



LOUISIANA
POWER & LIGHT

142 DELARONDE STREET • P.O. BOX 8008
NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA 70174-6008 • (504) 386-2345

September 28, 1984

W3P84-2724
3-A1.01.04

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Attention: Mr. G.W. Knighton, Chief
Licensing Branch No. 3
Division of Licensing
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

SUBJECT: Waterford SES Unit 3
Docket No. 50-382
Detailed Control Room Design Review
Program Plan

Dear Sir:

In response to NUREG 0737 Supplement 1, enclosed please find the Detailed Control Room Design Review (DCRDR) Program Plan for Waterford 3. The Program Plan discusses the work completed to date, and that yet required to complete the DCRDR - primarily the task analysis.

Should you have any questions or comments on this matter, please contact Mike Meisner at (504) 363-8939.

Yours very truly,

K.W. Cook
Nuclear Support & Licensing Manager

KWC/MJM/ss

cc: W.M. Stevenson, E.L. Blake, J.T. Collins, D.M. Crutchfield, J.H. Wilson,
A. Ramey - Smith, G.L. Constable

8410090315 840928
PDR ADOCK 05000382
F PDR

A006
1/1

W3P84-2724

bcc: R.S. Leddick, F.J. Drummond, R.P. Barkhurst, R.M. Nelson, D. Packer,
R.F. Burski, R.V. Seidl, B. Steen - Larsen, L.F. Storz, O.D. Hayes,
R.A. Savoie, W.A. Cross, Project Files, Administrative Support (2),
Licensing Library

TASK REVIEW AND CERTIFICATION (TRAC) FORM

TASK DESCRIPTION: Prepare DCRDR Program Plan in response to NUREG 0737
Supplement 1 requirements.

DESCRIPTION BY: M.J. Meisner DATE: 9-26-84
(Licensing Engineer)

VERIFICATION

TASK COMPLETED BY: B. Steen - Larsen DATE: 9/28/84

THIS PROCEDURE HAS BEEN CONDUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FOLLOWING
PROCEDURE: _____

DESCRIBE STEPS TAKEN TO ENSURE INFORMATION ACCURACY AND SUITABILITY FOR
PRESENTATION TO THE NRC: DOCUMENT WAS REVIEWED &

Reviewed 3 times by numerous LP&L, Ebasco & Vardon
people. All comments have been resolved &
incorporated into this issue

I certify that I have performed a review of the task
described, and as a result of this review I further certify
that: (Choose one or both.)

_____ the present material status/conditions described
above presently exist and that verification was made by
direct observation on my part.

X the report, analysis, information, or action taken
described above is in fact true and valid to the best on my
knowledge and belief.

Signature: Ronald V. Seidl DATE: 9/28/84

NOTE: Verification shall be performed through peer review
by a cognizant Engineer(s).