



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

MAR 30 1983

① Hollis  
② Ron S. - Pull Jim West & ask him if he can get a 10 of these transcripts. I may be wrong - but I believe he already has copies -

MEMORANDUM FOR: James J. Cummings, Director  
Office of Inspector and Auditor

FROM: Victor Stello, Jr., Deputy Executive Director  
Regional Operations and Generic Requirements

SUBJECT: HARTMAN ALLEGATIONS

As noted in the enclosed Meeting Summary, a meeting was held on March 21, 1983 between Mr. R. Arnold, GPU, and the members of the team reviewing the B&W-GPU trial court record. The purpose of this memorandum is to draw your attention to certain information presented by Mr. Arnold during the meeting. They are: GPU conducted an initial inquiry into the Hartman allegations and wrote a report of this inquiry; 20 of the depositions taken and in preparation for the lawsuit touched upon the Hartman allegations; a copy of the Hartman deposition preparation for the lawsuit was provided to the review team after the meeting. A copy of this deposition is enclosed.

If you choose to provide this information to DOJ, you should first determine if such an action would be improper in that certain of this information was developed in a civil proceeding.

*Victor Stello, Jr.*  
Victor Stello, Jr.  
Deputy Executive Director  
Regional Operations and  
Generic Requirements

③ Pull out list 4/20 - by bus 10 of these transcripts  
④ File 80-33

- Enclosures:  
1. Meeting Summary  
2. Hartman Allegations

- cc: IE, w/encls. 1-2  
OI, w/encls. 1-2  
NRR, w/encl. 1  
OGC, w/encl. 1  
ELD, w/encl. 1  
R-I, w/encl. 1



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

MAR 30 1983


MEMORANDUM FOR: James J. Cummings, Director  
Office of Inspector and Auditor

FROM: Victor Stello, Jr., Deputy Executive Director  
Regional Operations and Generic Requirements

SUBJECT: HARTMAN ALLEGATIONS

As noted in the enclosed Meeting Summary, a meeting was held on March 21, 1983 between Mr. R. Arnold, GPU, and the members of the team reviewing the B&W-GPU trial court record. The purpose of this memorandum is to draw your attention to certain information presented by Mr. Arnold during the meeting. They are: [REDACTED] into the Hartman allegations and wrote a report of this inquiry; [REDACTED]; a copy of the Hartman deposition preparation for the lawsuit was provided to the review team after the meeting. A copy of this deposition is enclosed.

If you choose to provide this information to DOJ, you should first determine if such an action would be improper in that certain of this information was developed in a civil proceeding.

  
Victor Stello, Jr.  
Deputy Executive Director  
Regional Operations and  
Generic Requirements

Enclosures:  
1. Meeting Summary  
[REDACTED]

cc: IE, w/encls. 1-2  
OI, w/encls. 1-2  
NRR, w/encl. 1  
OGC, w/encl. 1  
ELD, w/encl. 1  
[REDACTED]

## MEETING SUMMARY

On March 21, 1983, R. Arnold of General Public Utilities (GPU) telephoned V. Stello and requested a meeting with Mr. Stello to discuss information Mr. Arnold considered related to the review team's examination of the B&W-GPU trial court record. Mr. Stello agreed to a meeting which was held later in the day and attended by R. Arnold, V. Stello, J. Craig, T. Harpster and R. Hoefling.

At the beginning of the meeting, Mr. Stello informed Mr. Arnold that the review team's draft report would not be discussed at the meeting and that a meeting summary would be prepared. Mr. Arnold indicated agreement with this approach. Neither the draft report nor any team activities were discussed during this meeting.

Mr. Arnold then related at the meeting GPU's concern that the trial court record may not include all the information germane to the review currently being conducted by the NRC staff. Mr. Arnold stated that a number of documents which may be of interest were not a part of the trial court record. Specifically, the B&W-GPU trial preparation has resulted in 1767 exhibits and 81 depositions. While a number of exhibits and excerpts from depositions were included in the trial court record, Mr. Arnold stated that much of this material was not a part of the trial court record. GPU has conducted a preliminary sorting of this material removing from consideration such documents as were publicly available, which had already been provided to the NRC or those judged to have no potential significance. As a result of this sorting, GPU concluded that about 580 documents remain which may contain something of interest. - [REDACTED]

Mr. Stello asked Mr. Arnold whether he felt the documents contained important information which the NRC did not now have. [REDACTED]

Mr. Stello stated that he would consider GPU's offer and would contact Mr. Arnold if the NRC staff wished to review the documents.

[REDACTED] Mr. Stello indicated that he had attempted to obtain the Hartman deposition taken in the lawsuit by B&W. Mr. Arnold offered to provide the document expeditiously. Mr. Arnold also commented that some 20 depositions taken in the lawsuit touched upon the Hartman matter. Further, he stated that, when the Hartman matter first surfaced, GPU conducted an initial inquiry into the matter and prepared a confidential

report on the matter. Mr. Arnold noted that GPU was considering providing this document to the U.S. Attorney conducting the grand jury investigation.

Also discussed was B&W Exhibit No. 843 (Internal Audit Report of TMI Management performed in early 1978). Mr. Stello expressed an interest in learning when that document was first made publicly available. Mr. Arnold offered to pursue that matter and to provide Mr. Stello with a response. \*

Finally, the issue of natural circulation procedures, which had been the subject of a Board Notification, was briefly discussed. Mr. Arnold noted that this issue had been resolved some time ago for TMI-1 through procedure revisions.

---

\* Mr. Stello was informed on March 22, 1983 that GPU was not aware of any review of this internal GPU document by any external organization prior to the initiation of the B&W-GPU lawsuit.

# News Release

# Nuclear

Three Mile Island  
Nuclear Station  
Post Office Box 480  
Middletown, PA 17057  
717 948-8197

Dave Klucsik

Upon Receipt

April 17, 1980  
#67-80C

Middletown, PA--Officials at the Three Mile Island Nuclear Station today confirmed that allegations made by former employe Harold Hartman of tampering with some of the results of routine plant tests conducted during the year before the accident are under investigation by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Office of Inspection and Enforcement.

The allegations by Mr. Hartman, a former control room operator, were made public during a television broadcast several weeks ago.

As a separate matter, the NRC is also investigating whether any information was intentionally withheld from authorities during the accident.

This issue has been the subject of several investigations. The Kemeny Commission concluded: "We do not find that there was a systematic attempt at a 'coverup' by the sources of information." The Rogovin NRC Special Inquiry Group report states, "In sum, we concluded that the evidence failed to establish that Met-Ed management or other personnel willfully withheld information from the NRC."

The Rogovin Group did not change this conclusion after re-examining the evidence on this issue at the request of the NRC. Re-examination corroborated the Special Inquiry Group's earlier findings.

The Company will continue to cooperate with any investigations by the NRC or other agencies to the fullest extent. In addition, the Company has initiated its own review of the circumstances that are the subject of Hartman's allegations.

The allegations made during the recently broadcast television interview of Mr. Hartman did not imply any connections between his charges and the causes of the March 28, 1979 accident. The causes of the accident have been identified

April 17, 1980

by various investigating bodies and the present inquiry is not expected to change any of those conclusions.

Because the investigations are on-going, we are not at this time at liberty to discuss them in more detail.

#####