

Hodin C Roy 10703 Film Hodinic AR 72861 For 501 964-3100

August 14, 1992

1CAN089203

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Mail Stop P1-137 Washington, D.C. 20555

SUBJECT: , kansas Nuclear One - Unit 1

Docket No. 50-313 License No. DPR-51 Monthly Operating Report

Gentlemen:

Monthly Operating Report statistics for Arkansas Nuclear One, Unit 1, for July, 1992 is attached. This report is submitted in accordance with ANO-1 Technical Specification 6.12.2.3.

Very truly yours,

James J. Fisicaro Director, Licensing

JJF/SAB/sjf Attachment

IFILA

253

Mr. James L. Milhoan Regional Administrator U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region IV 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, TX 76011-8064

NRC Senior Resident Inspector Arkansas Nuclear One - ANO-1 & 2 Number 1, Nuclear Plant Road Russellville, AR 72801

Mr. Thomas W. Alexion NRR Project Manager, Region IV/ANO-1 U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission NRR Mail Stop 13-H-3 One White Flint North 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, Maryland 20852

Ms. Sheri Peterson NRR Project Manager, Region IV/ANO-2 U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission NRR Mail Stop 13-H-3 One White Flint North 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, Maryland 20852

#### OPERATING DATA REPORT

DOCKET NO: 50-313 DATE: August 5, 1992

COMPLETED BY: K. R. Hayes

TELEPHONE: (501) 964-5535

#### OPERATING STATUS

1. Unit Name: Arkansas Nuclear One - Unit 1

Reporting Period: July 1-31, 1992 2.

3.

Licensed Thermal Power (MWt): 2,568
Nameplate Rating (Gross MWe): 902.74 4.

5. Design Electrical Rating (Net MWe): 850

Maxi a Dependable Capacity (Gross MWe): 883 6.

Maximum Dependable Capacity (Net MWe): 836

If Changes Occur in Capacity Ratings (Items Number 3 Through 7) Since 8. Last Report, Give Reasons:

9. Power Level To Which Restricted, If Any (Net MWe): None

10. Reasons For Restrictions. If Any: None

		MONTH	YR-TO-DATE	CUMULATIVE
11. 12.	Hours in Reporting Period Number of Hours Reactor was	744.0	5,111.0	154,434.0
	Critical	744.0	3,464.8	109,326.0
13.	Reactor Reserve Shutdown	0.0	0.0	5,044.0
14.	Hours Generator On-Line	744.0	3,415.9	107,148.7
15.	Unit Reserve Shutdown Hours	0.0	0.0	817.5
16.	Gross Thermal Energy Generated			01713
	(MWH)	1.895.663.0	8,547,831.0	243,729,269.0
17.	Gross Electrical Energy			***************************************
	Generated (MWH)	633,200.0	2,901,800.0	81,180,340.0
18.	Net Electrical Energy			
	Generated (MWH)	605,266.0	2,763,933.0	77,139,181.0
19.	Unit Service Factor	100.0	66.8	69.4
20.	Unit Availability Factor	100.0	66.8	69.9
21.	Unit Capacity Factor			
	(Using MDC Net)	97.3	64.7	59.7
22.	Unit Capacity Factor			
	(Using DEC Net)	95.7	63.6	58.8
23.	Unit Forced Outage Rate	0.0	0.1	12.1
24.	Shutdowns Scheduled Over Next (	Months (Type	, Date, and Durat	ion of Each):

25. If Shut Down At End of Report Period. Estimated Date of Startup:

26. Units in Test Status (Prior to Commercial Operation):

INITIAL CRITICALITY INITIAL ELECTRICITY COMMERCIAL OPERATION Forecast Achieved 08/06/74 08/17/74 12/19/74

#### AVERAGE DAILY UNIT POWER LEVEL

DOCKET NO:

50-313

UNIT:

One

DATE:

August 5, 1992 COMPLETED BY: K. R. Hayes

TELEPHONE:

(501) 964-5535

MONTH July, 1992

DAY

AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)

1 .	*			×		6		8			*			828
2 .	1	*		*				×		*			'n.	827
3 .			i		*	*	,	*	ķ	į.		į.		826
4 .			*		4	ļ	*		×	*				823
5 .		,	*				*	*		×	*	×		823
6 .						A				*	'n.			822
7 ,					*		,	*		4	4		į.	820
8 .	*	y	*	×	*	×		×			*	4		8.20
9 .		,	*	÷	×	×		*	×	*		à		819
10	1			×	*	*	*	*	á	÷				817
11	*		*	*		×	7			*				818
12	*	,	*			×	¥	*			*			818
1.3	*	4	*	-		*	*		*		,	÷		818
14			*	×					4	,		*		819
15	×				*	9		*				¥	*	820
16						*								821
17	×	×		*	4	3	×			2				822
18	*			*	Į.		¥	*		*	*			822
19		4	*	*			*					*		820
20	*		*	*			×	×	*		×	,	,	820
21		*	*	*		*	×			*		8		820
22		*	5.	×	*	'n			×	-			+	821
23	*	*	*		8	*		*	+	-	*		*	821
24	*	*						*	*			*		810
25	×			-			-	*				*		622
26	×			- 1	*		×		×		*		*	815
2.7	*	1	,				*	*			*	4	4	817
28	4		ě				ķ	×	*	*	*	*		817
29	*			*		*		×	*	*	¥	*		817
30				-	9		*		+			*	*	818
31	*	*		*	*			×	1	*		*		820

AVGS: 814

## INSTRUCTION

On this format, list the average daily unit power level in MWe-Net for each day in reporting month. Compute to the nearest whole megawatt.

#### MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT

#### OPERATING SUMMARY

JULY, 1992

UNIT ONE

Unit One began the month operating at 100% power. On the tenth at 19:40 hours, the unit load was decreased to 98% to perform scheduled testing of the turbine throttle/governor valves. The unit returned to 100% power at 21:25 hours on the same day. On the twenty fourth at 22:00 hours, the Load Dispatcher requested a lower reduction. Doing the power reduction, a condenser tube leak was located and plugged, and the turbine throttle/governor valves were tested. The unit returned to 100% power on the twenty sixth at 01:20 hours. The unit operated at 100% power for the remainder of the month.

## UNIT SHUTDOWNS AND POWER REDUCTIONS REPORT FOR JULY, 1992

DOCKET NO. 50-313
UNIT NAME ANO Unit 1
DATE August 7, 1992
COMPLETED BY K. R. Hayes
TELEPHONE (501) 964-5535

No.	Date	Type	Duration (Hours)	Zeason <sup>2</sup>	Method of Shutting Down Reactor <sup>3</sup>	Licensee Event Report #	System Code*	Component Code <sup>5</sup>	Cause & Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence
92-04	920724	S	0	Н	5	N/A	22	222222	80% power reduction requested by the System Dispatcher.

.

F: Forced

S: Scheduled

0

Reason:

A-Equipment Failure (Explain)

B-Maintenance or Test

C-Refueling

D-Regulatory Restriction

E-Operator Training &

License Examination

F-Administrative

G-Operational Error (Explain)

H-Other (Explain)

3

Method:

1-Manual 2-Manual Scram.

3-Automatic Scram.

4-Continuation

5-Load Reduction

9-Other

4

Exhibit G - Instructions for Preparation of Data

Entry Sheets for Licensee

Event Paport (LER) File (NUREG-0161)

5

Exhibit I - Same Source

DATE: July, 1992

# REFUELING INFORMATION

1.	Name of facility: Arkansas Nuclear One - Unit 1
2.	Scheduled date for next refueling shutdown. September 17, 1993
3.	Scheduled date for restart following refueling. November 12, 1993
4.	Will refueling or resumption of operation thereafter require a technical specification change or other license amendment? If answer is yes, what, in general, will there be? If answer is no, has the reload fuel design and core configuration been reviewed by your Plant Safety Review Committee to determine whether any unreviewed safety questions are associated with the core reload (Ref. 10 CFR Section 50.59)?
	Yes, Technical Specification change to increase fuel enrichment from 3.5% to 4.1%.
5.	Scheduled date(s) for submitting proposed licensing action and supporting information. The Technical Specification change request was submitted to the NRC on June 27, 1991 (1CAN069108).
6.	Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, e.g., new or different fuel design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures.
	None.
7.	The number of fuel assemblies (a) in the core and (b) in the spent fuel storage pool. a) 177 b) 625
8.	The present licensed spent fuel pool storage capacity and the size of any increase in licensed storage capacity that has been requested or is planned, in number of fuel assemblies.
	present 968 increase size by 0
9,	The projected date of the last refueling that can be discharged to the spent fuel pool assuming the present licensed capacity.
	DATE: 1995 (Loss of fullcore offload capability)