F-0998 L40-84(11-14)-L 8G.150b

ILLINOIS POWER COMPANY



CLINTON POWER STATION, P.O. BOX 678. CLINTON, ILLINOIS 61727

50-461

November 14, 1984

Mr. Gordon L. Parkinson Bechtel Power Corporation Fifty Beale Street San Francisco, CA 94119

Dear Mr. Parkinson:

Enclosed is S&L's response to Observation Report Number 31, Rev. O. This response has been reviewed by Illinois Power.

Sincerely yours,

H. R. Victor

Manager,

Nuclear Station Engineering

DWW/1m

cc: See Attached Distribution List

15001

8411200108 841114 PDR ADDCK 05000461 A PDR

SARGENT & LUNDY ENGINEERS

FOUNDED 1891

SS EAST MONROE STREET

R. C. HEIDER ASSOCIATE 312-269-7046

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60603 (312) 269-2000 TWX 910-221-2807

> SLMI-13951 Date: November 7, 1984 Project No. 4536-51, -53

Illinois Power Company Clinton Power Station - Unit 1

Independent Design Review Observation and Resolution Reports

Mr. J. D. Geier Assistant to Vice President Illinois Power Company 500 South 27th Street Decatur, Illinois 62525

Dear Mr. Goier:

Enclosed is a copy of the below listed Resolution Reports with the proposed resolution (Items 5 and 6) completed by Sargent & Lundy:

Resolution Report No. 31, Revision 0

We are also enclosing a copy of the corresponding Observation Reports

Please review our proposed resolution and, if you concur, sign Item 7 of the Resolution Report and forward the report to the independent reviewer. Also, please return a copy of the signed Resolution Report to Sargent & Lundy for our records.

Yours very truly,

R. C. Heider Project Manager

Attachment - all recipients

D. P. Hall

H. R. Victor

F. A. Spangenberg (originals)

L. E. Ackmann/W. A. Chittenden

W. G. Hegener

E. V. Abraham

R. X. French

J. M. McLaughlin

H. M. Sroka

E. B. Branch

B. A. Erler

W. G. Schwartz

L. R. Stensland

H. S. Taylor

R. A. Witt

R. B. Johnson

M. J. Shewski

D. K. Schopfer

OBSERVATION REPORT

File No. 31
File Revision No. 0
Date October 22, 1984

- 1. Level 1 classification of Observation:
 - X Not significant to safety

 X Additional information required *See 5, "Recommendation for resolution"

 Significant to safety, send to Level 2 Committee
- Structure(s), system(s), or component(s) involved:

SSWS Valves 1SX095 A & B

3. Description of Observation:

There is a discrepancy between logic diagram M15-1052-4, Rev. C, and the related schematic diagram E02-1SX99 regarding valves 1SX095 A & B.

(See continuation sheet)

4. Significance of Observation:

There is the potential that logic diagram M15-1052-4, Rev. C and its related schematic diagram have not been adequately coordinated.

5. Recommendation for resolution (optional):

S&L should provide an explanation of why these discrepancies occurred. S&L should verify that the process for preparing these logic and schematic diagrams ensures that the design criteria are implemented in the design.

6. Signatures: Review Committee DBHank.

R.S. Cahn

Ceward

OBSERVATION REPORT (continuation sheet)

File Revision No. 0
Date October 22, 1984

3. Logic diagram M15-1052-4, Rev. C., requires valves 1SX095 A & B to close automatically when fans 1VR08C and 1VR11C stop by deleting the manual close function and adding a "not" function to accomplish an automatic stop. The related schematic diagram still shows the manual stop function. As a result, the valves will not close automatically as required by the control logic.

Ten valves are listed in the logic table, two with related fan control and eight that are intended to be opened and closed manually. These eight valves do not appear to operate as described in the logic diagram.

RESOLUTION REPORT

	PARTIES AND REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP		Revision No. October 22, 19	
1.	Resolution by Level 1 Internal Review Committee	ace	OCCUBET EE, 13	04
2.	Classification of Observation:		No.	
	a. Not valid (see continuation sheet) b. X Not significant to safety c. X Additional information required d. Significant to safety			
3.	Program resolution is:			
	a. Closed item b. X Action to be taken by Reviewee			
4.	Review Committee signatures:			
	Cew Jada Starkons	1	10/22/84	
5.	Reviewee proposed resolution:			
	a. Description of proposed resolution:			
	(See continuation sheet.)			
	b. Basis of proposed resolution:			
	(See continuation sheet.)			
6.	Reviewee response report signed by Tichard Hovan Engineer Manager	Da Da	11/7/84 te	_
7.	Illinois Power Co. Review: 4.P. Later	Da	11/13/5	+

RESOLUTION REPORT (continuation sheet)

File No. 31
File Revision No. 0
Date October 22, 1984

5a. No corrective action required.

5b. The control functions of logic diagram M15-1052, Sheet 4, Revision C, for valves 1SX095A & B has been implemented on schematic diagram E02-1SX99, Sheet 41, Revision E. The design criteria diagram E02-1SX99, Sheet 41, Revision E. The design criteria (i.e., HVAC Functional Description) requirement is to automatically open valves 1SX095A & B when the associated fan matically open valves 1SX095A & B when the associated fan motors are running. Remote manual operator action to close the valves when the fan motors have stopped is intended. The logic diagram shows these control functions as well as the required open and close control functions for the other eight valves.

Logic diagram M15-1052, Sheet 4, Revision C, incorporated a revision resultant from an interdiciplinary design review in order to match the schematic and system requirements. This order to match the logic diagram was a simplified revision and revision of the logic diagram was a simplified revision and was not the optimum means of describing the control logic. After Revision C of logic diagram M15-1052, Sheet 4, was a lissued, another interdiciplinary engineering review was made issued, another interdiciplinary engineering review was made to review the revision and it was judged that the logic could have been shown better, but that it would not be cost effective to make another revision of the logic diagram.

The control logic for the other eight valves shown on this logic diagram have the same simplification. The control logic indicates these valves should open and close by a remote manual control switch. This control logic has been implemented on the schematics.

There is no safety significance because the logic diagram and the schematic diagrams have correctly implemented the system design requirements.

F-0998 L40-84(11-14)-L 8G.150b

Clinton Power Station

Independent Design Review Standard Distribution List

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Attn: Mr. A. Schwencer, Chief Licensing Branch No. 2 Division of Licensing U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

James G. Keppler
Regional Administrator
Region III
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
799 Roosevelt Road
Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Byron Siegel Clinton Licensing Project Manager Mail Code 416 U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Fred Christianson Mail Code V-690 NRC Resident Office Clinton Power Station R.R. #3, Box 228 Clinton, Illinois 61727

James L. Milhoan
Section Chief, Licensing Section
Quality Assurance Branch
Office of Inspection and Enforcement
Mail Stop EWS - 305A
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Richard C. Knop Section Chief Projects Section 1-C U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137 Richard J. Goddard, Esq.
Office of the Legal Director
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Don Etchison
Director, Illinois Department of
Nuclear Safety
1035 Outer Park Drive
Springfield, Illinois 62704

Allen Samelson, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Control Division
Southern Region
500 South Second Street
Springfield, Illinois 62706

Jean Foy Spokesperson, Prairie Alliance 511 W. Nevada Urbana, Illinois 61801

Richard Hubbard MHB Technical Associates 1723 Hamilton Avenue Suite K San Jose: California 95125

Gordon L. Parkinson
Bechtel Power Corporation
Fifty Beal Street
P. O. Box 3965
San Francisco, California 94119

Roger Heider Sargent & Lundy Engineers 55 East Monroe Street Chicago, Illinois 60603