SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION

APPENDIX

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION IV

Inspector: T. W. Dexter, Senior Physical Security Specialist Facilities Inspection Programs Section

Approved:

Ven cert aine Murray, Chief, Facilities Inspection Programs Section

7/29/92

Inspection Summary

inspection Conducted July 6-10, 1992 (Report 50-382/92-19)

<u>Areas Inspected:</u> Routine, unannounced inspection of the physical security program. The areas inspected included: management support; security program plans and procedures; protected and vital area physical Larriers; detection and assessment aids; protected area access control of personnel, packages, and vehicles; records and reports; alarm stations and communications; testing, maintenance, and compensatory measures; security training and qualifications; and Safeguards Information Protection Program.

DOCUMENT CONTAINS SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION

ENCLOSURE CONTAINS SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION. UPON SEPARATION THIS PAGE IS DECONTROLLED.

9708100144 920803 PDR ADDCK 05000382 9 PDR

-2- DOCUMENT CONTAINS SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION

<u>Results:</u> Within the program areas inspected, no violations or deviations were identified. The following is a summary of the inspection findings.

- The security organization received excellent management support and was able to make improvements to equipment and program area, that ensured an effective and quality security program.
- Excellent reviews of Security, Contingency, and Guard Training and Qualification Plans were conducted prior to the implementation of any changes. Procedures implementing plan changes were also thoroughly reviewed to ensure changes do not decrease plan effectiveness.
- The protected and vital area barriers were well maintained. The intrusion detection systems performance was excellent. The assessment aids were excellent, and the isolation zones were properly maintained.
- The licensee was exercising positive controls over personnel and packages entering the protected area. All security force members observed in the performance of their control duties appeared to be very well trained and professionally competent.
- A very good security documentation program was in use.
- Tracking, trending, and analysis of alarm information could be improved (see paragraph 3.5).
- Required records were on file, very well documented, and readily available for review.
- Repairs of the security system were timely and security provided input to prioritize the repairs of the system.
- The central and secondary alarm stations were well maintained and operated by knowledgeable personnel.
- The security training weapons qualification program was conducted in a safe and professional manners. Instructors were very knowledgeable. A good variety of training ands were used.
- Safeguards materials were well maintained.

SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION

ENCLOSURE CONTAINS SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION. UPON SEPARATION THIS PAGE IS DECONTROLLED.