

50-338  
50-339

July 23, 1992

Mr. N. G. Metcalf  
Assistant United States Attorney  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Main Street Centre - Suite 1800  
600 E. Main Street  
Richmond, Virginia 23219-2447

Dear George:

Re: North Anna Power Station

As I discussed with you by telephone, the settlement offer described in your letter of April 10, 1992 is acceptable to Virginia Power. Regarding the three elements of the proposal which you considered to be essential, one has been completed and the other two are in progress.

Item 3 of your proposal, the employee environmental awareness video, has been awarded to Echo Films, Inc. and the script is being written.

Item 4, the Customer Connection article, was published and distributed in our May billing cycle to 1.8 million customers and is expected to have exposure to 4.8 million people. A few extra copies are enclosed for your use.

Item 5, the public service video, has been completed with the USFWS logo. Thirty copies are available for The Service. As we discussed, and you requested, the television programming package on stations WUSA, WTVR, and WVEC will be handled by our Corporate Communications department.

While not considered by you as part of the settlement proposal, I want to let you know that the site barriers to nesting, consisting of expanded metal screen doors and covers have been constructed to cover the entrances to the security blockhouse, the auxiliary feedwater pump houses and associated exhaust fans. These barriers were installed at a cost of \$20,506.

Also, the alternate nest structures were completed for approximately \$1,000.

*Delate: Recip  
Add: NRR/DET/ESGB 4/1  
Y002*

9207300012 920723  
PDR ADOCK 05000338  
P PDR


Mr. N. G. Metcalf  
July 23, 1992  
Page 2

In summary, implementation of the three components of your settlement proposal, the site barriers, and the alternate nest structures will require a total expenditure by Virginia Power of approximately \$80,000.

On behalf of the company, I can assure you that protection of migratory birds is a consideration in routine operations. I can cite several recent instances where field crews have altered work plans or delayed actions in deference to active nests. Our environmental awareness video is being designed so that all employees having potential for interaction with nest sites or migratory birds will understand their obligations under the applicable laws.

Please let me know what is necessary to conclude this matter. Also, I need to know whether to contact you or Al Hundley about progress with the PSA programming.

Sincerely,



B. M. Marshall, P.E.  
Manager  
Water Quality

Enclosures

cc: Mr. A. C. Hundley, Special Agent  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
P. O. Box 446  
Fredericksburg, VA 22401-0446

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Docket No. 50-338/50-339  
101 Marietta St., NW  
Suite 2900  
Atlanta, GA 30323

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ✓  
Attn: Docket Control Desk  
Docket No. 50-338/50-339  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr. M. S. Lesser  
NRC Sr. Resident Inspector  
North Anna Power Station