

THE CONSERVATION COUNCIL OF NORTH CAROLINA

307 Granville Road, Chapel Hill, N.C. 27514 (919) 942-7935 or 942-1080 (24 hours) '84 NOV 27 ATT:40

November 25, 1984

James L. Kelley
Glenn O. Bright
Dr. James H. Carpenter
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Re: Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant (Docket 50-400 OL)

Dear Sirs:

As requested at the hearing in Raleigh on November 15, I am supplying an affidavit in support of the Conservation Council's Contention WB-2 which addressed the "safety significance" of the feedwater pump and system.

As you will notice, the affidavit has not been signed by Mr. Van Vo. This is a draft affidavit although it is my understanding from Mr. Van Vo's attorney, Mr. Guild, that Mr. Van Vo has read and approved it. He is sending me a signed and verified original. I unfortunately will not be available next week as I have a hearing down in Jacksonville, NC. I have asked Mr. Eddleman to send copies of the signed affidavit if it is sent to my office before I return.

Attached to the draft affidavit are five exhibits which show that the feedwater system in the turbine building was classed as "Safety Class 4/Seismic Class 1" at the time of the problem in August of 1982. Part of the system was latter "de-classified," although the feedwater piping itself has apparently not been. The affidavit and exhibits supply adequate basis for the contention.

Thank you for allowing us the opportunity to submit this affidavit in support of our contention.

Sincerely,

John Runkle

Enc.

cc. Service List

Robert Guild, Attorney for Chan Van Vo

8411260200 841125 PDR ADDCK 05000400 PDR

DS03

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the Conservation Council's Affidavit of Chan Van Vo and Exhibits were served upon the following by deposit in the U. S. Mail, postage prepaid, or by hand delivery.

James L. Kelley Atomic Safety and Licensing Board US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Glenn O. Bright same address

Dr. James H. Carpenter same address

Docketing and Service (3 cc.) Office of the Secretary US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Charles A. Barth
Office of the Executive Legal Director
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Daniel F. Read PO Box 2151 Raleigh, NC 27602

M. Travis Payne PO Box 12643 Raleigh, NC 27605

Dr. Richard D. Wilson 729 Hunter Street Apex, NC 27502

Wells Eddleman 718-A Iredell Street Durham, NC 27705

Bradley W. Jones US NRC--Region II 101 Marrietta Street Atlanta, GA 30303

Richard E. Jones Vice President--CP&L PO Box 1551 Raleigh, NC 27602 Robert Cruber Public Staff, Utilities Commission PO Box 991 Raleigh, NC 27602

Dr. Linda Little Governor's Waste Management Board 325 N. Salisbury St., Room 513 Raleigh, NC 27611

Thomas Baxter Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge 1800 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

John Runkle

Conservation Council of NC 307 Granville Road Chapel Hill, NC 27514

This is the 25th day of November,

DRAFT

AFFIDAVIT

My name is Chan Van Vo. I am also known as Van Vo Davis. I am giving this statement to Robert Guild, Attorney-at-Law, of Charleston, South Carolina, who has identified himself to me as a representative of the Government Accountability Project. I have been asked to provide further information to the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission about the significance of the improper cold pulling and misalignment of the Steam Generator Feed Water Pump; and CP&L's mishandling of my efforts to identify and properly correct this nonconformance.

- 2. I repeat my professional opinion expressed in my October 6, 1984,
 Affidavit that the effective function of these pumps, valves and piping are
 nuclear safety significant. At the time I attempted to document the deficient
 fit-up of the Feed Water piping and the resultant stress-induced misalignment
 of the pump in August 1982 -- and during the entire course of construction of
 the Harris Plant until then-- the Feed Water system, its piping, pipesupports,
 valves and pumps, were classified by the Architects/ Engineers, Ebasco Services,
 Inc., by CP&L, and by their contractors as "Safety Class 4/ Seismic Class I,"
 an acknowledgement of its nuclear safety significance. This classification
 applied to the Feed Water system in the Harris Turbine Building. Conformance
 to the Commission's 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Quality Assurance Criteria such
 as detailed inspection and documentation were required for the Feed Water system.
- 3. After several years of serious problems in the design, fabrication, installation, and inspection of piping and associated components in the Turbine

Building at the Harris Plant, CP&L faced the prospect of performing extensive and costly rework including necessary inspections and documentation as required by the NRC's QA regulations. Instead of seeing that the job was done right—by the same standards that even CP&L had committed to honor— CP&L sought to circumvent quality standards and thereby "alleviate all concerns related to the additional inspection and documentation requirements for the supports in the Turbine Building," by attempting to "de-classify" the Feed Water and Main Steam systems inside the Turbine Building.

- 4. In my opinion such attempts by CP&L Engineers Ed Willett and Alex Fuller to "de-classify" these important systems simply represent efforts to cover-up their own shoddy work; as did their responses to my attempt to document and correct the Feed Water Pump misalignment.
- 5. Even the limited documentation available to me now supports my position. For Example, I attach as Exhibit 1 Work Directive No. 2836, dated 7/13/83, for Turbine Building Feed Water pipe support T-3-261-1-FW-H-11, which reflects this "de-classification" of the Feed Water hangers in the Turbine Building: ".. this hanger is considered non-seismic and will require no CI or QC involvement or acceptance." This Work Directive cites generic Field Change Request FCR-H-1145, "Reclassification of MS & FW Supports in the Turbine Building." Revisions 0 and 1 reflect site approval by Ed Willett on 1/14/83 and Alex Fuller on 5/13/83, respectively. They are Exhibits 2 and 3, attached.

These generic FCRs rely on a November 12, 1982, Ebasco letter, EB-C-14332,

Exhibit 4, for authority to "reclassify the mainsteam and feedwater pipe supports and the main steam piping, in the turbine building. These items are to be not-seismic, in accordance with letter EB-C-14332." No mention is made of the Feed Water piping lines themselves in the FCRs, since the Ebasco letter expressly excepts the Feed Water piping from de-classification: "Please note that the generic FCR should also cover the feedwater supports in the Turbine Building. From the inspection and documentation aspects, these supports can be handled as B31.1, non-seismic, however, the lines will not be declassified to non-seismic." Thus, even long after my observation of the improper fit-up of the Feed Water pipe-to-pump connection, the Feed Water piping line remained Seismic Class I- requiring Quality Assurance program compliance. The Bergen- Patterson drawing for pipe-support FW-H-11, Exhibit 5, dated 5/27/83, continues to reflect "Safety Class 4/ Seismic Class I" for this hanger.

6. I continue to question the validity of CP&L's attempts to solve their problems of shoddy work at the Harris Plant through such "de-classification" of quality requirements. The nonconformance I observed was a violation of such quality requirements applicable at the time they were violated. My concerns should have been investigated and documented properly at the time by my management. They were not. Neither "de-classification" of defective work nor discarding employee complaints will make the Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant safe. I only hope that this NRC Licensing Board will.

SWORN to and subscribe	d before me	Chan Van Vo	
this day of Novemb	ber, 1984.		

NOTARI PUBLIC

FORM NO. 80124 REV. 1 11/32 EXHIBIT

EXHIBITS WP-IIO

WD- 2836

WORK DIRECTIVE

PIPE HANGER No. 7-3-761-1- FW-H-11 REV

PER FCR-H-1145, THIS HANGER IS CONSIDERED

NON-SEISHIC AND WILL REQUIRE NO CI OR QC

INVOLVEMENT OR ACCEPTANCE. Puttoned 11/13 | 27

COMPLETED DATE

ACKNOWLEDGED DATE

ACKNOWLEDGED DATE

ACKNOWLEDGED DATE

APF-001-Tev. 18 2/4/82

CATEGORY; 4

CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY SHEARON HARRIS MUCLEAR POWER PLANT FIELD CHANGE REQUEST/PERMANENT WAIVER FCR/PME LI _ //4/2;

AP-IX-05 Exhibit 1

Page 1 of 4

100	H-//		0-	
Identification of Area and Item	ORIGII	Report No.	R PIPE SUPPO	ivision 2 Nop-Q F₩ N/A
Reference Documents or Attachmen	Conflict/Cond		TIACHES)	
THE MAIN THE TURBINE BUILDING OF ANALYSIS, NAT TO COMMITMENT. THISPE ARE NUT NECESSARY OF THE PLANT. H	PASE CLASSIFICA	SOFETY PARSON	TO E A	REGILITION OHICH C. LIPE
ecommended Action:	E MAIL STEAM TEAM PIPING TO BE NO	AND FEED	WATER DIPE WARING BUILD ACCURATION	surplets,
THESE MEAS RE UN CLASSIFICATION CAUS	eriein "Seise	any inspection	THE CLARENT	
Piscipline Engineer	1/14/23 Date	E File Classider	lede finer	/-/4 83 Date

FCR/74 H- 1145

Page 2 of 4 .

Design Organization Appro	oval AE NS	ss 🗆 N	PED Only Cther	5.47
Telephone Resolution C	nded 🗆 Rej	ected	7	nal Approval
Comments	2165 G 107 501 m	502 o	R/3 .	
B APLICABLE	101 \$802 WILL	DE REV	115613 1722 6	B-C- 14332
	3:13 222-83	we	1	2 2/17/33
Signature Title	Date	Signatur		Date
	CP&L Harris Plant Eng	ineering Ap	proval	
	HPES Attachments	☐ Yes	No No	
Approved as Recomme	nded	ected	Condition	nal Approval
7				
	*			
2 0 110			11 11	111
Henry a Whit	2/2/83	7	Elect.	3/2/83
Biscipfine Engineer	Date	MAPE or f	PE/	Daye
Site Concurrence: Recom			M	-V 1/66-
	☐ Alternate Reso	lution	Senior Resident E	7/1/83
Distribution:	- Kelected			is theer bace
(Original) Document Cont	rcl		Copy)	
(Copy)			Copy)	
(Copy)			(Copy)	
Implementation Completed	as Approved?		☐ Yes	
Comments:			□ No	
		Discip	ine Engineer	Date
Final Distribution:				
(Original) File in Doc.	Control		(Copy)	
(Copv)			(Copy)	
(Copy)			(Copy)	
CONTRACTOR DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON OF THE		-		

Permanent Waiver	RIGINAL	PAGE I OF
Non-ASME	RIGINAL	Caregory
ASME Sect. III Div I		Nonconformance N/A
ASME Sect. III Div.2		Building IVERINE BUILDING
FTS -N/A 213715 - 1-3020	0.004 EN - 1-	
sometrics N/A	PN	3050.003
ines N/A		Valves N/A
		Cables
ist All Reference Documents (Drowin	gs, Specs., FCR's, DCN's, P	Procedures, Etc.)
DRAMING 2165 G -10	COL REV. 5 AND	SOZ REV 4
RECLASSIFICATION OF	MS & FW SUDDED	IS IN THE TURBINE BUILDING
	SOFICK SOFICK	IS IN THE TUKBINE BUILDING
THE MAINSTEAM THE		ORTS IN THE TURBINE BUILDIN
WERE CLASSICIEN	DWATER PIPE SUPPL	DRTS IN THE TURBLE OU
STATE CENSSIFIED AS SE	SMICT FOR PUI	CRIS IN THE TURBINE BUILDING RPOSES OF ANALYSIS, NOT
BELAUSE OF SAFETY &	LASSIFICATION OF	REGULATORY COMMITMENT.
WEANINES OCCUMBE	MATIMA AUN TEST	The MINICH IS HAT A FISCER
BUT WOULD BE MAINT	TOTEL THE TEST	IND WHICH IS NOT NECESSAR
The second second	CA TOR THE L	IFE OF THE PLANT.
	Uh.	
trachments: No Myes EAR	OCI INTERA DALES	5 3 8 4 OF 4
commended Action:	111.	384 OF 4
	The Coll	Please Investigate / Resolve
	Plant in w	Please Resolve As Follows
ADD A NOTE TO DRAW	NG 8456-1075	CLREVY 5 AND SO 2 DEV4
TO RECLASSIFY THE	MAINSTERMY, AND	FREDWATER PIAE SUPPLIETS BUILDING. THESE ITEM
AND THE MAINSTEAM A	ABNIG IN THE TUR	ANDE BUILDING THESE ITEM
ARE TO BE NOW - SEIS	War Maria	The state of the s
1433 Z EXCEPT FOR TH	HE FOLLOWING HI	ANGERS: FW-H-144, 145
200, 201, 203, 204, 20	5. 206 207 177	V/ 187 MS-4-217 212
214, 215, 216, 217 219	250 251 355	233, 234, 235, 237 \$ 345. WILL ALSO REMAIN
FEEDWATER FRAMES C	-1-30ti + 6-1-312	233, 234, 233, 23 / 2 348.
SEISMIL.	. 301 \$ 5-1-310	WILL ALSO REMAIN
L. Santanian I.		
ification country		
N ISCHILTRICS IT- 261- FW	MISFIE'S CRITERIA OF	SUSPIIC - NONSEISPIIC
N ISOMITRICS IT- 261- FIN-	5 AND 1 286-N	45-1.
		علاية المالا
THE PARTY OF THE P		
ested By:	City A	
	Site Approve	137 2
ested By: CHRISCOE 5-13-5 pline Engineer Date	Senior Reside	Full for MFT 5-17-83

AP - IX-05 OI MC 3/23/83 Exhibit + FCRAPW - H- 1145 REV.1 Page 2 of 4 NPED Only | Other ☐ NSSS AE Organization Approval X No ☐ Yes Design Organizat on Attachments □ No ☐ Yes hone Resolution Conditional Approval Rejected Approved as Recommended change requires the following Document(s) (Specification, Drawing, SAR, Etc.) to be changed: 2165-6-10750? 2165-6-107501 4 nents: Date Title Signature Date Title ature CPAL Harris Plant Engineering Approval [3 No ☐ Yes HPES Attachments Conditional Approval ☐ Rejected Approved as Recommended erence Documents: EBASCO LETTER ES-C-14332 STRESS ISU: IT-ZW-FW-5 KGV. 5 674 17-286-MS-1 REV6 GON 5/19/83 20-/White FIRE JFNENU 5/26/83 5-4.83 MHPE or PPE Date Discipline Engineer Concurrence: Recommend Implementation 5/23/83 Rejected Serior Resident Engineer (Cotiy) pribution: iginal) Document Control (Copy) DANID TIMBERLANCE - WELDING (Copy) NAMED WELCER-O No ☐ Yes elementation Completed As Approved? nments: Date Discipline Engineer Distribution: (Copy) Original) File in Doc. Control (Copy) copy) (Copy) copy)

EXHIBIT 4 PCZ 4 1/45

HXPO-411-XXX-231 P9 3-67

EBASCO SERVICES INCORPORATED .

Two World Trade Center, New York, N.Y. 10048

NOV 1 2 1982

EB-C- 14332 File: 5Q-H-2 5-S-11

Mr L I Loflin, Manager Engineering - Harris Plant Carolina Power and Light Company P O Box 101 New Hill, North Carolina 27562

Dear Mr Loflin:

SUBJECT:

SHEARON HARRIS NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

ANALYSIS OF MAIN STEAM LINES 5MS2-144

THROUGH 150

Reference:

CE-13476

We have reviewed your request for deletion of snubbers associated with the subject lines and wish to offer the following for your consideration.

The EB-B-241 correspondence provided a criteria for support loads which assure a satisfactory seismic design. The snubbers in question cannot be replaced with rigid restraints without thermally overstressing the pipe during plant normal operation.

It is noted that any snubbers located in the Turbine Building will be reviewed and deleted if the criteria for design of anchors at seismic/non-seismic interfaces are not violated.

Regarding the subject lines, portions of the lines inside the Turbine Building do not have to be seismically designed. As discussed with your Mr G White, the most efficient way to reflect this change is to have CP&L prepare a generic FCR which would request Ebasco to "de-classify" all MS lines/portions of lines inside the Turbine Building to B31.1, non-seismic lines. Due to the large scope of these lines (much broader than 5MS2-143 through 150 as indicated in your letter) the disposition of this change through a line and valve list would be too extensive. In our opinion this generic FCR will alleviate all concerns related to the additional inspection and documentation requirements for the supports in the Turbine Building. The only exception to this generic approach are the major Main Steam lines from the interface anchor to the turbine stop valve (44" lines). The declassification of these lines will be handled through a formal revision to the line list and other design documents including the FSAR. This is highlighted in our reply to your CE 13502 letter.

Please note that the generic FCR should also cover the feedvater supports in the Turbine Building. From the inspection and documentation aspects, these supports can be handled as B31.1, non-seismic, however, the lines will not be declassified to non-seismic. This is due to the fact that the interface anchors were not designed for the seismic/non-seismic load criteria.

Please provide us with the aforementioned FCR as soon as possible.

pearuma unu 1 8 1982

ANALYSIS OF MAIN STEAM LINES 5MS2-144 THROUGH 150.

If you have any questions, please call us.

Very truly yours,

R K Matzelle Project Manager

PF:amb

cc: L I Loflin
J J Sheppard
L H Martin
J L Willis
R M Parsons
Sheldon D Smith
G L Forehand
N J Chiangi

