-2.5
8	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	-2.555556
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	-3.125

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	-1.285714
2	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	-1.375
3	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	-1.5
4	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	-1.625
5	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	-1.777778
6	REFRESHER TRAINING	-1.777778
7	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	-1.8
8	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	-2.333333
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	-2.375

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	-.4444445
2	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	-.5
3	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	-.625
4	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	-1.428572
5	REFRESHER TRAINING	-2.666667
6	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	-3.25
7	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	-3.375
8	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	-3.4
9	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	-4

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
MAIN TURBINE STARTUP

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	-1
2	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	-1
3	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	-1.125
4	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	-1.285714
5	REFRESHER TRAINING	-1.444444
6	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	-1.444444
7	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	-2.25
8	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	-2.777778
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	-3.5

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
LARGE LOCA

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	-.7142858
2	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	-1.5
3	REFRESHER TRAINING	-1.888889
4	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	-2
5	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	-2
6	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	-2.666667
7	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	-3.125
8	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	-3.444445
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	-4.125

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	-.1428571
2	REFRESHER TRAINING	-1.333333
3	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	-1.375
4	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	-1.5
5	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	-1.555556
6	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	-1.875
7	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	-1.875
8	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	-2.4
9	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	-2.444445

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	-.8888889
2	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	-1
3	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	-1
4	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	-1.25
5	REFRESHER TRAINING	-1.666667
6	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	-1.875
7	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	-2.125
8	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	-2.2
9	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	-3.111111

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE-THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	-.8571429
2	REFRESHER TRAINING	-1.444444
3	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	-1.625
4	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	-1.625
5	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	-1.625
6	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	-1.888889
7	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	-2
8	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	-2
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	-2.125

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE--THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	-.5
2	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	-.7142858
3	REFRESHER TRAINING	-1.1111111
4	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	-1.125
5	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	-1.4
6	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	-1.777778
7	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	-2.625
8	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	-2.666667
9	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	-3.375

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE--THERE WILL EE A SHORT PAUSE  
THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:  
NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE

ARE:		SORT VALUE
1	ON THE JOB CANDIDATE	-.6666667
2	ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING	-.75
3	POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING	-.75
4	QUALIFICATION TRAINING	-1.285714
5	REFRESHER TRAINING	-2.555556
6	POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK	-3.125
7	POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING	-3.125
8	CERTIFICATION TRAINING	-3.4
9	POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	-3.888889

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE--THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE  
WOULD YOU LIKE ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS?  
IF YES TYPE 'Y' IF 'NO' HIT RETURN? Y  
WHAT KIND OF ANALYSIS DO YOU WISH TO PERFORM?

TYPE 1 RANKED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING MATCH VALUES  
 TYPE 2 RANKED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING AVERAGE VALUES  
 TYPE 3 RANKED TASKS FOR EACH CATEGORY USING MATCH VALUES  
 TYPE 4 RANKED TASKS FOR EACH CATEGORY USING AVERAGE VALUES  
 TYPE 5 RECOMMENDED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK-USING ABSOLUTE VALUES  
 TYPE 6 RECOMMENDED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK-USING RELATIVE VALUES  
 TYPE 7 SPECIAL INPUT DATA SORTS

PLEASE SELECT A NUMBER FROM THE ABOVE LIST? 3

MATCH CALCULATIONS INITIATED

THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATEGORY 1 QUALIFICATION TRAINING  
 ARE: SORT VALUE

			QUALIFICATION	TRAINING	SORT VALUE
1	TASK	7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE		1
2	TASK	8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE		1
3	TASK	13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS		1
4	TASK	15	LARGE LOCA		1
5	TASK	16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP		1
6	TASK	17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)	1	
7	TASK	20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE		1
8	TASK	2	SMALL BREAK LOCA		.8571429
9	TASK	9	LOSS OF FEEDWATER		.8571429
10	TASK	10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER		.8571429
11	TASK	11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM		.8571429
12	TASK	12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP		.8571429
13	TASK	3	LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING		.8571429
14	TASK	14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP		.8571429
15	TASK	4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM		.8571429
16	TASK	5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE		.8571429
17	TASK	6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP		.8571429
18	TASK	18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP		.8571429
19	TASK	19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)		.8571429
20	TASK	1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION		.8571429

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING-WAIT FOR RESPONSE  
 THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATAGORY 2 CERTIFICATION TRAINING  
 ARE: SURT VALUE

RANK	TASK	DESCRIPTION	SURT VALUE
1	TASK 2	SMALL BREAK LOCA	1
2	TASK 9	LOSS OF FEEDWATER	1
3	TASK 10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER	1
4	TASK 12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP	1
5	TASK 15	LARGE LOCA	1
6	TASK 19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)	1
7	TASK 7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE	.8
8	TASK 8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE	.8
9	TASK 1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION	.8
10	TASK 3	LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING	.8
11	TASK 11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM	.8
12	TASK 4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM	.8
13	TASK 13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS	.8
14	TASK 14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP	.8
15	TASK 5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE	.8
16	TASK 16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP	.8
17	TASK 17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)	.8
18	TASK 18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP	.8
19	TASK 6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP	.8
20	TASK 20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE	.8



PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING-WAIT FOR RESPONSE  
 THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATAGORY 3 REFRESHER TRAINING  
 ARE:

			SORT VALUE
1	TASK 2	SMALL BREAK LOCA	1
2	TASK 9	LOSS OF FEEDWATER	1
3	TASK 10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER	1
4	TASK 15	LARGE LOCA	1
5	TASK 17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)	1
6	TASK 19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)	1
7	TASK 3	LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING	.8888889
8	TASK 11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM	.8888889
9	TASK 12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP	.8888889
10	TASK 14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP	.8888889
11	TASK 6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP	.8888889
12	TASK 8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE	.8888889
13	TASK 18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP	.8888889
14	TASK 1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION	.8888889
15	TASK 5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE	.7777778
16	TASK 16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP	.7777778
17	TASK 4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM	.7777778
18	TASK 13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS	.7777778
19	TASK 7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE	.7777778
20	TASK 20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE	.7777778

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING-WAIT FOR RESPONSE  
 THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATAGORY 4 ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING  
 ARE:

			SORT VALUE
1	TASK 7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE	.875
2	TASK 13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS	.875
3	TASK 8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE	.75
4	TASK 5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE	.75
5	TASK 17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)	.75
6	TASK 20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE	.75
7	TASK 18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP	.625
8	TASK 16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP	.625
9	TASK 1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION	.5
10	TASK 12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP	.5
11	TASK 4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM	.5
12	TASK 15	LARGE LOCA	.5
13	TASK 19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)	.375
14	TASK 3	LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING	.375
15	TASK 6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP	.25
16	TASK 14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP	.25
17	TASK 11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM	.25
18	TASK 2	SMALL BREAK LOCA	.25
19	TASK 9	LOSS OF FEEDWATER	.125
20	TASK 10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER	.125

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING-WAIT FOR RESPONSE

THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATEGORY 5 ON THE JOB CANDIDATE

ARE:

			SORT VALUE
1	TASK	7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE .8888889
2	TASK	8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE .8888889
3	TASK	13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS .8888889
4	TASK	17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP) .7777778
5	TASK	20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE .7777778
6	TASK	16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP .6666667
7	TASK	5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE .6666667
8	TASK	18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP .6666667
9	TASK	15	LARGE LOCA .6666667
10	TASK	4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM .5555556
11	TASK	1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION .5555556
12	TASK	19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION) .5555556
13	TASK	12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP .5555556
14	TASK	3	LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING .4444445
15	TASK	6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP .4444445
16	TASK	2	SMALL BREAK LOCA .4444445
17	TASK	10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER .3333334
18	TASK	14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP .3333334
19	TASK	11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM .3333334
20	TASK	9	LOSS OF FEEDWATER .3333334

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING-WAIT FOR RESPONSE  
 THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATAGORY 6 POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING  
 ARE: SORT VALUE

1	TASK	7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE	.875
2	TASK	13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS	.875
3	TASK	20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE	.875
4	TASK	5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE	.75
5	TASK	15	LARGE LOCA	.75
6	TASK	17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)	.75
7	TASK	18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP	.75
8	TASK	8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE	.75
9	TASK	4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM	.625
10	TASK	12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP	.625
11	TASK	19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)	.625
12	TASK	16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP	.625
13	TASK	2	SMALL BREAK LOCA	.5
14	TASK	6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP	.5
15	TASK	3	LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING	.5
16	TASK	1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION	.5
17	TASK	10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER	.375
18	TASK	14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP	.375
19	TASK	11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM	.375
20	TASK	9	LOSS OF FEEDWATER	.375

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING--WAIT FOR RESPONSE  
 THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATAGORY 7 POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING  
 ARE:

			POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING	SORT VALUE
1	TASK	2	SMALL BREAK LOCA	1
2	TASK	6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP	1
3	TASK	8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE	1
4	TASK	9	LOSS OF FEEDWATER	1
5	TASK	10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER	1
6	TASK	15	LARGE LOCA	1
7	TASK	17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)	1
8	TASK	19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)	1
9	TASK	11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM	.8888889
10	TASK	12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP	.8888889
11	TASK	14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP	.8888889
12	TASK	3	LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING	.8888889
13	TASK	16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP	.8888889
14	TASK	5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE	.8888889
15	TASK	18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP	.8888889
16	TASK	1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION	.8888889
17	TASK	7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE	.7777778
18	TASK	4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM	.7777778
19	TASK	13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS	.7777778
20	TASK	20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE	.7777778



PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING--WAIT FOR RESPONSE  
 THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATAGORY B POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK  
 ARE:

				Sort Value
1	TASK	2	SMALL BREAK LOCA	1
2	TASK	9	LOSS OF FEEDWATER	1
3	TASK	10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER	1
4	TASK	15	LARGE LOCA	1
5	TASK	17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)	1
6	TASK	19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)	1
7	TASK	3	LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING	.875
8	TASK	11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM	.875
9	TASK	12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP	.875
10	TASK	14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP	.875
11	TASK	6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP	.875
12	TASK	8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE	.875
13	TASK	18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP	.875
14	TASK	1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION	.875
15	TASK	5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE	.75
16	TASK	16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP	.75
17	TASK	4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM	.75
18	TASK	13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS	.75
19	TASK	7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE	.75
20	TASK	20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE	.75

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING-WAIT FOR RESPONSE  
 THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATEGORY 9 POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING  
 ARE:

			POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING SORT VALUE
1	TASK 2	SMALL BREAK LOCA	1
2	TASK 6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP	1
3	TASK 8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE	1
4	TASK 9	LOSS OF FEEDWATER	1
5	TASK 10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER	1
6	TASK 15	LARGE LOCA	1
7	TASK 17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)	1
8	TASK 19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)	1
9	TASK 11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM	.875
10	TASK 12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP	.875
11	TASK 14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP	.875
12	TASK 3	LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING	.875
13	TASK 16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP	.875
14	TASK 5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE	.875
15	TASK 18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP	.875
16	TASK 1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION	.875
17	TASK 7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE	.75
18	TASK 4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM	.75
19	TASK 13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS	.75
20	TASK 20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE	.75

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING-WAIT FOR RESPONSE  
 WOULD YOU LIKE ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS?  
 IF YES TYPE 'Y' IF 'NO' HIT RETURN? Y  
 WHAT KIND OF ANALYSIS DO YOU WISH TO PERFORM?

TYPE 1 RANKED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING MATCH VALUES  
 TYPE 2 RANKED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING AVERAGE VALUES  
 TYPE 3 RANKED TASKS FOR EACH CATEGORY USING MATCH VALUES  
 TYPE 4 RANKED TASKS FOR EACH CATEGORY USING AVERAGE VALUES  
 TYPE 5 RECOMMENDED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK-USING ABSOLUTE VALUES  
 TYPE 6 RECOMMENDED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK-USING RELATIVE VALUES  
 TYPE 7 SPECIAL INPUT DATA SORTS

PLEASE SELECT A NUMBER FROM THE ABOVE LIST? 4

AVERAGE CALCULATIONS INITIATED

THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATAGORY 1 QUALIFICATION TRAINING  
 ARE: SORT VALUE

1 TASK	16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP	-.1428571
2 TASK	15	LARGE LOCA	-.7142858
3 TASK	11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM	-.7142858
4 TASK	19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)	-.7142858
5 TASK	18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP	-.8571429
6 TASK	8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE	-1
7 TASK	17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)	-1
8 TASK	9	LOSS OF FEEDWATER	-1.142857
9 TASK	6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP	-1.285714
10 TASK	10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER	-1.285714
11 TASK	2	SMALL BREAK LOCA	-1.285714
12 TASK	12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP	-1.285714
13 TASK	14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP	-1.285714
14 TASK	20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE	-1.285714
15 TASK	13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS	-1.428572
16 TASK	1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION	-1.428572
17 TASK	3	LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING	-1.571429
18 TASK	7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE	-2
19 TASK	4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM	-2
20 TASK	5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE	-2.571429

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING-WAIT FOR RESPONSE  
 THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATEGORY 2 CERTIFICATION TRAINING  
 ARE:

				TRAINING SORT VALUE
1	TASK	3	LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING	-.8
2	TASK	9	LOSS OF FEEDWATER	-.8
3	TASK	10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER	-1
4	TASK	11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM	-1
5	TASK	14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP	-1
6	TASK	2	SMALL BREAK LOCA	-1.2
7	TASK	1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION	-1.4
8	TASK	19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)	-1.4
9	TASK	6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP	-1.6
10	TASK	12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP	-1.8
11	TASK	15	LARGE LOCA	-2
12	TASK	18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP	-2
13	TASK	4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM	-2
14	TASK	17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)	-2.2
15	TASK	16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP	-2.4
16	TASK	5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE	-2.6
17	TASK	8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE	-2.6
18	TASK	7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE	-3.2
19	TASK	13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS	-3.4
20	TASK	20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE	-3.4

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING-WAIT FOR RESPONSE  
 THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATAGORY 3 REFRESHER TRAINING  
 ARE:

			SORT VALUE
1	TASK 9	LOSS OF FEEDWATER	-.7777778
2	TASK 11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM	-.7777778
3	TASK 10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER	-.8888889
4	TASK 2	SMALL BREAK LOCA	-.8888889
5	TASK 19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)	-1.1111111
6	TASK 6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP	-1.2222222
7	TASK 16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP	-1.3333333
8	TASK 3	LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING	-1.3333333
9	TASK 1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION	-1.4444444
10	TASK 18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP	-1.4444444
11	TASK 14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP	-1.4444444
12	TASK 8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE	-1.5555556
13	TASK 17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)	-1.6666667
14	TASK 12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP	-1.7777778
15	TASK 4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM	-1.7777778
16	TASK 15	LARGE LOCA	-1.8888889
17	TASK 5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE	-2.3333333
18	TASK 20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE	-2.5555556
19	TASK 13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS	-2.6666667
20	TASK 7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE	-2.6666667



PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING-WAIT FOR RESPONSE  
 THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATAGORY 4 ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING  
 ARE:

			SORT VALUE
1	TASK 7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE	-.375
2	TASK 5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE	-.625
3	TASK 13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS	-.625
4	TASK 20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE	-.75
5	TASK 8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE	-1
6	TASK 17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)	-1.25
7	TASK 4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM	-1.625
8	TASK 1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION	-1.875
9	TASK 16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP	-1.875
10	TASK 18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP	-2.125
11	TASK 6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP	-2.25
12	TASK 3	LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING	-2.25
13	TASK 12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP	-2.375
14	TASK 11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM	-3.125
15	TASK 19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)	-3.375
16	TASK 14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP	-3.5
17	TASK 9	LOSS OF FEEDWATER	-3.875
18	TASK 2	SMALL BREAK LOCA	-3.875
19	TASK 10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER	-4
20	TASK 15	LARGE LOCA	-4.125

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING-WAIT FOR RESPONSE  
 THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATAGORY 5 ON THE JOB CANDIDATE  
 ARE:

			SCORE VALUE
1	TASK 7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE	-.2222222
2	TASK 13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS	-.4444445
3	TASK 20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE	-.6666667
4	TASK 8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE	-.7777778
5	TASK 17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)	-.8888889
6	TASK 5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE	-.8888889
7	TASK 1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION	-1.1111111
8	TASK 4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM	-1.2222222
9	TASK 16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP	-1.5555556
10	TASK 3	LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING	-1.6666667
11	TASK 12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP	-1.7777778
12	TASK 18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP	-1.8888889
13	TASK 6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP	-2.2222222
14	TASK 11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM	-2.5555556
15	TASK 19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)	-2.6666667
16	TASK 14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP	-2.7777778
17	TASK 2	SMALL BREAK LOCA	-3.2222222
18	TASK 9	LOSS OF FEEDWATER	-3.3333333
19	TASK 15	LARGE LOCA	-3.4444445
20	TASK 10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER	-3.4444445

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING-WAIT FOR RESPONSE  
 THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATAGORY 6 POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING  
 ARE:

			POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING SORT VALUE
1	TASK 7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE	-.375
2	TASK 13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS	-.5
3	TASK 20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE	-.75
4	TASK 5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE	-.875
5	TASK 17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)	-1
6	TASK 8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE	-1
7	TASK 4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM	-1.125
8	TASK 1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION	-1.25
9	TASK 16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP	-1.375
10	TASK 3	LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING	-1.5
11	TASK 18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP	-1.625
12	TASK 12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP	-1.625
13	TASK 6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP	-2
14	TASK 14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP	-2.25
15	TASK 11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM	-2.5
16	TASK 19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)	-2.625
17	TASK 2	SMALL BREAK LOCA	-2.875
18	TASK 15	LARGE LOCA	-3.125
19	TASK 9	LOSS OF FL'DWATER	-3.125
20	TASK 10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER	-3.125

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING-WAIT FOR RESPONSE  
 THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATAGORY 7 POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING  
 ARE: SORT VALUE

1	TASK	9	LOSS OF FEEDWATER	-1.111111
2	TASK	10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER	-1.222222
3	TASK	11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM	-1.222222
4	TASK	14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP	-1.444444
5	TASK	2	SMALL BREAK LOCA	-1.666667
6	TASK	6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP	-1.777778
7	TASK	19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)	-1.777778
8	TASK	18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP	-2
9	TASK	12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP	-2.333333
10	TASK	3	LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING	-2.333333
11	TASK	16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP	-2.444445
12	TASK	15	LARGE LOCA	-2.666667
13	TASK	1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION	-2.777778
14	TASK	4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM	-2.777778
15	TASK	8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE	-3.111111
16	TASK	17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)	-3.111111
17	TASK	5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE	-3.333333
18	TASK	20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE	-3.888889
19	TASK	13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS	-4
20	TASK	7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE	-4.111111

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING-WAIT FOR RESPONSE  
 THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATEGORY 8 POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK  
 ARE: SORT VALUE

1	TASK	10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER	1.75
2	TASK	9	LOSS OF FEEDWATER	-.125
3	TASK	2	SMALL BREAK LOCA	-.375
4	TASK	19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)	-.5
5	TASK	6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP	-.75
6	TASK	11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM	-.875
7	TASK	14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP	-1
8	TASK	12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP	-1.375
9	TASK	15	LARGE LOCA	-1.5
10	TASK	16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP	-1.5
11	TASK	3	LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING	-1.5
12	TASK	18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP	-1.625
13	TASK	1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION	-1.625
14	TASK	8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE	-1.75
15	TASK	17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)	-1.875
16	TASK	4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM	-2
17	TASK	5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE	-2.5
18	TASK	7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE	-3.125
19	TASK	20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE	-3.125
20	TASK	13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS	-3.375



PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING-WAIT FOR RESPONSE  
 THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATEGORY 9 POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING  
 ARE:

			POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING SORT VALUE
1	TASK 9	LOSS OF FEEDWATER	-.875
2	TASK 10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER	-1
3	TASK 11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM	-1.125
4	TASK 14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP	-1.125
5	TASK 19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)	-1.125
6	TASK 6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP	-1.375
7	TASK 2	SMALL BREAK LOCA	-1.5
8	TASK 12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP	-1.5
9	TASK 18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP	-1.625
10	TASK 16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP	-1.875
11	TASK 15	LARGE LOCA	-2
12	TASK 3	LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING	-2.125
13	TASK 17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)	-2.125
14	TASK 1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION	-2.125
15	TASK 8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE	-2.125
16	TASK 4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM	-2.625
17	TASK 5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE	-2.75
18	TASK 7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE	-3.125
19	TASK 20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE	-3.125
20	TASK 13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS	-3.25

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING-WAIT FOR RESPONSE  
WOULD YOU LIKE ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS?  
IF YES TYPE 'Y' IF 'NO' HIT RETURN? Y  
WHAT KIND OF ANALYSIS DO YOU WISH TO PERFORM?

TYPE 1 RANKED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING MATCH VALUES  
TYPE 2 RANKED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING AVERAGE VALUES  
TYPE 3 RANKED TASKS FOR EACH CATEGORY USING MATCH VALUES  
TYPE 4 RANKED TASKS FOR EACH CATEGORY USING AVERAGE VALUES  
TYPE 5 RECOMMENDED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK-USING ABSOLUTE VALUES  
TYPE 6 RECOMMENDED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK-USING RELATIVE VALUES  
TYPE 7 SPECIAL INPUT DATA SORTS

PLEASE SELECT A NUMBER FROM THE ABOVE LIST? 5

MATCH CALCULATIONS INITIATED

TASK 2 GOES TO :CERTIFICATION TRAINING  
THE TASK NAME IS:SMALL BREAK LOCA  
TASK 6 GOES TO :POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING  
THE TASK NAME IS:HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP  
TASK 8 GOES TO :POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING  
THE TASK NAME IS:CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE  
TASK 9 GOES TO :POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING  
THE TASK NAME IS:LOSS OF FEEDWATER  
TASK 7 GOES TO :QUALIFICATION TRAINING  
THE TASK NAME IS:ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE  
TASK 10 GOES TO :POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING  
THE TASK NAME IS:LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER  
TASK 15 GOES TO :POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING  
THE TASK NAME IS:LARGE LOCA  
TASK 17 GOES TO :POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING  
THE TASK NAME IS:REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)  
TASK 19 GOES TO :POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING  
THE TASK NAME IS:FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)  
TASK 12 GOES TO :CERTIFICATION TRAINING  
THE TASK NAME IS:MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP  
TASK 14 GOES TO :POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING  
THE TASK NAME IS:MAIN TURBINE STARTUP  
TASK 3 GOES TO :REFRESHER TRAINING  
THE TASK NAME IS:LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING  
TASK 11 GOES TO :POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING  
THE TASK NAME IS:MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM  
TASK 1 GOES TO :POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING  
THE TASK NAME IS:REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION  
TASK 13 GOES TO :QUALIFICATION TRAINING  
THE TASK NAME IS:SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS  
TASK 16 GOES TO :POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING  
THE TASK NAME IS:REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP  
TASK 18 GOES TO :POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING  
THE TASK NAME IS:MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP  
TASK 5 GOES TO :POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING  
THE TASK NAME IS:HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE  
TASK 20 GOES TO :QUALIFICATION TRAINING  
THE TASK NAME IS:NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE  
TASK 4 GOES TO :CERTIFICATION TRAINING  
THE TASK NAME IS:LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING-WAIT FOR RESPONSE

WOULD YOU LIKE ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS?

IF YES TYPE 'Y' IF 'NO' HIT RETURN? Y

WHAT KIND OF ANALYSIS DO YOU WISH TO PERFORM?

TYPE 1 RANKED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING MATCH VALUES

TYPE 2 RANKED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING AVERAGE VALUES

TYPE 3 RANKED TASKS FOR EACH CATEGORY USING MATCH VALUES

TYPE 4 RANKED TASKS FOR EACH CATEGORY USING AVERAGE VALUES

TYPE 5 RECOMMENDED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK-USING ABSOLUTE VALUES

TYPE 6 RECOMMENDED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK-USING RELATIVE VALUES

TYPE 7 SPECIAL INPUT DATA SORTS

PLEASE SELECT A NUMBER FROM THE ABOVE LIST? 6

AVERAGE CALCULATIONS INITIATED

TASK 10 GOES TO :POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK

THE TASK NAME IS:LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER

TASK 9 GOES TO :POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK

THE TASK NAME IS:LOSS OF FEEDWATER

TASK 7 GOES TO :ON THE JOB CANDIDATE

THE TASK NAME IS:ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE

TASK 2 GOES TO :POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK

THE TASK NAME IS:SMALL BREAK LOCA

TASK 19 GOES TO :POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK

THE TASK NAME IS:FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)

TASK 5 GOES TO :ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING

THE TASK NAME IS:HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE

TASK 16 GOES TO :QUALIFICATION TRAINING

THE TASK NAME IS:REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP

TASK 13 GOES TO :POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING

THE TASK NAME IS:SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS

TASK 20 GOES TO :ON THE JOB CANDIDATE

THE TASK NAME IS:NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE

TASK 11 GOES TO :QUALIFICATION TRAINING

THE TASK NAME IS:MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM

TASK 1 GOES TO :ON THE JOB CANDIDATE

THE TASK NAME IS:REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION

TASK 6 GOES TO :POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK

THE TASK NAME IS:HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP

TASK 3 GOES TO :CERTIFICATION TRAINING

THE TASK NAME IS:LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING

TASK 14 GOES TO :POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK

THE TASK NAME IS:MAIN TURBINE STARTUP

TASK 8 GOES TO :POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING

THE TASK NAME IS:CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE

TASK 15 GOES TO :QUALIFICATION TRAINING

THE TASK NAME IS:LARGE LOCA

TASK 17 GOES TO :ON THE JOB CANDIDATE

THE TASK NAME IS:REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)

TASK 4 GOES TO :ON THE JOB CANDIDATE

THE TASK NAME IS:LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM

TASK 12 GOES TO :POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK

THE TASK NAME IS:MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP

TASK 18 GOES TO :POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING

THE TASK NAME IS:MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP

PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING-WAIT FOR RESPONSE  
WOULD YOU LIKE ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS?  
IF YES TYPE 'Y' IF 'NO' HIT RETURN? Y  
WHAT KIND OF ANALYSIS DO YOU WISH TO PERFORM?

TYPE 1 RANKED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING MATCH VALUES  
TYPE 2 RANKED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING AVERAGE VALUES  
TYPE 3 RANKED TASKS FOR EACH CATEGORY USING MATCH VALUES  
TYPE 4 RANKED TASKS FOR EACH CATEGORY USING AVERAGE VALUES  
TYPE 5 RECOMMENDED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK-USING ABSOLUTE VALUES  
TYPE 6 RECOMMENDED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK-USING RELATIVE VALUES  
TYPE 7 SPECIAL INPUT DATA SORTS

PLEASE SELECT A NUMBER FROM THE ABOVE LIST? 7

SPECIAL OPTIONS ARE:

1 A RANK ORDERED LIST OF SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ON TASKS  
2 A SIMILAR LIST OF SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY  
3 A RANK ORDERED LIST BASED ON IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE  
4 A SIMILAR LIST BASED ON PUBLIC SAFETY RISK  
5 A RANK ORDER OF TASKS BASED ON PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE LIKELIHOOD  
6 A RANKED LIST OF TASK PROBABILITY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS  
7 A SIMILAR LIST FOR EMERGENCY OPERATIONS  
8 A RANKED LIST OF TASKS BASED ON PLANT DELAY TOLERANCE  
9 TASKS RANKED IN TERMS OF REGULATORY REQUIREMENT CONSTRAINTS  
10 TASKS RANKED IN TERMS OF POTENTIAL ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES  
11 SPECIAL COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS MODULE

PLEASE CHOOSE A NUMBER OR 12 TO QUIT? 1  
THE TASKS RANKED IN DESCENDING ORDER FOR  
SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ARE:

RANK	1	12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP
			ITS VALUE IS: 7
RANK	2	14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP
			ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	3	10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER
			ITS VALUE IS: 5
RANK	4	11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM
			ITS VALUE IS: 4
RANK	5	9	LOSS OF FEEDWATER
			ITS VALUE IS: 4
RANK	6	1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION
			ITS VALUE IS: 4
RANK	7	8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE
			ITS VALUE IS: 3
RANK	8	2	SMALL BREAK LOCA
			ITS VALUE IS: 3
RANK	9	19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)
			ITS VALUE IS: 3
RANK	10	4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM
			ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	11	13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS
			ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	12	6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP
			ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	13	16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP
			ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	14	17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)
			ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	15	18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP
			ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	16	3	LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING
			ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	17	5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE
			ITS VALUE IS: 1
RANK	18	15	LARGE LOCA
			ITS VALUE IS: 1
RANK	19	7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE
			ITS VALUE IS: 1
RANK	20	20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE
			ITS VALUE IS: 1



DO YOU WISH ANOTHER ANALYSIS?

TYPE S FOR SPECIAL SORTS, R FOR REGULAR SORTS, OR N FOR NO? S

SPECIAL OPTIONS ARE:

- 1 A RANK ORDERED LIST OF SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ON TASKS
  - 2 A SIMILAR LIST OF SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY
  - 3 A RANK ORDERED LIST BASED ON IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE
  - 4 A SIMILAR LIST BASED ON PUBLIC SAFETY RISK
  - 5 A RANK ORDER OF TASKS BASED ON PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE LIKELIHOOD
  - 6 A RANKED LIST OF TASK PROBABILITY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS
  - 7 A SIMILAR LIST FOR EMERGENCY OPERATIONS
  - 8 A RANKED LIST OF TASKS BASED ON PLANT DELAY TOLERANCE
  - 9 TASKS RANKED IN TERMS OF REGULATORY REQUIREMENT CONSTRAINTS
  - 10 TASKS RANKED IN TERMS OF POTENTIAL ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES
  - 11 SPECIAL COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS MODULE
- PLEASE CHOOSE A NUMBER OR 12 TO QUIT? 2  
THE TASKS RANKED IN DESCENDING ORDER FOR  
SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY ARE:

RANK	1	14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP ITS VALUE IS: 8
RANK	2	12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	3	9	LOSS OF FEEDWATER ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	4	10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER ITS VALUE IS: 5
RANK	5	19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION) ITS VALUE IS: 5
RANK	6	3	LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING ITS VALUE IS: 4
RANK	7	4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM ITS VALUE IS: 4
RANK	8	6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP ITS VALUE IS: 4
RANK	9	2	SMALL BREAK LOCA ITS VALUE IS: 4
RANK	10	1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	11	13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	12	7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	13	16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	14	17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP) ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	15	18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	16	11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	17	8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE ITS VALUE IS: 1
RANK	18	15	LARGE LOCA ITS VALUE IS: 1
RANK	19	5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE ITS VALUE IS: 1
RANK	20	20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE ITS VALUE IS: 1

DO YOU WISH ANOTHER ANALYSIS?

TYPE S FOR SPECIAL SORTS, R FOR REGULAR SORTS, OR N FOR NO? S

SPECIAL OPTIONS ARE:

- 1 A RANK ORDERED LIST OF SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ON TASKS
  - 2 A SIMILAR LIST OF SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY
  - 3 A RANK ORDERED LIST BASED ON IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE
  - 4 A SIMILAR LIST BASED ON PUBLIC SAFETY RISK
  - 5 A RANK ORDER OF TASKS BASED ON PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE LIKELIHOOD
  - 6 A RANKED LIST OF TASK PROBABILITY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS
  - 7 A SIMILAR LIST FOR EMERGENCY OPERATIONS
  - 8 A RANKED LIST OF TASKS BASED ON PLANT DELAY TOLERANCE
  - 9 TASKS RANKED IN TERMS OF REGULATORY REQUIREMENT CONSTRAINTS
  - 10 TASKS RANKED IN TERMS OF POTENTIAL ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES
  - 11 SPECIAL COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS MODULE
- PLEASE CHOOSE A NUMBER OR 12 TO QUIT? 3  
THE TASKS RANKED IN DESCENDING ORDER FOR  
IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE NEED ARE:

RANK	1	20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE ITS VALUE IS: 8
RANK	2	13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS ITS VALUE IS: 7
RANK	3	4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	4	16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	5	18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	6	11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	7	1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION ITS VALUE IS: 5
RANK	8	14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP ITS VALUE IS: 5
RANK	9	5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE ITS VALUE IS: 5
RANK	10	3	LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING ITS VALUE IS: 5
RANK	11	12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP ITS VALUE IS: 5
RANK	12	7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE ITS VALUE IS: 4
RANK	13	17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP) ITS VALUE IS: 4
RANK	14	8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE ITS VALUE IS: 3
RANK	15	6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	16	19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION) ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	17	9	LOSS OF FEEDWATER ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	18	15	LARGE LOCA ITS VALUE IS: 1
RANK	19	2	SMALL BREAK LOCA ITS VALUE IS: 1
RANK	20	10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER ITS VALUE IS: 1

DO YOU WISH ANOTHER ANALYSIS?

TYPE S FOR SPECIAL SORTS, R FOR REGULAR SORTS, OR N FOR NO? S

SPECIAL OPTIONS ARE:

- 1 A RANK ORDERED LIST OF SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ON TASKS
- 2 A SIMILAR LIST OF SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY
- 3 A RANK ORDERED LIST BASED ON IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE
- 4 A SIMILAR LIST BASED ON PUBLIC SAFETY RISK
- 5 A RANK ORDER OF TASKS BASED ON PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE LIKELIHOOD
- 6 A RANKED LIST OF TASK PROBABILITY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS
- 7 A SIMILAR LIST FOR EMERGENCY OPERATIONS
- 8 A RANKED LIST OF TASKS BASED ON PLANT DELAY TOLERANCE
- 9 TASKS RANKED IN TERMS OF REGULATORY REQUIREMENT CONSTRAINTS
- 10 TASKS RANKED IN TERMS OF POTENTIAL ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES
- 11 SPECIAL COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS MODULE

PLEASE CHOOSE A NUMBER OR 12 TO QUIT? 4

THE TASKS RANKED IN DESCENDING ORDER FOR  
PUBLIC SAFETY RISK ARE:

RANK	1	15	LARGE LOCA
			ITS VALUE IS: 9
RANK	2	11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM
			ITS VALUE IS: 8
RANK	3	19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)
			ITS VALUE IS: 8
RANK	4	6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP
			ITS VALUE IS: 7
RANK	5	9	LOSS OF FEEDWATER
			ITS VALUE IS: 7
RANK	6	10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER
			ITS VALUE IS: 7
RANK	7	2	SMALL BREAK LOCA
			ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	8	18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP
			ITS VALUE IS: 5
RANK	9	14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP
			ITS VALUE IS: 5
RANK	10	3	LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING
			ITS VALUE IS: 4
RANK	11	16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP
			ITS VALUE IS: 3
RANK	12	17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)
			ITS VALUE IS: 3
RANK	13	8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE
			ITS VALUE IS: 3
RANK	14	1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION
			ITS VALUE IS: 3
RANK	15	7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE
			ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	16	12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP
			ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	17	13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS
			ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	18	4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM
			ITS VALUE IS: 1
RANK	19	5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE
			ITS VALUE IS: 1
RANK	20	20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE
			ITS VALUE IS: 1

DO YOU WISH ANOTHER ANALYSIS?

TYPE S FOR SPECIAL SORTS,R FOR REGULAR SORTS,OR N FOR NO? S

SPECIAL OPTIONS ARE:

- 1 A RANK ORDERED LIST OF SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ON TASKS
  - 2 A SIMILAR LIST OF SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY
  - 3 A RANK ORDERED LIST BASED ON IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE
  - 4 A SIMILAR LIST BASED ON PUBLIC SAFETY RISK
  - 5 A RANK ORDER OF TASKS BASED ON PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE LIKELIHOOD
  - 6 A RANKED LIST OF TASK PROBABILITY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS
  - 7 A SIMILAR LIST FOR EMERGENCY OPERATIONS
  - 8 A RANKED LIST OF TASKS BASED ON PLANT DELAY TOLERANCE
  - 9 TASKS RANKED IN TERMS OF REGULATORY REQUIREMENT CONSTRAINTS
  - 10 TASKS RANKED IN TERMS OF POTENTIAL ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES
  - 11 SPECIAL COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS MODULE
- PLEASE CHOOSE A NUMBER OR 12 TO QUIT? 5  
THE TASKS RANKED IN DESCENDING ORDER FOR  
PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE LIKLIHOOD ARE

RANK	1	13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS
			ITS VALUE IS: 8
RANK	2	20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE
			ITS VALUE IS: 7
RANK	3	11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM
			ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	4	4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM
			ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	5	18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP
			ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	6	7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE
			ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	7	5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE
			ITS VALUE IS: 5
RANK	8	16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP
			ITS VALUE IS: 5
RANK	9	8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE
			ITS VALUE IS: 4
RANK	10	6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP
			ITS VALUE IS: 4
RANK	11	3	LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING
			ITS VALUE IS: 3
RANK	12	17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)
			ITS VALUE IS: 3
RANK	13	1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION
			ITS VALUE IS: 3
RANK	14	14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP
			ITS VALUE IS: 3
RANK	15	12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP
			ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	16	9	LOSS OF FEEDWATER
			ITS VALUE IS: 1
RANK	17	19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)
			ITS VALUE IS: 1
RANK	18	10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER
			ITS VALUE IS: 1
RANK	19	15	LARGE LOCA
			ITS VALUE IS: 0
RANK	20	2	SMALL BREAK LOCA
			ITS VALUE IS: 0

DO YOU WISH ANOTHER ANALYSIS?

TYPE S FOR SPECIAL SORTS, R FOR REGULAR SORTS, OR N FOR NO? S  
SPECIAL OPTIONS ARE:

- 1 A RANK ORDERED LIST OF SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ON TASKS
  - 2 A SIMILAR LIST OF SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY
  - 3 A RANK ORDERED LIST BASED ON IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE
  - 4 A SIMILAR LIST BASED ON PUBLIC SAFETY RISK
  - 5 A RANK ORDER OF TASKS BASED ON PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE LIKELIHOOD
  - 6 A RANKED LIST OF TASK PROBABILITY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS
  - 7 A SIMILAR LIST FOR EMERGENCY OPERATIONS
  - 8 A RANKED LIST OF TASKS BASED ON PLANT DELAY TOLERANCE
  - 9 TASKS RANKED IN TERMS OF REGULATORY REQUIREMENT CONSTRAINTS
  - 10 TASKS RANKED IN TERMS OF POTENTIAL ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES
  - 11 SPECIAL COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS MODULE
- PLEASE CHOOSE A NUMBER OR 12 TO QUIT? 6  
THE TASKS RANKED IN DESCENDING ORDER FOR  
NORMAL OPERATION PERFORMANCE ARE

RANK	1	13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS
			ITS VALUE IS: 7
RANK	2	4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM
			ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	3	12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP
			ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	4	3	LOSS OF FEED WATER HEATING
			ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	5	14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP
			ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	6	20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE
			ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	7	1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION
			ITS VALUE IS: 5
RANK	8	7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE
			ITS VALUE IS: 5
RANK	9	16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP
			ITS VALUE IS: 4
RANK	10	5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE
			ITS VALUE IS: 4
RANK	11	17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)
			ITS VALUE IS: 3
RANK	12	8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE
			ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	13	11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM
			ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	14	6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP
			ITS VALUE IS: 1
RANK	15	15	LARGE LOCA
			ITS VALUE IS: 0
RANK	16	9	LOSS OF FEEDWATER
			ITS VALUE IS: 0
RANK	17	10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER
			ITS VALUE IS: 0
RANK	18	18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP
			ITS VALUE IS: 0
RANK	19	19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)
			ITS VALUE IS: 0
RANK	20	2	SMALL BREAK LOCA
			ITS VALUE IS: 0



DO YOU WISH ANOTHER ANALYSIS?

TYPE S FOR SPECIAL SORTS, R FOR REGULAR SORTS, OR N FOR NO? S

SPECIAL OPTIONS ARE:

- 1 A RANK ORDERED LIST OF SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ON TASKS
- 2 A SIMILAR LIST OF SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY
- 3 A RANK ORDERED LIST BASED ON IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE
- 4 A SIMILAR LIST BASED ON PUBLIC SAFETY RISK
- 5 A RANK ORDER OF TASKS BASED ON PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE LIKELIHOOD
- 6 A RANKED LIST OF TASK PROBABILITY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS
- 7 A SIMILAR LIST FOR EMERGENCY OPERATIONS
- 8 A RANKED LIST OF TASKS BASED ON PLANT DELAY TOLERANCE
- 9 TASKS RANKED IN TERMS OF REGULATORY REQUIREMENT CONSTRAINTS
- 10 TASKS RANKED IN TERMS OF POTENTIAL ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES
- 11 SPECIAL COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS MODULE

PLEASE CHOOSE A NUMBER OR 12 TO QUIT? 7

THE TASKS RANKED IN DESCENDING ORDER FOR  
EMERGENCY OPERATIONS ARE

RANK	1	15	LARGE LOCA ITS VALUE IS: 9
RANK	2	16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP ITS VALUE IS: 7
RANK	3	2	SMALL BREAK LOCA ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	4	10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER ITS VALUE IS: 5
RANK	5	11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM ITS VALUE IS: 5
RANK	6	6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP ITS VALUE IS: 5
RANK	7	9	LOSS OF FEEDWATER ITS VALUE IS: 5
RANK	8	19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION) ITS VALUE IS: 5
RANK	9	8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE ITS VALUE IS: 4
RANK	10	18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP ITS VALUE IS: 3
RANK	11	17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP) ITS VALUE IS: 1
RANK	12	20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE ITS VALUE IS: 1
RANK	13	13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS ITS VALUE IS: 0
RANK	14	14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP ITS VALUE IS: 0
RANK	15	7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE ITS VALUE IS: 0
RANK	16	3	LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING ITS VALUE IS: 0
RANK	17	4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM ITS VALUE IS: 0
RANK	18	5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE ITS VALUE IS: 0
RANK	19	1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION ITS VALUE IS: 0
RANK	20	12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP ITS VALUE IS: 0

DO YOU WISH ANOTHER ANALYSIS?

TYPE S FOR SPECIAL SORTS, R FOR REGULAR SORTS, OR N FOR NO? S  
SPECIAL OPTIONS ARE:

- 1 A RANK ORDERED LIST OF SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ON TASKS
  - 2 A SIMILAR LIST OF SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY
  - 3 A RANK ORDERED LIST BASED ON IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE
  - 4 A SIMILAR LIST BASED ON PUBLIC SAFETY RISK
  - 5 A RANK ORDER OF TASKS BASED ON PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE LIKELIHOOD
  - 6 A RANKED LIST OF TASK PROBABILITY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS
  - 7 A SIMILAR LIST FOR EMERGENCY OPERATIONS
  - 8 A RANKED LIST OF TASKS BASED ON PLANT DELAY TOLERANCE
  - 9 TASKS RANKED IN TERMS OF REGULATORY REQUIREMENT CONSTRAINTS
  - 10 TASKS RANKED IN TERMS OF POTENTIAL ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES
  - 11 SPECIAL COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS MODULE
- PLEASE CHOOSE A NUMBER OR 12 TO QUIT? 8  
THE TASKS RANKED IN DESCENDING ORDER FOR  
PLANT DELAY TOLERANCE ARE

RANK	1	3	LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING ITS VALUE IS: 7
RANK	2	4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM ITS VALUE IS: 7
RANK	3	2	SMALL BREAK LOCA ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	4	9	LOSS OF FEEDWATER ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	5	10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	6	11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	7	5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE ITS VALUE IS: 5
RANK	8	1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION ITS VALUE IS: 5
RANK	9	14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP ITS VALUE IS: 5
RANK	10	6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP ITS VALUE IS: 4
RANK	11	12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP ITS VALUE IS: 3
RANK	12	18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP ITS VALUE IS: 3
RANK	13	19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION) ITS VALUE IS: 3
RANK	14	17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP) ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	15	8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	16	16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	17	7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE ITS VALUE IS: 1
RANK	18	15	LARGE LOCA ITS VALUE IS: 1
RANK	19	13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS ITS VALUE IS: 1
RANK	20	20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE ITS VALUE IS: 1

DO YOU WISH ANOTHER ANALYSIS?

TYPE S FOR SPECIAL SORTS, R FOR REGULAR SORTS, OR N FOR NO? S  
SPECIAL OPTIONS ARE:

- 1 A RANK ORDERED LIST OF SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ON TASKS
  - 2 A SIMILAR LIST OF SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY
  - 3 A RANK ORDERED LIST BASED ON IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE
  - 4 A SIMILAR LIST BASED ON PUBLIC SAFETY RISK
  - 5 A RANK ORDER OF TASKS BASED ON PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE LIKELIHOOD
  - 6 A RANKED LIST OF TASK PROBABILITY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS
  - 7 A SIMILAR LIST FOR EMERGENCY OPERATIONS
  - 8 A RANKED LIST OF TASKS BASED ON PLANT DELAY TOLERANCE
  - 9 TASKS RANKED IN TERMS OF REGULATORY REQUIREMENT CONSTRAINTS
  - 10 TASKS RANKED IN TERMS OF POTENTIAL ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES
  - 11 SPECIAL COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS MODULE
- PLEASE CHOOSE A NUMBER OR 12 TO QUIT? 9  
THE TASKS RANKED IN DESCENDING ORDER FOR  
REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS ARE

RANK	1	11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM ITS VALUE IS: 9
RANK	2	18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP ITS VALUE IS: 9
RANK	3	19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION) ITS VALUE IS: 9
RANK	4	15	LARGE LOCA ITS VALUE IS: 8
RANK	5	2	SMALL BREAK LOCA ITS VALUE IS: 8
RANK	6	1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION ITS VALUE IS: 8
RANK	7	9	LOSS OF FEEDWATER ITS VALUE IS: 7
RANK	8	10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER ITS VALUE IS: 7
RANK	9	13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	10	14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	11	4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	12	16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	13	17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP) ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	14	3	LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	15	12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	16	20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	17	8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE ITS VALUE IS: 5
RANK	18	7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE ITS VALUE IS: 3
RANK	19	6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP ITS VALUE IS: 3
RANK	20	5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE ITS VALUE IS: 1

DO YOU WISH ANOTHER ANALYSIS?

TYPE S FOR SPECIAL SORTS, R FOR REGULAR SORTS, OR N FOR NO? S

SPECIAL OPTIONS ARE:

- 1 A RANK ORDERED LIST OF SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ON TASKS
  - 2 A SIMILAR LIST OF SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY
  - 3 A RANK ORDERED LIST BASED ON IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE
  - 4 A SIMILAR LIST BASED ON PUBLIC SAFETY RISK
  - 5 A RANK ORDER OF TASKS BASED ON PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE LIKELIHOOD
  - 6 A RANKED LIST OF TASK PROBABILITY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS
  - 7 A SIMILAR LIST FOR EMERGENCY OPERATIONS
  - 8 A RANKED LIST OF TASKS BASED ON PLANT DELAY TOLERANCE
  - 9 TASKS RANKED IN TERMS OF REGULATORY REQUIREMENT CONSTRAINTS
  - 10 TASKS RANKED IN TERMS OF POTENTIAL ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES
  - 11 SPECIAL COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS MODULE
- PLEASE CHOOSE A NUMBER OR 12 TO QUIT? 10  
THE TASKS RANKED IN DESCENDING ORDER FOR  
ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES ARE

RANK	1	2	SMALL BREAK LOCA
			ITS VALUE IS: 9
RANK	2	10	LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER
			ITS VALUE IS: 9
RANK	3	14	MAIN TURBINE STARTUP
			ITS VALUE IS: 9
RANK	4	15	LARGE LOCA
			ITS VALUE IS: 9
RANK	5	9	LOSS OF FEEDWATER
			ITS VALUE IS: 8
RANK	6	18	MAIN TURBINE GENERATOR TRIP
			ITS VALUE IS: 8
RANK	7	11	MANUAL REACTOR SCRAM
			ITS VALUE IS: 7
RANK	8	6	HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION TURBINE TRIP
			ITS VALUE IS: 7
RANK	9	16	REACTOR FEED PUMP TRIP
			ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	10	19	FUEL FAILURE (WITH ISOLATION)
			ITS VALUE IS: 6
RANK	11	3	LOSS OF FEEDWATER HEATING
			ITS VALUE IS: 5
RANK	12	12	MANUAL LEVEL CONTROL IN STARTUP
			ITS VALUE IS: 4
RANK	13	4	LOSS OF CONDENSER VACUUM
			ITS VALUE IS: 4
RANK	14	17	REACTOR RECIRCULATION TRIP (RECIRCULATION PUMP)
			ITS VALUE IS: 3
RANK	15	1	REACTOR STARTUP FROM COLD CONDITION
			ITS VALUE IS: 3
RANK	16	5	HIGH EXHAUST HOOD TEMPERATURE
			ITS VALUE IS: 3
RANK	17	20	NUCLEAR INSTRUMENT FAILURE
			ITS VALUE IS: 3
RANK	18	13	SURVEILLANCE TEST ECCS
			ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	19	8	CONTROL ROD DRIVE PUMP FAILURE
			ITS VALUE IS: 2
RANK	20	7	ROD WORTH MINIMIZER FAILURE
			ITS VALUE IS: 1

DO YOU WISH ANOTHER ANALYSIS?

TYPE S FOR SPECIAL SORTS, R FOR REGULAR SORTS, OR N FOR NO? R

YOU ARE NOW IN THE ANALYSIS MODE

WHAT KIND OF ANALYSIS DO YOU WISH TO PERFORM?

TYPE 1 RANKED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING MATCH VALUES

TYPE 2 RANKED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING AVERAGE VALUES

TYPE 3 RANKED TASKS FOR EACH CATEGORY USING MATCH VALUES

TYPE 4 RANKED TASKS FOR EACH CATEGORY USING AVERAGE VALUES

TYPE 5 RECOMMENDED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK-USING ABSOLUTE VALUES

TYPE 6 RECOMMENDED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK-USING RELATIVE VALUES

TYPE 7 SPECIAL INPUT DATA SORTS

PLEASE SELECT A NUMBER FROM THE ABOVE LIST? QUIT

?Redo from start

PLEASE SELECT A NUMBER FROM THE ABOVE LIST? 7

SPECIAL OPTIONS ARE:

1 A RANK ORDERED LIST OF SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ON TASKS

2 A SIMILAR LIST OF SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY

3 A RANK ORDERED LIST BASED ON IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE

4 A SIMILAR LIST BASED ON PUBLIC SAFETY RISK

5 A RANK ORDER OF TASKS BASED ON PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE LIKELIHOOD

6 A RANKED LIST OF TASK PROBABILITY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS

7 A SIMILAR LIST FOR EMERGENCY OPERATIONS

8 A RANKED LIST OF TASKS BASED ON PLANT DELAY TOLERANCE

9 TASKS RANKED IN TERMS OF REGULATORY REQUIREMENT CONSTRAINTS

10 TASKS RANKED IN TERMS OF POTENTIAL ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES

11 SPECIAL COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS MODULE

PLEASE CHOOSE A NUMBER OR 12 TO QUIT? 12

SORT PROGRAM COMPLETED

0



**APPENDIX 2**  
**TASK RATING SCALES**

### 1. Skill Acquisition Difficulty

Defined in terms of the number of practice repetitions required

VALUE	CRITERIA
0	No practice steps
1	Can be self taught
2	Can be learned by demonstration (observation)
3	Requires hands-on practice
4	May require supervision and hands-on practice
5	Requires closely supervised practice
6	May require previous knowledge and supervised practice
7	Requires previous knowledge and hands-on practice
8	Requires extensive previous knowledge and supervised dynamic practice
9	Extensive practice over long period, may require innate abilities

VALUE ANCHORS	SAMPLE TASKS
0	Depress a push button
5	Provide manual control of reactor water level during start up in a BWR
9	Balance a turbine rotor

## 2. Skill Performance Difficulty

Defined in terms of physical and cognitive effort or degree of precision required

VALUE	CRITERIA
0	Easily performed with trivial effort ( > 99% can perform)
1	Easily performed with little precision
2	Easily performed with some precision
3	Some performance difficulty, no decision making
4	Some performance difficulty, occasional decision making
5	Requires some physical effort or cognitive effort with decision making
6	Definite physical effort or cognitive effort with decision making
7	Same as #6 with some precision
8	Heavy cognitive and/or physical effort with precision
9	Extended physical effort, heavy decision making, and stringent performance requirements

  

VALUE ANCHORS	SAMPLE TASK
0	Read a digital water level meter out loud
5	Determine that a reactor scram was caused by a normal turbine trip
9	Align fire system for core cooling following a LOCA and loss of all normal and ECCS makeup

### 3. Need for Immediate Performance

Based on frequency of observed occurrences of events or recorded plant histories

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CRITERIA</u>
0	Probably will not perform the task during life of the plant
1	May be required to perform task once during life of the plant
2	2 years to 5 years
3	1 year to 2 years
4	6 months to 1 year
5	1 month to 6 months
6	2 weeks to 1 month
7	1 week to 2 weeks
8	1 day to 1 week
9	May need to perform task within 1 day after training

<u>VALUE ANCHORS</u>	<u>SAMPLE TASK</u>
0	Replace the reactor vessel
5	Tag out a failed component
9	Record a power level

#### 4. Poor Performance Consequences

Scales are based on RAD release and level of physical injury

VALUE	CRITERIA
0	No danger to public or plant personnel
1	Potential for unplanned exposure to plant personnel
2	Unplanned exposure of plant personnel below 10CFR20 standards
3	Same as 2 but possible physical injury from mechanical causes
4	Same as 3 but probable physical injury
5	Plant personnel exposure > 10CFR20 limits
6	Same as 5 plus some small exposure off-site
7	Dangerous exposure to plant personnel plus off-site > 5 mR/hr
8	Off-site exposure at 10CFR100 limits, possibly life threatening to plant personnel
9	Off-site exposures greater than 10CFR100 times for off-site exposure, life threatening situation for plant personnel

VALUE ANCHORS	SAMPLE TASKS
0	Failure of Drywell temperature monitor
5	Improper use of protective clothing when handling low low waste
9	Inability to control a fuel failure



## 5. Previous Nuclear Experience

Based upon likelihood of previous exposure to task elements

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CRITERIA</u>
0	Task only performed by highly experienced nuclear personnel
1	Task performed only after supervised on-the-job nuclear training
2	Task performed by most people with both classroom and control room nuclear experience
3	Task performed by most people with specific classroom nuclear training
4	Task only performed by nuclear personnel with more than one year general experience
5	Task sometimes performed by nuclear personnel with more than one year experience
6	Task only performed in nuclear related industrial job contexts
7	Task occasionally performed in non-nuclear work
8	Task often performed after experience industrial of any kind
9	Task often performed by average high school graduate

<u>VALUE ANCHORS</u>	<u>SAMPLE TASKS</u>
0	Measure core performance
5	Check system valve line ups
9	Read a temperature indicator

## 6. Task Performance in Normal Operations

Based on frequency of performance

VALUE	CRITERIA
0	Never performed during normal operation
1	Once per year
2	Once per calendar quarter
3	Once per month
4	Once per week
5	Once per day
6	Once per shift
7	Twice per shift
8	Once per hour
9	Always performed on a scheduled basis

<u>VALUE ANCHORS</u>	<u>SAMPLE TASKS</u>
0	Inject water using an ECCS
5	Sample primary coolant
9	Monitor Power Level

## 7. Potential for Performance in Emergency Operation

Scale defined in terms of probable frequency of performance

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CRITERIA</u>
0	Task never applies in emergency operation
1	Task infrequently performed in an emergency
2	Task may be applied in only one accident
3	Task is applied in only one accident scenario
4	Task could be applied in more than one accident scenario
5	Task is definitely applied in more than one accident scenario
6	Task is performed in a large number of accidents
7	Task may be applied repeatedly within an accident and in more than one accident type
8	Task is always applied repeatedly in more than one accident
9	Task always occurs in all emergency operations more than once

<u>VALUE ANCHORS</u>	<u>SAMPLE TASKS</u>
0	Withdraw control rods
5	Start High Pressure Coolant injection
9	Monitor reactor water level

## 8. Delay Tolerance

Scales based upon allowable reaction time

VALUE	CRITERIA
0	> 24 hours
1	6 hours to 24 hours
2	3 hours to 6 hours
3	2 hours to 3 hours
4	1 hour to 2 hours
5	30 minutes to one hour
6	5 minutes to 30 minutes
7	30 seconds to 5 minutes
8	10 seconds to 30 seconds
9	≤ 10 seconds

  

VALUE ANCHORS	SAMPLE SITUATION
0	Loss of a pump in potable water system
5	Increased conductivity on stator cooling water
9	Failure of reactor protection system to trip on valid scram signal

### 9. Regulatory Requirement

Defined in terms of NRC required frequency of testing

VALUE	CRITERIA
0	No requirement defined by NRC
1	N/A
2	N/A
3	Tested during initial training only
4	N/A
5	N/A
6	Exercise required bi-annually
7	N/A
8	N/A
9	Exercise required annually

VALUE ANCHORS	SAMPLE TASKS
0	Fill out log book
3	Startup Reactor Water Clean Up System
6	Compensate for inadvertant turbine trip transient
9	Execute safety shutdown for loss of all feedwater transient



## 10. Economic Impact of NPP Failures

Based on the economic impact of a single equipment failure that can be attributed to one or more of the following factors:

- A. Cost of replacement power due to lost generating capacity. The higher cost of the replacement power can result from higher fuel cost by using alternate sources within the system, or purchasing power from other utilities at a higher rate.
- B. Cost of replacement equipment for items that are damaged beyond repair.
- C. Cost of repair is related to the base labor rate and the man-hours necessary to accomplish the repairs. Also included in the cost of repairs are tools and materials necessary to complete the repair task.
- D. Regulatory fines for violating technical specifications or procedures that lead to the failure.

RATING SCALE	AGGREGATE COST
0	< \$1,000
1	\$1,000 to \$5,000
2	\$5,000 to \$10,000
3	\$10,000 to \$50,000
4	\$50,000 to \$100,000
5	\$100,000 to \$500,000
6	\$500,000 to \$1,000,000
7	\$1,000,000 to \$5,000,000
8	\$5,000,000 to \$10,000,000
9	> \$10,000,000

  

VALUE ANCHOR	SAMPLE INSTANCE
0	Work valve stem packing failure in non-nuclear system not required to support power operation
1	Failure of a circuit board in the process computer
2	Replacement of a stator cooling water pump
3	Trip of one reactor feedwater pump due to control failure
4	Replacement of a reactor recirculation pump seal
5	Failure of the main transformer
6	Replacement of one main steam isolation valve (MSIV)
7	Replacement of reactor recirculation pump motor
8	Fuel failure severe enough to cause an unplanned outage
9	Gross turbine blading failure

**APPENDIX 3**

**SAMPLE TASK DATA SHEET USED TO SCORE EXAMPLE  
FOR 20 TASKS IN APPENDIX 1**

### Sample Data Collection Sheet for Rater Scores

Dimension	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TASK										
CRD** Pump Failure	3	1	3	3	4	2	4	2	5	2
Fuel Failure (w/Isolation)	3	5	2	8	1	0	5	3	9	4
High Exhaust Hood Temp	1	1	5	1	5	4	0	5	1	3
HPCI* Turbine Trip	2	4	2	7	4	1	5	4	3	7
Large LOCA	1	1	1	9	0	0	9	1	8	9
+ Loss of Condensor Vacuum	2	4	6	1	6	6	0	7	6	4
+ Lo. of Feedwater	4	6	2	7	1	0	5	6	7	8
+ Loss of Feedwater Heating	2	4	5	4	3	6	0	7	6	5
+ Loss of Off-Site Power	5	5	1	7	1	0	5	6	7	8
Main Turbine/ Gen. Trip	2	2	6	5	6	0	3	3	9	8
Main Turbine S/U	6	8	5	5	3	6	0	5	6	9
Man. Level Control	7	6	5	2	2	6	0	3	6	4
Man. Rx Scram	4	2	6	8	6	2	5	6	5	8
Nuc. Instrument Failure	1	1	8	1	7	6	1	1	6	3
RFP Trip	2	2	6	3	5	4	7	2	6	6
Rod Worth Minimizer Failure	1	2	4	2	6	5	0	1	3	1
Rx Recirc. Trip (Recirculation Pump)	2	2	4	3	3	3	1	2	6	3
Rx S/U from Cold Condition	4	2	5	3	3	5	0	5	8	3
+ Small break LOCA	3	4	1	6	0	0	6	6	8	9
Surv. Test E.O.S	2	2	7	2	8	7	0	1	6	2

- + Analyzed Transient
- ++ Analyzed Accident
- \* HPCI - High Pressure Coolant Injection
- \*\* CRD - Control Rod Drive

**APPENDIX 4**  
**FORMATTED CODE LISTING**

### Sort Program Code Listing in IBM BASICA Code

```
5 GOSUB 2000
10 KEY OFF
20 SCREEN 1
25 COLOR 9,0
30 FOR D=0 TO 360 STEP 10
35 : DRAW "ta=d;nu35"
40 NEXT D
45 LOCATE 21,10
50 PRINT "ORNL TASK SORTING PROGRAM"
55 PRINT
60 LOCATE 23,12
65 PRINT "C.C. Jorgensen--1983"
70 FOR I=1 TO 2000:
NEXT I
85 SCREEN 1
95 WIDTH 80
96 SCREEN 0
100 LOCATE 6,22
105 PRINT "BEGINNING DATA ENTRY PROCESS":
BEEP
106 COLOR 4,0,1
110 LOCATE 10

115 REM INPUT PROGRAM FOR TASK RANKING DATA

120 INPUT "HOW MANY TASKS HAVE BEEN RATED?";FT
125 INPUT "HOW MANY CATAGORIES HAVE BEEN USED?";FC
129 DIM
      CT$(10),
      TC(FT)
130 DIM
      ORG(FT,10,2),
      DIF(FT,10,2),
      TASK$(FT),
      SUM(FT,10),
      DAT(FT,10,3),
      TASC(FT)
131 CT$(1)="QUALIFICATION TRAINING":
CT$(2)="CERTIFICATION TRAINING"
132 CT$(3)="REFRESHER TRAINING":
CT$(4)="ELIMINATION FROM TRAINING"
133 CT$(5)="ON THE JOB CANDIDATE":
CT$(6)="POTENTIAL FOR LESS TRAINING"
134 CT$(7)="POTENTIAL FOR MORE TRAINING":
CT$(8)="POTENTIAL SIMULATOR TASK"
135 FOR J=1 TO FT
140 : COLOR 4,0,1
145 : CLS
150 : LOCATE 8
155 : PRINT
: "WHAT IS THE NAME OF TASK NUMBER ";J;
: "
160 : INPUT TASK$(J)
161 : CLS
```



```

162 : LOCATE 10
165 : PRINT "PROVIDE A NUMERIC RATING FOR EACH QUESTION ABOUT:"
170 : PRINT "TASK NUMBER ";J
175 : COLOR 7,4,1
180 : PRINT "NAME----";TASK$(J)
185 : COLOR 1,3,1
190 : INPUT "SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ";ORG(J,1,1)
195 : INPUT "SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY ";ORG(J,2,1)
200 : INPUT "NEED FOR IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE ";ORG(J,3,1)
205 : INPUT "POOR PERFORMANCE CONSEQUENCES ";ORG(J,4,1)
210 : INPUT "PREVIOUS NUCLEAR EXPERIENCE ";ORG(J,5,1)
215 : INPUT "TASK PERFORMANCE FREQUENCY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS ";ORG(J,6,1)
220 : INPUT "POTENTIAL FOR PERFORMANCE IN EMERGENCY OPERATIONS ";ORG(J,7,1)
225 : INPUT "PLANT PERFORMANCE DELAY TOLERANCE ";ORG(J,8,1)
226 : INPUT "REGULATORY REQUIREMENT";ORG(J,9,1)
227 : INPUT "ECONOMIC IMPACT OF POOR PERFORMANCE";ORG(J,10,1)
230 : CLS
235 : LOCATE 15,15
240 : PRINT "DATA ENTRY FOR TASK ";J; "IS NOW COMPLETE "
245 : FOR H=1 TO 2000:
: NEXT
246 : CT$(9)="POTENTIAL FORMAL TRAINING"
250 : SCREEN 0
255 NEXT J
320 CLS
321 LOCATE 10
325 COLOR 7,4,1
330 PRINT "YOUR DATA HAS NOW BEEN ENTERED, DO YOU WISH A HARD COPY?"
335 INPUT "IF SO TYPE 'Y' OTHERWISE HIT ANY OTHER KEY";H$
340 IF
: H$="Y" OR H$="y"
: THEN
: ( GOTO ) 345
: ELSE
: ( GOTO ) 400
345 FOR I=1 TO FT
350 : LPRINT A$
355 : LPRINT "TASK NUMBER ";I
360 : LPRINT TASK$(I)
365 : LPRINT " "
370 : LPRINT "SK AQ","SK PR","IM PR","SAFE ","NU EX","NR OP"
375 : LPRINT
: ORG(I,1,1),ORG(I,2,1),ORG(I,3,1),ORG(I,4,1),ORG(I,5,1),ORG(I,6,1)
:
377 : COLOR 2,0
380 : LPRINT "EM OP","DE TL","REG R","ECON "
385 : LPRINT ORG(I,7,1),ORG(I,8,1),ORG(I,9,1),ORG(I,10,1)
390 NEXT I
400 COLOR 4,0,1
405 CLS
410 LOCATE 10
415 PRINT "YOU ARE NOW IN THE ANALYSIS MODE"
420 PRINT "WHAT KIND OF ANALYSIS DO YOU WISH TO PERFORM?"
425 PRINT

```

```

430 PRINT " TYPE 1 RANKED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING ABSOLUTE VALUES"
435 PRINT " TYPE 2 RANKED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK USING RELATIVE VALUES"
440 PRINT " TYPE 3 RANKED TASKS FOR EACH CATEGORY USING ABSOLUTE VALUES"
445 PRINT " TYPE 4 RANKED TASKS FOR EACH CATEGORY USING RELATIVE VALUES"
450 PRINT
      " TYPE 5 RECOMMENDED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK-USING ABSOLUTE VALUES"
455 PRINT
      " TYPE 6 RECOMMENDED CATEGORIES FOR EACH TASK-USING RELATIVE VALUES"
456 PRINT " TYPE 7 SPECIAL INPUT DATA SORTS"
465 INPUT "PLEASE SELECT A NUMBER FROM THE ABOVE LIST";BCODE
466 IF
      BCODE = 7
      THEN
          GOSUB 3300
          GOTO 3000

470 REM ABSOLUTE CRITERIA SORT CHECKS FOR TASKS

475 REM PUTS VALUES IN DIFFERENCE (DIF) READS FROM ORGDAT (ORG)

480 REM ORG IS ORIGINAL TASK RATINGS

485 REM DIF IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE RATING AND ACTUAL
      SCORE

490 REM SUM IS A CONVENIENT WAY OF GETTING MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE RATING AS ONE
      VALUE

491 CLS:
      LOCATE 20,20:
      PRINT "NUMERIC CALCULATIONS INITIATED"
492 NT=FT
493 CATSUM=0:
      LG = 0
495 FOR T=1 TO NT
497   : FOR JX=1 TO 9:
      :   : FOR KX=1 TO 10:

      :   :   : REM JX=SORT CATEGORIES KX=DIMENSIONS

499   :   :   : IF
      :   :   :   REL(KX,JX,1) = -1
      :   :   :   THEN
      :   :   :     LG=LG + 1
500   :   :   : IF
      :   :   :   REL(KX,JX,2) = 1
      :   :   :   THEN
      :   :   :     ( GOTO ) 510
      :   :   :   ELSE
      :   :   :     ( GOTO ) 520

```

```

510 : : : IF
: : :   ORG(T,KX ,1) >= REL(KX,JX,1)
: : :   THEN
: : :     CATSUM=CATSUM + 1
511 : : : IF
: : :   ORG(T,KX,1) = RFL(KX,JX,1)
: : :   THEN
: : :     GOTO 525
520 : : : IF
: : :   ORG(T,KX,1) <= REL(KX,JX,1)
: : :   THEN
: : :     CATSUM = CATSUM +1
525 : : NEXT KX
527 : : IF
: :   CATSUM <> 0
: :   THEN
: :     DIF(T,JX,1) =(CATSUM-LG)/(10-LG):
: :     CATSUM = 0:
: :     LG=0
530 : NEXT JX
600 NEXT T

601 REM AJ IS A MATRIX OF ADJUSTMENT WEIGHTS FOR SUBJECTIVE VARIATIONS

605 REM RELATIVE SORT MODULE

610 FOR L=1 TO NT:
:   FOR M=1 TO 9:
: :   DIF(L,M,2) = 0:
:   NEXT M:
NEXT L

615 REM ZERO OUT THE DIF MATRIX FOR NEW DATA WHERE -99 IS A DUMMY LOW VALUE

621 NT=FT
624 CATSUM=0:
LG = 0
626 FOR T=1 TO NT
628 :   FOR JX=1 TO 9:
: :   FOR KX=1 TO 10:

: : : REM JX=SORT CATAGORIES KX=DIMENSIONS

630 : : : IF
: : :   REL(KX,JX,1) = -1
: : :   THEN
: : :     LG=LG + 1:
: : :     GOTO 638
632 : : : IF
: : :   REL(KX,JX,2) = 1
: : :   THEN
: : :     ( GOTO ) 634
: : :   ELSE

```

```

      : : : ( GOTO ) 636
634 : : : CATSUM=CATSUM + (ORG(T,KX,1)-REL(KX,JX,1)):
      : : : GOTO 638
636 : : : CATSUM = CATSUM + (REL(KX,JX,1) - ORG(T,KX,1))
638 : : : NEXT KX
640 : : : IF
      : : : CATSUM <> 0
      : : : THEN
      : : : DIF(T,JX,2) =(CATSUM)/(10-LG):
      : : : CATSUM = 0:
      : : : LG=0
642 : : : NEXT JX
644 : : : NEXT T
675 : : : GOTO 780
680 : : : COLOR 1,3,1:

      REM set the border and screen dark blue and set screen light blue

685 : : : REM VALUES FOR TASK NUMBER (ST,FT) OR CATAGORY NUMBER (SC,FC)

690 : : : REM DAT (X,X,1) IS THE SORT VALUE, DAT (X,X,2) IS THE ORIGINAL TASK
      : : : POSITION

695 : : : REM sc is the start number of catagories fc is the finishing number

700 : : : REM st is the start number of tasks ft is the finishing number

705 : : : FOR T=1 TO FT:
      : : : FOR S=1 TO 9:
      : : : : : DAT(T,S,1)=DIF(T,S,1):
      : : : : : DAT(T,S,2)=T:
      : : : : : DAT(T,S,3)=S

710 : : : REM THE X IN 705 IS EITHER 1 FOR ABSOLUTE VALUES OR 2 FOR
      : : : RELATIVE VALUES

715 : : : NEXT S:
      : : : NEXT T

720 : : : REM FILL DAT WITH EITHER SORT VALUES IN POS 1 AND TASK NUMBERS IN POS 2

725 : : : FOR I=A TO FT:
      : : : FOR J=B TO FC:
      : : : : : FOR K=I TO FT:
      : : : : : : : FOR L=J TO FC
730 : : : : : : : IF
      : : : : : : : : : DAT(I,J,1) >= DAT(K,L,1)
      : : : : : : : : : THEN
      : : : : : : : : : ( GOTO ) 750
735 : : : : : : : : : SWAP DAT(K,L,1),DAT(I,J,1):

```

```

      : : : : SWAP DAT(K,L,2),DAT(I,J,2)
740 : : : : SWAP DAT(K,L,3),DAT(I,J,3)

745 : : : : REM SWAP CAT VALUES AND ORIGINAL POSITION RECORD

750 : : : NEXT L:
      : : NEXT K:
      : NEXT J:
      NEXT I
755 RETURN

760 REM TO MAKE THIS ROUTINE SORT IN EITHER ROW OR COLUMN CHANGE START AND
      STOP

765 REM VALUES FOR TASK NUMBER (ST,FT) OR CATAGORY NUMBER (SC,FC)

770 REM DAT (X,X,1) IS THE SORT VALUE, DAT (X,X,2) IS THE ORIGINAL TASK
      POSITION

780 IF
      BCODE=1 OR BCODE=3 OR BCODE=5
      THEN
          X=1
      ELSE
          X=2

785 REM X INDICATES WHETHER DATA FOR SORT USES ABSOLUTE OR RELATIVE
      INFORMATION

795 IF
      BCODE=1 OR BCODE=2
      THEN
          MESKEY=1

800 IF
      BCODE=3 OR BCODE=4
      THEN
          MESKEY=2

805 IF
      BCODE=5 OR BCODE=6
      THEN
          MESKEY=3

810 REM MESKEY SELECTS TASKS BY CATAGORY SORTS,CATAGORY BY TASK SORTS

815 REM OR RECOMMENDED TASKS BY CATAGORY SORTS

820 IF
      MESKEY=1
      THEN
          ( GOTO ) 824
      ELSE

```



```

      ( GOTO ) 830
824 CLS:
      LOCATE 20,20:
      PRINT "BEGINNING TASK SELECTION LOGIC"
825 FOR INC=1 TO FT:
      : A=INC:
      : FT=INC:
      : B=1:
      : FC=9:
      : GOSUB 705:
      : GOSUB 890:
      : FT=NT:
      NEXT
830 IF
      MESKEY=2
      THEN
      ( GOTO ) 835
      ELSE
      ( GOTO ) 840
835 FOR IND=1 TO 9:
      : A=1:
      : FT=NT:
      : B=IND:
      : FC=IND:
      : GOSUB 705:
      : GOSUB 890:
      : FT=NT:
      : FC=9:
      NEXT
836 IF
      MESKEY=2
      THEN
      GOSUB 1050
840 IF
      MESKEY=3
      THEN
      A=1:
      B=1:
      FC=9:
      GOSUB 705
841 FOR SS=1 TO NT:
      : TASC(SS)=0:
      NEXT:
      GOSUB 890:
      GOSUB 1050

845 REM SET UP THE LOOP COUNTS FOR SORT AND PRINT ROUTINES

850 REM THIS IS THE GENERAL OUTPUT ROUTINE

855 REM IT IS CALLED ONCE FOR EACH ITERATION OF A LOOP

```

```

860 REM MESKEY 1 IS FOR A CATAGORY SORT FOR EACH TASK

365 REM MESKEY 2 IS FOR A SORT BY TASKS

870 REM MESKEY 3 IS FOR AN OPTIMUM ALLOCATION OF TASKS TO CATAGORIES

875 SCREEN 1:
SCREEN 0:
WIDTH 80
876 LOCATE 15,25
880 COLOR 14,0,3
885 PRINT "SORT PROGRAM COMPLETED":
BEEP:
END
890 CLS
895 SCREEN 0
900 WIDTH 80
905 COLOR 3,0,1
915 TASK=A
920 CATA=B
925 IF
    MESKEY=1
    THEN
        ( GOTO ) 926
    ELSE
        IF
            MESKEY=2
            THEN
                ( GOTO ) 970
            ELSE
                ( GOTO ) 1000

926 IF
    INC > FT
    THEN
        INC=FT:
        GOSUB 1050
930 PRINT "THE RANK ORDERED CATAGORIES FOR:"
931 PRINT TASK$(INC)
932 COLOR 20,0,1:
PRINT "ARE:",,,, "SORT VALUE" :
COLOR 4,0,1
935 FOR DD=1 TO 9
940 ; PRINT DD,CT$(DAT(INC,DD,3)), "          ";DAT(INC,DD,1)
950 NEXT DD
951 PRINT:
PRINT:
PRINT "PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE--THERE WILL BE A SHORT PAUSE"
952 XY$=INKEY$:
IF
    XY$=""
    THEN
        ( GOTO ) 952

```

```

965 IF
      MESKEY=1
      THEN
          ( GOTO ) 1030
966 LOCATE 10
970 PRINT "THE RANK ORDERED TASKS FOR CATAGORY ";IND,CT$(IND)
971 COLOR 20,0,1:
      PRINT "ARE:","",,"SORT VALUE":
      COLOR 4,0,1
972 FOR EE=1 TO FT
974 | PRINT
      | EE;"TASK ";DAT(EE,IND,2);" ";TASK$(DAT(EE,IND,2)),,DAT(EE,IND,
      | 1)
976 NEXT EE
977 PRINT:
      PRINT:
      PRINT "PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING-WAIT FOR RESPONSE"
978 XY$=INKEY$:
      IF
          XY$=""
          THEN
              ( GOTO ) 978
1000 IF
      MESKEY=2
      THEN
          ( GOTO ) 1030
1005 FOR TASK=A TO NT:
      | FOR CATA=B TO 9
1010 | | IF
      | | TASC(DAT(TASK,CATA,2))=0
      | | THEN
      | | ( GOTO ) 1015
      | | ELSE
      | | ( GOTO ) 1020
1015 | | PRINT "TASK ";DAT(TASK,CATA,2);" GOES TO ";CT$(DAT(TASK,CATA,3))
1016 | | PRINT"THE TASK NAME IS:" TASK$(DAT(TASK,CATA,2))
1020 | | TASC(DAT(TASK,CATA,2))=1
1025 | NEXT CATA:
      NEXT TASK
1026 PRINT:
      PRINT:
      PRINT "PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE LISTING-WAIT FOR RESPONSE"
1027 XY$=INKEY$:
      IF
          XY$=""
          THEN
              ( GOTO ) 1027
1030 RETURN
1035 FOR XX=1 TO 1500:
      NEXT:
      RETURN
1050 LOCATE 10
1052 COLOR 7,4,1
1054 PRINT "WOULD YOU LIKE ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS?"

```

```

1056 INPUT "IF YES TYPE 'Y' IF 'NO' HIT RETURN";B#
1058 IF
    B#="Y" OR B#="y"
    THEN
        GOTO 420
    ELSE
        GOTO 875
2000 DIM
    REL(10,9,2)
2010 FOR A=1 TO 10:
    : FOR B=1 TO 9:
    : : READ REL(A,B,1),REL(A,B,2):
    : NEXT B:
    NEXT A
2021 RETURN
2130 DATA
    -1,
    1,
    -1,
    1,
    3,
    1,
    3,
    0,
    3,
    0,
    3,
    0,
    7,
    1,
    5,
    1,
    7,
    1
2140 DATA
    -1,
    1,
    -1,
    1,
    3,
    1,
    3,
    0,
    3,
    0,
    3,
    0,
    7,
    1,
    5,
    1,
    7,
    1
2150 DATA

```

3,  
1,  
5,  
1,  
8,  
1,  
-1,  
1,  
3,  
0,  
3,  
0,  
7,  
1,  
-1,  
1,  
5,  
1

2160 DATA

3,  
1,  
7,  
1,  
3,  
1,  
3,  
0,  
3,  
0,  
2,  
0,  
7,  
1,  
-1,  
1,  
7,  
1

2170 DATA

-1,  
1,  
2,  
0,  
3,  
0,  
7,  
1,  
7,  
1,  
5,  
1,  
5,  
0,  
3,  
0,



```
5,  
0  
2180 DATA  
3,  
1,  
-1,  
1,  
3,  
0,  
-1,  
1,  
5,  
1,  
7,  
1,  
3,  
0,  
3,  
0,  
3,  
0  
2190 DATA  
5,  
1,  
-1,  
1,  
5,  
1,  
3,  
0,  
3,  
0,  
3,  
0,  
3,  
1,  
5,  
1,  
3,  
1  
2200 DATA  
2,  
0,  
7,  
1,  
7,  
1,  
3,  
0,  
3,  
0,  
3,  
0,  
7,
```

```

1,
5,
1,
-1,
1
2210 DATA
7,
1,
3,
1,
3,
1,
1,
0,
-1,
1,
-1,
1,
-1,
1,
3,
1,
-1,
1
2220 DATA
5,
1,
-1,
1,
-1,
1,
2,
0,
2,
0,
-1,
1,
7,
1,
5,
1,
3,
1
3000 CLS
3002 LOCATE 5,20:
PRINT "SPECIAL OPTIONS ARE:"
3005 LOCATE 10
3010 PRINT "1 A RANK ORDERED LIST OF SKILL ACQUISITION DIFFICULTY ON TASKS"
3015 PRINT "2 A SIMILAR LIST OF SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFFICULTY"
3020 PRINT "3 A RANK ORDERED LIST BASED ON IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE"
3025 PRINT "4 A SIMILAR LIST BASED ON PUBLIC SAFETY RISK"
3030 PRINT "5 A RANK ORDER OF TASKS BASED ON PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE LIKELIHOOD"
3035 PRINT "6 A RANKED LIST OF TASK PROBABILITY IN NORMAL OPERATIONS"
3040 PRINT "7 A SIMILAR LIST FOR EMERGENCY OPERATIONS"

```

```

3045 PRINT "8 A RANKED LIST OF TASKS BASED ON PLANT DELAY TOLERANCE"
3050 PRINT "9 TASKS RANKED IN TERMS OF REGULATORY REQUIREMENT CONSTRAINTS"
3055 PRINT "10 TASKS RANKED IN TERMS OF POTENTIAL ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES"
3060 PRINT "11 SPECIAL COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS MODULE"
3065 LOCATE 24,20:
INPUT "PLEASE CHOOSE A NUMBER OR 12 TO QUIT";OPN
3070 ON
      OPN
          GOTO 3081,3091,3101,3111,3121,3131,3141,3151,3161,3171,3181,875
3081 CLS:
LOCATE 10:
PRINT "THE TASKS RANKED IN DESCENDING ORDER FOR":
COLOR 2,0,1
3082 PRINT "SKILL ACQUISITION DIFICULTY ARE:":
CD=1:
CB=1:
GOSUB 3300 :
COLOR 4,0,1
3083 PRINT:
FOR TZ=1 TO FT:
: PRINT "RANK ";TZ;" ";DAT(TZ,1,2),TASK#(DAT(TZ,1,2))
3084 : PRINT " ", "ITS VALUE IS: ";DAT(TZ,1,1):
NEXT TZ:
GOTO 3200
3091 CLS:
LOCATE 10:
PRINT "THE TASKS RANKED IN DESCENDING ORDER FOR":
COLOR 2,0,1
3092 PRINT "SKILL PERFORMANCE DIFICULTY ARE:":
CB=2:
CD=2:
GOSUB 3300 :
COLOR 4,0,1
3093 PRINT:
FOR TZ=1 TO FT:
: PRINT "RANK ";TZ;" ";DAT(TZ,2,2),TASK#(DAT(TZ,2,2))
3094 : PRINT " ", "ITS VALUE IS: ";DAT(TZ,2,1):
NEXT TZ:
GOTO 3200
3101 CLS:
LOCATE 10:
PRINT "THE TASKS RANKED IN DESCENDING ORDER FOR":
COLOR 2,0,1
3102 PRINT "IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE NEED ARE:":
CB=3:
CD=3:
GOSUB 3300 :
COLOR 4,0,1
3103 PRINT:
FOR TZ=1 TO FT:
: PRINT "RANK ";TZ;" ";DAT(TZ,3,2),TASK#(DAT(TZ,3,2))
3104 : PRINT " ", "ITS VALUE IS: ";DAT(TZ,3,1):
NEXT TZ:
GOTO 3200

```

```

3111 CLS:
      LOCATE 10:
      PRINT "THE TASKS RANKED IN DESCENDING ORDER FOR":
      COLOR 2,0,1
3112 PRINT "PUBLIC SAFETY RISK ARE":
      CB=4:
      CD=4:
      GOSUB 3300 :
      COLOR 4,0,1
3113 PRINT:
      FOR TZ=1 TO FT:
      ! PRINT "RANK ";TZ;" ";DAT(TZ,4,2),TASK$(DAT(TZ,4,2))
3114 ! PRINT " ", "ITS VALUE IS: ";DAT(TZ,4,1):
      NEXT TZ:
      GOTO 3200
3121 CLS:
      LOCATE 10:
      PRINT "THE TASKS RANKED IN DESCENDING ORDER FOR":
      COLOR 2,0,1
3122 PRINT "PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE LIKLIHOOD ARE":
      CB=5:
      CD=5:
      GOSUB 3300:
      COLOR 4,0,1
3123 PRINT:
      FOR TZ=1 TO FT:
      ! PRINT "RANK ";TZ;" ";DAT(TZ,5,2),TASK$(DAT(TZ,5,2))
3124 ! PRINT " ", "ITS VALUE IS: ";DAT(TZ,5,1):
      NEXT TZ:
      GOTO 3200
3131 CLS:
      LOCATE 10:
      PRINT "THE TASKS RANKED IN DESCENDING ORDER FOR":
      COLOR 2,0,1
3132 PRINT "NORMAL OPERATION PERFORMANCE ARE":
      CB=6:
      CD=6:
      GOSUB 3300:
      COLOR 4,0,1
3133 PRINT:
      FOR TZ=1 TO FT:
      ! PRINT "RANK ";TZ;" ";DAT(TZ,6,2),TASK$(DAT(TZ,6,2))
3134 ! PRINT " ", "ITS VALUE IS: ";DAT(TZ,6,1):
      NEXT TZ:
      GOTO 3200
3141 CLS:
      LOCATE 10:
      PRINT "THE TASKS RANKED IN DESCENDING ORDER FOR":
      COLOR 2,0,1
3142 PRINT "EMERGENCY OPERATIONS ARE":
      CB=7:
      CD=7:
      GOSUB 3300:
      COLOR 4,0,1

```

```

3143 PRINT:
      FOR TZ=1 TO FT:
      : PRINT "RANK ";TZ;" ";DAT(TZ,7,2),TASK$(DAT(TZ,7,2))
3144 : PRINT " ", "ITS VALUE IS: ";DAT(TZ,7,1):
      NEXT TZ:
      GOTO 3200
3151 CLS:
      LOCATE 10:
      PRINT "THE TASKS RANKED IN DESCENDING ORDER FOR":
      COLOR 2,0,1
3152 PRINT "PLANT DELAY TOLERANCE ARE":
      CB=8:
      CD=8:
      GOSUB 3300:
      COLOR 4,0,1
3153 PRINT:
      FOR TZ=1 TO FT:
      : PRINT "RANK ";TZ;" ";DAT(TZ,8,2),TASK$(DAT(TZ,8,2))
3154 : PRINT " ", "ITS VALUE IS: ";DAT(TZ,8,1):
      NEXT TZ:
      GOTO 3200
3161 CLS:
      LOCATE 10:
      PRINT "THE TASKS RANKED IN DESCENDING ORDER FOR":
      COLOR 2,0,1
3162 PRINT "REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS ARE":
      CB=9:
      CD=9:
      GOSUB 3300:
      COLOR 4,0,1
3163 PRINT:
      FOR TZ=1 TO FT:
      : PRINT "RANK ";TZ;" ";DAT(TZ,9,2),TASK$(DAT(TZ,9,2))
3164 : PRINT " ", "ITS VALUE IS: ";DAT(TZ,9,1):
      NEXT TZ:
      GOTO 3200
3171 CLS:
      LOCATE 10:
      PRINT "THE TASKS RANKED IN DESCENDING ORDER FOR":
      COLOR 2,0,1
3172 PRINT " ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES ARE":
      CB=10:
      CD=10:
      GOSUB 3300:
      COLOR 4,0,1
3173 PRINT:
      FOR TZ=1 TO FT:
      : PRINT "RANK ";TZ;" ";DAT(TZ,10,2),TASK$(DAT(TZ,10,2))
3174 : PRINT " ", "ITS VALUE IS: ";DAT(TZ,10,1):
      NEXT TZ:
      GOTO 3200
3181 CLS:
      LOCATE 10,20:
      COLOR 4,0,1:

```



```

PRINT "### SPECIAL ECONOMIC ANALYSIS ###"
3182 COLOR 4,0,1:
PRINT:
INPUT "ENTER AVERAGE PLANT POWER KILOWATT HOURS/DAY";E
3183 PRINT:
INPUT "ENTER AVERAGE DOLLAR PROFIT PER KILOWATT HOUR";P
3184 PRINT:
INPUT "ENTER AVERAGE PER HOUR COST OF MAINTAINANCE";M
3186 FOR AB=1 TO FT
3187 : PRINT "FOR TASK:";
: COLOR 14,0,1:
: PRINT TASK$(AB):
: COLOR 2,0,1
3188 : INPUT "MAXIMUM HARDWARE DAMAGE COSTS INCLUDING REPLACEMENT COST";MD
3189 : INPUT "ESTIMATED NUMBER OF DAYS TO REPAIR IF MAX DAMAGE";ND
3190 : TC(AB)=(E*RD*P) + (M*RD) + MD
3191 NEXT AB
3193 CLS:
LOCATE 10:
COLOR 15,0,1:
PRINT "THE RANKED TASKS BY DOLLAR IMPACT ARE: "
3194 COLOR 4,0,2:
GOSUB 3500:
PRINT:
PRINT "RANK      TASK NUMBER ---NAME"
3195 FOR V=1 TO FT:
: PRINT V,DAT(V,1,2),TASK$(DAT(V,1,2)):
: COLOR 2,0,2
3196 : PRINT "      THE DOLLAR COST OF POOR TRAINING IS ";DAT(V,1,1):
: COLOR 4,0,2
3197 NEXT V
3200 GOSUB 1035:
LOCATE 24,20:
PRINT "DO YOU WISH ANOTHER ANALYSIS?"
3201 INPUT "TYPE S FOR SPECIAL SORTS,R FOR REGULAR SORTS,OR N FOR NO";Q$
3202 IF
      Q$="S"
      THEN
        ( GOTO ) 3000
      ELSE
        IF
          Q$="R"
          THEN
            ( GOTO ) 400
          ELSE
            ( GOTO ) 875

3300 REM sc is the start number of catagories fc is the finishing number

3302 REM st is the start number of tasks      ft is the finishing number

3304 FOR T=1 TO FT:
: FOR S=CB TO CD:

```

```

      : : DAT(T,S,1)=ORG(T,S,1):
      : : DAT(T,S,2)=T
3308 : : DAT(T,S,3)=S:
      : NEXT S:
      NEXT T

3310 REM SWAP CAT VALUES AND ORIGNAL POSITION RECORD

3312 FOR I=1 TO FT:
      : FOR J=CB TO CD:
      : : FOR K=I TO FT:
      : : : FOR L=J TO CD
3314 : : : : IF
      : : : : DAT(I,J,1) >= DAT(K,L,1)
      : : : : THEN
      : : : : ( GOTO ) 3322
3316 : : : : SWAP DAT(K,L,1),DAT(I,J,1):
      : : : : SWAP DAT(K,L,2),DAT(I,J,2)
3318 : : : : SWAP DAT(K,L,3),DAT(I,J,3)

3320 : : : : REM SWAP CAT VALUES AND ORIGNAL POSITION RECORD

3322 : : : : NEXT L:
      : : NEXT K:
      : NEXT J:
      NEXT I
3324 RETURN
3500 FOR T=1 TO FT:
      : FOR S=1 TO 1:
      : : DAT(T,S,1)=TC(T):
      : : DAT(T,S,2)=T
3502 : : DAT(T,S,3)=S:
      : NEXT S:
      NEXT T

3503 REM SWAP CAT VALUES AND ORIGNAL POSITION RECORD

3506 FOR I=1 TO FT:
      : FOR J=1 TO 1:
      : : FOR K=I TO FT:
      : : : FOR L=J TO 1
3508 : : : : IF
      : : : : DAT(I,J,1) >= DAT(K,L,1)
      : : : : THEN
      : : : : ( GOTO ) 3516
3510 : : : : SWAP DAT(K,L,1),DAT(I,J,1):
      : : : : SWAP DAT(K,L,2),DAT(I,J,2)
3512 : : : : SWAP DAT(K,L,3),DAT(I,J,3)

3514 : : : : REM SWAP CAT VALUES AND ORIGNAL POSITION RECORD

3516 : : : : NEXT L:
      : : NEXT K:
      : ..EXT J:

```

NEXT I  
3518 RETURN

Summary  
Input line count - 321  
Statement count - 591

*INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION*

- |        |                           |     |                                 |
|--------|---------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|
| 1.     | L. S. Abbott              | 19. | J. J. Manning                   |
| 2.     | C. R. Bovell (Consultant) | 20. | F. R. Mynatt                    |
| 3.     | R. J. Carter              | 21. | A. Zucker                       |
| 4.     | G. F. Flanagan            | 22. | P. W. Dickson, Jr. (Consultant) |
| 5.     | L. H. Gray                | 23. | G. H. Golub (Consultant)        |
| 6-9.   | P. M. Haas                | 24. | D. Steiner (Consultant)         |
| 10-14. | C. C. Jorgensen           | 25. | Central Research Library        |
| 15.    | H. E. Knee                | 26. | Y-12 Document Ref. Section      |
| 16.    | P. A. Krois               | 27. | Laboratory Records ORNL, RC     |
| 17.    | F. C. Maienschein         | 28. | ORNL Patent Office              |
| 18.    | A. P. Malinauskas         |     |                                 |

*EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION*

29. Director, Division of Reactor Research and Technology, DOE, Washington, D.C. 20545.
30. Office of the Assistant Manager for Energy Research and Development, DOE-ORO, Oak Ridge, TN 37830.
31. H. L. Parris, Electric Power Research Institute, 3412 Hillview Ave., P.O. Box 10412, Palo Alto, CA 94303
32. J. O'Brien, Electric Power Research Institute, 3412 Hillview Ave., P.O. Box 10412, Palo Alto, CA 94303
33. Z. T. Pate, President, Institute of Nuclear Power Operations, 1820 Water Place, Atlanta, GA 30339
34. K. Strahm, Institute of Nuclear Power Operations, 1820 Water Place, Atlanta, GA 30339
35. D. Stubbs, Martin Marietta, ATC, 475 School St., Washington, D.C. 20024
36. M. A. Larue, Jr., Martin Marietta Aerospace, P.O. Box 179, Denver, CO 80201

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555:  
Division of Risk Analysis and Operations:

- 37-41. J. C. Lovry
42. J. P. Jenkins
43. J. A. Norberg
44. C. M. Overbey
45. Thomas G. Ryan
46. M. L. Au

Division of Human Factors Safety:

- 47. D. H. Beckham
- 48. H. R. Booher
- 49. D. Jones
- 50. J. J. Persensky

51-52. Technical Information Center

53-452. Given distribution as shown in NRC Category RX, Human Factors Safety (NTIS — 10).

NOTICE

When you no longer need this report, please return it to P. M. Haas, Engineering Physics Division, Bldg. 6025, Room 10W, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, P. O. Box X, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831. Thank you.



NRC FORM 338 (2-84) NRCM 1102, 3201, 3202 SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	1. REPORT NUMBER (Assigned by TIDC add Vol. No. if any) NUREG/CR-3481, Vol. 1 ORNL/TM-9308/V1								
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET</b>		3. LEAVE BLANK								
2. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Nuclear Power Plant Personnel Qualifications and Training: TSORT - An Automated Technique to Assign Tasks to Training Strategies	4. DATE REPORT COMPLETED <table border="1" style="width: 100%; text-align: center;"> <tr> <td>MONTH</td> <td>YEAR</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7</td> <td>84</td> </tr> </table> 5. DATE REPORT ISSUED <table border="1" style="width: 100%; text-align: center;"> <tr> <td>MONTH</td> <td>YEAR</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9</td> <td>84</td> </tr> </table>		MONTH	YEAR	7	84	MONTH	YEAR	9	84
MONTH	YEAR									
7	84									
MONTH	YEAR									
9	84									
5. AUTHOR(S) Charles C. Jorgensen	8. PROJECT/TASK/WORK UNIT NUMBER									
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS (Include Zip Code) Oak Ridge National Laboratory Reliability & Human Factors Group Bldg. 6025 Oak Ridge, TN 37831	9. FIN OR GRANT NUMBER B0466									
10. SPONSORING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS (Include Zip Code) Nuclear Regulatory Commission Human Factors and Safeguards Branch Division of Risk Analysis and Operations Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, U.S. NRC Washington, D.C. 20555	11a. TYPE OF REPORT Final b. PERIOD COVERED (Inclusive dates) 6/83 -- 7/84									
12. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES										
13. ABSTRACT (200 words or less) <p>This report discusses TSORT, a technique to assist the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) in evaluating whether training program developers have allocated nuclear power plant tasks to appropriate training strategies. The TSORT structure is presented including training categories selected, dimensions of task information considered, measurement metrics used, and a guide to application. TSORT is implemented as an automated software tool for an IBM-PC. It uses full color graphics and interactive menu selection to provide NRC with a variety of evaluation options including: rank ordering of training strategies reasonable for each task, rank ordering of tasks within strategies, and a variety of special analyses. The program code is also presented along with a comprehensive example of 20 realistic tasks illustrating each of 17 options available.</p>										
14. DOCUMENT ANALYSIS - a. KEYWORDS/DESCRIPTORS Systems approach to training, ISD, Task analysis, SAT, Training development, Analysis  b. IDENTIFIERS OPEN ENDED TERMS Cost effectiveness analysis, Task allocation		15. AVAILABILITY STATEMENT  16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION (This page) Un (This report) Un 17. NUMBER OF PAGES  18. PRICE								