AVERAGE DAILY UNIT POWER LEVEL

DOCKET NO. 50-285

UNIT Fort Calhoun Station

DATE November 9, 1984

COMPLETED BY T. P. Matthews

TELEPHONE (402) 536-4733

AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)	DAY	AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)
483.5	17	484.4
484.9	18	485.0
485.7	19	484.8
485.4	20	485.1
485.1	21	485.1
485.2	22	484.9
485.0	23	484.8
485,0	24	485.0
483.7	25	483.5
483.3	26	484.1
483.1	27	485.3
483.6	28	484.9
484.0	29	484.8
483.8	30	484.8
483.8	31	484.9

INSTRUCTIONS

On this format, list the average daily unit power level in MWe-Net for each day in the reporting month. Compute to the nearest whole megawatt.

IE24 (9/77)

OPERATING DATA REPORT

DOCKET NO. 50-285

DATE November 9, 1984

COMPLETED BY T. P. Matthews
(402) 536-4733

OPERATING STATUS					
1. Unit Name: Fort Calhoun Stati	ion	_ Notes			
2. Reporting Period: October, 1984					
3. Licensed Thermal Power (MWt): 1500					
4. Nameplate Rating (Gross MWe): 501	Walter Bridge Bridge				
5. Design Electrical Rating (Net MWe): 478	3				
6. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Gross MWe)	501				
7. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Net MWe):	478				
8. If Changes Occur in Capacity Ratings (Items	Number 3 Through 7) Since	e Last Raport Give Re	easons:		
- N/A	The state of the s				
9. Power Level To Which Restricted, If Any (No. 10. Reasons For Restrictions, If Any: 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	et MWe): N/A				
	This Month	Yrto-Date	Cumulative		
1. Hours In Reporting Period	745.0	7,320.0	97,322.0		
12. Number Of Hours Reactor Was Critical	745.0	4,243.1	74,137.0		
13. Reactor Reserve Shutdown Hours	0.0	0.0	1,309.0		
14. Hours Generator On-Line	745.0	4,136.5	73,539.0		
5. Unit Reserve Shutdown Hours	0.0	0.0	0.0		
6. Gross Thermal Energy Generated (MWH)	1,110,458.1	5,853,527.9	92,613,241.6		
7 Gross Electrical Energy Generated (MWH)	377,874.0	1,920,266.0	30,237,835.0		
18. Net Electrical Energy Generated (MWH)	360,987.8	1,825,814.4	28,905,683.1		
19. Unit Service Factor	100.0	56.5	75.6		
20. Unit Availability Factor	100.0	56.5	75.6		
21. Unit Capacity Factor (Using MDC Net)	101.4	52.2	64.7		
22. Unit Capacity Factor (Using DER Net)	101.4	52.2	62.4		
23. Unit Forced Outage Rate	0.0	0.4	3.4		
24. Shutdowns Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Type, Date, and Duration	of Each):			
25. If Shut Down At End Of Report Period, Est		N/A			
26. Units In Test Status (Prior to Commercial O	peration): N/A	Forecast	Achieved		
INITIAL CRITICALITY					
INITIAL ELECTRICITY					
COMMERCIAL OPERATI	ION		Art of the Control		

UNIT SHUTDOWNS AND POWER REDUCTIONS

50-285 DOCKET NO. Fort Calhoun Station UNIT NAME November 9, 1984 DATE T. P. Matthews (402) 536-4733 COMPLETED BY TELEPHONE

REPORT MONTH October, 1984

No.	Date	Type1	Duration (Hours)	Reason ²	Method of Shutting Down Reactor ³	Licensee Event Report #	System Code ⁴	Component Code 5	Cause & Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence
									There were no unit shutdowns or power reductions during the month of October, 1984.

F: Forced S: Scheduled Reason:

A-Equipment Failure (Explain) B-Maintenance of Test

C-Refueling

D-Regulatory Restriction
E-Operator Training & License Examination

F-Administrative

G-Operational Error (Explain)

H-Other (Explain)

Method:

1-Manual

2-Manual Scram.

3-Automatic Scram.

4-Other (Explain)

Exhibit G - Instructions for Preparation of Data Entry Sheets for Licensee Event Report (LER) File (NUREG-0161)

Exhibit I - Same Source

(9/77)

Refueling Information Fort Calhoun - Unit No. 1

	Report for the month ending October, 1984.					
1.	Scheduled date for next refueling shutdown.	October 1985				
2.	Scheduled date for restart following refueling. December 1985					
3.	Will refueling or resumption of operation thereafter require a technical specification change or other license amendment?	Yes				
	a. If answer is yes, what, in general, will these be?					
	Technical Specification change to accommodate increased due to further reduction in radial leakage,	radial peaks				
	b. If answer is no, has the reload fuel design and core configuration been reviewed by your Plant Safety Review Committee to determine whether any unreviewed safety questions are associated with the core reload.					
	c. If no such review has taken place, when is it scheduled?					
4.	Scheduled date(s) for submitting proposed licensing action and support information.	September 1985				
5.	Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, e.g., new or different fuel design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures.	Methodology Changes June 1985				
6.	The number of fuel assemblies: a) in the core b) in the spent fuel pool c) spent fuel pool	133 assemblies 305 "				
	storage capacity d) planned spent fuel pool storage capacity	May be increased via fuel pin " consolidation				
7.	The projected date of the last refueling that can be discharged to the spent fuel pool assuming the present licensed capacity.	1996				
	Date Nove	omber 1. 1984				

OMAHA PUBLIC POWER DISTRICT Fort Calhoun Station Unit No. 1

October, 1984 Monthly Operations Report

I. OFERATIONS SUMMARY

Fort Calhoun Station operated at a nominal 100% power through the month of October, 1984. The Fort Calhoun staff participated in the annual emergency exercise on cober 24, 1984. A Shift Supervisor retired effective October 1, 1984.

No safety valve or PORV challenges or failures occurred.

A. PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS

LER Number	Deficiency
84-019	VIAS Actuation (RM-061).
84-008 R1	Steam Generator Tube Rupture, Supplement.

B. CHANGES IN OPERATING METHODS

Procedure Description

None

C. RESULTS OF SURVEILLANCE TESTS AND INSPECTIONS
None

D. CHANGES, TESTS AND EXPERIMENTS CARRIED OUT WITHOUT COMMISSION APPROVAL

SP-VA-80	Hydrogen Purge System Test.
	This procedure did not constitute an unreviewed safety question as defined by 10CFR50.59 because this procedure only checks operability of fans and cleanliness of the filters.
SP-FAUD-1	Fuel Assembly Uplift Condition Detection.
	This procedure did not constitute an unreviewed safety question as defined by 10CFR50.59 since it only involved the evaluation of data from a surveillance test to verify that a fuel assembly uplift condition did not exist.

Monthly Operations Report October, 1984 Page Two

D. CHANGES, TESTS AND EXPERIMENTS CARRIED OUT WITHOUT COMMISSION APPROVAL (continued)

Procedure

Description

SP-VLPM-1

Vibration and Loose Parts Monitoring Core Barrel Motion.

This procedure did not constitute an unreviewed safety question as defined by 10CFR50.59 because it merely allows for recording of vibration signatures corresponding to the nuclear instrumentation channels in an effort to gather and interpolate data which could possibly identify excessive core barrel motion. At no time during the performance of this test was any equipment important to safety affected.

SP-VLPM-3

Vibration and Loose Parts Monitoring Core Barrel Motion.

This procedure did not constitute an unreviewed safety question as defined by 10CFR50.59 because it merely allows for recording of vibration signatures corresponding to the nuclear instrumentation channels in an effort to gather and interpolate data which could possibly identify excessive core barrel motion. At no time during the performance of this test was any equipment important to safety affected.

E. RESULTS OF LEAK RATE TESTS

None

F. CHANGES IN PLANT OPERATING STAFF

Mr. Robert F. Johnston retired as Shift Supervisor effective October 1, 1984.

G. TRAINING

Non-licensed operators continued individual training as part of the initial operator training program during the month of October, 1984. Licensed operators attended simulator requalification training at Combustion Engineering's simulator in Windsor, Connecticut. Emergency plan training was completed for plant staff and crafts in oreparation for the annual emergency exercise held in October. Other training was conducted per annual schedule. Special training was conducted for NRC license candidates in preparation for an exam to be administered in November, 1984.

Monthly Operations Report October, 1984 Page Three

H. CHANGES, TESTS AND EXPERIMENTS REQUIRING NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION AUTHORIZATION PURSUANT TO 10CFR50.59

Package

Description/Analysis

Amendment No. 85

This amendment revises the technical specifications to include more formal administrative requirements on limiting overtime and reporting of pressurizer safety valve and relief valve challenges and failures.

II. MAINTENANCE (Significant Safety Related)

None

W. Gary Gates

Manager

Fort Calhoun Station

Omaha Public Power District 1623 Harney Omaha, Nebraska 68102 402/536-4000

November 12, 1984 LIC-84-387

Mr. Richard C. DeYoung, Director Office of Inspection and Enforcement U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Reference: Docket No. 50-285

Dear Mr. DeYoung:

October Monthly Operating Report

Please find enclosed ten (10) copies of the October Monthly Operating Report for the Fort Calhoun Station Unit No. 1.

Sincerely,

R. L. Andrews Division Manager Nuclear Production

RLA/TPM/dao:2614

Enclosures

cc: NRC Regional Office
Office of Management & Program Analysis (2)
Mr. R. R. Mills - Combustion Engineering
Mr. T. F. Polk - Westinghouse
Nuclear Safety Analysis Center
INPO Records Center
NRC File

IEZY