
DOCKETED

*84 OCT -2 A11:13

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of)	
TEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC) COMPANY, et al.	Docket Nos. 50-445 and 0C
(Comanche Peak Steam Electric) Station, Units 1 and 2)	(Application for Operating Licenses)

AFFIDAVIT OF JOHN C. FINNERAN, JR. IN SUPPORT OF APPLICANTS' REPLY TO CASE'S ANSWER TO APPLICANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION REGARDING DIFFERENTIAL DISPLACEMENT OF LARGE-FRAMED, WALL-TO-WALL AND FLOOR-TO-CEILING PIPE SUPPORTS

- I, John C. Finneran, Jr., being first duly sworn hereby depose and state, as follows: I am the Project Pipe Support Engineer for the Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station. In this position I oversee the design work of all pipe support design organizations for Comanche Peak. A statement of my educational and professional qualifications was received into evidence as Applicants' Exhibit 142B.
- Q. What is the purpose of this affidavit?
- A. This affidavit provides information in support of Applicants' Reply to CASE's Answer to Applicants' Motion for Summary Disposition Regarding Differential Displacement of Large-Framed, Wall-to-Wall and Floor-to-Ceiling Pipe Supports.

- Q. In his Affidavit, Mr. Walsh alleges that in 1982, Applicants informed the Special Investigation Team that there were no large-framed, wall-to-wall or floor-to-ceiling pipe suports designed by ITT or NPSI. Is this correct?
- No. I was present during discussions with and made state-A. ments to the NRC Staff regarding this issue. It's obvious that we could not have made this statement to the SIT team, when I had already testified (Applicants' Exhibit 142 at 25) that there were Service Water support frames in a fuel building tunnel which also span from floor to ceiling. These frames are items 17 through 24 on Attachment 1 to Applicants' Affidavit attached to Applicants' motion for summary disposition regarding this issue. These frames were designed by 1TT When asked this question by the SIT, we actually replied that we were not aware of other of these type supports other than what had been previously discussed or testified to. We made no statement that there were not any more. The only way to know for sure would have been to review all designs.
- Q. In his Affidavit, Mr. Walsh alleges that no PSE guidelines existed on design of large-framed wall-to-wall and floor-to-ceiling pipe supports prior to late 1981. Is this correct?
- A. No. Attached to this Affidavit is documentation signed by a hanger engineer on 1-6-81, that he had added cer-ain pages of Revision 1 to Section II of the Engineering Guidelines-

Pipe Support Designs.* Page 2-6 is also attached.

Paragraph 3.13.1 of page 2-6 concerns design of large frames. The above noted documentation demonstrates that Applicants not only had guidelines prior to late 1981, but also that those guidelines contained information related to large framed wall-to-wall or floor-to-ceiling supports.

Prior to the reorganization of CPSES in late 1981 that lead to the formation of PSE, the pipe support design group on site was called PSDG (Pipe Support Design Group). The attachment to this Affidavit is from the design manual for that group.

STATE OF TEXAS

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 28 day of September, 1984.

Notary Public & Horlges
My commission Expires MARCH 28, 1988

John C. Finneran, fr.

'84 OCT -2 A11:13

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARDOCKETING & SERVIN

BRANCH

In the Matter of

TEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al.

(Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2) (Application for Operating Licenses)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of "Applicants' Reply to CASE's Answer to Applicants' Motion for Summary Disposition Regarding Differential Displacement of Large-Framed, Wall-to-Wall and Floor-to-Ceiling Pipe Supports," in the above-captioned matter was served upon the following persons by express delivery (*), or deposit in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, this 1st day of October, 1984, or by hand delivery (**) on the 2nd day of October, 1984.

**Peter B. Bloch, Esq.
Chairman, Atomic Safety and
Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

*Dr. Walter H. Jordan 881 West Outer Drive Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

*Dr. Kenneth A. McCollom Dean, Division of Engineering Architecture and Technology Oklahoma State University Stillwater, Oklahoma 74074

Mr. John Collins
Regional Administrator,
Region IV
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
611 Ryan Plaza Drive
Suite 1000
Arlington, Texas 76011

Chairman, Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr. William L. Clements
Docketing & Service Branch
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

**Stuart A. Treby, Esq.
Office of the Executive
Legal Director
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Chairman, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555 Renea Hicks, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Protection
Division
P.O. Box 12548
Capitol Station
Austin, Texas 78711

Lanny A. Sinkin 114 W. 7th Street Suite 220 Austin, Texas 78701 *Mrs. Juanita Ellis President, CASE 1426 South Polk Street Dallas, Texas 75224

Ellen Ginsberg, Esquire
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Malcolm H. Philips, Jr.

cc: John W. Beck Robert Wooldridge, Esq.