

J. Phillip Bayne Executive Vice President

October 1, 1984 JPN-84-61

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Attention:

Mr. Domenic B. Vassallo

Operating Reactors Branch No. 2

Division Of Licensing

Subject:

James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant

Docket No. 50-333 IE Bulletin No. 84-01

Mark I Containment Vent Headers

References: 1. NRC IE Bulletin No. 84-01, "Cracks In Boiling Water Reactor Mark I Containment Vent Headers,"

dated February 3, 1984.

Dear Sir:

On September 7, 1984, one week prior to a scheduled outage, we were notified that a written report on the subject Bulletin was required immediately.

Attachment I provides our response as requested. This response has been delayed due to the demands of the outage as well as of other current licensing activities.

It should be noted that on April 27, 1984 the Authority received a request for either an oral or a written report. We provided an oral report at that time, and submitted copies of visual inspection reports.

It should also be noted that while the FitzPatrick facility was not in cold shutdown when the Bulletin was issued, and no action was required, nevertheless, a review of the Bulletin and related documents was initiated, and various evaluations, procedure revisions and extensive visual inspections were undertaken.

8410050314 841001 PDR ADOCK 05000333 PDR 5 Add: IE | DEPER | EGEB | 5 ...

If there are any questions, please do not hesitate to call Mr. J.A. Gray, Jr. of my staff.

Very truly yours,

J.P. Bayne First Executive Vice President Chief Operations Officer

cc: Office of the Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P.O. Box 136
Lycoming, New York 13093

New York Power Authority James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant

Attachment I

JPN-84-61

A. IE Bulletin No. 84-01:
Actions to be taken by all BWRs having Mark I Containments and Currently Shutdown:

- 1. Plants that are currently in cold shutdown should visually inspect for cracks in the entire vent header and in the main vents in the region near the intersection with the vent header. To the extent practicable, the inspection should include the entire surfaces of the aforementioned components. The inspection should be completed within 36 hours of receipt of this bulletin.
- If cracks are found, the containment should be declared inoperable.
- 3. The results of the inspection are to be reported by telephone to NRC Operations Center within 8 hours after the inspection has been completed. A written report describing the areas inspected and the results should be submitted within seven days of receipt of the bulletin.

Response to IE Bulletin No. 84-01

The James A. Fitzpatrick Nuclear Power Plant was operating when IE Bulletin No. 84-01 (IEB-84-01) was issued. Accordingly, it was not practical to inspect the vent header or main vents in the region near the intersection with the vent header at that time.

B. Other inspection activities performed or planned:

While it was not practical to perform an immediate inspection of the vent header and related structures and components as suggested by IEB-84-01, the FitzPatrick plant staff initiated review and/or evaluation of IEB-84-01 and other related documents under the Plant Operating Experience Review Program shortly after they were received at the JAF plant. These related documents are IE Information Notice 84-17, INPO SER 14-84 and GE SIL 402.

As a result of the IEB-84-01 (and the related documents noted above), the following evaluations, inspections, and procedure revisions have been completed or will be completed by the indicated date.

 An evaluation of drywell to suppression pool differential pressure was conducted to verify that no significant leakage from the drywell to the suppression pool was present. 2. On March 2, 1984, the JAF plant was shut down for scheduled maintenance and modification. During this shutdown period, a visual examination was conducted as indicated below:

Outside of Vent Header - The entire top surface of the vent header was inspected including girth and attachment welds. The nitrogen penetration is in the center of bay O, and bays P and A are adjacent to bay O. In bays P, O, and A, the pipe surface and all associated weldments were inspected approximately 220° around the vent header starting at 2 o'clock and moving counter-clockwise to 6 o'clock. One-half of the downcomer to vent header attachment welds in bays P, O, and A, were also inspected.

Inside of Vent Header - The entire inside surface of the vent header in bays P, O, and A were inspected. This included all girth welds and all downcomer to vent header attachment weldments.

Nitrogen Penetration - The suppression pool (wetwell) I.D. side of the nitrogen penetration to suppression pool shell weldment, and the suppression pool plate materials (approximately 12" all around the penetration) were inspected.

No evidence of cracking was discovered during any of the above inspections. Since none of the original (construction) examinations included ultra-sonic testing (UT), no UT baseline exists. Accordingly, no UT examinations are planned.

- 3. An evaluation of the inerting system has been conducted. As a result of this evaluation, the applicable procedures have been revised to provide assurance that cold gaseous (or liquid) nitrogen is not introduced into the inerting system or into containment components which are not designed for low temperature operation.
- 4. A surveillance procedure to test operation of the low temperature isolation function will be implemented by October 10, 1984.
- 5. Periodic calibration of temperature switches and indication to assure proper operation of the low temperature isolation, and provide the operator with reliable temperature indication, will be implemented by October 10, 1984.
- 6. During the next scheduled primary containment integrated leak rate test, a drywell to suppression pool (wetwell) bypass leakage test will be conducted. The test is currently scheduled for the end of the 1985 Refueling Outage.



Corbin McNeill Resident Manager

MARCH 8, 1984 JAF-QF-84-030

MEMORANDUM TO: Supe

Superintendent of Power

FROM:

R. Patch

SUBJECT:

JAFNPP

Quality Assurance

Visual Inspection of Torus Ring Header

REFERENCE:

JOC-84-006 (2/23/84) Visual Inspection of Torus Ring Header

As requested in the referenced memorandum, a visual inspection of the torus ring header was performed on 3/4/84. The inspections were performed by C. Krok, P. Morris, and R. Patch. The areas inspected are as follows:

THE WASTER STATE

executive and anyther with the

- Outside of Ring Header The entire top surface of the ring header was inspected including girth and attachment welds. In bays F, O, and A, the pipe surface and all associated weldments were inspected approximately 220° around the header starting at 2 o'clock and moving counter-clockwise to 6 o'clock. One-half of the downcomer to ring header attachment welds in bays P, O, and A, were also inspected.
- Inside of Ring Header The entire inside surface of the ring header in bays P, O and A were inspected. This includes all girth welds and all downcomer to ring header attachment weldments.
- Nitrogen Penetration The torus I.D. side of the nitrogen penetration to torus shell attachment weldment and the torus plate materials approximately 12° all around the penetration.

Conclusion: No ridence of cracking was discovered during any of the above inspections.

Miscellaneous Observations: During the above inspections it was noted that tools and other loose debris were laying inside the vent header piping. The outside of the vent header piping in the area adjacent to the nitrogen penetra tion entrance to the torus is exhibiting considerable surface rusting.

MEMORANDUM TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POWER
FROM:
SUBJECT:
VISUAL INSPECTION OF TORUS RING HEADER

The inspection will be formally documented in an Inspection Report to be issued in accordance with the requirements of the Nondestructive Examination
Procedures, but this memo is being written to advise you that the results of the inspection were satisfactory.

If you have any questions regarding this memo, please contact the writer.

Richard L. Patch QA Supt. in Training

cc: M. Cosgrove

J. Kerfien

T Butler

R. Liseno

File 3.0.2

RLP:cp

	James A. Fitzpatrick Nuclear Power Plant WORK REQUEST/EVENT/DEFICIENCY/FORM 1. 27 / Nº 24580 Syr. No.
2.	Comp. No. Hand 3. Q.C. Cat. 4. Safety Rel. Yes No 5. Date 2/2/6, Time 11:30
7.	Equip. Title: RING HEADER & ATTACHMENT PIPING (TORYS).
8.	Work Req. Event
	or Def. Description: LERFERY VISUAL INSPECTION OF WELL
	(and location) MENTE AS SETFORTH IN JOG- 84-006.
	THIS INSPECTION MUST BE PERFORMED AS THE RESULT
9.	Cause:
	(Sign Line 34)
OCCURRE	NCE REPORT
	1 10. YES (see AP 8.2) NO 42. OR No. 49. LER No.
11.	Operating Occurrence Basic Component Defect Security Infraction
12.	Means of Discovery: a. Testing Proc No.
	b. Normal Ops. c. Sys/Equip - S/U or S/D d. Maint
	e. NRC Insp No. Name f. Other
13.	Power Level MWT MWe 14. Mode Switch Position
15.	Plant Status 16. Tech. Spec. Yes/No Para No. a) Surv. Test Reg. YES/NO 18. Surv. Test Comp. YES/NO Date Time
17.	al partitude i polito in partitude i polito manual
20.	b) Surv. Test No 19. Redundant Sys. Avail. YES/NO/NA Initial Corrective Action:
20.	mittal Corrective Action.
21.	Preliminary Classification: a. Reportable Yes No b. 10 CFR 21 Yes No
22.	Notification: Date/Time Date/Time
	Ops. Supt Res. Manager
	Supt. of Power / Other / SS/Mtg. Supv.) Date Time
23.	Completed By (SS/Mtg. Supv.) DateTime
WORK RE	QUIRED: 24: Yes/No
25.	Priority: 1 2 3 4 26. Outage: Yes/Refuel/No 27. Dept: Maint/1&C/ C.C.
NPRD:	28. Yes(No
	ne Fail. 30 Eff. Fail. on Sys. (2) 31 Eff. Fail. Pint. OPS (3) 32. Fa 'ure Detection (2) 33 Mode of Failure
90	Subsyst, Chan in Test Subsyst, Chan in Maint. Subsyst, Chan in Maint. Loss of Syst. Function Loss of Syst. Function Loss of Syst. Function Loss of Syst. Punction Loss of Syst. Punction Reactor Trip Personnel Injury Excess Off-Site Radiat. Darnage to Other Equip No Significant Effect No Significant Effect Inservice Inspection Surveillance Testing Preventive Maintenance Special Inspection Surveillance Testing Preventive Maintenance Special Inspection Other Leak Crack Breach Routine Surveillance Incidental Observation Other Leak Crack Breach Routine Surveillance Non't Stop Won't Stop Won't Release Won't Stop Won't Release Out of Lemits Out of Lemits Out of Lemits Out of Lemits Spurious Operation Fracture/Break Won't Release Out of Lemits Out of Lemits Out of Lemits Spurious Operation Frascheuse Out of Lemits Outher
nance rrvice Service	in Test in Maint. Out of Sav Unction Unction Unction Unction St. Chai. If Effect I E
Service Test Mainter ut of Ser	n in Test n in Maint, n Out of Sar n Sar Operation st. Operation of Ellect o
in Service in Test in Maintenance Out of Service Chan in Service	byst.Chan in Test byst.Chan. out of Sa byst.Chan. Out of Sa byst.Chan. Out of Sa byst.Chan. Out of Sa so of Syst. Operations byst.Chan. Out of Sa byst.Chan. Out of Line cactor Trip recess. Off. Line cactor Trip recess. Off. Site Radiat amage to Other Equi o Significant Effect out of Line becal Inspection udo Alarm recentive Maintenance condings out of Line bysteal Displacement byteal bysteal Displacement byteal bysteal
E E E E E	Separation of the separation o
System in Service System in Test System in Mainte System Out of Se Subsyst Chan, in	Subsyst Chan in Test Subsyst Chan in Maint. Subsyst Chan in Maint. Subsyst Chan in Maint. Loss of Syst. Function Loss of Syst. Oper. Loss of Syst. Function Degraded Syst. Oper. Loss of Syst. Function Loss of Subsyst. Chan. No Significant Effect Unit Off. Line Reactor Trip Personnel Injury Excess. Off. Site Radiat. Damage to Other Equip No Significant Effect Inservice Inspection Surveillance Testing Preventive Maintenance Special Inspection Audio Alarm Visual Alarm Nusual Alarm Routine Surveillance Incidental Observation Other Leak Crack Breach Physical Displacement Collapse Fracture/Break Won't Stop Won't Release Won't Stop Won't Release Out of Limits Out of Limits Out of Alarm Won't Hold Won't Hold Won't Hold Spurrous Operation False Response
To be to be	X A P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P
4.000m	TOIAMOOM AMOOMED AMOOMEDIXAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
INITIATIO	N& SEVIEW 1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
34.	Nowas Kuttle 35. Norther with 1 2/24/8 / 36. I Wife / 1 4/2-1/14/3
	Originator Management Surry Date) SS Mit.
37.	Q.C. Inspection Req. (es/No/Not // Uly Red (Q.C.) 2 1/ 5 7 Date
POST WOR	IK CLEARANCE (If Work Tracking Form Not Used)
38.	Man Hours: M E IC
39.	Work Comp/Det. Corr. / 40. Dept. Super Date 1001
41.	System Restored

POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK QUALITY CONTROL INSPECTION REPORT 1.0 QCIR 184-0057

2.0	SITE LOCATION JAFNPP 6.0 W.R.B.D. 27/24580
3.0	SYSTEM NAME/NO. Containment Page 7.0 QA CLASSIFICATION /
3.1	COMPONENT NAME/NO. Torus Ping Hader 8.0 MAT. REPLACEMENT REQ'D N/A
4.0	RESPONSIBLE DEPT./GROUP QC. 8.1 MAT. CERTIFICATION REQ'D N/4
5.0	ACTIVITY LOCATION Torus 8.2 MAT. PURCH. ORDER NO. N/U
9.0	PROCEDURE TITLE/NO. MOED 9.5.1
10.0	PREREQUISITES ACCOMPLISHED YES NO (IF NO, EXPLAIN UNDER REMARKS)
11.0	REASONS POR ACTIVITY:
	ring header in accordance with JOC-84-006, and 5.E. Sil No. 402.
	QC INSPECTION REQUIREMENTS:
	Visual Inspection for cracks only
/	in accordance with NDEP 9.5.1
12.0-	WORK DESCRIPTION (INCLUDE MATERIAL AND COMPONENTS USED):
	Inspection only
13.0	POST WORK TEST REQUIREMENTS: None
14.0	POST WORK TEST(S) PERFORMED: N/A
15.0	TEST DATA: (Where filed) A Hached
16.0	REMARKS: This inspection was limited to
	examining the Forus Ring Header and
	Torus Nitrogen fenetration for cracks
	Thrus bays P, O, + A only however other
	arens were inspected.
	- weeks wire 2.1 1.1 21.164 12

JAINPP QUALITY CONTROL CHECKLIST

SUBSYSTEM: COMPONENT: TOTUS Ring Header WRED: 11/24580	Date APPROVAL: OH Korken OC Supervisor 1-6-83 Date										
CHECKLIST ATTRIBUTES:	SAT.	UNSAT	N/A	QC INSP.	DATE						
1. <u>QC Inspection (Prerequisites)</u> * a) QC Inspector has approved check- list on job and has discussed it with personnel performing the work.				p.sp	3-4-54						
* b) Ensure administrative procedure prerequisites, including initiation of work request, system mark-up, etc., are completed prior to commencement of work.				pp	3-4-84						
* c) Ensure appropriate procedures and/or instructions have been developed, reviewed, and properly approved prior to commencement of activity.	1			2P	3-4-84						
d) Procedure and/or instruction manual available at work location.			/	- en							
e) Proper documentation for replacement parts on file.			/	1.42							

* Shall be verified by Inspection Coordinator.

Procedures reviewed prior to performance of fist.

Due to climate in torus + ring header procedures

were not available.

FISUAL DATA SMEET

Well nexts	Well wents	VariouTEST SURFACE: right sports.	DON □	No CB	ON EX		ISC	200	•	2	TYES(IF SO WHY)	timi Heo d		REMARKS	Vi Craellis		No Cracks		n'o cracks				des found.		1. 1st	SHEET NO:
COMPONENT	attochwent	THICKNESS: Va	YES	YES	YES	YES	NON	LEVEL: I	LEVEL: TT		/ our	POSITION (IN.)	- N	80 W					#				10 cm		FIGURE NO.	DATA SHE
00	conce a		GRAY CARD:		LIGHTING	PREPARATION .		783 LE	36	DIMENSIONING	MOO		MO	EB					\parallel			+	14		8-84	
west luge	to Down	MATERIAL: 45	18%NEUTRAL	BOROSCOPE:	AUXILIARY L	SURFACE PRI	INSULATED	10#1 2 6	10#101	٥	CW / IN	'NI 3:	MO DATE	EB					\parallel			1	h's Gudo		y	
	26 War	,	Ô							-		FACE		8					+	\vdash		+	5 54	H	DATE REVIEWED	
Cieros www.									(INCHES)		HOITADI		A 8 -									Acsult		LEVEL! TI		
SYSTEM	Leader	VT# ICA		-		NOITA AUSIV 3 NOITA	NIN	EXY				X				+	etacus	H	_							
10N50	Insection of	H CIOUS PRO	*1 \ \	DE: 831.1 (1467)	YES NO E	1/4		" Richard 1.				COMPONENT	103	810	nog heeder ID X	Bung Porn		as beace (2)	293 P. C.C.	Virosen Pearty - X	in insule us	5 %	Esamue		of the	
UNIT: JA	DESCRIPTION	WELD ID:	NO. POSITIONS:	GOVERNING CODE	ATTACHMENTS:	# REFERENCE		PREFORMED BY:	PREFORMED BY.			NOILIS	50d	8 W	E.,	in		18:	(17)	100	110	ica			REVIEWED BY:	

SK-1AF-82-303