

### UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

# SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 72 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-80

AND AMENDMENT NO. 71 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DOR-82

## PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

# DIABLO CANYON NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-275 AND 50-323

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter of February 4, 1992, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (or the licensee) submitted a request for changes to the Technical Specifications (TS). The proposed amendments would revise TS 2.2, 3/4.1.1, 3/4.1.2, 3/4.1.3, 3/4.2.5, 3/4.3.1, 3/4.3.2, 3/4.4.2, 3/4.5.1, 3/4.5.2, 3/4.5.4, 3/4.7.., 3/4.9.1, and 3/4.10.1 by making administrative changes to remove cycle specific information that is no longer necessary and to correct Table 3.3-5 notations.

# 2.0 EVALUATION

The current DCPP Units 1 and 2 Technical Specifications (TS) contain information that is cycle specific. Because both units are in Cycle 5, references to previous cycles are outdated and thus no longer valid. Since the deletion of the outdated cycle specific information is an administrative change and would therefore have no effect on any plant systems or the safe operation of DCPP, the proposed changes are not considered to have any safety significance. The changes, would, however, clarify the TS by removing extraneous information.

In addition to removing cycle specific TS items the licensee proposes to correct table notations concerning Table 3.3-5, "Engineered Safety Features Response Times," which provides required response times for various initiating signals. The proposed changes to the Table 3.3-5 notations reflect current operating conditions at DCPP. The table notation changes were intended to be made as part of Amendments 51 and 50, but due to an administrative error by the licensee, the changer were omitted. The justification and safety analysis concerning the initiating signal and function response times for the containment pressure high, phase 'A' isolation component cooling water, and auxiliary salt water pump response times determined that these parameters are not subject to the time delays associated with the sequential transfer of charging pump suction from the volume control tank (VCT) to the refueling water storage tank (RWST). In addition, the initiating signal and function response time for containment pressure high, auxiliary feedwater, and

7208130013 720806 DR ADDCK 05000275 containment fan cooler unit response times include the diesel generator starting and loading time delays. By including the diesel generator starting and loading time delays the DCPP TS will be consistent with current DCPP surveillance testing requirements.

Based on the above, the staff finds the proposed changes to remove outdated cycle specific information and correct Table 3.3-5 notations are ac eptable.

### 3.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the California State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendments. The State official had no comments.

## 4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

These amendments change a requirement with respect to the installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20 and changes surveillance requirements. The NRC staff has determined that the amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding (57 FR 13136). Accordingly, the amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendments.

#### 5.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributors: C. Regan H. Rood

Date: August 6, 1992