APPL. Ex. 117 UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION QU. REGION I . 631 PARK AVENUE EF KIT & OF PHUSSIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19406 0.0 5 1.13 9 1984 > et Nos. 50-352 RECEIVED 50-353 DOCKETING & SERVICE BRANCH DEC 23 1960 SECY-NRC Philadelphia Electric Company R. A. MULFORD ATTN: Mr. John S. Kemper ant Vice President FILE: QUAL 1-2-2 (352/80-20) Engineering and Research 2301 Market Street FILE: QUAL 1-2-2 (353/80-18) Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101

Gentlemen:

Subject: Combined Inspection Nos. 50-352/80-20 and 50-353/80-18

This refers to the routine inspection conducted by Mr. J. Durr of this office on November 4-28, 1980, at the Limerick Generating Stations, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, at Limerick, Pennsylvania of activities authorized by NRC License Numbers CPPR-105 and CPPR-107 and to the discussions of our findings held by Mr. J. Durr with Mr. M. Corcoran of your staff at the conclusion of the inspection.

Areas examined during this inspection are described in the Office of Inspection and Enforcement Inspection Report which is enclosed with this letter. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, measurements made by the inspector, and observations by the inspector.

Based on the results of this inspection, it appears that certain of your activities were not conducted in full compliance with NRC requirements, as set forth in the Notice of Violation, enclosed herewith as Appendix A. These items of noncompliance have been categorized into the levels as described in our correspondence to you dated December 31, 1974. This notice is sent to you pursuant to the provisions of Section 2.201 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations. Section 2.201 requires you to submit to this office, within thirty (30) days of your receipt of this notice, a written statement or explanation in reply including: (1) corrective steps which have been taken by you and the results achieved; (2) corrective steps which will be / taken to avoid further items of noncompliance; and (3) the date when full compliance will be achieved.

In accordance with Section 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, a copy of this letter and the enclosures will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room. If this report contains any information that you (or your contractor) believe to be proprietary, it is necessary that you make a written application within 20 days to this office to withhold such information from public disclosure. Any such application must be accompanied by an affidavit executed by the owner of the information, which

> 8411280254 840507 PDR ADOCK 05000352 G PDR

Philadelphia Electric Company

identifies the document or part sought to be withheld, and which contains a statement of reasons which addresses with specificity the items which will be considered by the Commission as listed in subparagraph (b) (4) of Section 2.790. The information sought to be withheld shall be incorporated as far as possible into a separate part of the affidavit. If we do not hear from you in this regard within the specified period, the report will be placed in the Public Document Room.

2

Should you have any questions concerning this inspection, we will be pleased to discuss them with you.

Sincerely,

Robert T. Carlson, Chief Reactor Construction and Engineering Support Branch

Enclosures:

1. Appendix A, Notice of Violation

 Combined Office of Inspection and Enforcement Inspection Report Nos. 50-352/80-20 and 50-353/80-18

cc w/encl: V. S. Boyer, Senior Vice President, Nuclear Power



#### APPENDIX A

### NOTICE OF VIOLATICH

Philadelphia Electric Company

Docket No. 50-352

This refers to the inspection conducted by the resident inspector assigned to the Limerick Generating Station, Unit 1, Limerick, Pennsylvania, of activities authorized by NRC License No. CPPR-106.

During this inspection conducted on November 4 - 28, 1980, the following apparent items of noncompliance were identified:

A. 10CFR50, Appendix B, Criterion IX, states, in part: "...welding...(is) controlled and accomplished... in accordance with applicable codes, standards, specifications...".

The Limerick PSAR, Appendix D, Quality Assurance Program, paragraph D.S.4 states, in part, that: "Bechtel Construction Department...is responsible for construction of the plant to approved engineering specifications, drawings and procedures...".

General Electric Specification 22A2284, Revision 2, "Field Erection of Reactor Recirculation Piping", paragraph 4.7.1. Piping Butt Joint End Preparation, requires that, "...existing piping butt joint end preparation shall not be remachined, filed, ground or otherwise changed without prior approval of General Electric."

Contrary to the foregoing, on November 6, 1980 reactor recirculation pipe spool RS-N-A2, pipe weld preparation BWRPD-1REC-1/4 WA3, was ground approximately 3/16" into the base metal and approximately 49" around the circumference. There was no apparent authorization from General Electric to do this alteration.

B. Appendix B, of IOCFR50, Criterion V, states in part: "Activities affecting quality...shall be accomplished in accordance with these instructions, procedures or drawings...".

The Limerick PSAR, Appendix D, Quality Assurance Program, paragraph D.6.4 states, in part, that: "Sechtel Construction Department...is responsible for construction of the plant to approved engineering specifications, drawings and procedures...".

Limerick Project Standard for Visual Examination Acceptance Criteria for Welded structures, 8031-G-20, paragraph 4.1.6.1 states, in part, "...undercut not exceeding 1/32" may be accepted...".

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION OFFICE OF INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT

	OF	FICE OF INSPE	ECTION AND E	NFORCEMENT	×	NOTICE	
eport No. ocket No.	50-352/80-20 50-353/80-18 50-352/ 50-353/ 50-353/	. R	egion I	. "		And the second	
icanse No.		Priority		Ca	tegory	A	
icensee:	Philadelphia E	lectric Compa	iny				
	2301 Market St	reet					
•	Philadelphia,	Pa. 19101					
acility Na	ame: Limerick	Generating :	Station, Uni	ts 1 & 2			
nspection	at: Limerick,	Pa.					
nspection nspectors (	conducted: No	Tites )			<u>/=//.</u> cate	o /30 signed	
					date	e signed	
pproved by	Section, Re	Acting Chie Acting Chie Actor Constru Support Bran	uction and		. 12/16	signed ./go signed	

Inspection Summary: (Unit No. 1) Inspection on November 4 - 28, 1980

(Report no. pu-sp2/80-20 ) Areas Inspected: A routine inspection by the resident inspector of pipe supports and restraints, pipe welding, storage of safety related equipment and reactor vessel and vessel internals work. The inspection also involved facility tours and review of licensee action on previous inspection findings. The inspection involved 57 inspector-hours.

Results: No items of noncompliance were identified in 5 of the 7 areas inspected. One item of noncompliance was identified in each of 2 areas (pipe restraints - unacceptable weld undercut, para. 5 and pipe welding - unauthorized weld preparation alterations, para. 4).

Region I Form 12 (Rev. April 77)

Contrary to the foregoing, on November 14, 1980, 10 areas of undercut exceeding 1/32" in depth were observed on the feedwater pipe restraint depicted on drawing C-836, Revision 5.

....

These items are infractions.

.....

C

(Unit No. 2) Inspection on November 4 - 28, 1980 (Report 50-353/80-18) Areas Inspected: A routine inspection by the resident inspector consisting of Facility tours and review of licensee actions on previous inspection findings. The inspection involved 21 inspector-hours.

Results: No items of noncompliance were identified in the two areas inspected.

٠.

3

### DETAILS

1. Persons Contacted

Philadelphia Electric Company

D.T. Clohecy, Quality Assurance Engineer (QAE) J.M. Corcoran, Field QA Branch Head D.A. DiPaolo, Q.A.E. J.J. Fedick, Construction Engineer D.A. Marascio, Q.A.E.

## Bechtel Power Corporation

T. Altum, Lead Welding Engineer P.J. Alverson, Supervisor, Field Procurement A. Arch, Assistant Project Field Engineer (APFE) M.J. Baron, Special Projects Engineer R.D. Bryan, Assistant to Project Construction Manager R. Chmielewski, Lead Subcontracts Engineer B.P. Dragon, Q.A.E. T.F. Fallon, Assistant Project Field Quality Control Engineer (APFQCE) H.D. Foster, Project Field Quality Control Engineer (PFQCE) R.G. French, Field Contracts Administration M. Greenidge, Area I Superintendent H.F. Greenwalt, Q.A.E. M.S. Iyer, Resident Project Engineer G.C. Kelly, Q.A.E. E.R. Klossin, Project Q.A.E. R.A. Leingang, A.P.F.E. P. Lull, Resident Engineer J.L. Martin, Lead Site Q.A.E. W. Tate, Lead Civil Engineer D.C. Thompson, A.P.F.Q.C.E. M.G. Tokolics, Q.A.E. A.G. Weedman, Project Field Engineer R. Yancy, Lead Subcontracts Engineer J. Yohn, Civil Staff Engineer (Structural Steel/Embeds)

Peabody Testing

G.A. Spencer, Project Manager

The foregoing is a composite attendance list for the NRC exit interviews held on November 10, 20 and 26, 1980. Other craftsmen, quality control technicians engineers and supervisors were contacted as the inspection interfaced with their work.

# 2. Plant Tours (Unit Nos. 1 and 2)

1.

The inspector routinely toured the facility and outlying areas inspecting ongoing work. He observed pipe welding, installed structural steel and equipment supports, electrical and instrumentation, installed equipment and quality control activities.

During the observation of main steam line pipe welding activities, the inspector examined a nearby rigid strut main steam line support, EBE-102-HI5. He noted that the structural beam supporting the rigid strut had copes and welding undercut which do not meet AISC and AWS codes. This beam and similar beams are located in the Turbine Building which is not a seismic category I structure. However, the main steam line is seismic category I at this location. The PSAR acknowledges that the Turbine Building is not seismically designed and that the main steam line and its supports will be attached to this structure. The facility "Q-List", which designates equipment important to safety, implies that the subject beams are safety related and that the boundary of the "Q-List" is the weld attaching the beam to the building steel. These beams are not currently designated as "Q-Listed". This matter is considered unresolved pending "CF (30-20-04)"#

3. Licensee Action on Previous Inspection Findings

(Closed) Noncompliance (352/77-12-01 and 353/77-12-01)

(Open) Unresolved Item (352/77-12-02) Nonconforming field welds (77-12-01) and shop welds (77-12-02) on the RHR heat exchanger supports. Reference IE Report 352/78-05.

The licensee responded to the item of noncompliance on the field welds by:

- a. Re-inspecting all field welds.
- c. Holding training classes for quality control welding inspectors.

The inspector reviewed Nonconformance Reports Nos. 2970, 2971, 2972 and 2973 which documented the re-inspection of the RHR heat exchanger supports and the disposition of those identified as unacceptable. He reviewed the Field Inspection Reports Nos. C63-24, 40, 41, 42, 43, 46 and 47 which document the sample re-inspection of the quality control inspectors

involved. He also reviewed the Bechtel Interoffice Memorandum, dated November 15, 1977, titled, "Training and Orientation Class", which discusses the additional training provided.

In addition to the discrepant field welds, noncomforming conditions were observed in the shop welds of these supports. The supports were re-inspected and the results documented and dispositioned on Nonconformance Reports Nos. 2967, 2968, 2969 and 2974. A review of these Nonconformance Reports disclosed that all deficient conditions were dispositioned "use as is", welding arc strikes were treated as excess material buildup, and lack of weld penetration was assumed to be "undersized" welds.

A re-inspection of the RHR supports by the NRC was made to verify that the conditions identified on NCR's 2967 through 2974 accurately represent the welding defects. The inspection consisted of visual and dimensional examinations of selected attributes on Drawing C-196, Revision 8, RHR Heat Exchanger Support. No unrecorded welding deficiencies were observed.

It was noted that pipe support GBB-103-H12 is attached to the RHR support structure, 1AE205, at elevation 203, area 15. This is not documented on Drawing C-196, but is depicted on the pipe hanger drawing. An attempt was made to verify that the RHR support structural engineer had approved the attachment as specified by Specification 8031-P-401, paragraph 4.4.4. The record of this approval does not appear to be available on site.

The items of noncompliance (352/77-12-01 and 353/77-12-01) are considered closed. The unresolved item (352/77-12-02) remains open pending resolution of the following:

- -- NRC review of the acceptability of the NCR disposition to "use as is" the observed defects.
- -- Licensee review of the NCR disposition to treat lack of weld penetration as "undersize" and arc strikes as "excess metal buildup".
- -- Verification of the approval to attach pipe support GBB-103-H12 to the RHR support structure. ,
- Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary and Other Safety Related Pipe Welding (Unit No. 1)

Welding activities were observed for the below listed pipe joints and verified to comply with selected portions of the ASMEIII Code, the Bechtel Quality Assurance Manual - ASME Section III, Division I, and regulatory requirements except as noted.

Weld Joint	<u>Svstem</u>	Nuclear	Status*
ECC-106-1/1-FW62	Reactor Water Cleanup	111	F1:
EBB-106-1/8-FW4	Main Steam By-pass	1:	F5:
G3C-101-14-W058	Main Steam Relief	III	F
HCB-107-1/2-FWE1	Liquid Rad Waste	II	FAR
	3 Reactor Recirculation	· I	:

\* F-Fit-up; I-Intermediate weld passes; R-Root pass

Ouring the observation of welding activities on weld joint EWR-PD-1REC-1/4 WA3, the inspector noted that the weld groove preparation on the pipe elbow was being ground approximately 3/16" into the base material and around the circumference approximately 49". Further investigation disclosed that no written authorization existed for this alteration to the weld groove. General Electric Specification 22A2284, Revision 2, "Field Erection of Reactor Recirculation Piping", paragraph 4.7.1. Piping Butt Joint End Preparation, requires that, "...Existing piping butt joint end preparation shall not be remachined, filed, ground or otherwise changed without prior approval of General Electric". The failure to perform welding activities in accordance with applicable specifications is an item of noncompliance with 10CFRSO, Appendix B, Criterion IX (352/80-20-01):

The inspector noted that the licensee has made a significant change in his pipe welding inspection program in that certain inspections are no longer "Hold Point". The quality control verification of purge gas, cleanliness," tack welds, and alignment are no longer performed on a 100% basis. This change is reflected in Bechtel Quality Assurance Manual - ASME Section III, Division 1, WD-1, paragraph 7.4. A note permits the Lead Welding Quality Control Engineer to determine if these attributes are checked on a "surveillance" or "inspection" basis. In this case, inspection meaning a hold point beyond which work may not proceed and surveillance meaning work may proceed without the check being made. The surveillances are made at the option of the inspector. A sample of 100 document packages for completed welds disclosed the following:

Sample Size	100
Hold Point Inspections	64
Surveillances Specified	36
Surveillances Performed	_ 2
Approximate Population	_1000

Other than the instructions described in the ECAM-ASME III, no other criteria are prescribed for performing this sampling type inspection. This item is considered unresolved pending review by the NRC. (30-20-02)

### 5. Safety Related Pipe Supports and Restraints (Unit No. 1)

The feedwater and main steam pipe restraints located immediately outside primary containment were selected for visual examination. The examination was made to verify compliance with selected portions of "Specification for Furnishing, Detailing, Fabrication and Delivery of Miscellaneous Embed Steel and Class I Non-embed Steel", C-43; "Acceptance Criteria for Welded Structures", G-20; and Drawings C-835, Revision 12 and C-836, Revision 5.

The examination consisted of verification of the configuration, correct structural members, and weld visual inspections. Ten areas of weld undercut were identified on the feedwater restraint depicted on Drawing C-836. These areas of undercut exceeded 1/32" in depth. Further, the terminations of the welds securing the 1/2" stiffener plates to the beam webs have laps and notches. The termination areas described were originally copes with radii, but with the addition of the stiffener plate welds, there is now a question as to the acceptability of the copes. The Specification C-43 directs that welding inspection be performed in accordance with Specification G-20. Specification G-20, paragraph 4.1.6.1 states, in part, "...undercut not exceeding 1/32" may be accepted...". The failure to satisfy the weld undercut acceptance criteria is <u>an item of noncompliance</u> with 10CFR50, Appendix 8, Criterion IX (80-20-03). r

## 6. Safety-Related Components (Unit No. 1);

The emergency diesel generators, 1AG501 through 10G501, and the safeguard load center transformers, 10X201 through 10X204, were selected for review of storage requirements, review of storage records, and in place storage conditions. The review and inspection verified compliance with selected portions of Job Rule G-7, "In-storage Maintenance and In-place Maintenance of Installed Equipment", and regulatory requirements. No items of noncompliance were identified.

## 7. Reactor Vessel and Internals (Unit No. 1)

The inspector examined the weld joint fit-up of the Low Pressure Coolant Injection safe end to thermal sleeve 17A225. He also reviewed the welding procedure No. LRI-79-1-70, Revision 1 to verify that the welding heat inputs do not exceed the recommended 50,000 Joules.

The informational liquid penetrant examination was observed on the safe end to nozzle weld 17A135. The applicable procedure, No. 18XA9404, "Liquid Penetrant Examination Color Contrast - Solvent Removable", was reviewed and discussed with the NDE technician.

A tour of the vessel interior was made to examine work in progress on the incore probe housing installation. The inspector discussed welding practices with the craftsmen and the methods used to determine the weld size of the combination groove/fillet weld attaching the housings to the vessel wall. He confirmed that arc strike shields are being used to protect the vessel cladding.

No items of noncompliance were "dentified.