



BOSTON EDISON

Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station
Rocky Hill Road
Plymouth, Massachusetts 02360

July 24, 1992
BECo Ltr. 92-080

Foy A. Anderson
Senior Vice President - Nuclear

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attn: Document Control Desk
Washington, D.C. 20555

Docket No. 50-293
License No. DPR-35

The enclosed copy of the audit report for the Scientific Ecology Group, Inc. (SEG), performed by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company under the auspices of the Nuclear Procurement Issues Committee, is provided as requested in NRC Inspection Report 50-293/92-11, dated June 25, 1992. A copy of our evaluation of the audit report is also enclosed.

Please feel free to contact Nancy Desmond of my staff at (509) 747-8287 if there are any questions regarding this matter.

Foy A. Anderson
F. A. Anderson

DWE/bal

Enclosures: (1) PG&E Audit (11 pages)
(2) BECo evaluation of PG&E Audit (1 page)

cc: Mr. Thomas T. Martin
Regional Administrator, Region 1
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Rd.
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Mr. R. B. Ellison
Div. of Reactor Projects I/II
Office of NRR - USNRC
One White Flint North - Mail Stop 14D1
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, MD 20852

Sr. NRC Resident Inspector - Pilgrim Station

*A-001
7/11*

920046
9207310219 920724
PDR ADDCK 05000293
Q PDR

BOSTON EDISON COMPANY

Supplier No. S1029

EVALUATION OF SUPPLIER AUDIT
PERFORMED BY OTHER UTILITY OR AUTHORIZED AGENT

<u>Supplier Name/Address/Phone</u> SCIENTIFIC ECOLOGY GROUP, INC. (SEG) PO BOX 2530 1560 BEAR CREEK ROAD E. GALLAHER RD. OAK RIDGE, TN 37831-2530 PHONE (615) 376-3147	<u>Audit Org/Date</u> PGE (NUVC) MAR. 24-27, 1992
<u>Product/Service</u> <u>RADWASTE PROCESSING, PACKAGING, TRANSPORTATION</u>	
<u>Code Stamps/Authorizations</u> <u>None</u>	<u>Expiration</u> _____

Audit Type: Full Scope In-Process Other _____
(Note: Full Scope includes pre-award and triennial.)

QA Program Basis: 10CFR50 App. B ANSI N45.2 ASME NQA-1
 Other (list) 10 CFR 21, 10 CFR 71/H

Identify any App. B criteria not audited ALL APPLICABLE CRITERIA WERE AUDITED

QA Programs: Title QA PROGRAM Rev. 3 Date _____
Title _____ Rev. _____ Date _____

- | | Yes | No |
|--|------------|----------|
| 1. Is there sufficient objective documentation presented to allow for proper interpretation of audit results? | <u>X</u> | _____ |
| 2. Have all adverse condition reports been closed and verified? (If no, include follow-up statement on the PQE-ASL.) | _____ | <u>X</u> |
| 3. Is the audit scope consistent with BECo's needs? | <u>X</u> | _____ |
| 4. Has the audit organization placed any restrictions on the supplier? If yes, list:

_____ | _____ | <u>X</u> |
| 5. Does the reviewer recommend placing the same, or other restrictions on future purchases? If yes, list: _____
If no, justify: _____ | <u>N/A</u> | _____ |
| 6. As a result of this review, does the PQE-ASL need to be updated (except for audit due and/or reevaluation due dates)? If yes, attach PQE-ASL change memorandum. | <u>X</u> | _____ |

Reviewed by: [Signature] Date: 6/18/92
Approved by: [Signature] Date: 6/18/92

- Approved, add to PQE-ASL
- Cond'l Approved, add to PQE-ASL
- Maintain on PQE-ASL
- Disapproved