

ENCLOSURE 1

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Carolina Power and Light Company  
Brunswick

Docket Nos. 50-325, 50-324  
License Nos. DPR-71, DPR-62

During an NRC inspection conducted on April 27 - May 29, 1992, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, the violation is listed below:

10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, requires that activities affecting quality be prescribed by documented instructions or procedures and shall be accomplished in accordance with these instructions or procedures. Paragraph 2.4 of CP&L Engineering Procedure ENP-12 requires that an operability assessment be performed within 30 days of identification of deficiencies in safety related components and/or systems.

Contrary to the above, operability assessments were not performed within the 30 day period for deficiencies identified during 1991 in structural steel platforms construction. The time for completing the required operability assessments exceeded six months.

This is a Severity Level IV (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Carolina Power and Light Company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region II, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector, Brunswick, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that will be taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order or demand for information may be issued as to why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Atlanta, Georgia  
this 8<sup>th</sup> day of July 1992

9208200087 920708  
PDR ADOCK 05000324  
Q PDR