

NOV 23 1984

Docket N 50-286

Power Authority of the State of New York
Indian Point 3 Nuclear Power Plant
ATTN: Mr. J. C. Brons
Resident Manager
P. O. Box 215
Buchanan, New York 10511

Gentlemen:

Subject: Inspection No. 50-286/84-19

This refers to your letter dated November 8, 1984, in response to our letter dated October 9, 1984.

Thank you for informing us of the corrective and preventive actions documented in your letter. These actions will be examined during a future inspection of your licensed program.

Your cooperation with us is appreciated.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By:

L. Bellamy

for Thomas T. Martin, Director
Division of Engineering and
Technical Programs

cc w/encl:

L. W. Sinclair, President and Chief Operating Officer
J. P. Bayne, Executive Vice President-Nuclear Generation
C. M. Pratt, Assistant General Counsel
A. Klausmann, Vice President - Quality Assurance
J. Cirilli, Quality Assurance Superintendent
G. M. Wilverding, Chairman, Safety Review Committee
M. Blatt, Director, Regulatory Affairs (Con Ed)
NRC Licensing Project Manager
Dept. of Public Service, State of New York
Public Document Room (PDR)
Local Public Document Room (LPDR)
Nuclear Safety Information Center (NSIC)
NRC Resident Inspector
State of New York

8411290120 841123
PDR ADOCK 05000286
PDR

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

RL50-286/84-19 - 0001.0.0
11/19/84

IEOI

bcc w/encl:
Region I Docket Room (with concurrences)
~~Senior Operations Officer (w/o encls)~~
DPRP Section Chief


RI:DETP
Clemons/tp
11/20/84

RI:DETP
Shapbaky
11/21/84

RI:DETP
Bellamy
11/21/84

RI:DETP
Martin
11/21/84

Indian Point 3
Nuclear Power Plant
P.O. Box 215
Buchanan, New York 10511
914 739.8200



November 8, 1984
IP-WDH-3705

Docket No. 50-286
License No. DPR-64

Mr. Thomas T. Martin, Director
Division of Engineering and Technical Programs
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region I
631 Park Avenue
King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

SUBJECT: Inspection No. 50-286/84-19


Dear Mr. Martin:

This letter provides the Authority's response to your Inspection Report No. 50-286/84-19 dated October 9, 1984 and received at this office on October 15, 1984.

Attachment I to this letter addresses the concerns cited in Appendix A (Notice of Violation) of the Inspection Report.

Should you or your staff have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Mr. W. Hamlin of my staff.

Very truly yours,


John C. Brons
Resident Manager
Indian Point Unit 3
Nuclear Power Plant

WDH:jmd

Attachment

cc: IP3 Resident Inspector's Office

FJR
~~841190252~~

ATTACHMENT I

VIOLATION

A. 10 CFR 71.101(b) requires each licensee to establish a quality assurance program for packages. 10 CFR 71.101(f) states that a Commission approved quality assurance program that satisfies the applicable criteria of Appendix B of Part 50 of this chapter, and which is established, maintained, and executed with regard to transport packages will be accepted as satisfying the requirements of paragraph (b) of this section.

1. Criterion XVII, Appendix B, Part 50, "Quality Assurance Records", of the licensee's previously approved program, requires that the licensee maintain sufficient records to furnish evidence of activities affecting quality.

Contrary to the above, in December 1983, certain preventative maintenance activities were performed on the NUPAC 14D-2.0 transport package and the licensee did not maintain sufficient records to furnish evidence of activities affecting quality. Records were not maintained for the change of the secondary gasket and lubricant requirements.

2. Criterion XVIII, Appendix B, Part 5D, "Audits", of the licensee's previously approved program, requires that a comprehensive system of planned and periodic audits shall be carried out to verify compliance with all aspects of the Quality Assurance Program for transport packages and to determine the effectiveness of the program.

Contrary to the above, a comprehensive system of planned and periodic audits has not been carried out to verify compliance with all aspects of the Quality Assurance Program for transport packages or to determine the effectiveness of the program.

RESPONSE

Item A. 1

The secondary gasket had been replaced and the various package lubricant requirements had been performed. However, subsequent to these activities, the preventative maintenance check list was apparently lost.

Those activities required by the lost check list were repeated on September 4, 1984.

We are adding to the inspection check list those activities covered in the preventative maintenance check list so that completion of these activities will be documented in two places. In addition, a copy of all preventative maintenance and other maintenance activities relating to the NUPAC 14D-2.0 transport package will be forwarded to the site Quality Assurance Superintendent.

These corrective actions have been completed.

Item A.2

The Authority's QA and Reliability Department has been implementing appropriate 10 CFR 50 Appendix B program elements to transport packages as provided for by 10 CFR 71.101 (f), previously approved programs. Such implementation is effected through a variety of activities which include document reviews, QC inspections, QA surveillances, QA audits and QA & R program appraisals. Records of these activities are documented on the forms and reports which apply to the type of activity performed.

While the Authority believes that the current standard audit program and the supplementary surveillance audits adequately cover the NRC concerns, the Authority will, by December 31, 1984, review its present procedures and develop a plan which defines site QA audit activities applicable to transport packages.